

New Identities of Hall-Littlewood Polynomials and Applications

Frédéric Jouhet and Jiang Zeng

Institut Girard Desargues, Université Claude Bernard (Lyon 1)
43, bd du 11 Novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
E-mail : {jouhet,zeng}@euler.univ-lyon1.fr

Abstract

Starting from Macdonald's summation formula of Hall-Littlewood polynomials over bounded partitions and its even partition analogue, Stembridge (1990, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **319**, no.2, 469-498) derived sixteen multiple q -identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type. Inspired by our recent results on Schur functions (2001, Adv. Appl. Math., **27**, 493-509) and based on computer experiments we obtain two further such summation formulae of Hall-Littlewood polynomials over bounded partitions and derive six new multiple q -identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type.

1 Introduction

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities (see [1, 3]) :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+an}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv \pm(a+1) \pmod{5}}}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{-1},$$

where $a = 0$ or 1 , are among the most famous q -series identities in partitions and combinatorics. Since their discovery the Rogers-Ramanujan identities have been proved and generalized in various ways (see [1, 3, 4, 12] and the references cited there). In [12], by adapting a method of Macdonald for calculating partial fraction expansions of symmetric formal power series, Stembridge gave an unusual proof of Rogers-Ramanujan identities as well as fourteen other non trivial q -series identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type and their multiple analogs. Although it is possible to describe his proof within the setting of q -series, two summation formulas of Hall-Littlewood

polynomials were a crucial source of inspiration for such kind of identities. One of our original motivations was to look for new multiple q -identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type through this approach, but we think that the new summation formulae of Hall-Littlewood polynomials are interesting for their own.

Throughout this paper we will use the standard notations of q -series (see, for example, [5]). Set $(x)_0 := (x; q)_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$(x)_n := (x; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - xq^{k-1}),$$

$$(x)_\infty := (x; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - xq^{k-1}).$$

For $n \geq 0$ and $r \geq 1$, set

$$(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_n, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_\infty.$$

Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and S_n the group of permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of indeterminates and q a parameter. For each *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of length $\leq n$, if $m_i := m_i(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of i in λ , then we also note λ by $(1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots)$. Recall that the Hall-Littlewood polynomials $P_\lambda(X, q)$ are defined by [9, p.208] :

$$P_\lambda(X, q) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{(1-q)^{m_i}}{(q)_{m_i}} \sum_{w \in S_n} w \left(x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i < j} \frac{x_i - qx_j}{x_i - x_j} \right),$$

where the factor is added to ensure the coefficient of $x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ in P_λ is 1.

For a parameter α define the auxiliary function

$$\Psi_q(X; \alpha) := \prod_i (1 - x_i)^{-1} (1 - \alpha x_i)^{-1} \prod_{j < k} \frac{1 - qx_j x_k}{1 - x_j x_k}.$$

Then it is well-known [9, p. 230] that the sums of $P_\lambda(X, q)$ over all partitions and even partitions are given by the following formulae :

$$\sum_{\lambda} P_\lambda(X, q) = \Psi_q(X; 0), \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} P_{2\lambda}(X, q) = \Psi_q(X; -1). \tag{2}$$

For any sequence $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ set $X^\xi = \{x_1^{\xi_1}, \dots, x_n^{\xi_n}\}$ and denote by $|\xi|_{-1}$ the number of -1 's in ξ . Then, by summing P_λ over partitions with bounded parts, Macdonald [9, p. 232] and Stembridge [12] have respectively generalized (1) and (2) as follows :

$$\sum_{\lambda_1 \leq k} P_\lambda(X, q) = \sum_{\xi \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \Psi_q(X^\xi; 0) \prod_i x_i^{k(1-\xi_i)/2}, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 \leq 2k \\ \lambda \text{ even}}} P_\lambda(X, q) = \sum_{\xi \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \Psi_q(X^\xi; -1) \prod_i x_i^{k(1-\xi_i)}. \quad (4)$$

Now, for parameters α, β define another auxiliary function

$$\Phi_q(X; \alpha, \beta) := \prod_i \frac{1 - \alpha x_i}{1 - \beta x_i} \prod_{j < k} \frac{1 - qx_j x_k}{1 - x_j x_k}.$$

Then the following summation formulae similar to (1) and (2) for Hall-Littlewood polynomials hold true [9, p.232] :

$$\sum_{\lambda' \text{ even}} c_\lambda(q) P_\lambda(X, q) = \Phi_q(X; 0, 0), \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} d_\lambda(q) P_\lambda(X, q) = \Phi_q(X; q, 1), \quad (6)$$

where λ' is the conjugate of λ and

$$c_\lambda(q) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (q; q^2)_{m_i(\lambda)/2}, \quad d_\lambda(q) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{(q)_{m_i(\lambda)}}{(q^2; q^2)_{[m_i(\lambda)/2]}}.$$

In view of the numerous applications of (3) and (4) it is natural to seek such extensions for (5) and (6). However, as remarked by Stembridge [12, p. 475], in these other cases there arise complications which render *doubtful* the existence of expansions as explicit as those of (3) and (4). We noticed that these complications arise if one wants to keep exactly the same coefficients $c_\lambda(q)$ and $d_\lambda(q)$ as in (5) and (6) for the sums over bounded partitions. Actually we have the following

Theorem 1 For $k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 \leq k \\ \lambda' \text{ even}}} c_{\lambda, k}(q) P_\lambda(X, q) = \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \{\pm 1\}^n \\ |\xi|_{-1} \text{ even}}} \Phi_q(X^\xi; 0, 0) \prod_i x_i^{k(1-\xi_i)/2}, \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda_1 \leq k} d_{\lambda, k}(q) P_\lambda(X, q) = \sum_{\xi \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \Phi_q(X^\xi; q, 1) \prod_i x_i^{k(1-\xi_i)/2}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$c_{\lambda,k}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q; q^2)_{m_i(\lambda)/2}, \quad d_{\lambda,k}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(q)_{m_i(\lambda)}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor m_i(\lambda)/2 \rfloor}}. \quad (9)$$

Remark. We were led to such extensions by starting from the right-hand side instead of the left-hand side and inspired by the similar formulae corresponding to the case $q = 0$ of Hall-Littlewood polynomials [7], i.e., Schur functions. In the initial stage we made also the Maple tests using the package ACE [13]. In the case $q = 0$, the right-hand sides of (3), (4), (7) and (8) can be written as quotients of determinants and the formulae reduce to the known identities of Schur functions [7].

For any partition λ it will be convenient to adopt the following notation :

$$(x)_\lambda := (x; q)_\lambda = (x)_{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (x)_{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3} \cdots,$$

and to introduce the general q -binomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} := \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{n-\lambda_1} (q)_\lambda},$$

with the convention that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0$ if $\lambda_1 > n$. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1)$ we recover the classical q -binomial coefficient. Finally, for any partition λ we denote by $l(\lambda)$ the length of λ , i.e., the number of its positive parts, and $n(\lambda) := \sum_i \binom{\lambda_i}{2}$.

The following is the key q -identity which allows to produce identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type.

