

**Title:** Major and Descent Statistics for the even-signed Permutation Group

**Proposed running head:** Major and Descent Statistics

**Author:** Biagioli Riccardo

**Affiliation and address:**

Università di Roma “La Sapienza”

Dipartimento di Matematica

P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

**e-mail:** [biagioli@mat.uniroma1.it](mailto:biagioli@mat.uniroma1.it)

**phone number:** 39 06 49913134

**fax number:** 39 06 44701007

## ABSTRACT

We introduce and study three new statistics on the even-signed permutation group  $D_n$ . We show that two of these are Mahonian, i.e. are equidistributed with length, and that a pair of them gives a generalization of Carlitz's identity on the Euler-Mahonian distribution of the descent number and major index over  $S_n$ .

**Key words:** major index, descent number, Coxeter Groups, Mahonian statistics.

# Major and Descent Statistics for the even-signed Permutation Group <sup>1</sup>

Riccardo Biagioli

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Roma I “La Sapienza”

00185 Roma, Italy

biagioli@mat.uniroma1.it

## Abstract

We introduce and study three new statistics on the even-signed permutation group  $D_n$ . We show that two of these are Mahonian, i.e. are equidistributed with length, and that a pair of them gives a generalization of Carlitz’s identity on the Euler-Mahonian distribution of the descent number and major index over  $S_n$ .

## 1 Introduction

A well known classical result due to MacMahon (see [14]) asserts that the inversion number and the major index are equidistributed on the symmetric group. The joint distribution of major index and descent number was studied by Carlitz [6] and others. Several results of this nature have been generalized to the hyperoctahedral group  $B_n$  (see, e.g., [5],[12]) and many candidates for a major index for  $B_n$  have been suggested (see, e.g., [7],[8],[9],[11],[17]), but no generalizations of MacMahon’s result have been found until the discovery of the flag major index in the recent paper [1]. After that, Foata posed the problem of finding a “descent statistic” that, together with the flag major index, allows the generalization to  $B_n$  of the well known Carlitz’s identity on the Euler-Mahonian distribution of descent number and major index over  $S_n$ . In [2] Adin, Brenti and Roichman give two answers to Foata’s question. Now it’s natural to wonder if some of these statistics and results can be generalized to the even-signed permutation group  $D_n$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05A15, 05A30; Secondary 05E15.

The goal of this paper is to show that this is the case. More precisely, we introduce and study three new statistics on  $D_n$ ; the  $D$ -negative descent number ( $ddes$ ), the  $D$ -negative major index ( $dmaj$ ) and the  $D$ -flag major index ( $fmaJ_D$ ). When restricted to  $S_n$ ,  $ddes$  reduces to descent number and  $dmaj$  to the major index. The two major indices on  $D_n$  are equidistributed with length, and the pair  $(ddes, dmaj)$  gives a generalization of Carlitz's identity to  $D_n$ .

The organization of the paper is as follows. In the next section we collect some definitions, notation and results that are needed in the rest of the work. In §3 we introduce a new “descent set” and hence in a very natural way new definitions of “descent number” and “major index” on  $D_n$ . It's shown that  $dmaj$  is equidistributed with length and that  $(ddes, dmaj)$  gives a generalization of Carlitz's identity. In §4 we define, in terms of Coxeter elements, the  $D$ -flag major index for  $D_n$  and we show that it's equidistributed with length. Furthermore, we describe a combinatorial algorithm to compute it. Finally, in §5 we discuss some open problems arising from our work.

## 2 Notation, Definitions and Preliminaries

In this section we give some definitions, notation and results that will be used in the rest of this work. We let  $\mathbf{P} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$  be the set of integers and  $\mathbf{C}$  be the set of complex numbers; for  $a \in \mathbf{N}$  we let  $[a] := \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$  (where  $[0] := \emptyset$ ). Given  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n \leq m$ , we let  $[n, m] := \{n, n+1, \dots, m\}$ . The cardinality of a set  $A$  will be denoted by  $|A|$  and we let  $\binom{[n]}{2} := \{S \subseteq [n] : |S| = 2\}$ . More generally, given a multiset  $M = \{1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots, r^{a_r}\}$  we denote by  $|M|$  its cardinality, so  $|M| = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i$ . Given a variable  $q$  and a commutative ring  $R$  we denote by  $R[q]$  (respectively,  $R[[q]]$ ) the ring of polynomials (respectively, formal power series) in  $q$  with coefficient in  $R$ . For  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  we let, as customary,  $[i]_q := 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{i-1}$  (so  $[0]_q = 0$ ).

Given a sequence  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  we say that  $(i, j) \in [n] \times [n]$  is an *inversion* of  $\sigma$  if  $i < j$  and  $a_i > a_j$ . We say that  $i \in [n-1]$  is a *descent* of  $\sigma$  if  $a_i > a_{i+1}$ . We denote by  $Inv(\sigma)$  and  $Des(\sigma)$  the set of inversions and the set of descents of  $\sigma$  and by  $inv(\sigma)$  and  $des(\sigma)$  their cardinalities, respectively. We also let

$$maj(\sigma) := \sum_{i \in Des(\sigma)} i \tag{1}$$

and call it the *major index* of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $S_n$  be the set of all bijections  $\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ . If  $\sigma \in S_n$  then we write  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$  to mean that  $\sigma(i) = \sigma_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $\sigma \in S_n$  then we may also write  $\sigma$  in *disjoint cycle form* (see, e.g., [15, p.17]) and we will usually omit to write the 1-cycles of  $\sigma$ . For example, if  $\sigma = 64175823$  then we also write  $\sigma = (2, 4, 7)(1, 6, 8, 3)$ . Given  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$  we let  $\sigma\tau := \sigma \circ \tau$  (composition of functions) so that, for example,  $(1, 2)(2, 3) = (1, 2, 3)$ .

