



a zone I, with 11 long storage tunnels; a part of the zone II, with 3 Figure 2: A part of a Waste Repository Site, with two different zones; storage units









There are several levels of upscaling

- from waste packages to a storage unit global model
- from storage units to a zone model
- from similar zones to the repository site global model

The use of Re-iterated Homogenization, could not be not straightforward !

different leading to different equations parameters or boundary (the phenomena to be taken in account at each level could be conditions)



(OR) From "Similar ZONES" to "the REPOSITORY SITE UNITS " to "a ZONE (I) From "STORAGE model" model"







Oberwolfach Conference - October 31/November4 - 2005 - Reactive Flow and Transport in Complex Systems







2 The Equations

$$\begin{split}
\omega^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}) + (\mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \lambda \omega^{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \operatorname{in} \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{T}(2) \\
\varphi_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = \varphi_{0}(x) & x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} & (3) \\
\varphi_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = \varphi_{0}(x) & x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} & (4) \\
\mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}) = \Phi(t) & \operatorname{on} \Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{T} & (4) \\
\varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \operatorname{on} S_{1}, \\
\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}) = 0 & \operatorname{on} S_{2} & (6) \\
\mathrm{with} & \mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon}(x_{2}) = \mathbf{A}(\frac{x_{2}}{\varepsilon}); \ \mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \mathbf{v}(x, \frac{x_{2}}{\varepsilon}, t); \ \omega^{\varepsilon}(x_{2}) = \omega(x_{2}/\varepsilon). \quad (7) \end{split}$$

17



$$\begin{split} \omega^{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}^{2} \nabla \varphi) + (\mathbf{v}^{2} \cdot \nabla) \varphi + \lambda \omega^{2} \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}^{T} \quad (10) \\ \varphi(x,0) = \varphi_{0}(x) \ x \in \tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \backslash \Sigma \qquad (11) \\ \varphi(x,0) = \varphi_{0}(x) \ x \in \tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \backslash \Sigma \quad (11) \\ \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } S_{1} \quad (12) \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{2} \nabla \varphi - \mathbf{v}^{2} \varphi) = 0 \quad \text{on } S_{2} \quad (13) \\ [\varphi] = \mathbf{0} \quad , \quad [\mathbf{e}_{2} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{2} \nabla \varphi - \mathbf{v}^{2} \varphi)] = -|\tilde{M}| \Phi \text{ on } \Sigma \quad , \quad (14) \\ \text{where } [\cdot] \text{ denotes the jump over } \Sigma, \text{ and } |\tilde{M}| \text{ stands for the limit of a storage unit area; } (\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}) area = [\tilde{M}] + O(\varepsilon^{\beta - 1}) \end{split}$$

Remark 1 We do not need exact periodicity in space, of the units. The same proof holds whenever each unit is randomly placed in a mesh of an ε - net.

The units do not even need to have the same shape as long as their thickness is small enough ($\ll \varepsilon$).

the space $\Phi(x,t)$ and the units have different shapes $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}(x)$, then the We may extend to a general case where the flux Φ depends also on right hand side of (14) has to be replaced by $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}(x)| \Phi(x', t)$.





In G_{ε} , the inner domain, we look for an asymptotic expansion of φ_{ε} :

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon} \simeq \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{0} + \varepsilon \left(\chi_{\varepsilon}^{k}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{0}}{\partial x_{k}} + w_{\varepsilon}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \Phi - \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{0} \rho_{\varepsilon}^{k}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) v_{k}^{1} \right) \equiv \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{1} \quad , \quad (15)$$

auxiliary stationary diffusion type problems posed in an infinite strip where φ_{ϵ}^{0} mimics the behaviour of φ but has two jumps respectively The functions $\chi_{\varepsilon}^k, \rho_{\varepsilon}^k$ and w_{ε} are 1-periodic solutions in y_1 of three $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{-} = \{-\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)\} \times] - \delta/2, \delta/2 [$, instead of only one on Σ . on $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{+} = \{\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)\} \times] - \delta/2, \delta/2$ [and on

$$\mathcal{G}_{arepsilon} = (\] - 1/2, 1/2 [imes \mathbf{R} \) igwedge \mathcal{M}_{arepsilon}$$

