## Math 444

## **Graded Homework X.** Due Friday, November 17.

1.(a) Define a function f on  $\mathbb{R}$  by setting  $f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) = x \sin(\frac{1}{x}) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ . Is this function continuous on

 $\mathbb{R}$ ? (you may use without proof the fact the function  $x \mapsto \sin(x)$  is continuous).

(b) Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $g(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 1-x & \text{else} \end{cases}$ . At which points in  $\mathbb{R}$  is g continuous?

**Correction.** (a) Since we are told that  $x \mapsto \sin(x)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we see immediately that f is continuous on  $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$ : indeed, on these two intervals f is a composition of continuous functions. Thus, our only problem is at x = 0; we need to see whether f has a limit at 0, and whether that limit is equal to f(0) = 0. As usual with sin, the important thing to remember is that  $|\sin(x)| \le 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ; thus, for all  $x \neq 0$  we see that  $|f(x)| \le |x|$ . This is enough to ensure that  $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = 0$  (Squeeze theorem). Thus we have proved that  $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = f(0)$ , so f is continuous at 0. Overall, we see that f is continuous on the whole real line.

(b) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a sequence of rational numbers  $(q_n)$  and a sequence of irrational numbers  $(\alpha_n)$  such that  $x = \lim(q_n) = \lim(\alpha_n)$ . If g were continuous at x, then we would have  $g(x) = \lim(f(q_n)) = \lim(q_n) = x$ , and also  $g(x) = \lim(f(\alpha_n)) = \lim(1 - \alpha_n) = 1 - x$ . This shows that g can only be continuous at x if x = 1 - x, in other words if  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now we strongly suspect that g is actually continuous at  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; to show it, it is best not to use sequences, but come back to the  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$  definition of continuity. Indeed, pick  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; notice that for any real number x, we have  $|x - \frac{1}{2}| = |g(x) - \frac{1}{2}|$  (check this in the two cases when x is rational, irrational). Thus we see that whenever  $0 < |x - \frac{1}{2}| \le \varepsilon$  we have  $|g(x) - \frac{1}{2}| \le \varepsilon$ , and this proves that  $\lim_{x \to 1/2} g(x) = \frac{1}{2} = f(\frac{1}{2})$ . Thus f is continuous exactly at the point  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

2. Let  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function (a < b) such that |f(x) - f(x')| < |x - x'| for all  $x \neq x' \in [a, b]$ . (a) Using the  $\varepsilon, \delta$  definition of continuity, show that f is continuous on [a, b]. (b) Prove that there exists a unique point  $x \in [a, b]$  such that f(x) = x (introduce a suitable auxiiary)

(b) Prove that there exists a unique point  $x \in [a, b]$  such that f(x) = x (introduce a suitable auxiliary function).

**Correction.** (a) The assumption on the function f implies that it is a Lipschitz function, so it must be (uniformly) continuous on [a, b]: indeed, pick some point  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, for any  $y \in [a, b]$  such that  $|x - y| \leq \varepsilon$ , one has  $|f(x) - f(y)| < |x - y| \leq |x - y|$ . Thus, setting  $\delta = \varepsilon$  works in this case (beware : it is not true in general that setting  $\delta = \varepsilon$  works; it just happens to be true here because of our assumption on f) to show that f is continuous at x. Since this is true for any  $x \in I$ , we have proved that f is continuous on I. (b) Define g(x) = f(x) - x. Then one has  $g(a) = f(a) - a \geq 0$  (because  $a \leq g(x) \leq b$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ ) and  $g(b) = f(b) - b \leq 0$ . Thus, either g(a) = 0, or g(b) = 0, or g takes a strictly positive value at a and a strictly negative one at b; in the third case, the mean value theorem tells us that there is  $x \in [a, b]$  such that g(x) = 0, and this is obviouslyy true in the first two cases too. So we know that there exists a fixed point of f in [a, b], i.e a point  $x \in [a, b]$  such that f(c) = x (g(x) = 0 if, and only if, f(x) = x). To show that this fixed point is unique, proceed by contradiction and assume there are two points  $x \neq x' \in [a, b]$  such that f(x) = x and f(x') = x'. Then by assumption on f we have |f(x) - f(x')| < |x - x'|, and this contradicts the fact that

Fall 2006

f(x) = x, f(x) = x'.