We denote by  $B_n$  the group of all bijections  $\pi$  of the set  $[-n, n] \setminus \{0\}$  onto itself such that

$$\pi(-a) = -\pi(a)$$

for all  $a \in [-n, n] \setminus \{0\}$ , with composition as the group operation. This group is usually known as the group of *signed permutations* on  $[n]$ , or as the *hyperoctahedral group* of rank  $n$ . We identify  $S_n$  as a subgroup of  $B_n$ , and  $B_n$  as a subgroup of  $S_{2n}$ , in the natural ways.

If  $\pi \in B_n$  then we write  $\pi = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$  to mean that  $\pi(i) = a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we call this the *window* notation of  $w$ , and we let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}(\pi) &:= \text{inv}(a_1, \dots, a_n), \\ \text{des}(\pi) &:= \text{des}(a_1, \dots, a_n), \\ \text{maj}(\pi) &:= \text{maj}(a_1, \dots, a_n), \\ \text{Neg}(\pi) &:= \{i \in [n] : a_i < 0\}, \\ N_1(\pi) &:= |\text{Neg}(\pi)|, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and

$$N_2(\pi) := |\{\{i, j\} \in \binom{[n]}{2} : a_i + a_j < 0\}|. \tag{3}$$

We denote by  $D_n$  the subgroup of  $B_n$  consisting of all the signed permutations having an even number of negative entries in their window notation, more precisely

$$D_n := \{\pi \in B_n : N_1(\pi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}.$$

Obviously, the definitions in (2) and (3) are still valid for  $\pi \in D_n$ .

It is well known (see, e.g., [4, §8.2]) that  $D_n$  is a Coxeter group with respect to the generating set  $S := \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$  where

$$s_0 := [-2, -1, 3, \dots, n]$$

and

$$s_i := [1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, i, i+2, \dots, n]$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . This gives rise to another natural statistic on  $D_n$ , the *length* (similarly definable for any Coxeter group), namely

$$\ell(\pi) := \min\{r \in \mathbf{N} : \pi = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_r} \text{ for some } i_1, \dots, i_r \in [0, n-1]\}.$$

There is a well known direct combinatorial way to compute this statistic for  $\pi \in D_n$  (see, e.g., [4, §8.2]), namely

$$\ell(\pi) = \text{inv}(\pi) - \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi)} \pi(i) - N_1(\pi). \quad (4)$$

It's not hard to prove that for all  $\pi \in B_n$  (and so also for  $\pi \in D_n$ ),

$$N_1(\pi) + N_2(\pi) = - \sum_{i \in \text{Neg}(\pi)} \pi(i), \quad (5)$$

so equivalently (4) becomes

$$\ell(\pi) = \text{inv}(\pi) + N_2(\pi). \quad (6)$$

For example, if  $\pi := [-4, 1, 3, -5, -2, -6] \in D_6$  then  $\text{inv}(\pi) = 10$ ,  $\text{des}(\pi) = 2$ ,  $\text{maj}(\pi) = 8$ ,  $N_1(\pi) = 4$ ,  $N_2(\pi) = 13$  and  $\ell(\pi) = 23$ .

We follow [4] for general Coxeter group notation and terminology. In particular, let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system, for  $J \subseteq S$  we let  $W_J$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $J$ , and

$$W^J := \{w \in W : \ell(ws) > \ell(w) \text{ for all } s \in J\}.$$

We call  $W_J$  the *parabolic subgroup* generated by  $J$  and  $W^J$  the *set of minimal left coset representatives* of  $W_J$  or the *quotient*. The quotient  $W^J$  is a poset according to the Bruhat order (see, e.g., [4] or [13]).

The following is well known (see, e.g., [4] or [13]).

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $J \subseteq S$ . Then:*

- i) *Every  $w \in W$  has a unique factorization  $w = w^J w_J$  such that  $w^J \in W^J$  and  $w_J \in W_J$ .*

ii) For this factorization  $\ell(w) = \ell(w^J) + \ell(w_J)$ .

Now we let

$$T := \{\pi \in D_n : des(\pi) = 0\}. \quad (7)$$

It is well known, and easy to see, that

$$D_n = \bigsqcup_{u \in S_n} \{\pi u : \pi \in T\}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\bigsqcup$  denotes disjoint union. We will often use this decomposition in this paper. Note that (8) is one case of the multiplicative decomposition of a Coxeter group into a parabolic subgroup and its minimal coset representatives (see Proposition 2.1), more precisely  $T$  is the quotient corresponding to the maximal parabolic subgroup generated by  $J := S \setminus \{s_0\}$ . In the next section we will analyze this issue in more detail.