Alain Bourgeat / GdR MoMaS / UCB-Lyon1 /

4.1 Error estimates for the Matched expansion
With the approximation:

$$F_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{0} & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{G_{\varepsilon}} ; (\text{ outer expansion}) \\ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{0} + \varepsilon \left(\chi_{\varepsilon}^{k} (\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{0}}{\partial x_{k}} + w_{\varepsilon} (\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \psi_{\varepsilon} \right) \psi_{\varepsilon}^{1} \right) & \text{in } G_{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$
(16)
Theorem 1 For any $0 < \tau < 1$ there exists a constant $C_{\tau} > 0$ non
dependent on ε , such that

$$|\varphi_{\varepsilon} - F_{\varepsilon}|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(B_{\varepsilon}))} \leq C_{\tau} \varepsilon^{T} , \qquad (17)$$
where $B_{\varepsilon} = \Omega \setminus \partial G_{\varepsilon}.$

5 Conclusion of Part One

The expansion (16) clearly points out two important terms:

- the zero order term $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{0}$
- and the first order term $\varepsilon w_{\varepsilon}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\Phi$.

units is small and on the other hand the leaking is intensive during a and after this short time the diffusion will become dominant, i.e. $arphi_{arepsilon}^0$ On one hand the diffusion in the low permeable layer around the short time; then: during that short time the first order term is now the most important term in the expansion. $\varepsilon \ w_{\varepsilon}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\Phi \quad will \ dominate \ in \ \varphi_{\varepsilon};$

periodicity of the geometry on G_{ε} could be neglected for a $\varepsilon-$ order **Remark:** The effects of the boundary layer caused by the non approximation.















II) From "WASTE "STORAGE UNIT" Global model, with a possibly damaged zone PACKAGES" to a



- Seeking a mathematical model describing the global behavior of one Storage Unit of an underground waste Repository Zone,
- hypersurface Σ and linked by parallel filled shafts; all the parallel containers sets), located inside a low permeable rock, lying on a • Assuming it is made of a high number of Waste Packages (or shafts being connected at the top to a main shaft, also filled.
- All the repository is embedded in a thin (100 m.) layer, called host layer, which is included between two higher permeability layers,
- The convection field (Hydrology regime) is given.



Denoting ε the ratio between the width of a unit (500 m.) and distance (50 m.)between two shafts

- \Rightarrow The containers set have a diameter, of order ε^{γ} , γ close to three.
- disposal unit scale, ε for both the scale of a containers row and \Rightarrow In the renormalized model there are three scales: 1 for a the shafts period, and ε^{γ} for the containers diameter.





"Microspic" model of a storage unit

$$\begin{split} \omega^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}) + (\mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \lambda \omega^{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \operatorname{in} \ \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{T}(18) \\ \varphi_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = \varphi_{0}(x) & x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} & (19) \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}) = \Phi_{\varepsilon}(t) & \operatorname{on} \Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{T} & (20) \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}) = \kappa \ (\varphi_{\varepsilon} - g_{\varepsilon}) & \operatorname{on} \ \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{T} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{T} & (21) \\ \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \operatorname{on} \ \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{T} & (16) \\ \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \operatorname{on} \ \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{T} & (16) \\ \operatorname{with} \ \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{T} & \operatorname{the shafts tops surface}, \ \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}^{T} & \operatorname{the Shafts Bottoms} (\operatorname{sealed}), \ \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{T} \\ \operatorname{the rest of the exterior boundary of } \Omega, & \operatorname{and} \Gamma_{\varepsilon} & \operatorname{the Waste Packages} \\ \operatorname{boundary} \times (0, T). \\ g_{\varepsilon} & \operatorname{will} & \operatorname{nesure the concentration entering at the shafts tops; \\ \operatorname{and} \ \varepsilon^{-\beta} & \operatorname{the Darcy's velocity range inside the shafts. \\ \end{array}$$



The shafts do not make any contribution, i.e. the repository behaves as if they were not there. $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \to \varphi$ the unique solution of a problem, of same type as the microscopic problem:

$$\begin{split} \omega^{h} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}^{h} \nabla \varphi) + (\mathbf{v}^{h} \cdot \nabla) \varphi + \lambda \omega^{h} \varphi = 0 & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}^{T} \\ \varphi(x,0) = f_{0}(x) & x \in \tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \backslash \Sigma , \qquad (24) \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{h} \nabla \varphi - \mathbf{v}^{h} \varphi) = \kappa (\varphi - g) & \text{on } S^{T} \qquad (25) \\ [\varphi] = 0, & [\mathbf{e}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{h} \nabla \varphi - (\mathbf{v}^{h} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}) \varphi] = -\Phi \mathcal{M} \text{ on } \Sigma . (26) \\ \tilde{\Omega}^{T} = (\Omega \backslash \Sigma) \times]0, T[; S^{T} = \partial \Omega \times]0, T[\\ [w](x') = w(x', 0+) - w(x', 0-), \quad \text{denotes the jump over } \Sigma \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \\ \text{denotes the limit of the rescaled containers surface area , i.e.} \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{1-\gamma} \left| \partial P_{\varepsilon} \right| . \tag{27}$$

