

# Cartan Subgroups and Generosity in $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Benjamin DRUART<sup>\*†</sup>

April 7, 2014

## Abstract

We show that there exist a finite number of Cartan subgroups up to conjugacy in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and we describe all of them. We show that the Cartan subgroup consisting of all diagonal matrices is generous and it is the only one up to conjugacy.

*Keywords* p-adic field ; Cartan subgroup ; generosity

*MSC2010* 20G25 ; 20E34 ; 11E57

A subset  $X$  of a group  $G$  is *left-generic* if  $G$  can be covered by finitely many left-translates of  $X$ . We define similarly right-genericity. If  $X$  is  $G$ -invariant, then left-genericity is equivalent to right-genericity. This important notion in model theory was particularly developed by B. Poizat for groups in stable theories [?]. For a group of finite Morley-rank and  $X$  a definable subset, genericity is the same as being of maximal dimension [?, lemme 2.5]. The term generous was introduced in [?] to show some conjugation theorem. A definable subset  $X$  of a group  $G$  is *generous* in  $G$  if the union of its  $G$ -conjugates,  $X^G = \{x^g \mid (x, g) \in X \times G\}$ , is generic in  $G$ .

In an arbitrary group  $G$ , we define a *Cartan subgroup*  $H$  as a maximal nilpotent subgroup such that every finite index normal subgroup  $X \triangleleft H$  is of finite index in its normalizer  $N_G(X)$ . First we can remark that they are infinite because  $N_G(1) = G$  and if  $H$  is finite then  $\{1\}$  is of finite index in  $H$ . In connected reductive algebraic groups over an algebraically closed fields, the maximal torus is typically an example of a Cartan subgroup. Moreover it is the only one up to conjugation and it is generous. It has been remarked in [?] that, in the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , also the Cartan subgroup consisting of diagonal matrices is generous. But it has also been remarked that in the case of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , there exists another Cartan subgroup, namely  $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$ , which is not generous.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Université de Grenoble I, Département de Mathématiques, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 du CNRS, 38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères Cedex, France. email : Benjamin.Druart@ujf-grenoble.fr

<sup>†</sup>The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 Grant Agreement no. 278722.

We will discuss here some apparently new remarks of the same kind in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . First we describe all Cartan subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . After we show that the Cartan subgroup consisting of diagonal matrices is generous and it is the only one up to conjugacy.

I would like to thank E. Jaligot, my supervisor for his help, E. Baro to explain me the case of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , and T. Altinel to help me to correct a mistake in a previous version of this paper.

## Description of Cartan subgroups up to conjugacy

We note  $v_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$  the p-adic-valuation, and  $ac : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  the angular component defined by  $ac(x) = res(p^{-v_p(x)}x)$  where  $res : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  is the residue map.

With these notations, if  $p \neq 2$ , an element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  is a square if and only if  $v_p(x)$  is even and  $ac(x)$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . For  $p = 2$ , an element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$  can be written  $x = 2^n u$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ , then  $x$  is a square if  $n$  is even and  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  [?].

**Fact 1** ([?]). *If  $p \neq 2$ , the group  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , it has for representatives  $\{1, u, p, up\}$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is such that  $ac(u)$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$*

*The group  $\mathbb{Q}_2^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , it has for representatives  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 5, \pm 10\}$ .*

For any prime  $p$ , and any  $\delta$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ , we put :

$$Q_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \right\}$$

$$Q_\delta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ and } a^2 - b^2\delta = 1 \right\}$$

**Lemma 1.**

$$\forall x \in Q_1 \setminus \{I, -I\} \quad C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x) = Q_1$$

$$\forall x \in Q_\delta \setminus \{I, -I\} \quad C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x) = Q_\delta$$

The checking of these equalities is left to the reader.

**Proposition 1.** *The groups  $Q_1$  and  $Q_\delta$  are Cartan subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*

*Proof.* One checks easily that  $Q_1$  is abelian and the normalizer of  $Q_1$  is :

$$N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1) = Q_1 \cdot \langle \omega \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For  $X$  a subgroup of  $Q_1$ , if  $g \in N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X)$  and  $x \in X$ , then, using lemma ??

$$Q_1 = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x) = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x^g) = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x)^g = Q_1^g$$

It follows that  $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X) = N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1) = Q_1 \cdot \langle \omega \rangle$  and if  $X$  of finite index  $k$  in  $Q_1$ , then  $X$  is of index  $2k$  in  $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X)$ . We can see that for  $t$  in  $Q_1$ ,  $t^\omega = \omega^{-1}t\omega = t^{-1}$  and thus  $[\omega, t] = t^2$ .