For  $n \in \mathbf{P}$  we let

$$A_n(t, q) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} t^{des(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)},$$

and  $A_0(t, q) := 1$ . For example,  $A_3(t, q) = 1 + 2tq^2 + 2tq + t^2q^3$ . The following result is due to Carlitz, and we refer the reader to [6] for its proof.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{P}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} [r + 1]_q^n t^r = \frac{A_n(t, q)}{\prod_{i=0}^n (1 - tq^i)} \quad (9)$$

in  $\mathbf{Z}[q][[t]]$ .

### 3 The “Negative” Statistics

In this section we define a new “descent set” for elements of  $D_n$ . This gives rise, in a very natural way, to the definitions of “major index” and “descent number” for  $D_n$ . We then show that these two statistics give a generalization of Carlitz’s identity to  $D_n$ , and that the former is equidistributed with length.

#### 3.1 The $D$ -Negative Descent Multiset

For  $\pi \in D_n$  let

$$Des(\pi) := \{i \in [n - 1] : \pi(i) > \pi(i + 1)\},$$

we define the *D-negative descent multiset*

$$DDes(\pi) := Des(\pi) \uplus \{-\pi(i) - 1 : i \in Neg(\pi)\} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (10)$$

where  $Neg(\pi)$  is the set of positions of negative entries in  $\pi$ , defined in (2).

For example, if  $\pi = [-4, 1, 3, -5, -2, -6] \in D_6$  then  $Des(\pi) = \{3, 5\}$  and  $DDes(\pi) = \{1, 3^2, 4, 5^2\}$ .

Note that if  $\pi \in S_n$  then  $DDes(\pi)$  is a set and coincides with the usual descent set of  $\pi$ . Also, note that  $DDes(\pi)$  can be defined rather naturally also in purely Coxeter group theoretic terms. In fact, for  $i \in [n-1]$  let  $\xi_i \in D_n$  be defined by

$$\xi_i := [-1, 2, \dots, i, -i-1, i+2, \dots, n].$$

Then  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$  are reflections (in the Coxeter group sense, see e.g., [4] or [13]) of  $D_n$  and it is clear from (4) that

$$DDes(\pi) := \{i \in [n-1] : \ell(\pi s_i) < \ell(\pi)\} \uplus \{i \in [n-1] : \ell(\pi^{-1} \xi_i) < \ell(\pi^{-1})\}.$$

These considerations explain why it is natural to think of  $DDes(\pi)$  as a “descent set”, so the following definitions are natural.

For  $\pi \in D_n$  we let

$$ddes(\pi) := |DDes(\pi)|$$

and

$$dmaj(\pi) := \sum_{i \in DDes(\pi)} i.$$

For example if  $\pi = [-4, 1, 3, -5, -2, -6] \in D_6$  then  $ddes(\pi) = 6$ , and  $dmaj(\pi) = 21$ .

Note that from (10) there follows that

$$dmaj(\pi) = maj(\pi) - \sum_{i \in Neg(\pi)} \pi(i) - N_1(\pi) = maj(\pi) + N_2(\pi). \quad (11)$$

This formula is also one of the motivations behind our definition of  $dmaj(\pi)$ , because of the corresponding formulas (4) and (6) (see also [2]).

Also note that

$$ddes(\pi) = des(\pi) + N_1(\pi) + \epsilon(\pi), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\epsilon(\pi) := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 1 \notin \pi([n]) \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \in \pi([n]). \end{cases}$$



### 3.2 Equidistribution

Our first result shows that  $dmaj$  and  $\ell$  are equidistributed in  $D_n$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{P}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \in D_n} q^{dmaj(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in D_n} q^{\ell(\pi)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be defined by (7). It is clear from our definitions that for all  $u \in S_n$  and  $\sigma \in T$ ,

$$maj(\sigma u) = maj(u), \quad inv(\sigma u) = inv(u), \quad N_2(\sigma u) = N_2(\sigma). \quad (13)$$

Therefore, from (6), (8), (11) and the corresponding result for  $S_n$  (see, e.g., [10] or [14, Chapter VI]), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in D_n} q^{dmaj(\pi)} &= \sum_{\sigma \in T} \sum_{u \in S_n} q^{dmaj(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in T} \sum_{u \in S_n} q^{maj(\sigma u) + N_2(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in T} q^{N_2(\sigma)} \sum_{u \in S_n} q^{maj(u)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in T} q^{N_2(\sigma)} \sum_{u \in S_n} q^{inv(u)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in T} \sum_{u \in S_n} q^{inv(\sigma u) + N_2(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in D_n} q^{\ell(\pi)}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

### 3.3 Generalization of Carlitz's Identity

We start with some notation and terminology concerning partitions (see [16, §7.2]). By an (integer) *strict partition* we mean a sequence of positive integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  such that  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_k$ . We denote by  $|\lambda| := \sum_i \lambda_i$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_S$  the set of all (integer) strict partitions. For any  $\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_S$  we define  $\mu \subseteq \lambda$  if  $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$  for all  $i$ . Given  $n \in \mathbf{P}$  we let

$$\mathcal{P}_S(n) := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_S : \lambda \subseteq (n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)\}.$$

As before, let  $T = \{\pi \in D_n : des(\pi) = 0\}$  so

$$T = \{\pi \in D_n : \pi(1) < \pi(2) < \dots < \pi(n)\}.$$

Therefore, given  $\pi \in T, \pi \neq e$ , there is a unique even  $k \in [n]$  such that

$$\pi(k) < 0 < \pi(k+1).$$

Given  $\pi \in T$  we associate to  $\pi$  the strict partition

$$\Lambda(\pi) := (-\pi(1) - 1, -\pi(2) - 1, \dots, -\pi(k) - 1). \quad (14)$$

Note that the last entry of  $\Lambda(\pi)$  is equal to 0 if  $\pi(k) = -1$ .