•
$$\beta = 1$$

With a source term,
 $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(t) = \Phi(t)$ uniformly in t , (28)
and some concentration entering the shafts tops g_{ε}
and some concentration entering the shafts tops g_{ε}
 $g_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} g^{h} \text{ on the shafts cylindrical surfaces } \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} & (29) \\ \varepsilon^{-1} g^{d} \text{ on the shafts tops } \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon} & \ddots & (29) \end{cases}$
 $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \to \varphi \text{ weakly in } L^{2}(0, T; W^{1,\gamma^{*}}(\Omega)) \text{ and } \varphi_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \varphi^{0} = \varphi(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0), \\ d\mu^{\varepsilon}(x)^{2} - scale, \text{ where } \varphi \text{ is the unique solution of a coupled problem.} The transport processes, inside and outside the "damaged" shafts are comparable and there are interactions between them.$

 $\beta = 1$; The model could be seen as representing connected shafts, galleries and drifts with damaged sealings.

$$\omega^{h} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}^{h} \nabla \varphi) + (\mathbf{v}^{h} \cdot \nabla) \varphi + \lambda \omega^{h} \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}^{T}; (30)$$
$$\varphi(0, x) = \varphi_{0}(x) \operatorname{in } \tilde{\Omega}; (31)$$
$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{h} \nabla \varphi - \mathbf{v}^{h} \varphi) = \kappa(\varphi - g^{h}) \text{ on } S^{T} (32)$$
$$[\mathbf{e}_{3} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{h} \nabla \varphi - \mathbf{v}^{h} \varphi)] = -\mathcal{M}\Phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} (\langle a \rangle \frac{\partial \varphi^{0}}{\partial x_{1}}) + \langle v_{1}^{d} \rangle \frac{\partial \varphi^{0}}{\partial x_{1}} \text{ on } \Sigma^{T} (33)$$
$$\langle a \rangle \frac{\partial \varphi^{0}}{\partial x_{1}} (t, L, x_{2}, 0) + \langle v_{1}^{d} \rangle \varphi^{0}(t, L, x_{2}, 0) = \kappa g^{d}. (34)$$



45

(39)(38)(37)The global concentration φ^0 is the unique solution of a 1-dimensional The Transport process in the shafts is dominant and we do not see $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\mathbf{A}_{11}^d \; \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_1} \right) + \mathbf{v}_1^d \; \frac{\partial \varphi^0}{\partial x_1} = 0 \; \text{in } \;]0, L[$ $\varphi^{0}(0) = 0 \quad , \quad \mathbf{A}_{11}^{d} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi^{0}}{\partial x_{1}}(L) + (\mathbf{v}_{1}^{d} + \kappa) \varphi^{0}(L) = \kappa g^{d}$ anything else in the corresponding global model. Then problem defined for any $x \in]0, L[$ (assuming $g^d = Cte)$. $arepsilon rac{1-eta}{arepsilon} \ rac{\partial arphi_arepsilon}{\partial x_1} \ rac{\partial arphi_arepsilon}{\partial x_1} \longrightarrow rac{\partial arphi_0}{\partial x_1}$ $\varepsilon^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} \ \varphi_\varepsilon {\longrightarrow} \varphi^0$ $\varepsilon^{(1-\beta)/2} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \phi, \ d\mu^{\varepsilon}(x)2 - scale,$

9 Proofs

The starting point is a *priori estimates* obtained from (18)-(22):

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))} \leq C \qquad (40) \\ |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))} \leq C \qquad (41) \\ |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}))} \leq C \varepsilon^{\beta/2} \qquad (42) \\ |\frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{1}}|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}))} \leq C \varepsilon^{\beta/2} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

converge two-scale, with respect to the singular measure $d\mu(x)$, to hypersurface Σ and the general two-scale convergence has to be We use the two-scale convergence with respect to the rescaled $\int_{\Sigma} dx_1 \, dx_2 \, \int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^0(x_1, x_2, y_2, y_3) \, \psi(x_1, x_2, 0, y_2, y_3) \, dy_2 \, dy_3$ The global models obtained, at the limit, are defined on the **Definition 1** A sequence $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ is said to measure $d\mu^{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} dx$, where dx is the Lebesgue $\varphi^0 \in L^p(\Sigma \times \mathcal{C}) \text{ if for any } \psi \in C(\Omega; L^{p'}(\mathcal{C}))$ $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) \psi(x, \frac{x'}{\varepsilon}) \ dx =$ adapted to this situation measure.

