## Graded Homework IV

Due Friday, October 6.

1. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{(0,0,0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the mapping defined by $F(x, y, z)=\left(\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}, \frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}, \frac{z}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}\right)$.

Let $(x, y, z)$ be on the sphere of center 0 and radius 1 ; show in two different ways that the Jacobian matrix of $F$ at $(x, y, z)$ is equal to its inverse matrix.
(Hint : compute $F \circ F(x, y, z)$ and use the Chain Rule)
Correction.Set $F(x, y, z)=(X, Y, Z)$. Then $X^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}=\frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}$, so that
$F(F(x, y, z))=F(X, Y, Z)=\left(X\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right), Y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right), Z\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right)=(x, y, z)$. Thus, $F$ is equal to its inverse function; the Chain Rule then tells us that $J F(F(x, y, z))$ is the inverse matrix of $J F(x, y, z)$. If $(x, y, z)$ is in the unit sphere, then $F(x, y, z)=(x, y, z)$, in other words the inverse matrix to $J F(x, y, z)$ is $J F(x, y, z)$.
To prove it in a different way, one may "simply" compute $J F(x, y, z) . J F(x, y, z)$ for $(x, y, z)$ on the unit sphere; one has

$$
J F(x, y, z)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}-\frac{2 x^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} & -\frac{2 x y}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} & -\frac{2 x z}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
-\frac{2 x y}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} & \frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}-\frac{2 y^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} & -\frac{2 y z}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
-\frac{2 x z}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} & -\frac{2 y z}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}} & \frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}-\frac{2 z^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since on the sphere one has $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1$, this becomes $J F(x, y, z)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1-2 x^{2} & -2 x y & -2 x z \\ -2 x y & 1-2 y^{2} & -2 y z \\ -2 x z & -2 y z & 1-2 z^{2}\end{array}\right)$.
A direct, if long, computation yields that $\operatorname{JF}(x, y, z) \cdot J F(x, y, z)$ is equal to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)^{2}+4 x^{2} y^{2}+4 x^{2} z^{2} & -2 x y\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)-2 x y\left(1-2 y^{2}\right)+4 x y z^{2} & -2 x z\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)+4 x y^{2} z-2 x z\left(1-2 z^{2}\right) \\
-2 x y\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)-2 x y\left(1-2 y^{2}\right)+4 x y z^{2} & 4 x^{2} y^{2}+\left(1-2 y^{2}\right)^{2}+4 y^{2} z^{2} & 4 x^{2} y z-2 y z\left(1-2 y^{2}\right)-2 y z\left(1-2 z^{2}\right) \\
-2 x z\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)+4 x y^{2} z-2 x z\left(1-2 z^{2}\right) & 4 x^{2} y z-2 y z\left(1-2 y^{2}\right)-2 y z\left(1-2 z^{2}\right) & 4 x^{2} z^{2}+4 y^{2} z^{2}+\left(1-2 z^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let us explain how to simplify the terms in the first row (the other ones are similar) :
$\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)^{2}+4 x^{2} y^{2}+4 x^{2} z^{2}=1+4 x^{2}\left(x^{2}-1+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)=1$ because $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1$;
$-2 x y\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)-2 x y\left(1-2 y^{2}\right)+4 x y z^{2}=-2 x y\left(1-2 x^{2}+1-2 y^{2}-2 x^{2}\right)=0$ for the same reason;
$-2 x z\left(1-2 x^{2}\right)+4 x y^{2} z-2 x z\left(1-2 z^{2}\right)=-2 x z\left(1-2 x^{2}-2 y^{2}+1-2 z^{2}\right)=0$.
Checking all the other values, one eventually gets that $J F(x, y, z) . J F(x, y, z)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$; this shows that $J F(x, y, z)$ indeed is equal to its own inverse matrix if $(x, y, z)$ lies on the unit sphere.
2. Assume $F:(u, v) \mapsto F(u, v)$ is a continuously differentiable function from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $F(0,0)=0$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0,0) \neq 0$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y, z)=\left(x y, x^{2}-y^{2}-z\right)$, and define $f=F \circ \varphi$.
Show that the equation $f(x, y, z)=0$ implicitly defines $z$ as a function of $(x, y)$ near $(0,0,0)$, and that one has $x \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}-y \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}=2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$.
Correction. By the Chain Rule, the Jacobian matrix of $F \circ \varphi$ at $(0,0,0)$ is $J F(\varphi(0,0,0)) \cdot J \varphi(0,0,0)=$ $J F(0,0) \cdot J \varphi(0,0,0)$. One has $J \varphi(x, y, z)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}y & x & 0 \\ 2 x & 2 y & -1\end{array}\right)$, so