Theorem 2 For $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\lambda_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_\lambda (q; q^2)_{\lambda_k}} &= \frac{(z; q^2)_\infty}{(abzq; q^2)_\infty} \\ &\times \sum_{r \geq 0} z^{kr} q^{(k+1)\binom{2r}{2}} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_r (aq^{2r+1}z, bq^{2r+1}z; q^2)_\infty}{(q)_{2r} (zq^{2r-1})_\infty} (1 - zq^{4r-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here is an outline of this paper. In section 2 we first derive from Theorem 2 six multiple analogs of Rogers-Ramanujan type identities. In section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 1 and some consequences, and defer the elementary proof, i.e., without using the Hall-Littlewood polynomials, of Theorem 2 and other multiple q -series identities to section 4. To prove theorems 1, 2 and 4 (see section 3.3) we apply the generating function technique and the computation of residues, but theorem 4 can also be derived from theorem 1. In section 5 we will show how to derive some of our q -identities, which imply the six multianalogs of Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, from Andrews formula [3, Thm. 3.4], which was proved using Bailey's method.

2 Multiple identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type

We need the *Jacobi triple product* identity [1, p.21] :

$$J(x, q) := 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^r x^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (1 + q^r/x^{2r}) = (q, x, q/x)_{\infty}. \quad (11)$$

For any partition λ set $n_2(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda_i^2$. We derive then from Theorem 2 the following identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type.

Theorem 3 For $k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda)}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} = \prod_n (1 - q^n)^{-1} \quad (12)$$

where $n \equiv \pm(2k+1), \pm(2k+3), \pm 2, \pm 4, \dots, \pm 4k \pmod{8k+8}$;

$$\sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda) - 2\lambda_1}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} (1 - q^{2\lambda_1}) = \frac{(q^{2k-1}, q^{6k+9}; q^{8k+8})_{\infty}}{\prod_n (1 - q^n)} \quad (13)$$

where $n \equiv \pm(2k+5), \pm 2, \dots, \pm 4k, \pm(4k+2) \pmod{8k+8}$;

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda) - \lambda_1^2}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} (-q; q^2)_{\lambda_1} \\ = \frac{(-q; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} (q^{4k+2}, -q^{2k}, -q^{2k+2}; q^{4k+2})_{\infty}; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda) - \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} (-1; q^2)_{\lambda_1} (1 - q^{2\lambda_1}) \\ = \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} (q^{4k+2}, -q^{2k-1}, -q^{2k+3}; q^{4k+2})_{\infty}; \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda) - 2\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} (-1; q^2)_{\lambda_1} (-q; q^2)_{\lambda_1} \\ = \frac{(-q)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}} (q^{4k}, -q^{2k}, -q^{2k}; q^{4k})_{\infty}; \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda) - \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} (-1; q^2)_{\lambda_1} \\ = \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} (q^{4k+2}, -q^{2k+1}, -q^{2k+1}; q^{4k+2})_{\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Proof. When $z = q$, we can rewrite (10) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} q^{2n_2(\lambda) - 2\lambda_1^2 + 2\lambda_1} \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1}; q^2)_{\lambda_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\lambda} (q; q^2)_{\lambda_k}} (ab)^{\lambda_1} \\ &= \frac{(aq^2, bq^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(abq^2; q^2)_{\infty} (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \left(1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} q^{2kr^2 + r} \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1}; q^2)_r}{(aq^2, bq^2; q^2)_r} (ab)^r (1 + q^{2r}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

For (12), letting a and b tend to 0 in (18) we obtain

$$\sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda)}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} = (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^{-1} J(-q^{2k+1}, q^{4k+4}).$$

The right side of (12) follows then from (11) after simple manipulations.

For (13), let $a \rightarrow 0$ in (18) and multiply both sides by $1 - q^{-2}$. Identifying the coefficients of b we obtain :

$$\sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{2n_2(\lambda) - 2\lambda_1}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k} (q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} (1 - q^{2\lambda_1}) = (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^{-1} J(-q^{2k-1}, q^{4k+4}).$$

The result follows from (11) after simple manipulations.

Identity (14) follows from (18) with $a = -q^{-1}$ and $b \rightarrow 0$ and then by applying (11) with q replaced by q^{4k+2} and $x = -q^{2k}$.

For (15), we choose $a = -1$ in (18) and multiply both sides by $1 - q^{-2}$, then identify the coefficient of b . The identity follows then by applying (11) with q replaced by q^{4k+2} and $x = -q^{2k-1}$.

Identity (16) follows from (18) by taking $a = -q^{-1}$ and $b = -1$ and then applying (11) with q replaced by q^{4k} and $x = -q^{2k}$. For (17), we choose $a = -1$ and $b \rightarrow 0$ in (18). The identity follows then by applying (11) with q replaced by q^{4k+2} and $x = -q^{2k+1}$. \square

When $k = 1$ the above six identities reduce respectively to the following Rogers-Ramanujan type identities :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2}}{(q)_{2n}} = \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5 \pmod{16}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n}, \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2 + 2n}}{(q)_{2n+1}} = \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 7 \pmod{16}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n}, \quad (20)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \frac{(-q; q^2)_n}{(q)_{2n}} = \frac{(q^6, q^6, q^{12}; q^{12})_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}}, \quad (21)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2+n} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_n}{(q)_{2n+1}} = \frac{(q^3, q^9, q^{12}; q^{12})_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}}, \quad (22)$$

$$1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \frac{(-q)_{2n-1}}{(q)_{2n}} = \frac{(q^4, -q^2, -q^2; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty} (q; q^2)_{\infty}}, \quad (23)$$

$$1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} q^{n(n+1)} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{n-1}}{(q)_{2n}} = \frac{(q^6, -q^3, -q^3; q^6)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty} (-q; q^2)_{\infty}}. \quad (24)$$

Note that (19), (20), (21) and (22) are already known, they correspond to Eqs. (39), (38), (29) and (28) in Slater's list [11], respectively. Identity (23) can be derived from the q -Kummer identity [5, p. 236] by the substitution $q \leftarrow q^2$, $a = -1$ and $b = -q$, but (24) seems to be new.

3 Proof of Theorem 1 and consequences

3.1 Proof of identity (7)

For any statement A it will be convenient to use the true or false function $\chi(A)$, which is 1 if A is true and 0 if A is false. Consider the generating function

$$S(u) = \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda} \chi(\lambda' \text{ even}) c_{\lambda, \lambda_0}(q) P_{\lambda}(X, q) u^{\lambda_0}$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and the integers $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1$. Suppose $\lambda = (\mu_1^{r_1} \mu_2^{r_2} \dots \mu_k^{r_k})$, where $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_k \geq 0$ and (r_1, \dots, r_k) is a composition of n .