If we note  $\Gamma_i$  the descending central series of  $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)$ , we have  $\Gamma_0 = N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = [N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1), N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)] = Q_1^2$  and  $\Gamma_i = [N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1), \Gamma_{i-1}] = Q_1^{2^i}$ . Observing that  $Q_1 \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ , we can conclude that the serie of  $\Gamma_i$  is infinite because  $Q_1$  is infinite and  $Q_1^{2^i}$  is of finite index in  $Q_1^{2^{i-1}}$ . Thus  $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_1)$  is not nilpotent. By the normalizer condition for nilpotent groups, if  $Q_1$  is properly contained in a nilpotent group  $K$ , then  $Q_1 \cdot \langle \omega \rangle \leq K$ , here  $N_K(Q_1) = Q_1 \cdot \langle \omega \rangle$  which is not nilpotent, a contradiction. It finishes the proof that  $Q_1$  is a Cartan subgroup.

For  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ , we check similarly that the group  $Q_\delta$  is abelian. Since for all subgroups  $X$  of  $Q_\delta$ ,  $C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X) = Q_\delta$ , it follows that  $N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(X) = N_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Q_\delta) = Q_\delta$ , and if  $X$  is of finite index in  $Q_\delta$  then  $X$  is of finite index in its normalizer. By the normalizer condition for nilpotent groups,  $Q_\delta$  is nilpotent maximal.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** 1.  $Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2\} \cup \{I, -I\}$

2. For any  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ , there exist  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_\delta^{\mu_i \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in \delta \cdot (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2\} \cup \{I, -I\}$$

We put :

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & u \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\} \text{ and } U^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\}$$

If  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  satisfies  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = 0$ , then either  $\text{tr}(A) = 2$  or  $\text{tr}(A) = -2$ , and  $A$  is a conjugate of an element of  $U$ . In this case,  $A$  is said *unipotent*. It follows, from Proposition ?? :

**Corollary 3.** We have the following partition :

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus \{I, -I\} = (U \setminus \{I, -I\})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \sqcup (Q_1 \setminus \{I, -I\})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2} \bigcup_{i=1}^n (Q_\delta^{\mu_i} \setminus \{I, -I\})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

**Remark.** If  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  are in the same coset of  $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ , then, by Proposition ??, if  $x' \in Q_{\delta'}^{\mu'}$  with  $\mu' \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , then there exists  $x \in Q_\delta$ ,  $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , such that  $x' = x^{\mu'g}$ , thus, by lemma ??,  $Q_{\delta'} = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x') = C_{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(x)^{\mu'g} = Q_\delta^{\mu'g}$ . Therefore the Corollary ?? makes sense.

*Proof of Proposition ??.* • If  $A \in Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ , then there exists  $P \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

with  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . We have  $\text{tr}(A) = a + a^{-1}$ , so  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = (a + a^{-1})^2 - 4 = (a - a^{-1})^2$  and  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ .

Conversely, let  $A$  be in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  with  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4$  a square. The characteristic polynomial is  $\chi_A(X) = X^2 - \text{tr}(A)X + 1$  and its discriminant is  $\Delta = \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ , so  $\chi_A$  has two distinct roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $A$  is diagonalizable in  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . There is  $P \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $D \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  diagonal such that  $A = PDP^{-1}$ . If

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

we put

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{\det(P)} & \beta \\ \frac{\gamma}{\det(P)} & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have  $\tilde{P} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $A = \tilde{P}D\tilde{P}^{-1} \in Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ .

• If  $A$  is in  $Q_\delta^{\mu \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \setminus \{I, -I\}$  with  $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , then  $\text{tr}(A) = 2a$  and there exists  $b \neq 0$  such that  $a^2 - b^2\delta = 1$ . So  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = 4a^2 - 4 = 4(b^2\delta + 1) - 4 = (2b)^2\delta \in \delta \cdot (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$

Conversely we proceed as in the real case and the root  $i \in \mathbb{C}$ . The discriminant of  $\chi_A$ ,  $\Delta = \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{\delta})$ , and the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_A$  has two roots in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{\delta})$ :  $\lambda_1 = \alpha + \beta\sqrt{\delta}$  and  $\lambda_2 = \alpha - \beta\sqrt{\delta}$  (with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ). For the two eigen values  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ ,  $A$  has eigen vectors :

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x + y\sqrt{\delta} \\ x' + y'\sqrt{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x - y\sqrt{\delta} \\ x' - y'\sqrt{\delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

In the basis  $\{(x, x'), (y, y')\}$ , the matrix  $A$  can be written :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . We can conclude that there exists  $P \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that :

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

We proved that  $Q_\delta^{GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in \delta \cdot (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2\} \cup \{I, -I\}$ .

Let us now study the conjugation in  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . For the demonstration, we note :  $S = SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\text{Ext}(S) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(S) \mid f(M) = M^P \text{ for } M \in S, P \in G\}$ ,  $\text{Int}(S) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(S) \mid f(M) = M^P \text{ for } M \in S, P \in S\}$ . Let  $P, P' \in G$  and  $M \in S$  then :

$$M^P = M^{P'} \Leftrightarrow P^{-1}MP = P'^{-1}MP' \Leftrightarrow P'P^{-1}M = MP'P^{-1} \Leftrightarrow PP' \in C_G(M)$$

So  $P$  and  $P'$  define the same automorphism if and only if  $P'P^{-1} \in C_G(S) = Z(G) = \mathbb{Q}_p \cdot I_2$ , then  $\text{Ext}(S) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/Z(G) \cong PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and similarly  $\text{Int}(S) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/Z(S) \cong$

$PSL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . It is known that  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/PSL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ . Finally  $Int(S)$  is a normal subgroup of finite index in  $Ext(S)$ , and there exist  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that :

$$Q_\delta^{GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = Q_\delta^{\mu_1 \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cup \dots \cup Q_\delta^{\mu_n \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

□

**Theorem 4.** *The subgroups  $Q_1, Q_\delta$  (for  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ ) and the externally conjugate  $Q_\delta^{\mu_i}$  (for  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ) are the only Cartan subgroups up to conjugacy of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*

*Proof.* It is clear that the image of a Cartan subgroup by an automorphism is also a Cartan subgroup. For the demonstration we note  $S = SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $B$  the following subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  :

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\}$$

With these notations, we can easily check for  $g \in U \setminus \{I, -I\}$  that  $C_S(g) = U$  and  $N_S(U) = B$ . Moreover it is known that every  $q \in B$  can be written as  $q = tu$  where  $t \in Q_1$  and  $u \in U$ .

Consider  $K$  a Cartan subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . We will show that  $K$  is a conjugate of  $Q_1$  or of one of the  $Q_\delta^\mu$  (for  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$  and  $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ). First we prove  $K$  cannot contain a unipotent element other than  $I$  or  $-I$ . Since a conjugate of a Cartan subgroup is still a Cartan subgroup, it suffices to show that  $K \cap U = \{I, -I\}$ .

In order to find a contradiction, let  $u \in K$  be a element of  $U$  different from  $I$  or  $-I$ ,  $u$  is in  $K \cap B$ . If  $\alpha \in N_S(K \cap B)$ , then we have that  $u^\alpha \in K \cap B$ , and since  $tr(u^\alpha) = tr(u) = \pm 2$ ,  $u^\alpha$  is still in  $U$ . Therefore  $U = C_S(u) = C_S(u^\alpha) = C_S(u)^\alpha = U^\alpha$  and so  $\alpha$  is in  $N_S(U) = B$ . It follows  $N_S(K \cap B) \leq B$  and finally  $N_K(K \cap B) = K \cap B$ . By the normalizer condition  $K \cap B$  cannot be proper in  $K$ , then  $K \leq B$ .