3. Let  $f: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a continuous function such that f(0) = f(1). Show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]$  such that  $f(x) = f(x + \frac{1}{n})$ . (Hint : is it possible that f((k+1)/n) - f(k/n) keeps a constant sign for all  $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$ ?) **Correction.** Notice first that one may assume  $n \ge 2$  (the case n = 1 is trivial, since f(0) = f(1)). If f((k+1)/n) = f(k/n) for some  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ , then we have found  $x \in [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]$  such that  $f(x) = f(x + \frac{1}{n})$ (k/n works). So we may assume that  $f((k+1)/n) \ne f(k/n)$  for all  $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . We claim that there must then exist some  $k \in 0, \ldots, n-2$  such that f((k+1))/n - f(k/n) and f((k+2)/n) - f(k+1/n) are of opposite signs; indeed, assume this is not true, and suppose for instance that f(1/n) > f(0). Then f(2/n) > f(1/n), f(3/n) > f(2/n), etc., and one eventually obtains  $f(1) = f(n/n) > f((n-1)/n) > \ldots f(0)$ , which contradicts our assumption that f(1) = f(0). One obtains a similar contradiction if f(1/n) < f(0), so there must exist k such that f((k+1))/n - f(k/n) and f((k+2)/n) - f(k+1/n) are of opposite signs. Set then

g(x) = f(x + 1/n) - f(x); we just proved that g must change signs on the interval [k/n, (k+1)/n] for some k = 0, ..., n-2. The mean value theorem then ensures that g has a 0 in that interval. Since a 0 of g is the same thing as a point x such that f(x) = f(x + 1/n), we see that under the assumptions on f there must indeed exist a point  $x \in [0, 1 - 1/n]$  such that f(x) = f(x + 1/n).

4.(a) Show that if f is a continuous function on a closed bounded interval [a, b] such that f(x) > 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$  then there exists m > 0 such that  $f(x) \ge m$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

In the following, we pick two continuous functions f, g from  $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that f(x) < g(x) for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . (b) Show that there exists m > 0 such that f(x) + m < g(x) for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

(c) Show that there exists M > 1 such that Mf(x) < g(x) for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

**Correction.** (a) Since f is continuous function on the closed bounded interval [a, b], we know that it admits a global minimum on [a, b], i.e that there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(c) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Setting m = f(c), we have m > 0 because f only takes positive values, and  $f(x) \geq m$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ 

(b) The function g - f is continuous on [0, 1] taking only positive values, so by question (a) there exists m' > 0 such that  $g(x) - f(x) \ge m'$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ; but then  $m = \frac{m'}{2}$  is such that m > 0 and g(x) - f(x) > m for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , in other words f(x) + m < g(x) for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  (we had to introduce this m' and then divide it by 2 because question (a) only gave us a *large* inequality, whereas we had to establish a *strict* inequality).

(c) First, notice that one has  $0 \le f(x) < g(x)$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ , so g only takes positive values. Thus the function  $h = \frac{f}{g}$  is continuous on [0,1], and h(x) < 1 for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Thus, there exists m' > 0 such that  $0 \le h(x) \le 1 - m'$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$  (apply a to  $x \mapsto 1 - h(x)$ , or directly use the fact that h admits a maximum on [0,1]). Again, setting  $m = \frac{m'}{2}$  enables us to go from a large inequality, and obtain h(x) < 1 - m' for some m' such that  $0 < m' < \frac{1}{2}$  and all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Given the definition of h, and the fact that 1 - m' > 0, g(x) > 0, this is equivalent to  $\frac{1}{1-m'}f(x) < g(x)$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Setting  $M = \frac{1}{1-m'}$ , we see that M > 1 (because

0 < m' < 1) and Mf(x) < g(x) for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Remark. The idea behind this exercise is that, if one has an inequality that is true for all x and involves continuous functions on a closed bounded interval then one can use the fact that a continuous function on a closed bounded interval to show that a strengthening of the inequality is also true on that interval; you should convince yourself that all the assumptions in this exercise are important (f must be **continuous**, and the interval must be **closed** and **bounded**).

5. Let f be a **continuous** function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that we proved in the first midterm that one has then f(q) = qf(1) for all  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Use this to show that f(x) = xf(1) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Correction.** We know that f(q) = qf(1) for any rational q. Let now x be any real number; by the density theorem, we know that there exists a sequence  $(q_n)$  of rationals such that  $\lim(q_n) = x$ . Since f is conti-

nuous, this implies  $\lim(f(q_n)) = f(x)$ . But  $f(q_n) = q_n f(1)$ , so the algebraic theorems about limits give  $\lim(f(q_n)) = f(1) \lim(q_n) = xf(1)$ . Putting these two equalities together, we indeed obtain that f(x) = xf(1) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Important note.** In the homework assignment, the assumption that f is continuous was forgotten; without this assumption the result is false in general (one can produce counterexamples using the Axiom of Choice). Hence this exercise will *not* be graded.