The following is known (see, e.g., [3]).

**Proposition 3.2** *The map  $\Lambda$  defined by (14) is a bijection between  $T$  and  $\mathcal{P}_S(n-1)$ . Furthermore  $\ell(\pi) = |\Lambda(\pi)|$  and  $\pi \leq \sigma$  in  $T$  if and only if  $\Lambda(\pi) \subseteq \Lambda(\sigma)$ , for all  $\pi, \sigma \in T$ .*

We will find it convenient to identify a strict partition  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_S(n)$  with a subset of  $[n]$ . In fact we have an inclusion preserving obvious bijection  $\phi$  between  $\mathcal{P}_S(n)$  and  $\wp(n) := \{S : S \subseteq [n]\}$  given by:

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \xleftrightarrow{\phi} \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}.$$

We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{P}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in T} t^{N_1(\sigma) + \epsilon(\sigma)} q^{N_2(\sigma)} = \sum_{S \subseteq [n-1]} t^{|S|} q^{\sum_{i \in S} i} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + tq^i).$$

**Proof.** From (6) we have that  $N_2(\sigma) = \ell(\sigma)$ , for all  $\sigma \in T$ . By Proposition 3.2 we have  $\ell(\sigma) = |\Lambda(\sigma)|$  and by definition of  $\phi$  that  $|\Lambda(\sigma)| = \sum_{i \in \phi(\Lambda(\sigma))} i$ . Therefore  $N_2(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \phi(\Lambda(\sigma))} i$ .

Let  $\sigma \in T$ . Suppose first that  $1 \in \sigma([n])$ , then  $|\phi(\Lambda(\sigma))| = N_1(\sigma)$ . On the other hand, if  $1 \notin \sigma([n])$ , we have that  $|\phi(\Lambda(\sigma))| = N_1(\sigma) - 1$ . Hence  $|\phi(\Lambda(\sigma))| = N_1(\sigma) + \epsilon(\sigma)$ , and if we let  $S = \phi(\Lambda(\sigma))$  the result follows.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result of this work, namely that the pair of statistics  $(d\text{des}, d\text{maj})$  solves Foata's problem for the group of even-signed permutations  $D_n$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{P}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} [r + 1]_q^n t^r = \frac{\sum_{\pi \in D_n} t^{d_{des}(\pi)} q^{dmaj(\pi)}}{(1-t)(1-tq^n) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-t^2 q^{2i})} \quad (15)$$

in  $\mathbf{Z}[q][[t]]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be defined by (7). Then it is clear from our definitions that

$$des(\sigma u) = des(u), \quad N_1(\sigma u) = N_1(\sigma), \quad \epsilon(\sigma u) = \epsilon(\sigma)$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in Neg(\sigma u)} \sigma u(i) = \sum_{i \in Neg(\sigma)} \sigma(i),$$

for all  $\sigma \in T$  and  $u \in S_n$ . Therefore we have from (8), (11), (12), (13) and Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in D_n} t^{d_{des}(\pi)} q^{dmaj(\pi)} &= \sum_{\sigma \in T} \sum_{u \in S_n} t^{des(\sigma u) + N_1(\sigma u) + \epsilon(\sigma u)} q^{maj(\sigma u) + N_2(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in T} t^{N_1(\sigma) + \epsilon(\sigma)} q^{N_2(\sigma)} \sum_{u \in S_n} t^{des(u)} q^{maj(u)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + tq^i) \sum_{u \in S_n} t^{des(u)} q^{maj(u)} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

Note that, as in (9) for  $S_n$  and in ([2, Theorem 3.2]) for  $B_n$ , the powers of  $q$  in the denominator of formula (15) are the Coxeter degrees of  $D_n$  (see [13, p.59]).

## 4 The Flag Major Index for $D_n$

In this section we introduce another new ‘‘major index’’ statistic for  $D_n$ . This is an analogue of the flag major index introduced in [1]. We show that this statistic is equidistributed with length and we give a combinatorial algorithm to compute it.

### 4.1 The $D$ -Flag Major Index

For  $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$  we define

$$t_i := s_i s_{i-1} \cdots s_0, \quad (16)$$

explicitly for all  $i \in [n - 1]$

$$t_i = [-1, -i - 1, 2, 3, \dots, i, i + 2, \dots, n], \quad (17)$$

and for  $i = 0$

$$t_0 = [-2, -1, 3, \dots, n] = s_0. \quad (18)$$

These are Coxeter elements (see e.g., [13, §3.16]), in a distinguished flag of parabolic subgroups

$$1 < G_1 < G_2 < \dots < G_n = D_n$$

where  $G_i \simeq D_i$  ( $i \geq 2$ ) is the parabolic subgroup of  $D_n$  generated by  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}$ . The family  $\{t_i\}_i$  is a new set of generators for  $D_n$ , and we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1** *For every  $\pi \in D_n$  there exists a unique representation*