It is known (see for example [?, Lemma 0.1.10]) that if  $K$  is a nilpotent group and  $H \trianglelefteq K$  a non trivial normal subgroup, then  $H \cap Z(K)$  is not trivial. If we assume that  $K \not\leq U^+$ , since  $K \leq B = N_S(U^+)$ ,  $K \cap U^+$  is normal in  $K$ , and so  $K \cap U^+$  contains a non trivial element  $x$  of the center  $Z(K)$ . For  $q \in K \setminus U^+$ , there are  $t \in Q_1 \setminus \{I\}$  and  $u \in U$  such that  $q = tu$ . We have  $[x, q] = I$  so  $[x, t] = I$ , so  $t = -I$  because  $C_S(x) = U$ . Therefore  $K \leq U$ . Since  $K$  is maximal nilpotent and  $U$  abelian,  $K = U$ . But  $U$  is not a Cartan subgroup, because it is of infinite index in its normalizer  $B$ . A contradiction.

Since  $K$  does not contain a unipotent element,  $K$  intersects a conjugate of  $Q_1$  or of one of the  $Q_\delta^\mu$  (for  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$  and  $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ) by Corollary ??, we note  $Q$  this subgroup. Let us show that  $K = Q$ . Let be  $x$  in  $K \cap Q$ , and  $\alpha \in N_K(K \cap Q)$ , then  $x^\alpha \in Q$ , and, by lemma ??,  $Q = C_S(x^\alpha) = C_S(x)^\alpha = Q^\alpha$ . Thus  $\alpha \in N_S(Q)$ , and  $N_K(K \cap Q) \leq N_S(Q)$ .

**1rst case**  $Q$  is a conjugate of  $Q_1$ , then  $N_S(Q) = Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$  where  $\omega' = \omega^g$  if  $Q = Q_1^g$ .

We have also  $\omega'^2 \in Q$  and  $t\omega' = t^{-1}$  for  $t \in Q$ . One can check that  $N_S(Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle) = Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$ , if  $\omega' \in K$  then  $N_K(Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle \cap K) = Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle \cap K$ , by

normalizer condition  $K \leq Q \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$ . If we note  $n$  the nilpotency classe of  $K$ , and  $t \in K \cap Q$  then  $[\omega', \omega', \dots, \omega', t] = t^{2^n} = 1$ , so  $t$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity, so  $K \cap Q$  and  $K = (K \cap Q) \cdot \langle \omega' \rangle$  are finite. A contradiction, so  $\omega' \notin K$ . Then  $N_K(Q \cap K) \leq Q \cap K$ , it follows by normalizer condition that  $K \leq Q$ , and by maximality of  $K$ ,  $K = Q$ .

**2nd case**  $Q$  is a conjuguate of  $Q_\delta$  (for  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ ), then  $N_S(Q) = Q$ . It follows similarly that  $K = Q$ .

□

## Generosity of the Cartan subgroups

Our purpose is now to show the generosity of the Cartan subgroup  $Q_1$ . It follows from the next more general proposition :

**Proposition 5.** 1. *The set  $W = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid v_p(\text{tr}(A)) < 0\}$  is generic in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .*

2. *The set  $W' = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid v_p(\text{tr}(A)) \geq 0\}$  is not generic in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* 1. We consider the matrices :

$$A_1 = I, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b^{-1} \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $v_p(a) > 0$  and  $v_p(b) > 0$ .

We show that  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \cup_{i=1}^4 A_i W$ . Suppose there exists

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

such that  $M \notin \cup_{i=1}^4 A_i W$ .

Since  $M \notin A_1 W \cup A_2 W$ , we have  $x + v = \varepsilon$  and  $y - u = \delta$  with  $v_p(\varepsilon) \geq 0$  and  $v_p(\delta) \geq 0$ . Since  $M \notin A_3 W$ , we have  $ax + a^{-1}v = \eta$  with  $v_p(\eta) \geq 0$ . We deduce  $a(\varepsilon - v) + a^{-1}v = \eta$  and  $v = \frac{\eta - a\varepsilon}{a^{-1} - a}$ . Similarly, it follows from  $M \notin A_4 W$  that  $u = \frac{\theta - b\delta}{b^{-1} - b}$  with some  $\theta$  such that  $v_p(\theta) \geq 0$ .

Since  $v_p(a) > 0$ , we have  $v_p(a + a^{-1}) < 0$ . From  $v_p(\eta - a\varepsilon) \geq \min\{v_p(\eta); v_p(a\varepsilon)\} \geq 0$ , we deduce that  $v_p(v) = v_p\left(\frac{\eta - a\varepsilon}{a + a^{-1}}\right) = v_p(\eta - a\varepsilon) - v_p(a + a^{-1}) > 0$ . Similarly  $v_p(u) > 0$ . It follows that  $v_p(x) = v_p(\varepsilon - v) \geq 0$  and  $v_p(y) \geq 0$ .

