

# Congruences modulo cyclotomic polynomials and algebraic independence for $q$ -series

Frédéric Jouhet

Institut Camille Jordan - University Lyon 1

Séminaire Flajolet, IHP, September 2018

(joint work with B. Adamczewski, É. Delaygue, and J. Bell)

# The $p$ -Lucas congruences

After **Lucas** (1878), a great attention has been paid on congruences modulo prime numbers  $p$  satisfied by various combinatorial sequences related to binomial coefficients.

**Example.**

$$\binom{2(pn + m)}{pn + m}^r \equiv \binom{2m}{m}^r \binom{2n}{n}^r \pmod{p},$$

where  $0 \leq m \leq p - 1$  and  $n \geq 0, r \geq 1$ .

## Definition

For a prime number  $p$ , a sequence  $(a(\mathbf{n}))_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d}$  with integral values is  $p$ -Lucas if for any  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$

$$a(p\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}) \equiv a(\mathbf{m}) a(\mathbf{n}) \pmod{p} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{m} \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}^d.$$

# Other examples

Binomial coefficients  $\binom{n}{k}$ ,  $\binom{2n}{n}^r$

Factorial ratios  $\frac{(10n)!}{(5n)!(3n)!n!^2}$

Apéry sequences  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$

Franel numbers  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^3$

Or  $\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \equiv n \pmod{2}}}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} 2^k 3^{\frac{n-3k}{2}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{\frac{n-k}{2}} \binom{\frac{n-k}{2}}{k}$ .

We will consider the following problems :

- Find an explanation to the omnipresence of sequences satisfying such congruences.
- Get a general result allowing us to derive all these congruences and generalize them to congruences modulo cyclotomic polynomials.
- Prove algebraic independence results for the generating series associated with such sequences.

# A generating series approach

Define  $g_r(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^r x^n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_r(x) &\equiv \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \binom{2m}{m}^r \binom{2n}{n}^r x^{pn+m} \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}[[x]]} \\ &\equiv \left( \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \binom{2m}{m}^r x^m \right) g_r(x^p) \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}[[x]]}. \end{aligned}$$

The  $p$ -Lucas property of the coefficients is actually equivalent to

$$g_r(x) \equiv A(x)g_r(x^p) \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}[[x]]},$$

where  $A(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  depends on  $r$  and  $p$ , and has degree at most  $p - 1$ .

This means that the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $g_r(x)$  satisfies an Ore equation of order 1, for all prime numbers  $p$ .

**Furstenberg** (1967) and **Deligne** (1983) proved that the diagonal of a multivariate algebraic power series  $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}[[\mathbf{x}]]$  is algebraic modulo  $p$  for almost all prime numbers  $p$ .

**Adamczewski–Bell** (2013) proved that when  $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[[\mathbf{x}]]$  the reductions modulo  $p$  of such diagonals satisfy an **Ore** equation of an order  $r$  independent of  $p$  : there exist  $A_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  such that

$$A_0(x)\Delta(f)|_p(x) + A_1(x)\Delta(f)|_p(x)^p + \cdots + A_r(x)\Delta(f)|_p(x)^{p^r} = 0.$$

**Christol** (1985) conjectured that any power series in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ ,  $D$ -finite and with a positive radius of convergence, is the diagonal of a rational fraction.

**Adamczewski–Bell–Delaygue** (2016) proved that a large class of functions satisfy, as  $g_r(x)$ , a linear equation of order  $1$  with respect to (an iteration of) the **Frobenius**, for all prime numbers  $p$ .

# $q$ -series and cyclotomic polynomials

Fix a complex number  $q$ . Recall the classical  $q$ -analogues

$$[n]_q := \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} \quad \text{so that} \quad [n]_q! := \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - q^i}{1 - q}$$

tends to  $n!$  when  $q \rightarrow 1$ .