$$
J f(0,0,0)=\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(0,0) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0,0)\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & -\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0,0)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0,0) \neq 0$, the Implicit Function Theorem enables us to assert that the equation $F(x, y, z)=0$ defines implicitly $z$ as a function of $(x, y)$ near $(0,0,0)$.
Then, implicit differentiation yields $\left(y \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}+2 x \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}\right) d x+\left(x \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}-2 y \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}\right) d y-\frac{\partial F}{\partial v} d z=0$. From this, one recovers that $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}=y \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}}}{\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}}+2 x$, and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}=x \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}}-2 y$. Thus, one does indeed have $x \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}-y \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}=2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$.
3. Recall that we saw in class that, if a system of two equations $F(x, y, z)=0$ and $G(x, y, z)=0$ defines implicitly two of the variables as a function of the third one near a point $P \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, then that system of equations defines a curve in the neighborhood of $P$.
Prove that the system of equations $\left\{\begin{array}{l}4 x y+2 x z+4 y-z \\ x y+x z+y z+2 x+z y-z=0\end{array}\right.$ defines a curve near $(0,0,0)$. What is the tangent line to this curve at that point?
Correction. The Jacobian matrix associated to this system is $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}4 y+2 z & 4 x+4 & 2 x-1 \\ y+z+2 & x+2 z & x+2 y-1\end{array}\right)$. At (0,0,0) this matrix is $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 4 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. To define $(y, z)$ implicitly as functions of $x$ near $(0,0,0)$, one needs the determinant of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ to be nonzero. Since this determinant is equal to -4 , the equations define implicitly $(y, z)$ as functions of $n$ near ( $0,0,0$ ).
To find the tangent line to this curve at $(0,0,0)$, we can use the fact that it is orthogonal to the normal vectors to both planes, which, reading from the Jacobian matrix, are $\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 4 \\ -1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 0 \\ -1\end{array}\right)$. Thus, the tangent line is paralel to the cross product of these two vectors, which is the vector $\left(\begin{array}{l}-4 \\ -2 \\ -8\end{array}\right)$. So, the tangent line to the curve at this point is the line parallel to $\left(\begin{array}{l}-4 \\ -2 \\ -8\end{array}\right)$ and going through $(0,0,0)$, in other words the set of $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $x=2 y$ and $z=4 y$ (You may recover these equations by saying that the tangent line is the set of $(x, y, z)$ which lie on both of the tangent planes of the surfaces whose intersection defines the curve).
4. Consider the application from $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ that maps $(u, v)$ to u.v. Identifying $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ (the first three variables giving the coordinates of $u$, and the last three giving the coordinates of $v$ ), compute the Jacobian matrix of this application. Use this, and the Chain Rule, to show that, if $u=u(t)$ and $v=v(t)$, then $(u . v)^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \cdot v(t)+u(t) \cdot v^{\prime}(t)$.
Similarly, one may consider the cross product $(u, v) \mapsto u \times v$ as a function from $\mathbb{R}^{6} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Write the Jacobian matrix of this application. Use it to show that again $(u \times v)^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \times v(t)+u(t) \times v^{\prime}(t)$.
Correction. In this setting, the dot product is the mapping $\left(u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z}, v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right) \mapsto u_{x} v_{x}+u_{y} v_{y}+u_{z} v_{z}$. Thus, its Jacobian matrix at a point $(u, v)=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}u_{x} & u_{y} & u_{z} & v_{x} & v_{y} & v_{z}\end{array}\right)$ is simply $\left(\begin{array}{llllll}v_{x} & v_{y} & v_{z} & u_{x} & u_{y} & u_{z}\end{array}\right)$. Now, if $t \mapsto(u(t), v(t))$ is a differentiable mapping, the Chain Rule tells us that the derivative of the mapping $t \mapsto u(t) \cdot v(t)$ is equal to
$\left(\begin{array}{llllll}v_{x}(t) & v_{y}(t) & v_{z}(t) & u_{x}(t) & u_{y}(t) & u_{z}(t)\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{x}^{\prime}(t) \\ u_{y}^{\prime}(t) \\ u_{z}^{\prime}(t) \\ v_{x}^{\prime}(t) \\ v_{y}^{\prime}(t) \\ v_{z}^{\prime}(t)\end{array}\right)$,
which is equal to $v_{x}(t) u_{x}^{\prime}(t)+v_{y}(t) u_{y}^{\prime}(t)+v_{z}(t) u_{z}^{\prime}(t)+u_{x}(t) v_{x}^{\prime}(t)+u_{y}(t) v_{y}^{\prime}(t)+u_{z}(t) v_{z}^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \cdot v(t)+u(t) \cdot v^{\prime}(t)$.