• Additional technical difficulty comes from the Dirichlet condition on $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}$, the sealed Shafts Bottoms, for the test functions, z_{ε} , used satisfying the Dirichlet condition on $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}$, as needed in the proofs. functions $\{z_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $z_m\in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $z_m(0, x_2, 0)=0$ and start from a $z \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and we construct the test functions z_{ε} in the proofs of the above convergence theorems. For this, we $c = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ ; \ x_1 = 0, x_3 = 0\}$ the trace of a function from We use the existence for any $z \in H^1(\Omega)$ of a sequence of $z_m \to z$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ since on a 1-dimensional line $H^1(\Omega)$ cannot be specified.

- containers sets boundaries Γ_{ε} for the a priori estimates. • We need also sharp estimate of the flux through all the
- Mainly, for $\mathcal{L}^{\varepsilon} \in [H^1(\Omega)]'$ defined for any $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$, by:

$${\cal L}^{arepsilon}\psi=arepsilon^{1-\gamma}\int_{\Gamma_arepsilon}\psi$$

we should prove

$$\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M} \ \delta_{\Sigma}$$
 strongly in $[H^1(\Omega)]$

Alain Bourgeat / GdR MoMaS / UCB-Lyon1 /

"REPOSITORY ZONE III) From a " LONG model" with a possibly model" to a Global STORAGE UNITS damaged zone



we study a simplified but typical repository zone, assuming it is made of a high number of similar long waste filled storage units, lying on a cylinder $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon} = [0, L[\times \varepsilon S$, where the cross section of this cylinder is hypersurface Σ and linked by backfilled working and haulage drifts The working and haulage drifts are represented by a single circular The units are periodically distributed on both sides of a backfilled $U_{arepsilon} = igcup_{arepsilon} U^{(arepsilon)}_{arepsilon} \;, \; N(arepsilon) = O(arepsilon^{-1})$ drift (haulage and working drift) with period ε $\mathcal{S} = \{ (y_2, y_3) \in \mathbf{R}^2 ; y_2^2 + y_3^2 < s^2 \}$ the set of all units is denoted U_{ε} :





damaged zone at all, while in our second paper [?] the damaged drifts are coming from the singular behavior of the drift. In [?] there vas no were periodically repeating, allowing to use the technique of singular compared to the two situations we studied previously, in [?] or in [?]damaging (Darcy's velocity and consequently dispersion will be Like previously, the parameter β will characterize de degree of scaled by means of $\varepsilon^{-\beta}$). The main difference and difficulties measures.

The first approximation (the weak limit) is independent of the choice of β and only further order correctors will differ, depending on β . But, the global models will only slightly differ; depending on β .

Definition of the problem: 10

We assume the convection, i.e. the Darcy's velocity, to be given by the hydrology and to have the form:

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{h} + \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{-\beta} |v^{d}| \mathbf{e}_{1} , \qquad (44)$$

where $|v^d|$, the absolute value of the velocity inside the drift, depends with $\chi_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}}$ standing for the characteristic function of the drift, $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}$, and only on $r = |x'| = \sqrt{x_2^2 + x_3^2}$.

The evolution of the pollutant's concentration φ_{ε} in the Repository Zone Ω is governed by the equation: $\frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}) + (\mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \lambda \varphi_{\varepsilon} = f^{\varepsilon} \text{ in } \Omega^{T} = \Omega \times]0, [\overline{q}\overline{b}],$ $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = \Phi_{0}(x) \ x \in \Omega \ ; \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^{T}; \ , \qquad (46)$ where f^{ε} the sources density is: $f^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} f(t, x, \frac{x_{1}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_{3}}{\varepsilon})$	
$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \right) + \left(\mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \right) \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \lambda \varphi_{\varepsilon} = f^{\varepsilon} \text{in } \Omega^{T} = \Omega \times]0, [\mathbb{R}],$ $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = \Phi_{0}(x) x \in \Omega ; \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{on } \Gamma^{T}; , \qquad (46)$ where f^{ε} the sources density is: $f^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} f\left(t, x, \frac{x_{1}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_{3}}{\varepsilon}\right)$	The evolution of the pollutant's concentration φ_{ε} in the Repository Zone Ω is governed by the equation:
$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = \Phi_0(x) \ x \in \Omega \ ; \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \ \text{on } \Gamma^T; \ , \qquad (46)$ where f^{ε} the sources density is: $f^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} f(t, x, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_3}{\varepsilon})$	$rac{\partial arphi_arepsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{A}^{arepsilon} abla arphi + (\mathbf{v}^{arepsilon} \cdot \nabla) arphi_arepsilon + \lambda \ arphi_arepsilon = f^{arepsilon} \ ext{in} \ \Omega^T = \Omega imes]0,$ (A5)
where f^{ε} the sources density is: $f^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} f(t, x, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_3}{\varepsilon})$ (47)	$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(0,x) = \Phi_0(x) x \in \Omega ; \varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{on } \Gamma^T; , \tag{46}$
where f^{ε} the sources density is: $f^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} f(t, x, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_3}{\varepsilon})$	(47)
	where f^{ε} the sources density is: $f^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1} f(t, x, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_3}{\varepsilon})$

