

**Graded Homework XI.**

Correction.

1. (a) Give an example of a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is not constant and satisfies  $f(x) = f(x^2)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
 (b) Assume now that  $f$  is continuous at 0 and 1 and  $f(x) = f(x^2)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f$  must be constant.  
*Hint* : assume that  $|x| < 1$ ; then what is the limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$  defined by  $x_1 = x, x_2 = x^2, \dots, x_{n+1} = x_n^2$ ? How about the sequence  $(f(x_n))$ ? Can you use a similar idea when  $|x| > 1$ ?

**Correction.** (a) There are many possible examples, one of them being the function  $f$  defined by  $f(0) = 0$ , and  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Following the indication, pick first  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|x| < 1$ . Then define a sequence  $(x_n)$  by setting  $x_1 = x, x_2 = x^2, \dots, x_{n+1} = x_n^2, \dots$ . Then this sequence converges to 0, and one has  $f(x_{n+1}) = f(x_n^2) = f(x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; thus an easy induction proof yields  $f(x_n) = f(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is convergent to 0 and  $f$  is continuous at 0, one must also have  $\lim f(x_n) = f(0)$ , hence we obtain  $f(x) = f(0)$  for all  $x \in (-1, 1)$ .

Since  $f$  is assumed to be continuous at 1, we also obtain that  $f(1) = f(0)$ . Pick now  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x > 1$ , and define this time a sequence  $(y_n)$  by setting  $y_1 = x, y_2 = \sqrt{x}, \dots, y_{n+1} = \sqrt{y_n}, \dots$ . Then one has  $y_n = x^{1/2^n}$ , and thus  $\lim(y_n) = 1$ . Thus  $\lim(f(y_n)) = f(1) = f(0)$ . But the sequence  $(y_n)$  was defined in such a way that  $f(y_n) = f(y_{n+1}^2) = f(y_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which again means that  $f(y_n) = f(y_1) = f(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Putting the pieces together, we obtain  $f(x) = f(0)$  for all  $x > 1$ . Since  $f$  is clearly an even function (i.e.  $f(x) = f(-x)$ , because  $f(x) = f(x^2) = f((-x)^2)$ ) this also implies that  $f(x) = f(0)$  for all  $x < -1$ . We have finally managed to prove that  $f(x) = f(0)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , in other words  $f$  is constant on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark.* This proof is typical of how one uses continuity to describe the class of functions that satisfy a certain property (here,  $f(x) = f(x^2)$ ): first, try to understand what kind of information that property yields (here, it yields sequences  $(x_n), (y_n)$  converging to 0, 1 and such that  $f(x_n), f(y_n)$  are constant). Then use this, added to continuity, to obtain additional information on what the function looks like (here, it is constant).

2. Let  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a continuous function such that  $f \circ f = f$  (\*). Set

$$E_f = \{x \in [0, 1] : f(x) = x\}.$$

Show that  $E_f$  is nonempty, then that it is an interval.

*Hint* : what is the link between  $E_f$  and  $f([0, 1])$ ?

Can you describe (accurately and using as few words as possible) the functions that satisfy (\*)?

**Correction.** Following the hint, let us prove that  $E_f = f([0, 1])$ . Pick  $x \in E_f$ ; then  $x = f(x)$ , so  $x \in f([0, 1])$ , and this proves that  $E_f \subset f([0, 1])$ . Conversely, pick  $x \in f([0, 1])$ , i.e.  $x = f(y)$  for some  $y \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $f(x) = f(f(y)) = f(y) = x$  by the assumption (\*); this means that  $f([0, 1]) \subset E_f$ . Both inclusions mean that  $f([0, 1]) = E_f$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, the image of  $[0, 1]$  is a closed bounded interval, hence  $E_f$  is a closed bounded interval  $[a, b]$ , with  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ . This means that  $f$  is a continuous mapping such that :

- $f$  maps  $[0, a]$  to  $[a, b]$ , and  $f(a) = a$ ;
- $f$  maps  $[b, 1]$  to  $[a, b]$ , and  $f(b) = b$ ;
- for all  $x \in [a, b]$  one has  $f(x) = x$ .

Conversely, if  $f$  satisfies the three conditions above for some  $a \leq b \in [0, 1]$ , then  $f$  satisfies (\*), so we have given a description of these functions.