The classical  $q$ -binomial coefficients are

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q! [k]_q!} \in \mathbb{N}[q].$$

For a positive integer  $b$ , recall the  $b$ -th cyclotomic polynomial

$$\phi_b(q) := \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < b-1 \\ (k,b)=1}} (q - e^{2ik\pi/b}).$$

# Extension of the $p$ -Lucas property

In 1967, Fray proved that for all nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $0 \leq i, j \leq b - 1$  :

$$\begin{bmatrix} bn + i \\ bk + j \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \binom{n}{k} \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q]}.$$

## Definition

For a positive integer  $b$ , a sequence  $(a_q(\mathbf{n}))_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d}$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  is  $\phi_b$ -Lucas if

$$a_q(b\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}) \equiv a_q(\mathbf{m}) a_1(\mathbf{n}) \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q]} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{m} \in \{0, \dots, b - 1\}^d.$$

**Remark.** If  $(a_q(\mathbf{n}))_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d}$  is  $\phi_b$ -Lucas for all  $b$ , then  $(a_1(\mathbf{n}))_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d}$  is  $p$ -Lucas for all primes  $p$ . This comes from

$$\phi_p(1) = p.$$



## Another example

We have by [Fray \(1967\)](#), [Strehl \(1982\)](#), [Sagan \(1992\)](#) :

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} 2(m+nb) \\ m+nb \end{matrix} \right]_q^r \equiv \left[ \begin{matrix} 2m \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_q^r \binom{2n}{n}^r \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q]},$$

where  $n, m, b, r$  are nonnegative integers with  $b, r \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq m \leq b-1$ .

In terms of generating series, this is equivalent to

$$f_r(q; x) \equiv A(q; x)g_r(x^b) \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q][[x]]},$$

where  $A(q; x) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][x]$  of degree (in  $x$ ) at most  $b-1$  and

$$f_r(q; x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2n \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_q^r x^n, \quad g_r(x) = f_r(1; x).$$

# $q$ -factorial ratios and the Landau function

Given  $d$ -tuples of positive integers  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_u$  and  $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_v$ , set :

$$\mathcal{Q}(q; \mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{Q}_{e,f}(q; \mathbf{n}) := \frac{[\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}]_q! \cdots [\mathbf{e}_u \cdot \mathbf{n}]_q!}{[\mathbf{f}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}]_q! \cdots [\mathbf{f}_v \cdot \mathbf{n}]_q!} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Define the **Landau** function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by :

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta_{e,f}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^u \lfloor \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} \rfloor - \sum_{j=1}^v \lfloor \mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{x} \rfloor.$$

We assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^u \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{j=1}^v \mathbf{f}_j$ , denoted  $|e| = |f|$ . Therefore  $\Delta$  is  $\mathbf{1}$ -periodic in all directions.

# A general congruence for $q$ -factorial ratios

Define

$$D := \{ \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^d : \text{there exists } i \text{ such that } \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 1 \text{ or } \mathbf{f}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 1 \}.$$

Proposition (ABDJ, 2017)

If  $\Delta \geq 1$  on the set  $D$ , then for any  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , we have  $Q(q; \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  and the sequence  $Q(q; \mathbf{n})$  is  $\phi_b$ -Lucas for all positive integers  $b$ . In other words for all  $b \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{m} \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}^d$ , we have

$$Q(q; b\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}) \equiv Q(q; \mathbf{m}) Q(1; \mathbf{n}) \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q]}.$$

# Tools for the proof

We have

$$\frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = \prod_{b \geq 2, b|n} \phi_b(q) \implies [n]_q! = \prod_{b=2}^n \phi_b(q)^{\lfloor n/b \rfloor},$$

and so

$$Q(q; \mathbf{n}) = \prod_{b=2}^{\infty} \phi_b(q)^{\Delta(\mathbf{n}/b)}.$$

Thus

$$Q(q; \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \iff \Delta(\mathbf{n}/b) \geq 0 \quad \forall b \geq 2$$

$$Q(q; \mathbf{n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q]} \iff \Delta(\mathbf{n}/b) \geq 1.$$

Given two polynomials  $A(q)$  and  $B(q)$ , we have

$$A(q) \equiv B(q) \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q]} \Leftrightarrow A(\xi) = B(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \text{ primitive } b\text{-th root of } 1.$$

# Example

Take  $d = 1$ ,  $u = r$ ,  $v = 2r$ , and

$$e_1 = \cdots = e_r = 2, f_1 = \cdots = f_{2r} = 1, \text{ so that } |e| = |f|.$$

We have

$$Q(q; n) = \frac{[2n]_q!^r}{[n]_q!^{2r}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(x) = r([2x] - 2[x]).$$