$$\pi = t_0^{h_{n-1}} t_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} t_0^{h_{n-2}} t_{n-2}^{k_{n-2}} \dots t_0^{h_1} t_1^{k_1} \quad (19)$$

with  $0 \leq h_r \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq k_r \leq 2r - 1$  and

$$k_r \in \{2r - 1, r - 1\} \text{ if } h_r = 1 \quad (20)$$

for all  $r = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 2$  the result is clear, so suppose  $n \geq 3$ . We define

$$D_{n,*} := \{t_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} w : k_{n-1} \in [0, 2n - 3], w \in D_{n-1}\},$$

$$D_{n,1} := \{t_0 t_{n-1}^{2n-3} w : w \in D_{n-1}\},$$

$$D_{n,-1} := \{t_0 t_{n-1}^{n-2} w : w \in D_{n-1}\}.$$

It is not hard to see that  $|D_{n,1}| = |D_{n,-1}| = |D_{n-1}|$  and that  $D_{n,1} \cap D_{n,-1} = \emptyset$  as  $\pi(n) = 1$  and  $\sigma(n) = -1$ , for all  $\pi \in D_{n,1}$  and  $\sigma \in D_{n,-1}$ .

On the other hand if  $t_{n-1}^r w_1 = t_{n-1}^s w_2$  with  $w_1, w_2 \in D_{n-1}$  and  $r, s \in [0, 2n - 3]$ , it is easy to see that  $r = s$  and  $w_1 = w_2$ , hence  $|D_{n,*}| = (2n - 2)|D_{n-1}|$ . Moreover the elements  $\pi \in D_{n,*}$  satisfy  $\pi(n) \neq \pm 1$ . Therefore we have the following decomposition of  $D_n$

$$D_n = D_{n,*} \uplus D_{n,1} \uplus D_{n,-1},$$

and so the result follows by induction.  $\square$

Note that the representation (19) is not unique if we drop the condition (20). For example consider  $\pi = [-2, 4, 1, -3] \in D_4$ , then  $\pi$  has two different representations of type (19), namely,  $\pi = t_3^3 t_0 t_2 t_0 t_1$  and  $\pi = t_0 t_3^3 t_2 t_0 t_1$ . The representation of Proposition 4.1 is the first one.

Let  $\pi \in D_n$ , then we define the *D-flag major index* of  $\pi$  by

$$fma_{jD}(\pi) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_i. \quad (21)$$

## 4.2 Equidistribution

For  $0 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$  we define  $r_{n,m} \in D_n$  as follows: for  $n = 2$ ,

$$r_{2,m} := \begin{cases} e & \text{if } m = 0 \\ s_1 & \text{if } m = 1 \\ s_1 s_0 & \text{if } m = 2 \\ s_0 & \text{if } m = 3 \end{cases}$$

and for  $n > 2$ ,

$$r_{n,m} := \begin{cases} e & \text{if } m = 0 \\ s_{n-m} s_{n-m+1} \cdots s_{n-1} & \text{if } 0 < m < n \\ s_{m-n+1} s_{m-n} \cdots s_0 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} & \text{if } n \leq m < 2n - 1 \\ s_0 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} & \text{if } m = 2n - 1 \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{r_{n,m} : 0 \leq m < 2n\}$  forms a complete set of representatives of minimal length for the left cosets of  $D_{n-1}$  in  $D_n$ . Moreover this is still valid for every  $i \in [3, n]$ , namely,  $r_{i,m} \in D_i^{J_i}$  for all  $m \in [0, 2i - 1]$ , where  $J_i := S \setminus \{s_{n-1}, \dots, s_{i-1}\}$ . Hence we have the following decomposition

$$D_n = D_n^{J_n} D_{n-1}^{J_{n-1}} \cdots D_2.$$

Note that the length of  $r_{i,m}$  is  $\bar{m}$ , where

$$\bar{m} := \begin{cases} m & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq 2i - 2 \\ i - 1 & \text{if } m = 2i - 1. \end{cases}$$

From *i*) of Proposition 2.1 we know that each element  $\pi \in D_n$  has a unique representation as a product

$$\pi = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} r_{n+1-k, m_{n+1-k}} \quad (22)$$

where  $0 \leq m_j < 2j$  for all  $j$ . From *ii*) of Proposition 2.1 it follows that

$$\ell(\pi) = \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{m}_j. \quad (23)$$

Thanks to the unique representation (22) we define a map  $\phi : D_n \rightarrow D_n$  in the following way:

$$\phi\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} r_{n+1-k, m_{n+1-k}}\right) := \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \phi(r_{n+1-k, m_{n+1-k}}),$$

where for  $i \neq 2$ ,

$$\phi(r_{i, m}) := \begin{cases} t_{i-1}^m & \text{if } m < 2i - 2 \\ t_0 t_{i-1}^{\bar{m}-1} & \text{if } 2i - 2 \leq m \leq 2i - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $i = 2$ ,

$$\phi(r_{2, m}) := \begin{cases} e & \text{if } m = 0 \\ t_1 & \text{if } m = 1 \\ t_0 t_1 & \text{if } m = 2 \\ t_0 & \text{if } m = 3. \end{cases}$$

The definition of  $\phi$ , together with Proposition 4.1 and (22), imply the following result.