Let S_n^{λ} be the set of permutations of S_n which fix λ . Each $w \in S_n/S_n^{\lambda}$ corresponds to a surjective mapping $f : X \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $|f^{-1}(i)| = r_i$. For any subset Y of X , let $p(Y)$ denote the product of the elements of Y (in particular, $p(\emptyset) = 1$). We can rewrite Hall-Littlewood functions as follows :

$$P_{\lambda}(X, q) = \sum_f p(f^{-1}(1))^{\mu_1} \dots p(f^{-1}(k))^{\mu_k} \prod_{f(x_i) < f(x_j)} \frac{x_i - qx_j}{x_i - x_j},$$

summed over all surjective mappings $f : X \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $|f^{-1}(i)| = r_i$. Furthermore, each such f determines a *filtration* of X :

$$\mathcal{F} : \quad \emptyset = F_0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_k = X, \quad (25)$$

according to the rule $x_i \in F_l \iff f(x_i) \leq l$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Conversely, such a filtration $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_k)$ determines a surjection $f : X \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ uniquely. Thus we can write :

$$P_\lambda(X, q) = \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} p(F_i \setminus F_{i-1})^{\mu_i}, \quad (26)$$

summed over all the filtrations \mathcal{F} such that $|F_i| = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}} = \prod_{f(x_i) < f(x_j)} \frac{x_i - qx_j}{x_i - x_j},$$

where f is the function defined by \mathcal{F} .

Now let $\nu_i = \mu_i - \mu_{i+1}$ if $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $\nu_k = \mu_k$, thus $\nu_i > 0$ if $i < k$ and $\nu_k \geq 0$. Since the lengths of columns of λ are $|F_j| = r_1 + \dots + r_j$ with multiplicities ν_j for $1 \leq j \leq k$, we have

$$\chi(\lambda' \text{ even}) = \prod_{j=1}^k \chi(|F_j| \text{ even}). \quad (27)$$

A filtration \mathcal{F} is called *even* if $|F_j|$ is even for $j \geq 1$. Furthermore, let $\mu_0 = \lambda_0$ and $\nu_0 = \mu_0 - \mu_1$ in the definition of $S(u)$, so that $\nu_0 \geq 0$ and $\mu_0 = \nu_0 + \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_k$. Define $\varphi_{2n}(q) = (1-q)(1-q^3)\dots(1-q^{2n-1})$ and $c_{\mathcal{F}}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi_{|F_i \setminus F_{i-1}|}(q)$ for even filtrations \mathcal{F} . Thus, since $r_j = m_{\mu_j}(\lambda)$ for $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda, \lambda_0}(q) &= c_{\mathcal{F}}(q) (\chi(\nu_k = 0) \varphi_{|F_k \setminus F_{k-1}|}(q) + \chi(\nu_k \neq 0))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (\chi(\nu_0 = 0) \varphi_{|F_1|}(q) + \chi(\nu_0 \neq 0))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F(X)$ be the set of filtrations of X . Summarizing we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(u) &= \sum_{\mathcal{F} \in F(X)} c_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \chi(\mathcal{F} \text{ even}) \sum_{\nu_1 > 0} (u p(F_1))^{\nu_1} \dots \sum_{\nu_{k-1} > 0} (u p(F_{k-1}))^{\nu_{k-1}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu_0 \geq 0} \frac{u^{\nu_0}}{\chi(\nu_0 = 0) \varphi_{|F_1|}(q) + \chi(\nu_0 \neq 0)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu_k \geq 0} \frac{u^{\nu_k} p(F_k)^{\nu_k}}{\chi(\nu_k = 0) \varphi_{|F_k \setminus F_{k-1}|}(q) + \chi(\nu_k \neq 0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For any filtration \mathcal{F} of X set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u) = c_{\mathcal{F}}(q) \prod_{|F_j| \text{ even}} \left[\frac{p(F_j)u}{1 - p(F_j)u} + \frac{\chi(F_j = X)}{\varphi_{|F_j \setminus F_{j-1}|}(q)} + \frac{\chi(F_j = \emptyset)}{\varphi_{|F_1|}(q)} \right]$$

if \mathcal{F} is even, and 0 otherwise. It follows from (28) that

$$S(u) = \sum_{\mathcal{F} \in F(X)} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u).$$

Hence $S(u)$ is a rational function of u with simple poles at $1/p(Y)$, where Y is a subset of X such that $|Y|$ is even. We are now proceeding to compute the corresponding residue $c(Y)$ at each pole $u = 1/p(Y)$.

Let us start with $c(\emptyset)$. Writing $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 + k$ with $k \geq 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} S(u) &= \sum_{\lambda} \chi(\lambda' \text{ even}) c_{\lambda}(q) P_{\lambda}(X, q) u^{\lambda_1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{u^k}{\chi(k=0) \varphi_{m_{\lambda_1}}(q) + \chi(k \neq 0)} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \chi(\lambda' \text{ even}) c_{\lambda}(q) P_{\lambda}(X, q) u^{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{u}{1-u} + \frac{1}{\varphi_{m_{\lambda_1}}(q)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5) that

$$c(\emptyset) = [S(u)(1-u)]_{u=1} = \Phi_q(X; 0, 0).$$

For the computations of other residues, we need some more notations. For any $Y \subseteq X$, let $Y' = X \setminus Y$ and $-Y = \{x_i^{-1} : x_i \in Y\}$. Let $Y \subseteq X$ such that $|Y|$ is even. Then

$$c(Y) = \left[\sum_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u) (1 - p(Y)u) \right]_{u=p(-Y)}. \quad (29)$$

If $Y \notin \mathcal{F}$, the corresponding summand is equal to 0. Thus we need only to consider the following filtrations \mathcal{F} :

$$\emptyset = F_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_t = Y \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_k = X \quad 1 \leq t \leq k.$$

We may then split \mathcal{F} into two filtrations \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 &: \emptyset \subsetneq -(Y \setminus F_{t-1}) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq -(Y \setminus F_1) \subsetneq -Y, \\ \mathcal{F}_2 &: \emptyset \subsetneq F_{t+1} \setminus Y \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_{k-1} \setminus Y \subsetneq Y'. \end{aligned}$$

Then, writing $v = p(Y)u$ and $c_{\mathcal{F}} = c_{\mathcal{F}_1} \times c_{\mathcal{F}_2}$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(-Y) \pi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(Y') \prod_{x_i \in Y, x_j \in Y'} \frac{1 - qx_i^{-1}x_j}{1 - x_i^{-1}x_j},$$

and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u)(1 - p(Y)u)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(-Y, v)\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(Y', v)(1 - v) \left(\frac{v}{1 - v} + \frac{\chi(Y = X)}{\varphi_{|Y \setminus F_{t-1}|}(q)} \right) \\ & \times \left(\frac{v}{1 - v} + \frac{1}{\varphi_{|Y \setminus F_{t-1}|}(q)} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{1 - v} + \frac{1}{\varphi_{|F_{t+1} \setminus Y|}(q)} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus when $u = p(-Y)$, i.e., $v = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(X)\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u)(1 - p(Y)u)]_{u=p(-Y)} = \\ & [\pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(-Y)\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(-Y, v)(1 - v)\pi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(Y')\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(Y', v)(1 - v)]_{v=1} \\ & \times \prod_{x_i \in Y, x_j \in Y'} \frac{1 - qx_i^{-1}x_j}{1 - x_i^{-1}x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (29) and the result of $c(\emptyset)$, which can be written

$$\left[\sum_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u)(1 - u) \right]_{u=1} = \Phi_q(X; 0, 0),$$

we get

$$c(Y) = \Phi_q(-Y; 0, 0)\Phi_q(Y'; 0, 0) \prod_{x_i \in Y, x_j \in Y'} \frac{1 - qx_i^{-1}x_j}{1 - x_i^{-1}x_j}.$$