Therefore  $v_p(\det(M)) = v_p(xv - uy) \geq \min\{v_p(xv), v_p(uy)\} > 0$  and thus  $\det(M) \neq 1$ , a contradiction .

2. We show that the family of matrices  $(M_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times}$  cannot be covered by finitely many  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -translates of  $W'$ , where :

$$M_x = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Then  $\text{tr}(A^{-1}M_x) = dx + ax^{-1}$ . If  $v_p(x) > \max\{|v_p(a)|, |v_p(d)|\}$  then  $v_p(\text{tr}(A^{-1}M_x)) < 0$  and  $M_x \notin AW'$ .

Therefore for every finite family  $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ , there exist  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $M_x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j W'$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** We remark that the sets  $W$  and  $W'$  form a partition of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . They are both definable in the field language because the valuation  $v_p$  is definable in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $W \subseteq Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  and for  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$  and  $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $Q_\delta^{\mu \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \subseteq W'$

*Proof.* Let be  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  with  $v_p(\text{tr}(A)) < 0$ .

For  $p \neq 2$ , since  $v_p(\text{tr}(A)) < 0$ ,  $v_p(\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4) = 2v_p(\text{tr}(A))$  and  $ac(\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4) = ac(\text{tr}(A)^2)$ , so  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

For  $p = 2$ , we can write  $\text{tr}(A) = 2^n u$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Then  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 = 2^{2n}(u^2 - 4 \cdot 2^{-2n})$ . Since  $n \leq -1$ ,  $u^2 - 4 \cdot 2^{-2n} \equiv u^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , so  $\text{tr}(A)^2 - 4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^2$ .

In all cases, by the proposition ??,  $W \subseteq Q_1^{SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  and ,by complementarity,  $Q_\delta^{\mu \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \subseteq W'$ .  $\square$

We can now conclude with the following corollary, similar to [?, Remark 9.8] :

**Corollary 6.** 1. The Cartan subgroup  $Q_1$  is generous in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

2. The Cartan subgroups  $Q_\delta^\mu$  (for  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$  and  $\mu \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ) are not generous in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

## Structure of groups $Q_\delta$

In this section, we will take some specific value for  $\delta$ .  $\delta$  will be one of the representative elements  $\{\alpha, p, \alpha p\}$  for the non square in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ . Everything we can do here stay true up to conjugacy for every  $\delta$ .

With these notations, we can remark that  $Q_\delta \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . We put :

$$Z_{n,\delta} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid b \in p^n \mathbb{Z}_p, a \in 1 + p^{2n} \delta \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } a^2 - b^2 \delta = 1 \right\}$$

**Remark.** For  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b\delta & a \end{pmatrix} \in Z_{0,\delta}$ , then :  $b \in p^n \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  iff  $a \in 1 + p^{2n} \delta \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .

The groups  $Z_{n,\delta}$  form an infinite descending chain of definable subgroups. Before to show the main theorem of this section, let us establish some technical lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** For  $\delta \in \{p, \alpha p\}$  and  $n \geq 0$  ( or for  $\delta = \alpha$  and  $n \geq 1$ ).

1.  $Z_{n,\delta} / Z_{n+1,\delta} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p\mathbb{Z}$
2. If  $x \in Z_{n,\delta} \setminus Z_{n+1,\delta}$  then  $x^{p^k} \in Z_{n+k} \setminus Z_{n+k+1}$

## References

- [1] Elias Baro, Eric Jaligot, and Margarita Otero. Cartan subgroups of groups definable in o-minimal structures. arXiv:1109.4349v2 [math.GR], 2011.
- [2] Eric Jaligot. Generix never gives up. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 71(2):599–610, 2006.
- [3] Bruno Poizat. *Groupes stables*. Nur al-Mantiq wal-Ma’rifah [Light of Logic and Knowledge], 2. Bruno Poizat, Lyon, 1987. Une tentative de conciliation entre la géométrie algébrique et la logique mathématique. [An attempt at reconciling algebraic geometry and mathematical logic].
- [4] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cours d’arithmétique*. puf, 1970.
- [5] Frank Wagner. *Stable groups*, volume 240. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 1997.