**Proposition 4.2** *The map  $\phi : D_n \rightarrow D_n$  is a bijection.* □

Now we are ready to state the main result of this section, namely that the  $D$ -flag major index is equidistributed with the length in  $D_n$ .

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{P}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \in D_n} q^{f\text{maj}_D(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in D_n} q^{\ell(\pi)}.$$

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.2 and the definition of  $\bar{m}$ , the map  $\phi$  is a bijection which sends the length function in the  $D$ -flag major index.  $\square$

Note that the  $B$ -flag major index ( $fmaj$ ) defined on  $B_n$  (see [1]) does not work on  $D_n$ . Namely if we consider  $\pi \in D_n$  as an element of  $B_n$ , then  $fmaj(\pi)$  is not equidistributed with length on  $D_n$ . For example, if  $\pi = [-2, -1]$  then  $fmaj_D(\pi) = 1$  while  $fmaj(\pi) = 4$ , and in  $D_2$  there is no element of length 4.

Note also that  $fmaj_D$  restricted to  $S_n$  is not the major index and it's not equidistributed with length. It seems to be a new statistic on  $S_n$ . It's easy to see that for each  $\pi \in S_n$ ,  $fmaj_D(\pi)$  is always even and that  $fmaj_D(\pi) \geq maj(\pi)$ . If we let  $E_n(q) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{fmaj_D(\pi)}$ , for  $n \leq 4$  we have  $E_1(q) = 1$ ,  $E_2(q) = 1 + q^2$ ,  $E_3(q) = 1 + 3q^2 + q^4 + q^6$  and  $E_4(q) = 1 + 5q^2 + 6q^4 + 7q^6 + 3q^8 + q^{10} + q^{12}$ .

### 4.3 A combinatorial algorithm

In this section we describe a combinatorial algorithm that allows us to compute the  $D$ -flag major index  $fmaj_D$ , without using the representation of Proposition 4.1.

Let  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  and  $i \geq 1$ . We use this *split-notation*

$$\sigma = [a_1][a_2, \dots, a_{i+1}][a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n].$$

Sometimes it will be useful to denote the first part by  $A$  and the second by  $C_i$  where  $i$  represents the number of its elements.

We define the following operations on  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ :

$$\overset{0}{\sigma}_i := [-a_2][-a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{i+1}][a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n],$$

and

$$\overset{1}{\sigma}_i := [-a_1][-a_{i+1}, a_2, \dots, a_i][a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n].$$

In these cases we will write  $\overset{0}{\sigma}_i = (A^0, C_i^0, [a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n])$  and  $\overset{1}{\sigma}_i = (\overset{1}{A}, \overset{1}{C}_i, [a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n])$ .

Moreover for all  $n \in \mathbf{P}$  we define

$$\overset{n}{\sigma}_i := \overset{1}{\sigma}_i \circ \dots \circ \overset{1}{\sigma}_i \quad n\text{-times.} \quad (24)$$

Note that for every  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  and  $i \geq 1$ ,  $\overset{2^i}{\sigma}_i = \sigma$ .

For example, if  $\pi \in D_5$ ,  $\pi = [-2][1, 3, -4, 5] = (A, C_4)$ , then

$$\overset{0}{\pi}_4 = [-1][2, 3, -4, 5] = (A^0, C_4^0),$$

$$\vec{\pi}_4^5 = [2][5, -1, -3, 4] = (\vec{A}_5, \vec{C}_4),$$

and

$$\vec{\pi}_3^2 = [-2][-3, 4, 1][5] = (\vec{A}_3, \vec{C}_3, [5]).$$

These are the two technical properties that we will use in the algorithm. Fix  $i \in [n-1]$ , let  $t_i$  be as in (17),

$$t_i = [-1][-i-1, 2, 3, \dots, i][i+2, \dots, n].$$

It's easy to see that for all  $i \in [n-1]$  we have

$$t_i^2 = t_i t_i = \vec{t}_i^1, \quad (25)$$

and by (24) that for  $k \in \mathbf{P}$

$$t_i^k = \vec{t}_i^{k-1}. \quad (26)$$

Now consider  $t_{i-1} = [-1][-i, 2, \dots, i-1][i+1, \dots, n]$ . As before it is not hard to see that

$$t_i t_{i-1} = \vec{t}_{i-1}^1. \quad (27)$$

Now we are able to state the algorithm to compute the unique representation of  $\pi$  as in Proposition 4.1, namely

$$\pi = f_{n-1} \cdots f_1$$

where for all  $r \in [n-1]$ ,  $f_r = t_0^{h_r} t_r^{k_r}$  with  $h_r \in [0, 1]$  and  $k_r \in [0, 2r-1]$ .

We construct a sequence  $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$  of elements of  $D_n$  such that

- i)  $e_0 = e$ ,  $e_{n-1} = \pi$ ;
- ii)  $e_i = f_{n-1} \cdots f_{n-i}$ , for all  $i \in [1, n-1]$ ;
- iii)  $\pi(j) = e_i(j)$ , for all  $j > n-i$ .

From iii) there immediately follows that  $e_{n-1} = \pi$ .