Each subset Y of X can be encoded by a sequence $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ according to the rule : $\xi_i = 1$ if $x_i \notin Y$ and $\xi_i = -1$ if $x_i \in Y$. Hence

$$c(Y) = \Phi_q(X^\xi; 0, 0).$$

Note also that

$$p(Y) = \prod_i x_i^{(1-\xi_i)/2}, \quad p(-Y) = \prod_i x_i^{(\xi_i-1)/2}.$$

Now, extracting the coefficients of u^k in the equation :

$$S(u) = \sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq X \\ |Y| \text{ even} > 0}} \frac{c(Y)}{1 - p(Y)u},$$

yields

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 \leq k \\ \lambda' \text{ even}}} c_{\lambda, k}(q) P_{\lambda}(X, q) = \sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq X \\ |Y| \text{ even}}} c(Y) p(Y)^k.$$

Finally, substituting the value of $c(Y)$ in the above formula we obtain (7).

Remark. Stembridge's formula (4) can be derived from Macdonald's (3) and Pieri's formula for Hall-Littlewood polynomials. Indeed, one of Pieri's formulas states that [9, p. 215] :

$$P_\mu(X, q)e_m(X) = \sum_\lambda \prod_{i \geq 1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda'_i - \lambda'_{i+1} \\ \lambda'_i - \mu'_i \end{bmatrix} P_\lambda(X, q), \quad (30)$$

where the sum is over all partitions λ such that $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ with $|\lambda/\mu| = m$ and there is at most one cell in each row of the Ferrers diagram of λ/μ . It follows from (30) that

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \leq 2k \\ \mu \text{ even}}} P_\mu(X, q) \sum_{m \geq 0} e_m(X) = \sum_{\lambda_1 \leq 2k+1} P_\lambda(X, q),$$

noticing that λ determines in a unique way μ even by deleting a cell in each odd part of λ , and thus $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda'_i - \lambda'_{i+1} \\ \lambda'_i - \mu'_i \end{bmatrix} = 1$. Finally we obtain the result, using the fact that $\prod_i (1 + x_i^{\xi_i})^{-1} = \prod_i (1 + x_i)^{-1} \times \prod_i x_i^{(1-\xi_i)/2}$. It would be interesting to give a similar proof of (7) using (3) and another Pieri's formula [9, p. 218].

3.2 Proof of identity (8)

As in the proof of (7), we compute the generating function

$$F(u) = \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda} d_{\lambda, \lambda_0}(q) P_\lambda(X; q) u^{\lambda_0}$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and integers $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1$. For any filtration \mathcal{F} of X (cf. (25)) set

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^k \psi_{|F_i \setminus F_{i-1}|}(q), \quad \text{where} \quad \psi_n(q) = (q)_n \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 - q^{2j})^{-1}.$$

Thus, as $r_j = m_{\mu_j}(\lambda)$, $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\lambda, \lambda_0}(q) &= d_{\mathcal{F}}(q) \left(\chi(\nu_k = 0) \psi_{|F_k \setminus F_{k-1}|}(q) + \chi(\nu_k \neq 0) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\chi(\nu_0 = 0) \psi_{|F_1|}(q) + \chi(\nu_0 \neq 0) \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (26) we have

$$F(u) = \sum_{\mathcal{F} \in F(X)} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u),$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u) = d_{\mathcal{F}} \prod_j \left[\frac{p(F_j)u}{1 - p(F_j)u} + \frac{\chi(F_j = X)}{\psi_{|F_j \setminus F_{j-1}|}(q)} + \frac{\chi(F_j = \emptyset)}{\psi_{|F_1|}(q)} \right].$$

It follows that $F(u)$ is a rational function of u and can be written as :

$$F(u) = \frac{c(\emptyset)}{1 - u} + \sum_{\substack{Y \subseteq X \\ |Y| > 0}} \frac{c(Y)}{1 - p(Y)u}.$$

Extracting the coefficient of u^k in the above identity yields

$$\sum_{\lambda_1 \leq k} d_{\lambda, k}(q) P_{\lambda}(X, q) = \sum_{Y \subseteq X} c(Y) p(Y)^k. \quad (31)$$

It remains to compute the residues. Writing $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 + r$ with $r \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &= \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}(q) P_{\lambda}(X, q) u^{\lambda_1} \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{u^r}{\chi(r=0) \psi_{m_{\lambda_1}}(q) + \chi(r \neq 0)} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}(q) P_{\lambda}(X, q) u^{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{u}{1 - u} + \frac{1}{\psi_{m_{\lambda_1}}(q)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (6) that

$$c(\emptyset) = (F(u)(1 - u))|_{u=1} = \Phi_q(X; q, 1). \quad (32)$$

For computations of the other residues, set $Y' = X \setminus Y$ and define, for $Y = F_t$, the two filtrations :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 &: \emptyset \subsetneq -(Y \setminus F_{t-1}) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq -(Y \setminus F_1) \subsetneq -Y, \\ \mathcal{F}_2 &: \emptyset \subsetneq F_{t+1} \setminus Y \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_{k-1} \setminus Y \subsetneq Y'. \end{aligned}$$

Then, writing $v = p(Y)u$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}} = d_{\mathcal{F}_1} \times d_{\mathcal{F}_2}$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(-Y) \pi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(Y') \prod_{x_i \in Y, x_j \in Y'} \frac{1 - qx_i^{-1}x_j}{1 - x_i^{-1}x_j},$$

and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u)(1 - p(Y)u)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(-Y, v)\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(Y', v)(1 - v) \left(\frac{v}{1 - v} + \frac{\chi(Y = X)}{\psi_{|Y \setminus F_{t-1}|}} \right) \\ & \times \left(\frac{v}{1 - v} + \frac{1}{\psi_{|Y \setminus F_{t-1}|}(q)} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{1 - v} + \frac{1}{\psi_{|F_{t+1} \setminus Y|}(q)} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting (32) as

$$\left[\sum_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, u)(1 - u) \right]_{u=1} = \Phi_q(X; q, 1),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} c(Y) &= \left[\sum_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(X; u)(1 - p(Y)u) \right]_{u=p(-Y)} \\ &= \Phi_q(-Y; q, 1) \Phi_q(Y'; q, 1) \prod_{x_i \in Y, x_j \in Y'} \frac{1 - qx_i^{-1}x_j}{1 - x_i^{-1}x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the proof is completed by substituting the values of $c(Y)$ in (31).

3.3 Some direct consequences on q -series

The following corollary of Theorem 1 will be useful in the proof of identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type.

