

I am a first year Ph.D. student, working with Peter Koepke. Currently, we are discussing possible behaviors of the 2^κ -function under the negation of the axiom of choice.

In [AK10], Arthur Apter and Peter Koepke determine various exact consistency strengths of the negation of the Singular Cardinal Hypothesis in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory. For instance, they prove the equiconsistency of the following two theories, for any $\alpha \geq 2$ fixed: $ZFC + \text{“there is a measurable cardinal”}$, and $ZF + \neg AC + \text{“GCH holds below } \aleph_\omega\text{”} + \text{“there is a surjective function } f : [\aleph_\omega]^\omega \rightarrow \aleph_{\omega+\alpha}\text{”}$.

It is possible to avoid the assumption of a measurable cardinal, if one only considers surjections from $\wp(\aleph_\omega)$ (instead of $[\aleph_\omega]^\omega$):

Theorem ([GK12]). *Let V be a ground model of $ZFC + GCH$ and λ a cardinal in V . Then there exists a cardinal preserving model $N \supseteq V$ of the theory $ZF + \text{“GCH holds below } \kappa\text{”} + \text{“there is a surjective function } f : \wp(\aleph_\omega) \rightarrow \lambda\text{”}$.*

The rough ideas from [GK12] can be described as follows: For every $n < \omega$, \aleph_{n+1} -many Cohen subsets are added to \aleph_{n+1} . Furthermore, λ -many subsets of \aleph_ω are adjoined, each of which restricted to any interval $[\aleph_n, \aleph_{n+1})$ is eventually equal to one Cohen subset. Let N be the choiceless submodel generated by certain equivalence classes of the adjoined \aleph_ω -subsets. An isomorphism argument gives that any $X \subseteq \text{Ord}$ located in N must already be contained in a “mild” V -generic extension; consequently, cardinals are N - V -absolute.

In any ZF -model, one can define for cardinals \aleph_α :

$$\theta(\aleph_\alpha) := \sup\{\xi \mid \text{there is a surjective function } f : \wp(\aleph_\alpha) \rightarrow \xi\}.$$

Concerning the model N constructed above, one can show that, indeed, $\theta(\aleph_\omega) = \lambda^+$. Furthermore, the results from [GK12] can be generalized to arbitrary cardinals \aleph_α .

At the moment, we are working on the following question: Given a (sufficiently reasonable) function $E : \text{Ord} \rightarrow \text{Ord}$, is there a ZF -model N in which $\theta(\aleph_\alpha) = E(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \text{Ord}$?

My diploma thesis was in the area of forcing and large cardinals. The first part dealt with the question how the folklore *factor lemma* for forcing iterations can be strengthened (under reasonable circumstances). The second part was based on Joel Hamkin’s article *The lottery preparation* [Ham00], where he introduces a preparatory forcing that makes a variety of large cardinals indestructible by certain types of forcing. Under the assumption that there are no supercompact limits of supercompact cardinals in V , an easy modification leads to a class forcing extension $V[G]$ with the same supercompacts as V , where additionally, every supercompact cardinal κ is indestructible by $< \kappa$ -directed closed forcing.

References

- [AK10] Arthur Apter and Peter Koepke, *The consistency strength of choiceless failures of SCH*, Journal of Symbolic Logic **75** (3), 2010, 1066 – 1080.
- [GK12] Moti Gitik and Peter Koepke, *Violating the Singular Cardinal Hypothesis without large cardinals*, 2012, submitted.
- [Ham00] Joel D. Hamkins, *The lottery preparation*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, **101** (2 - 3), 2000, 103 – 146.