We need to do  $n-1$  steps. From now on to avoid confusion, we put on  $A$  an index corresponding to the number of steps. We begin with  $e_0 = [1][2, \dots, n]$ . Assume that  $e_{n-i}$  has been constructed, and we will construct  $e_{n-i+1} = e_{n-i} f_{i-1}$ . Then by iii),

$$e_{n-i} = (A_{n-i}, C_{i-1}, [\pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n)]).$$



For simplicity, we define  $p(i)$  and  $p(-i)$  to be the positions of  $\pi(i)$  and  $-\pi(i)$  in  $C_{i-1}$  or  $C_{i-1}^0$  respectively. There are four cases to consider.

**1)**  $\pi(i) \in C_{i-1}$

Then we let  $k_{i-1} = i - 1 - p(i)$  and  $h_{i-1} = 0$ . Hence  $f_{i-1} = t_{i-1}^{i-1-p(i)}$ .

**2)**  $-\pi(i) \in C_{i-1}$

Then we let  $k_{i-1} = 2i - 2 - p(-i)$  and  $h_{i-1} = 0$ . Hence  $f_{i-1} = t_{i-1}^{2i-2-p(-i)}$ .

**3)**  $\pi(i) \in A_{n-i}$

Then  $-\pi(i) \in C_{i-1}^0$  and in particular  $p(-i) = 1$ . We let  $k_{i-1} = 2i - 3$  and  $h_{i-1} = 1$ . Hence  $f_{i-1} = t_0 t_{i-1}^{2i-3}$ .

**4)**  $-\pi(i) \in A_{n-i}$

Then  $\pi(i) \in C_{i-1}^0$  and  $p(i) = 1$ . We let  $k_{i-1} = i - 2$  and  $h_{i-1} = 1$ . Hence  $f_{i-1} = t_0 t_{i-1}^{i-2}$ .

We have determined the factor  $f_{i-1}$ . By *ii*) we let  $e_{n-i+1} = e_{n-1} f_{i-1}$ . From (24) and (26) it follows that  $e_{n-i+1}(i) = \pi(i)$  and by (27) *iii*) again holds.

Therefore

$$e_{n-i+1} = (A_{n-i+1}, C_{i-2}, [\pi(i), \dots, \pi(n)]),$$

where in cases 1) and 2),

$$A_{n-i+1} := A_{n-i}^{\rightarrow k_{i-1}}, \quad C_{i-2} := C_{i-1}^{\rightarrow k_{i-1}} \setminus [\pi(i)],$$

while in cases 3) and 4),

$$A_{n-i+1} := A_{n-i}^0{}^{\rightarrow k_{i-1}}, \quad C_{i-2} := C_{i-1}^0{}^{\rightarrow k_{i-1}} \setminus [\pi(i)].$$

Observe that in the first step  $p(n) = \pi(n) - 1$  and  $p(-n) = -\pi(n) - 1$ . These can be used for the computation of  $e_1$ .

We finish this section by illustrating the procedure with an example.

Let  $\pi = [5, 3, -4, 1, -2] \in D_5$ . We start from

$$e = e_0 = [1][2, 3, 4, 5] = (A_0, C_4).$$

*1<sup>st</sup> - step*)  $i = 5$ ,  $-\pi(5) = 2 \in C_4$

We are in case 2) and  $p(-5) = 1$ , so  $k_4 = 7$ ,  $h_4 = 0$  and  $f_4 = t_4^7$ .  
It follows that  $A_1 = \overrightarrow{A_0} = [-1]$  and  $C_3 = \overrightarrow{C_4} \setminus [-2] = [3, 4, 5]$ . Hence,

$$e_1 = [-1][3, 4, 5][-2].$$

$2^{nd} - step$   $i = 4$ ,  $-\pi(4) = -1 \in A_1$

We are in case 4) so  $k_3 = 2$ ,  $h_3 = 1$  and  $f_3 = t_0 t_3^2$ .  
It follows that  $A_2 = \overrightarrow{A_1} = [-3]$  and  $C_2 = \overrightarrow{C_3} \setminus [1] = [-4, -5]$ . Hence,

$$e_2 = [-3][-4, -5][1, -2].$$

$3^{rd} - step$   $i = 3$ ,  $\pi(3) = -4 \in C_2$

We are in case 1) and  $p(3) = 1$ , so  $k_2 = 1$ ,  $h_2 = 0$  and  $f_2 = t_2$ .  
It follows that  $A_3 = \overrightarrow{A_2} = [3]$  and  $C_1 = \overrightarrow{C_2} \setminus [-4] = [5]$ . Hence,

$$e_3 = [3][5][-4, 1, -2].$$

$4^{th} - step$   $i = 2$ ,  $\pi(2) = 3 \in A_3$

We are in case 3) so  $k_1 = 1$ ,  $h_1 = 1$  and  $f_1 = t_0 t_1$ .  
It follows that  $A_4 = \overrightarrow{A_3} = [5]$  and  $C_0 = \emptyset$ . Hence,

$$e_4 = [5][3, -4, 1, -2] = \pi,$$

and we are done. Finally  $\pi = t_4^7 t_0 t_3^2 t_2 t_0 t_1$  and  $f_{maj_D}(\pi) = 12$ .

## 5 Open Problems

We close this paper with a few open questions that we find interesting.