Theorem 4 For $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{(q; q^2)_{\lambda}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k}} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2\lambda \end{bmatrix} &= (z; q^2)_n \sum_{r \geq 0} z^{kr} q^{(k+1)\binom{2r}{2}} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2r \end{bmatrix} \frac{1 - zq^{4r-1}}{(zq^{2r-1})_{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(q)_{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{[(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})/2]}} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} &= (z^2; q^2)_n \sum_{r \geq 0} z^{kr} q^{r+(k+1)\binom{r}{2}} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \frac{(1 - zq^{-1})(1 - z^2q^{2r-1})(1 - zq^n)}{(1 - zq^{r-1})(1 - zq^r)(z^2q^{r-1})_{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Proof. We know [9, p. 213] that if $x_i = z^{1/2}q^{i-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) then :

$$P_{\lambda'}(X, q) = z^{|\lambda|/2}q^{n(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

In view of (9) we have

$$c_{(2\lambda)', k}(q) = \frac{(q; q^2)_\lambda}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k}}.$$

Replacing λ by 2λ and taking the conjugation in the left-hand side of (7) we obtain the left-hand side of (33). On the other hand, for any $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ such that the number of $\xi_i = -1$ is r , $0 \leq r \leq n$, we have

$$\Phi_q(X^\xi; 0, 0) = \Psi_q(X^\xi; -1) \prod_i (1 - x_i^{2\xi_i}), \quad (36)$$

which is readily seen to equal 0 unless $\xi \in \{-1\}^r \times \{1\}^{n-r}$. Now, in the latter case, we have $\prod_i x_i^{k(1-\xi_i)/2} = z^{kr/2}q^{k\binom{r}{2}}$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i^{2\xi_i}) = (-1)^r z^{-r} q^{-2\binom{r}{2}} (z; q^2)_n, \quad (37)$$

and [12, p. 476] :

$$\Psi_q(X^\xi; -1) = (-1)^r z^r q^{3\binom{r}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \frac{1 - zq^{2r-1}}{(zq^{r-1})_{n+1}}. \quad (38)$$

Substituting these into the right side of (7) with r replaced by $2r$ we obtain the right side of (33).

Next, by (9) we have

$$d_{\lambda', k}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(q)_{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})/2 \rfloor}}.$$

Similarly, in (8), replacing x_i by zq^{i-1} ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and invoking (35) we see that the left side of (8) reduces to that of (34). On the other hand, since

$$\Phi_q(X^\xi; q, 1) = \Phi_q(X^\xi; 0, 0) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - qx_i^{\xi_i}}{1 - x_i^{\xi_i}},$$

by (36), this is equal to zero unless $\xi \in \{-1\}^r \times \{1\}^{n-r}$ for some r , $0 \leq r \leq n$. In the latter case, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - qx_i^{\xi_i}}{1 - x_i^{\xi_i}} = q^r \frac{1 - zq^{-1}}{1 - zq^{r-1}} \frac{1 - zq^n}{1 - zq^r}, \quad (39)$$

and invoking (36), (37) and (38) with z replaced by z^2 ,

$$\Phi_q(X^\xi; 0, 0) = q^{\binom{r}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} (1 - z^2 q^{2r-1}) \frac{(z^2; q^2)_n}{(z^2 q^{r-1})_{n+1}} \quad (40)$$

Plunging these into the right side of (8) yields that of (34). \square

When $n \rightarrow +\infty$, since $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(q)_\lambda}$, equations (33) and (34) reduce respectively to :

$$\sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)}}{(q^2; q^2)_\lambda (q; q^2)_{\lambda_k}} = (z; q^2)_\infty \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{z^{kr} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} (2r)}}{(q)_{2r} (z q^{2r-1})_\infty} (1 - z q^{4r-1}), \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(\lambda)}}{(q)_{\lambda_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q^2; q^2)_{[(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})/2]}} \\ &= (z^2; q^2)_\infty \sum_{r \geq 0} z^{kr} q^{r+(k+1)\binom{r}{2}} \frac{1 - z q^{-1}}{(q)_r (1 - z q^{r-1})} \frac{1 - z^2 q^{2r-1}}{(1 - z q^r) (z^2 q^{r-1})_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Furthermore, setting $z = q$ in (41) and (42) we obtain respectively (11) and

$$\sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{q^{|\lambda|+n(\lambda)}}{(q)_{\lambda_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q^2; q^2)_{[(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})/2]}} = \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_\infty}. \quad (43)$$

4 Elementary approach to multiple q -identities

4.1 Preliminaries

Recall [1, pp. 36-37] that the binomial formula has the following q -analog :

$$(z)_n = \sum_{m=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} (-1)^m z^m q^{m(m-1)/2}. \quad (44)$$

Since the *elementary symmetric functions* $e_r(X)$ ($0 \leq r \leq n$) satisfy

$$(1 + x_1 z)(1 + x_2 z) \cdots (1 + x_n z) = \sum_{r=0}^n e_r(X) z^r,$$

it follows from (44) that for integers $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$:

$$e_r(q^i, q^{i+1}, \dots, q^{i+j-1}) = q^{ir} e_r(1, q, \dots, q^{j-1}) = q^{ir + \binom{r}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ r \end{bmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

The following result can be derived from the Pieri's rule for Hall-Littlewood polynomials [9, p. 215], but our proof is elementary.

Lemma 1 For any partition μ such that $\mu_1 \leq n$ there holds

$$q^{\binom{m}{2} + n(\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\lambda} q^{n(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i \geq 1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \\ \lambda_i - \mu_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

where the sum is over all partitions λ such that λ/μ is an m -horizontal strip, i.e., $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, $|\lambda/\mu| = m$ and there is at most one cell in each column of the Ferrers diagram of λ/μ .

Proof. Let $l := l(\mu)$ and $\mu_0 = n$. Partition the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into $l + 1$ subsets :

$$X_i = \{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } \mu'_j = i\} = \{j \mid \mu_{i+1} + 1 \leq j \leq \mu_i\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq l.$$

Using (45) to extract the coefficients of z^m in the following identity :

$$(1+z)(1+zq) \cdots (1+zq^{n-1}) = \prod_{i=0}^l \prod_{j \in X_i} (1+zq^{j-1}),$$

we obtain

$$q^{\binom{m}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \prod_{i=0}^l q^{r_i \mu_{i+1} + \binom{r_i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i - \mu_{i+1} \\ r_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_l)$ is a composition of m . For any such composition \mathbf{r} we define a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ by

$$\lambda_i = \mu_i + r_{i-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l+1.$$

Then λ/μ is a m -horizontal strip. So (47) can be written as

$$q^{\binom{m}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\lambda} \prod_{i=0}^l q^{(\lambda_{i+1} - \mu_{i+1})\mu_{i+1} + \binom{\lambda_{i+1} - \mu_{i+1}}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i - \mu_{i+1} \\ \mu_i - \lambda_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (48)$$

where the sum is over all partitions λ such that λ/μ is an m -horizontal strip. Now, since

$$(\lambda_{i+1} - \mu_{i+1})\mu_{i+1} + \binom{\lambda_{i+1} - \mu_{i+1}}{2} + \binom{\mu_{i+1}}{2} = \binom{\lambda_{i+1}}{2}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq l,$$

and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=0}^l \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i - \mu_{i+1} \\ \mu_i - \lambda_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i \geq 1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \\ \lambda_i - \mu_i \end{bmatrix}$ are equal because they are both equal to

$$\frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{n-\lambda_1} (q)_{\lambda_1 - \mu_1} (q)_{\mu_1 - \lambda_2} \cdots (q)_{\mu_l}},$$

multiplying (48) by $q^{n(\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}$ yields (46). \square