, 1	Zero order approximation:		
	$\varphi_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \varphi_0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$.	(48)	
le li	mit φ_0 is the unique solution of the problem $\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial t} - d \Delta \varphi_0 + (\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla) \varphi_0 + \lambda \varphi_0 = \langle f \rangle \delta_{\Sigma} \text{ in } \Omega^T$ $\varphi_0(0, x) = \Phi_0(x) \ x \in \Omega$ $\varphi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^T$ $\langle f \rangle(t, x) = \int_{\varepsilon S} f(t, x, y_1, y_3) \ dy_1 \ dy_3 \ .$	(49) (50) (51) (52)	



Matching the **concentration**'s values and the **flux** on the drift surface, we conclude:

$$\begin{split} \varphi_1(t, x_1) &= \Psi_1^{\varepsilon}(t, x_1, s) \\ s \; \frac{\partial \Psi_1^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \rho}(t, x_1, s) &= -\varphi_0(r = s \varepsilon) \approx -\varphi_0(t, x_1, 0, 0) \; ; \end{split}$$

with, the **interior approximation** in the drift Ψ_1^{ε}

$$-|v^{d}| \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{1}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} - \varepsilon^{\beta-2} d \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) \quad \Psi_{1}^{\varepsilon} + v^{d} \frac{\partial \Psi_{1}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{1}} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}$$

$$s \frac{\partial \Psi_{1}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \rho} = -\varphi_{0}(t, x_{1}, 0, 0) \text{ for } \rho = s \quad , \quad \Psi_{1}^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ for } x_{1} = 0, 1(55)$$



More about convergence 3

what we gain is the $L^2(0,T; H^1(\Omega))$ estimate, while the convergence without corrector is in $L^2(0,T; W^{1,q}(\Omega))$ for q < 2. That is because the norm of the corrector is negligible in $W^{1,q}$ for q < 2 but not in corrector inside the damaged drift is not very significant. Indeed, From the results above we conclude that the contribution of our H^{1} .

All this is due to the fact that integral norms in L^p and $W^{1,p}$ spaces are not suitable for a precise asymptotic analysis of tiny objects like the present drift. Although uniform estimates are not expected for the part of the error that comes from the sources, since the limit of the source function f^{ε} is only a measure, we want to prove the uniform convergence to zero for the other part of the error coming from the matching. And finally we obtain the result:

Theorem 2 $R_{\varepsilon} = \varphi_{\varepsilon} - \varphi(\varepsilon)$, the error of the approximation can be $R_{\varepsilon} = r_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}$, where decomposed as

 $|r_{\varepsilon}|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and W_{ε} tends uniformly to zero on Ω^{T} .

14 Conclusion of Part III

It appears at the end that whatever was the magnitude of the convection (i.e. how big is the power β), it does not make an important difference.

logarithmic singularity around the drift; this is mainly due to the fact limit, there is a uniform density of the source everywhere on Σ , which convection, which was localized only in the vicinity of the drift itself, that the units are long comparing to the drift diameter and, in the As we can see, on the macroscopic scale, there is barely a mild is relatively important compared to the effect of the strong i.e. localized on a very thin cylinder.

15 bibliography

- A.Bourgeat, O. Gipouloux, E. Marusic-Paloka.
- Mathematical Modeling of an underground waste disposal site by upscaling. Math. Meth. Appli. Sci., Volume 27, Issue 4; March 2004, p 381-403.
- A. Bourgeat, E. Marusic-Paloka.

A homogenized model of an underground waste repository including a disturbed zone. To appear in SIAM J. on Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, 2004.