**(5.1)** In [1] Adin and Roichman define the flag major index statistic ( $f_{maj}$ ), and show that it's equidistributed with length in the hyperoctahedral group  $B_n$ . Moreover it appears naturally in the Hilbert series of the diagonal action invariant algebra. More precisely, let  $\mathbf{P}_n := \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the algebra of polynomials in  $n$  indeterminates. There is a natural action of  $G$  ( $G = A_{n-1}, B_n, D_n$ ) on  $\mathbf{P}_n$ ,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}_n)$  defined on the generators by  $\sigma(x_i) := x_{\sigma(i)}$  for  $\sigma \in G$ , where  $x_{-j} := -x_j$  for all  $j \in [n]$ . Consider now the tensor

power  $\mathbf{P}_n^{\otimes t} := \mathbf{P}_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{P}_n$  ( $t$ -times), with the natural *tensor action*  $\varphi_T$  of  $G^t := G \times \cdots \times G$  ( $t$ -times). The diagonal embedding  $d : G \hookrightarrow G^t$  defined by  $g \mapsto (g, \dots, g)$  defines the *diagonal action* of  $G$  on  $\mathbf{P}_n^{\otimes t}$ ,  $\varphi_D := \varphi_T \circ d$ .

The tensor invariant algebra

$$\text{TIA} := \{\bar{p} \in \mathbf{P}_n^{\otimes t} : \varphi_T(\bar{g})\bar{p} = \bar{p} \quad \forall \bar{g} \in G^t\}$$

is a subalgebra of the diagonal invariant algebra

$$\text{DIA} := \{\bar{p} \in \mathbf{P}_n^{\otimes t} : \varphi_D(g)\bar{p} = \bar{p} \quad \forall g \in G\}.$$

Let  $F_D(\bar{q})$ , be the Hilbert series for the dimensions of the homogeneous components in DIA,

$$F_D(\bar{q}) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_t} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{DIA}_{n_1, \dots, n_t}) q_1^{n_1} \cdots q_t^{n_t},$$

where  $\text{DIA}_{n_1, \dots, n_t}$  is the homogeneous piece of multi-degree  $(n_1, \dots, n_t)$  in DIA, and  $F_T(\bar{q})$  similarly defined for TIA. They show the following ([1]):

**Theorem 5.1** *For all  $n, t \geq 1$*

$$\frac{F_D(q)}{F_T(q)} = \sum_{\pi_1 \cdots \pi_t = e} \prod_{i=1}^t q_i^{\text{maj}(\pi_i)}$$

where the sum extends over all  $t$ -uples  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_t)$  of elements in  $G = B_n$  such that the product  $\pi_1 \cdots \pi_t$  is equal to the identity.

It would be interesting to investigate if in the case of  $G = D_n$  the analogue of Theorem 5.1 is still valid.

(5.2) In [2] Adin, Brenti and Roichman define a new descent statistic, the flag descent on  $B_n$ . This and *fmaj* extend Carlitz's result to  $B_n$ , answering Foata's question. Can, a similar flag descent statistic (*fdes<sub>D</sub>*?), be defined for  $D_n$  so that the pair of statistic (*fdes<sub>D</sub>*, *fma<sub>J</sub><sub>D</sub>*) gives a generalization of Carlitz's identity to  $D_n$  ?

**Acknowledgements.** I'm indebted to Francesco Brenti who introduced me to the subject and presented me with these questions. I would like to thank Paolo Papi, Anne Schilling and Dominique Foata for some useful conversations, and finally I express my gratitude to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for hospitality during the preparation of this work.

## References

- [1] R. M. Adin and Y. Roichman, *The Flag Major Index and Group Actions on Polynomial Rings*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **22** (2001), 431-446.
- [2] R. M. Adin, F. Brenti and Y. Roichman, *Descent Numbers and Major Indices for the Hyperoctahedral Group*, Adv. Applied Math., **27** (2001), 210-224.
- [3] R. Biagioli, *Closed Product Formulas for Extensions of Generalized Verma Modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [4] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups*, to appear on Grad. Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001
- [5] F. Brenti, *q-Eulerian Polynomials arising from Coxeter Groups*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **15** (1994), 417-441.
- [6] L. Carlitz, *A Combinatorial Property of q-Eulerian Numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **82** (1975), 51-54.
- [7] R. J. Clarke and D. Foata, *Eulerian Calculus. I. Univariable Statistics*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **15** (1994), 345-362.
- [8] R. J. Clarke and D. Foata, *Eulerian Calculus. II. An Extension of Han's Fundamental Transformation*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **16** (1995), 221-252.
- [9] R. J. Clarke and D. Foata, *Eulerian Calculus. III. The Ubiquitous Cauchy Formula*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **16** (1995), 329-355.
- [10] D. Foata, *On the Netto Inversion Number of a Sequence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **19** (1968), 236-240.
- [11] V. Reiner, *Signed Permutation Statistics*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **14** (1993), 553-567.
- [12] V. Reiner, *The Distribution of Descent and Length in a Coxeter Group*, Electron. J. Combinatorics, **2** (1995), R25.
- [13] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., no.29, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

- [14] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, vol.1, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1915.
- [15] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol.1, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Monterey, CA, 1986.
- [16] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol.2, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., no.62, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [17] E. Steingrímsson, *Permutation Statistics of Indexed Permutations*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **15** (1994), 187-205.