Lemma 2 *There hold the following identities :*

$$\sum_{\lambda} z^{|\lambda|} q^{2n(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(z)_n}, \quad (49)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(-z)_n}{(z^2)_n}, \quad (50)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} (q, q^2)_{\lambda} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(z; q^2)_n}{(z)_n}. \quad (51)$$

Proof. Identity (49) is due to Hall [6] and can be proved by using the q -binomial identity [8]. Stembridge [12] proved (50) using the q -binomial identity. Now, writing

$$\frac{(z^2; q^2)_n}{(z^2)_n} = (z)_n \frac{(-z)_n}{(z^2)_n}$$

and applying successively (44), (50) and (46) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(z^2; q^2)_n}{(z^2)_n} &= \sum_{\mu, m} (-1)^m z^{m+|\mu|} q^{\binom{m}{2} + n(\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\mu, m} (-1)^m z^{m+|\mu|} \sum_{\lambda: \lambda/\mu = m\text{-hs}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \\ \lambda_i - \mu_i \end{bmatrix} q^{n(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i \geq 1} \sum_{r_i \geq 0} (-1)^{r_i} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \\ r_i \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The identity (51) follows then from

$$\sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} (q; q^2)_n & \text{if } m = 2n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

which can be proved using the q -binomial formula [1, p. 36]. \square

Remark. When $n \rightarrow \infty$ the above identities reduce respectively to the following :

$$\sum_{\lambda} \frac{z^{|\lambda|} q^{2n(\lambda)}}{(q)_{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{(z)_{\infty}}, \quad (52)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} \frac{z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(\lambda)}}{(q)_{\lambda}} = \frac{(-z)_{\infty}}{(z^2)_{\infty}}, \quad (53)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} \frac{z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{(zq; q^2)_{\infty}}. \quad (54)$$

Also (52) and (54) are actually equivalent since the later can be derived from (52) by substituting q by q^2 and z by zq .

The following is the q -Gauss sum [5, p.10] due to Heine :

$${}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ x \end{matrix}; q; \frac{x}{ab} \right) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(q)_n (x)_n} \left(\frac{x}{ab} \right)^n = \frac{(x/a, x/b)_{\infty}}{(x, x/ab)_{\infty}}. \quad (55)$$

Lemma 3 *We have*

$$\sum_{\lambda} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\lambda_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\lambda}} = \frac{(azq, bzq; q^2)_{\infty}}{(zq, abzq; q^2)_{\infty}}. \quad (56)$$

Proof. Substituting q^2 by q and z by zq , the identity is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\lambda} z^{|\lambda|} q^{2n(\lambda)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q)_{\lambda}} = \frac{(az, bz)_{\infty}}{(z, abz)_{\infty}}. \quad (57)$$

Now, writing $k = \lambda_1$ and $\mu = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$, and using (49) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} z^{|\lambda|} q^{2n(\lambda)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q)_{\lambda}} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k q^{k(k-1)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-1})_k}{(q)_k} \sum_{\mu} z^{|\mu|} q^{2n(\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (abz)^k \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1})_k}{(q)_k (z)_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Identity (57) follows then from (55). \square

Remark. Formula (57) was derived in [12] from a more general formula of Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

4.2 Elementary proof of Theorem 4

We shall only prove (33) when n is even and leave the case when n is odd and (34) to the interested reader because their proofs are very similar. Consider the generating function of the left-hand side of (33) with $n = 2r$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} u^k \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} \frac{(q; q^2)_{\lambda}}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k}} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ 2\lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} u^{l(\lambda)} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} (q; q^2)_{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ 2\lambda \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{u^k}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_k + l(\lambda)}} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} u^{l(\lambda)} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} (q; q^2)_{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} 2r \\ 2\lambda \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{u}{1-u} + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_{l(\lambda)}}} \right). \quad (58) \end{aligned}$$

Now, each partition λ with parts bounded by r can be encoded by a pair of sequences $\nu = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_l)$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, \dots, m_l)$ such that $\lambda = (\nu_0^{m_0}, \dots, \nu_l^{m_l})$, where $r = \nu_0 > \nu_1 > \dots > \nu_l > 0$ and ν_i has multiplicity $m_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $\nu_0 = r$ has multiplicity $m_0 \geq 0$. Using the notation :

$$\langle \alpha \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}, \quad u_i = z^i q^{i(2i-1)} \quad \text{for } i \geq 0,$$

we can then rewrite (58) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \sum_{\nu} (q; q^2)_{\nu} \left[\begin{matrix} 2r \\ 2\nu \end{matrix} \right] \left(\langle u \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\nu_1}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \left((u_r u)^{m_0} + \frac{\chi(m_0 = 0)}{(q; q^2)_{r - \nu_1}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l (u_{\nu_i} u)^{m_i} \\ &= \sum_{\nu} \frac{(q)_{2r}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\nu}} B_{\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where the sum is over all strict partitions $\nu = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_l)$ and

$$B_{\nu} = \left(\langle u \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\nu_1}} \right) \left(\langle u_r u \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{r - \nu_1}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l \langle u_{\nu_i} u \rangle.$$

So $\varphi(u)$ is a rational fraction with simple poles at u_p^{-1} for $0 \leq p \leq r$. Let $b_p(z, r)$ be the corresponding residue of $\varphi(u)$ at u_p^{-1} for $0 \leq p \leq r$. Then, it follows from (59) that

$$b_p(z, r) = \sum_{\nu} \frac{(q)_{2r}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\nu}} [B_{\nu}(1 - u_p u)]_{u=u_p^{-1}}. \quad (60)$$

We shall first consider the cases where $p = 0$ or r . Using (58) and (51) we have

$$b_0(z, r) = [\varphi(u)(1 - u)]_{u=1} = \frac{(z; q^2)_{2r}}{(z)_{2r}}. \quad (61)$$

Now, by (59) and (60) we have

$$b_0(z, r) = \sum_{\nu} \frac{(q)_{2r}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\nu}} \left(\langle u_r \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{r - \nu_1}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l \langle u_{\nu_i} \rangle, \quad (62)$$

and

$$b_r(z, r) = \sum_{\nu} \frac{(q)_{2r}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\nu}} \left(\langle 1/u_r \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\nu_1}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l \langle u_{\nu_i}/u_r \rangle, \quad (63)$$

which, by setting $\mu_i = r - \nu_{l+1-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $\mu_0 = r$, can be written as

$$b_r(z, r) = \sum_{\mu} \frac{(q)_{2r}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\mu}} \left(\langle 1/u_r \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{r-\mu_1}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l \langle u_{r-\mu_i}/u_r \rangle. \quad (64)$$

Comparing (64) with (62) we see that $b_r(z, r)$ is equal to $b_0(z, r)$ with z replaced by $z^{-1}q^{-2(2r-1)}$. It follows from (61) that

$$b_r(z, r) = b_0(z^{-1}q^{-2(2r-1)}, r) = (z; q^2)_{2r} q^{r(2r-1)} \frac{1 - zq^{4r-1}}{(zq^{2r-1})_{2r+1}}. \quad (65)$$