As  $D = \{x \in [0, 1) : 2x \geq 1\}$ , we get that for  $0 \leq m \leq b - 1$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2(bn + m) \\ bn + m \end{bmatrix}_q^r \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 2m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q^r \binom{2n}{n}^r \pmod{\phi_b(q)\mathbb{Z}[q]}.$$

# Functional approach

Set  $F(q; \mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} Q(q; \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}$ . The  $\phi_b$ -Lucas property above is :

$$F(q; \mathbf{x}) \equiv A(q; \mathbf{x}) F(1; \mathbf{x}^b) \pmod{\phi_b(q) \mathbb{Z}[q][[\mathbf{x}]],}$$

where  $A(q; \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathbf{x}]$  has degree at most  $b - 1$  in each variable.

## Proposition (specialization, ABDJ, 2017)

Let  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$  be such that if  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $[0, 1)^d$  satisfies  $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 1$ , then  $\Delta(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1$ . If  $\Delta \geq 1$  on the set  $D$ , then the coefficients of the series  $F(q; q^{t_1} x^{m_1}, \dots, q^{t_d} x^{m_d})$  are also  $\phi_b$ -Lucas.

# Example

Set

$$F(q; x, y) := \sum_{i, j \geq 0} \frac{[2i + j]_q!^2}{[i]_q!^4 [j]_q!^2} x^i y^j.$$

Then  $e_1, e_2 = (2; 1); f_1, \dots, f_4 = (1; 0); f_5, f_6 = (0; 1)$ , and

$$\Delta(x, y) = 2[2x + y] \geq 1 \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in D = \{(x, y) \in [0; 1]^2 : 2x + y \geq 1\}.$$

Moreover if  $0 \leq x, y < 1$  satisfy  $x + y \geq 1$ , then  $\Delta(x; y) \geq 1$ . As

$$F(q; x, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^2 \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^2 \right) x^n,$$

we derive that  $\sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^2 \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^2$  is  $\phi_b$ -Lucas.

# An algebraic independence result

Recall that the multivariate power series  $f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{x})$  are algebraically dependent over  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{x})$  if there exists a non-zero polynomial  $P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}][Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$  such that  $P(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 0$ . Otherwise they are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{x})$ .

Adamczewski–Bell–Delaygue developed a general method (alternative to the differential Galois theory) to prove algebraic independence of power series whose coefficients are  $p$ -Lucas.

## Theorem (Adamczewski–Bell–Delaygue, 2016)

Let  $f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_r(\mathbf{x})$  be series with coefficients satisfying the  $p$ -Lucas property for all primes  $p$ . These series are algebraically dependent over  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{x})$  if and only if there exist integers  $a_1, \dots, a_r$ , not all zero, such that

$$f_1(\mathbf{x})^{a_1} \cdots f_r(\mathbf{x})^{a_r} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{x}).$$



## Corollary (Adamczewski–Bell–Delaygue, 2016)

All elements of the set  $\left\{ g_r(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^r x^n : r \geq 2 \right\}$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ .

**Stanley** (1980) conjectured (and proved when  $r$  is even) that the series  $g_r$  are transcendental over  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  except for  $r = 1$ .

**Flajolet** (1987) and independently **Sharif–Woodcock** (1989) proved this conjecture by using the previously mentioned **Lucas** congruences.

This is also a consequence of the interlacing criterion proved by **Beukers–Heckman** (1989). Indeed, these series belong to the class of  $G$ -function, and are even generalized hypergeometric series.

# A propagation phenomenon for algebraic independence

## Theorem (ABDJ, 2017)

Let  $q \neq 0$  be a complex number. Assume that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the coefficients of the series  $f_i(q; \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][[\mathbf{x}]]$  are  $\phi_b$ -Lucas for all positive integers  $b$ . If the series  $f_1(1; \mathbf{x}), \dots, f_n(1; \mathbf{x})$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{x})$ , then their  $q$ -analogues  $f_1(q; \mathbf{x}), \dots, f_n(q; \mathbf{x})$  are also algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{x})$ .

## Corollary (ABDJ, 2017)

Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . The series  $f_r(q; \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q x^n$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{x})$ .