3. (a) Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x^2 \sin(\frac{1}{x}) & \text{else} \end{cases}$ . Prove that  $f$  is continuous, and even differentiable, on  $\mathbb{R}$ , but that  $f'$  is not continuous at 0.

(b) Is it true that any function satisfying the conclusion of the intermediate value theorem must be continuous?

**Correction.** To see that  $f$  is continuous, see the preceding homework ( $f(x) = xg(x)$ , where  $g$  is a function that we proved continuous in HW 10; anyway, continuity is implied by differentiability, which we establish below). It is clear that  $f$  is differentiable both on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and on  $(0, +\infty)$  and that on these intervals one has  $f'(x) = x \sin(\frac{1}{x}) - \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ . Thus we see that  $f'$  doesn't have a limit at 0, for instance because  $f'(\frac{1}{2\pi n}) = 0$  and  $f'(\frac{1}{2\pi n + \pi/2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi n + \pi/2} - 1$ , which converges to  $-1$ . Hence, there are two sequences  $(x_n), (y_n)$  which both converge to 0 but are such that  $f(x_n), f(y_n)$  converge to different limits, and this means that  $f'$  doesn't have a limit at 0. You would probably expect it to mean that  $f'$  doesn't exist at 0; this is not the case, however, as we will see shortly. All it means is that even if  $f'$  exists at 0 it won't be continuous at that point.

To check that  $f'(0)$  exists, one simply comes back to the definition and writes  $\frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = x \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ , which converges to 0 at  $x = 0$  (see last week's homework). Thus  $f'(0)$  exists and is equal to 0.

(b) By the theorem of Darboux all derivatives satisfy the conclusion of the intermediate value theorem; since the function above is a derivative and is not continuous, we see that it is **not** true that any function satisfying the conclusion of the intermediate value theorem must be continuous.

4. Determine  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the function  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ ax^2 + bx + 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$  is differentiable on  $(0, +\infty)$ .

**Correction.** First, notice that  $f$  is differentiable on  $[0, 1)$  and  $(1, +\infty)$ , and that  $f'(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$  on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $f'(x) = 2ax + b$  on  $(1, +\infty)$ . So the only problem is at  $x = 1$ . First we need to ensure that  $f$  is continuous; for that it needs to satisfy  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = f(1)$ . This implies that  $a + b + 1 = 1$ , in other words  $a + b = 0$  (to see that, we equate the left-hand and right-hand limits of  $f$  at 1). Conversely, if  $a + b = 0$ , then one sees that  $f$  is continuous at 1. Now we need it to be differentiable at 1; for this, one must have  $a + b = 0$ , and  $\frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1}$  must have a limit at 1. If  $x < 1$ , then the mean value theorem gives us  $\frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1} = f'(c) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c}}$  for some  $c \in (x, 1)$ , so we see that the left-hand limit of  $\frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1}$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Using the same method, we see that the right hand-limit of  $\frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1}$  at 1 is  $2a + b$ , thus these two limits are equal if and only if  $2a + b = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $f$  is differentiable at 1 if, and only if,  $a + b = 0$  and  $2a + b = \frac{1}{2}$ ; this is equivalent to  $a = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $b = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

*Remark.* In this exercise we used the important fact that a function  $f$  has a limit at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if, and only if, it has both a left-hand limit and a right-hand limit at  $x$  and they are equal.

5. Show that a polynomial function of the form  $f(x) = x^n + ax + b$  has at most three distinct real roots (here  $a, b$  are reals, and  $n$  is a natural integer).

*Hint:* How many zeros can  $f'$  have? What must happen to  $f'$  between any two zeros of  $f$ ?

**Correction.** Between any two zeros of  $f$  there must be a zero of  $f'$ , because of Rolle's theorem. This means that if  $f'$  doesn't have many zeros then  $f$  cannot have many zeros either. Here  $f'(x) = nx^{n-1} + a$ , so  $f'(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x^{n-1} = -\frac{a}{n}$ , and this has at most two solutions. Thus  $f(x) = 0$  cannot have four distinct real roots or more: if  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$  were distinct roots then there would have to be  $b_1 \in (a_1, a_2)$  such that  $f'(b_1) = 0$ ,  $b_2 \in (a_2, a_3)$  such that  $f'(b_2) = 0$ , and  $b_3 \in (a_3, a_4)$  such that  $f'(b_3) = 0$ . Thus  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  would be three distinct solutions of the equation  $f'(x) = 0$ , and we saw that this is impossible.