Consider now the case where $0 < p < r$. Clearly, for each partition ν , the corresponding summand in (60) is not zero only if $\nu_j = p$ for some j , $0 \leq j \leq r$. Furthermore, each such partition ν can be splitted into two strict partitions $\rho = (\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{j-1})$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{l-j})$ such that $\rho_i = \nu_i - p$ for $0 \leq i \leq j-1$ and $\sigma_s = \nu_{j+s}$ for $0 \leq s \leq l-j$. So we can write (60) as follows :

$$b_p(z, r) = \left[\begin{matrix} 2r \\ 2p \end{matrix} \right] \sum_{\rho} \frac{(q)_{2r-2p}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\rho}} F_{\rho}(p) \times \sum_{\sigma} \frac{(q)_{2p}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\sigma}} G_{\sigma}(p)$$

where for $\rho = (\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$ with $\rho_0 = r - p$,

$$F_{\rho}(p) = \left(\langle u_r/u_p \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{r-p-\rho_1}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{l(\rho)} \langle u_{\rho_i+p}/u_p \rangle,$$

and for $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_l)$ with $\sigma_0 = p$,

$$G_{\sigma}(p) = \left(\langle 1/u_p \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\sigma_l}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{l(\sigma)} \langle u_{\sigma_i}/u_p \rangle.$$

Comparing with (62) and (64) and using (61) and (65) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_p(z, r) &= \left[\begin{matrix} 2r \\ 2p \end{matrix} \right] b_0(zq^{4p}, r-p) b_p(z, p) \\ &= \left[\begin{matrix} 2r \\ 2p \end{matrix} \right] (z; q^2)_{2r} q^{\binom{2r}{2p}} \frac{1 - zq^{4p-1}}{(zq^{2p-1})_{2r+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, extracting the coefficients of u^k in the equation

$$\varphi(u) = \sum_{p=0}^r \frac{b_p(z, r)}{1 - u_p u},$$

and using the values for $b_p(z, r)$ we obtain(33).

4.3 Proof of Theorem 2

Consider the generating function of the left-hand side of (10) :

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{ab}(u) &:= \sum_{k \geq 0} u^k \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\lambda_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_\lambda (q; q^2)_{\lambda_k}} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{k \geq 0} u^{k+l(\lambda)} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\lambda_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_\lambda (q; q^2)_{\lambda_{l(\lambda)+k}}} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} u^{l(\lambda)} z^{|\lambda|} q^{n(2\lambda)} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\lambda_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_\lambda} \left(\frac{u}{1-u} + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\lambda_{l(\lambda)}}} \right), \quad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all the partitions λ . As in the elementary proof of Theorem 4, we can replace any partition λ by a pair (ν, \mathbf{m}) , where ν is a strict partition consisting of distinct parts ν_1, \dots, ν_l of λ , so that $\nu_1 > \dots > \nu_l > 0$, and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_l)$ is the sequence of multiplicities of ν_i for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{ab}(u) &= \sum_{\nu, \mathbf{m}} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\nu_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_\nu} \left(\frac{u}{1-u} + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\nu_l}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l (u_{\nu_i} u)^{m_i} \\
&= \sum_{\nu} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\nu_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_\nu} \left(\langle u \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\nu_l}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l \langle u_{\nu_i} u \rangle, \quad (67)
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all the strict partitions ν . Each of the terms in this sum, as a rational function of u , has a finite set of simple poles, which may occur at the points u_r^{-1} for $r \geq 0$. Therefore, each term is a linear combination of partial fractions. Moreover, the sum of their expansions converges coefficientwise. So φ_{ab} has an expansion

$$\varphi_{ab}(u) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{c_r}{1 - uz^r q^{r(2r-1)}},$$

where c_r denotes the formal sum of partial fraction coefficients contributed by the terms of (67). It remains to compute these residues c_r ($r \geq 0$). By using (56) and (66), we get immediately

$$c_0 = [\varphi_{ab}(u)(1-u)]_{u=1} = \frac{(azq, bzaq; q^2)_\infty}{(zq, abzaq; q^2)_\infty}.$$

In view of (67), this yields the identity

$$\sum_{\nu} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\nu_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_\nu} \prod_{i=1}^l \langle u_{\nu_i} \rangle = \frac{(azq, bzaq; q^2)_\infty}{(zq, abzaq; q^2)_\infty}. \quad (68)$$

Clearly, a summand in (67) has a non zero contribution to c_r ($r > 0$) only if the corresponding partition ν has a part equal to r . For any partition ν such that $\exists j \mid \nu_j = r$, set $\rho_i := \nu_i - r$ for $1 \leq i < j$ and $\sigma_i := \nu_{i+j}$ for $0 \leq i \leq l-j$, we then get two partitions ρ and σ , with σ_i bounded by r . Multiplying (67) by $(1 - u_r u)$ and setting $u = 1/u_r$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_r &= \sum_{\rho} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_{\rho_1+r}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\rho}} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \langle u_{r+\rho_i}/u_r \rangle \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\sigma}} \left(\langle 1/u_r \rangle + \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_{\sigma_{l-j}}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{l-j} \langle u_{\sigma_i}/u_r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (63) the inner sum over σ is equal to $b_r(z, r)/(q)_{2r}$, applying (65), we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_r &= (z; q^2)_{2r} q^{\binom{2r}{2}} \frac{1 - zq^{4r-1}}{(zq^{2r-1})_{2r+1}} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_r}{(q)_{2r}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\rho} \frac{(aq^{-2r}, bq^{-2r}; q^{-2})_{\rho_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\rho}} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \langle u_{r+\rho_i}/u_r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the sum over ρ can be computed using (68) with a, b and z replaced by aq^{-2r}, bq^{-2r} and zq^{4r} , respectively. After simplification, we obtain

$$c_r = q^{\binom{2r}{2}} \frac{(z; q^2)_{\infty}}{(zq^{2r-1})_{\infty}} \frac{(a, b; q^{-2})_r (azq^{2r+1}, bzq^{2r+1}; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q)_{2r} (abzq; q^2)_{\infty}} (1 - zq^{4r-1}),$$

which completes the proof.

5 Proofs through Bailey's method

A classical approach to identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type is based on Bailey's method (see [3, 14]). Recall that a pair of sequences (α_n, β_n) is a *Bailey pair* if there are two parameters x and q such that (see for example [3, p. 25-26]) :

$$\beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\alpha_r}{(q)_{n-r} (xq)_{n+r}} \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (69)$$

If (α_n, β_n) is a Bailey pair then Bailey's lemma [3, p. 25-26] states that (α'_n, β'_n) is also a Bailey pair, where

$$\alpha'_n = \frac{(\rho_1)_n (\rho_2)_n (xq/\rho_1 \rho_2)^n}{(xq/\rho_1)_n (xq/\rho_2)_n} \alpha_n$$

and

$$\beta'_n = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(\rho_1)_j (\rho_2)_j (xq/\rho_1 \rho_2)^j}{(q)_{n-j} (xq/\rho_1)_n (xq/\rho_2)_n} \beta_j.$$

In [2, 3] Andrews noticed that applying Bailey's lemma to the same Bailey pair iteratively leads to a Bailey chain, which yields almost straightforwardly multiple identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type.