## Corollary 2 (ABDJ, 2017)

Let  $q \neq 0$  be a complex number and  $\mathcal{F}_q$  be the union of the three following sets :

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^r x^n, r \geq 3 \right\}, \quad \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^r \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^r x^n, r \geq 2 \right\},$$

and

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^{2r} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^r x^n, r \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Then all elements of  $\mathcal{F}_q$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ .

# Proving the propagation theorem

We need the following tools.

- A **Kolchin**-like proposition for algebraically dependent power series  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  whose coefficients belong to a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of degree  $d_p$  and which satisfy  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = A_i(\mathbf{x})f_i(\mathbf{x}^{p^k})$  for some  $A_i \in F[\mathbf{x}]$ , where  $k \mid d_p$  is a fixed positive integer.
- A property extending the linear dependence over  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  of the series  $f_{1|\mathfrak{p}}, \dots, f_{n|\mathfrak{p}}$  to the linear dependence of the series  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  over the field of fractions of  $R$ , where  $R$  is a domain and  $\mathfrak{p}$  belongs to a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of maximal ideals of  $R$  whose intersection is reduced to  $\{0\}$ .
- Algebraic properties of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ , for which we have to distinguish whether  $q$  is transcendental or algebraic. These properties are crucial if one aims to reduce modulo prime numbers and cyclotomic polynomials at the same time.

# Algebraic properties of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ , $q$ transcendental

## Proposition (ABDJ, 2017)

Let  $q$  be a transcendental number. Then there exists an infinite set  $\mathcal{S}$  of maximal ideals of  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q]$  of finite index satisfying

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}'} \mathfrak{p} = \{0\} \quad \text{for all infinite subset } \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}, \quad (1)$$

and such that, for all  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\phi_{b_{\mathfrak{p}}}(q)\mathbb{Z}[q] \subset \mathfrak{p}$  for some number  $b_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (depending on  $\mathfrak{p}$ ).

**Proof (sketch).** Any maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is generated by a pair  $(p, A(x))$ , where  $p$  is prime and  $A(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is irreducible modulo  $p$ . For a fixed prime number  $b$ , Chebotarev theorem implies that for an infinite number of primes  $p$ ,  $\phi_b(x)$  is irreducible modulo  $p$ . Therefore there exists an infinite sequence of maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  of the form  $\mathfrak{p}_n = (p_n, \phi_{b_n}(x))$ , where  $(p_n)_n$  and  $(b_n)_n$  are both increasing sequences of prime numbers.

# Algebraic properties of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ , $q$ algebraic

## Proposition (ABDJ, 2017)

Set  $q \neq 0$  an algebraic number. We let  $K$  be the number field  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$  and  $R = \mathcal{O}(K)$  be its ring of integers. Then there exists an infinite set  $\mathcal{S}$  of maximal ideals of  $R$  of finite index satisfying (1) and such that, for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z}[q] \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\phi_{b_{\mathfrak{p}}}(q)\mathbb{Z}[q] \subset \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for some number  $b_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (depending on  $\mathfrak{p}$ ).

**Proof (sketch).** As  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, the intersection of any infinite subset of its maximal ideals is reduced to zero.

Moreover  $\mathbb{Z}[q] \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all but a finite number of maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ .

We thus only need to prove the existence of an infinite set  $\mathcal{S}$  of maximal ideals of finite index satisfying the second required inclusion.

# Proof for $q$ algebraic

Assume that  $q$  is a root of unity : set  $n$  such that  $q$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Then  $\phi_n(q) = 0$ . If  $p$  is a prime not dividing  $n$ , we also have

$$\phi_{np}(x) = \frac{\phi_n(x^p)}{\phi_n(x)}.$$

Following **Dirichlet**, there exists an infinite number of primes  $p$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod n$ , condition that we suppose from now on. Therefore  $q$  is a root of both  $\phi_n(x)$  and  $\phi_n(x^p)$ . As  $\phi_n(x)$  only has simple roots :

$$\phi_{np}(q) = \frac{pq^{p-1}\phi'_n(q^p)}{\phi'_n(q)} = p.$$

For each  $p \equiv 1 \pmod n$ , we let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  containing  $p$ , having therefore finite index. The set  $\mathcal{S}$  of these maximal ideals satisfies the desired inclusion, by choosing  $b_{\mathfrak{p}} = np$ .

If  $q$  is not a root of unity, one can use the  $S$ -unit theorem.