6. Pick a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $l \in \mathbb{R}$ . One says that  $f$  has limit  $l$  at  $+\infty$ , and one writes  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = l$ , if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $x \geq M \Rightarrow |f(x) - l| \leq \varepsilon$ .

(a) Show that, for any continuous function  $f$ , one has the following implication: if  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a limit at  $+\infty$  then  $f$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . What is the converse of this assertion? Is it true?

(b) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$ . Show that  $f$  admits a global maximum on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

Must it also admit a global minimum on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ?

(c) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and suppose that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f'(x) = l$ , where  $l$  is some real number.

Using the mean value theorem, show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = l$ .

*Hint* : First prove that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a > 0$  such that for any  $x > a$  one has  $\left| \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} - l \right| \leq \varepsilon$ .

How can you prove this? Why does this help?

**Correction.** (a) Call  $l$  the limit of  $f$  at  $+\infty$ . Then there exists  $M$  such that  $x \geq M \Rightarrow l - 1 \leq f(x) \leq l + 1$ . We also know that, since  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, M]$  it is bounded on this interval (which is closed and bounded), which means that there exist  $A, B$  such that  $A \leq f(x) \leq B$  for all  $x \in [0, M]$ . Define now  $C = \min(A, l - 1)$  and  $D = \max(B, l + 1)$  : then  $C \leq f(x) \leq D$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

The converse of the assertion would be : "any continuous bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  has a limit at  $+\infty$ "; it is not true, as  $f(x) = \sin(x)$  shows. Indeed, this function is bounded but doesn't have a limit at  $+\infty$  (to see it, simply notice that  $f(2\pi n) = 0$  and  $f(2\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

(b) Let us apply the same reasoning as in the preceding question : this time  $l = 0$ , and  $B \geq 1 = l + 1$ , so the reasoning before shows that  $f(x) \leq B$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . But  $B$  is defined as being the supremum of  $f$  over the closed bounded interval  $[0, M]$ , so it is actually the maximum of  $f$  on that interval, hence there exists  $c \in [0, M]$  such that  $f(c) = B$ . We then have  $f(c) \geq f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and this proves that  $f$  admits a global maximum on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

The idea here is that, since "at  $+\infty$ "  $f$  is below some value (here  $f(0)$ ) the supremum of  $f(\mathbb{R}^+)$  cannot be "attained at  $+\infty$ ", hence it is actually the supremum of  $f$  on a closed bounded interval, hence it is actually a maximum.

In general,  $f$  doesn't have a global minimum on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ; to see this, simply consider the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$  :  $f([0, +\infty)) = (0, 1]$ , hence the infimum of  $f$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  (0) is not a minimum.

(c) Following the hint, begin by picking  $\varepsilon > 0$  and find  $a$  such that  $x \geq a \Rightarrow |f'(x) - l| \leq \varepsilon$ . Then pick  $x > a$ ; by the mean value theorem applied to  $f$  on  $[a, x]$ , there exists  $c \in (a, x)$  such that  $\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} = f'(c)$ . We thus obtain that  $|\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} - l| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x > a$ . One has  $\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} = \frac{f(x)}{x - a} - \frac{f(a)}{x - a}$ . If  $M \geq a$  is big enough, one has  $x \geq M \Rightarrow \frac{f(a)}{x - a} \leq \varepsilon$ ; thus,  $x \geq M \Rightarrow |\frac{f(x)}{x - a} - l| \leq 2\varepsilon$ . We want to have  $\frac{f(x)}{x}$  instead of  $\frac{f(x)}{x - a}$ . Notice that

$$\frac{f(x)}{x - a} = \frac{f(x)}{x} \frac{x}{x - a} = \frac{f(x)}{x} + a \frac{f(x)}{x(x - a)} .$$

Hence (for all  $x \geq M$ )

$$\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - l \right| \leq \left| \frac{f(x)}{x - a} - l \right| + \frac{1}{x} \left| a \frac{f(x)}{x - a} \right| \leq 2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{x} |a(l + 2\varepsilon)| .$$

This enables us to see that there exists  $M' \geq M$  such that  $x \geq M' \Rightarrow \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - l \right| \leq 3\varepsilon$ , and this concludes the proof.