In what follows we shall briefly indicate how to derive our identity (18), from which we derived our six multisum identities (12)-(17), through this method.

Our starting point is Theorem 3.4 of Andrews [3]. Indeed, letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ and for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, letting $b_i \rightarrow \infty$, $c_i \rightarrow \infty$ and setting $b_k = a^{-1}$ and $c_k = b^{-1}$ in [3, Theorem 3.4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(xq, abxq)_\infty}{(axq, bxq)_\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} q^{n_2(\lambda) - \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1} x^{|\lambda|} (a^{-1}, b^{-1})_{\lambda_1} (ab)^{\lambda_1} \frac{(q)_{\lambda_k}}{(q)_\lambda} \beta_{\lambda_k} \quad (70) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{(k-1)n^2 + n} x^{kn} \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1})_n (ab)^n}{(axq, bxq)_n} \alpha_n, \end{aligned}$$

where (α_n, β_n) is a Bailey pair.

Now, invoking the following Bailey pair (α_n, β_n) [10, F(1)] : $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\alpha_n = q^{n^2} (q^{n/2} + q^{-n/2}), \quad \beta_n = \frac{1}{(q^{1/2}, q)_n}, \quad (71)$$

and plugging it in (70) with $x = 1$ yields (18) after replacing q by q^2 .

It is interesting to note that (23) and (24) are consequences of Bailey's lemma with Slater's pair (71), but they did not appear in [10, 11].

We note that Stembridge [12] derived his sixteen multianalogs of Rogers-Ramanujan type from the following specializations of his Theorem 3.4 :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q, abq)_\infty}{(aq, bq)_\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} q^{n_2(\lambda) - \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1} (ab)^{\lambda_1} \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q)_\lambda} \quad (72) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{(k+\frac{1}{2})n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n} (-ab)^n \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1})_n}{(aq, bq)_n} (1 + q^n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{(q, abq^2)_\infty}{(aq^2, bq^2)_\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} q^{n_2(\lambda) + |\lambda| - \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1} (ab)^{\lambda_1} \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q)_\lambda} \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{(k+\frac{1}{2})n^2+(k+\frac{3}{2})n} (-ab)^n \frac{(a^{-1}, b^{-1})_n}{(aq^2, bq^2)_n} (1 - q^{2n+1}), \\
\frac{(-aq, q)_\infty}{(-q, aq^2)_\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2(\lambda)+|\lambda|-\lambda_1^2+\lambda_1)} (-a)^{\lambda_1} \frac{(a^{-1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q)_{\lambda_1}} & \quad (74) \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{k+1}{2}(n^2+n)} a^n \frac{(a^{-1})_n}{(aq^2)_n} (1 - q^{2n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(-aq^{1/2}, q)_\infty}{(-q^{1/2}, aq)_\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2(\lambda)-\lambda_1^2+\lambda_1)} (-a)^{\lambda_1} \frac{(a^{-1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q)_{\lambda_1}} & \quad (75) \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{k+1}{2}n^2} a^n \frac{(a^{-1})_n}{(aq)_n} (1 + q^n).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same vein we can derive the above four identities from [3, Theorem 3.4]. For example, for (72) take $x = 1$ in (70) and use the Bailey pair B(1) of [10], and for (73) take $x = q$ in (70) and use the Bailey pair B(3) of [10]. For (74) and (75), we need another specialization of [3, Theorem 3.4]. Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, $b_i \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and setting $b_k = a^{-1}$ and $c_i = -\sqrt{xq}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ in [3, Theorem 3.4] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(xq, -a\sqrt{xq})_\infty}{(axq, -\sqrt{xq})_\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq k} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n_2(\lambda)-\lambda_1^2+\lambda_1)} x^{\frac{1}{2}|\lambda|} (a^{-1})_{\lambda_1} (-a)^{\lambda_1} & \quad (76) \\
\times \frac{(-\sqrt{xq}, q)_{\lambda_k}}{(q)_{\lambda_k}} \beta_{\lambda_k} = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}((k-1)n^2+n)} x^{\frac{1}{2}kn} \frac{(a^{-1})_n (-a)^n}{(axq)_n} \alpha_n,
\end{aligned}$$

where (α_n, β_n) is a Bailey pair.

Taking $x = q$ in (76) and using the Bailey pair E(3) of Slater [10] yields (74). For (75), take $x = 1$ in (76) and use the following Bailey pair [10, p. 468] : $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\alpha_n = (-1)^n q^{n^2} (q^{n/2} + q^{-n/2}), \quad \beta_n = \frac{1}{(-q^{1/2}, q)_n}. \quad (77)$$

Recently, Bressoud, Ismail and Stanton [4] have pointed out that the sixteen multisum identities, but not the above four more general identities, in Stembridge [12] can be proved by means of *change of base in Bailey pairs*.

Acknowledgments. We thank Jeremy Lovejoy for helpful comments about a previous version of this paper.

References

- [1] ANDREWS (G.E.), *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Vol. **2**, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1976.
- [2] ANDREWS (G.E.), Multiple series Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, *Pacific J. Math.*, Vol. **114**, No. 2, 267-283, 1984.
- [3] ANDREWS (G.E.), *q-Series : Their Development and Application in Analysis, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra*, *CBMS Regional Conference Series*, Vol. **66**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986.
- [4] BRESSOUD (D.), ISMAIL (M.), and STANTON (D.), Change of Base in Bailey Pairs, *The Ramanujan J.*, **4**, 435-453, 2000.
- [5] GASPER (G.) and RAHMAN (M.), *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Vol. **35**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] HALL (P.), A partition formula connected with Abelian groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **11**, 126-129, 1938.
- [7] JOUHET (F.) and ZENG (J.), Some new identities for Schur functions, *Adv. Appl. Math.*, **27**, 493-509, 2001.
- [8] MACDONALD (I.G.), An elementary proof of a q -binomial identity, *q-series and partitions* (Minneapolis, MN, 1988), 73-75, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 18, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [9] MACDONALD (I.G.), *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Clarendon Press, second edition, Oxford, 1995.
- [10] SLATER (L. J.), A new proof of Rogers's transformations of infinite series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **53** (2), 460-475, 1951.
- [11] SLATER (L. J.), Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan Type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **54** (2), 147-167 (1951-52).
- [12] STEMBRIDGE (J. R.), Hall-Littlewood functions, plane partitions, and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **319**, no.2, 469-498, 1990.
- [13] VEIGNEAU S., *ACE, an Algebraic Environment for the Computer algebra system MAPLE*, [http: file://phalanstere.univ-mlv.fr/ ace](http://phalanstere.univ-mlv.fr/ace), 1998.
- [14] WARNAAR (S. O.), 50 years of Bailey's lemma, *Algebraic combinatorics and applications* (Göbweinstein, 1999), 333-347, Springer, Berlin, 2001.