To do the same for the cross product, we need to use the fact that $\left(\begin{array}{l}u_{x} \\ u_{y} \\ u_{z}\end{array}\right) \times\left(\begin{array}{c}v_{x} \\ v_{y} \\ v_{z}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{y} v_{z}-u_{z} v_{y} \\ -u_{x} v_{z}+v_{x} u_{z} \\ u_{x} v_{y}-v_{x} u_{y}\end{array}\right)$. From this, we find that the Jacobian matrix of the cross product is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & v_{z} & -u_{y} & 0 & -u_{z} & u_{y} \\
-v_{z} & 0 & v_{x} & u_{z} & 0 & -u_{x} \\
v_{y} & -v_{x} & 0 & -u_{y} & u_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using the same method as for the dot product, we obtain that $(u \times v)^{\prime}(t)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & v_{z}(t) & -v_{y}(t) & 0 & -u_{z}(t) & u_{y}(t) \\
-v_{z}(t) & 0 & v_{x}(t) & u_{z}(t) & 0 & -u_{x}(t) \\
v_{y}(t) & -v_{x}(t) & 0 & -u_{y}(t) & u_{x}(t) & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{x}^{\prime}(t) \\
u_{y}^{\prime}(t) \\
u_{z}^{\prime}(t) \\
v_{x}^{\prime}(t) \\
v_{y}^{\prime}(t) \\
v_{z}^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right), \text { which yields } \\
(u \times v)^{\prime}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{z}(t) u_{y}^{\prime}(t)-v_{y}(t) u_{z}^{\prime}(t)+u_{y}(t) v_{z}^{\prime}(t)-u_{z}(t) v_{y}^{\prime}(t) \\
v_{x}(t) u_{z}^{\prime}(t)-u_{x}^{\prime}(t) v_{z}(t)+u_{z}(t) v_{x}^{\prime}(t)-v_{z}^{\prime}(t) u_{x}(t) \\
v_{y}(t) u_{x}^{\prime}(t)-v_{x}(t) u_{y}^{\prime}(t)+u_{x}(t) v_{y}^{\prime}(t)-u_{y}(t) v_{x}^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right)=u^{\prime}(t) \times v(t)+u(t) \times v^{\prime}(t) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Of course, this is not an efficient way of computing the derivative of a dot product or a cross product : it would be much simpler to compute derivatives directly, coordinate by coordinate.

