# Discrete transparent boundary conditions for the linearized Green - Naghdi system of equations

Maria Kazakova, Pascal Noble

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, INSA, Toulouse

> NumWave, 2017 Montpellier 11 - 13 december, 2017

> > ▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Overview

- Linear Green Naghdi model
- Boundary condition derivation
  - Exact continuous boundary conditions
    - Derivation : System (detailed) & Boussinesq
    - Stability
  - Discrete boundary conditions
    - Derivation : Boussinesq (detailed) & System

- Consistency
- Test cases : outgoing and incoming wave
- Conclusions & Perspectives

## Green - Naghdi equations : Linearization

We consider the classical Green - Naghdi system for the propagation of dispersive waves on shallow water:

$$\begin{cases} h_t + (hu)_x = 0, \\ (hu)_t + (hu^2 + \frac{gh^2}{2} + \frac{1}{3}h^2\ddot{h})_x = 0, \end{cases} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

Linearized on the steady state h = const, u = 0 nondimensionalized system takes form:

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t + w_x = 0, \\ w_t + \eta_x - \varepsilon w_{txx} = 0, \end{cases} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

here  $\varepsilon$  is a parameter of dispersion. The coupled system describes the evolution of <u>free surface elevation</u>  $\eta$  and average fluid velocity w.

Restriction of the observation area.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Boundary conditions: Hyperbolic system - *Riemann invariant Dispersive system - ?* 

Restriction of the observation area.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Boundary conditions: Hyperbolic system - *Riemann invariant Dispersive system - ?* 

Restriction of the observation area.



Boundary conditions: Hyperbolic system - *Riemann invariant* Dispersive system - *Schrödinger equation, non-reflecting ABC for WE* 

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Restriction of the observation area.



Domain decomposition for coupling different models Generation of the waves *Schrödinger equation* Objective Generation of the waves for the dispersive water waves problem

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Results

We consider the initial value problem set on the whole space

$$\begin{split} \eta_t + w_x &= 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t > 0 \\ w_t + \eta_x - \varepsilon w_{txx} &= 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t > 0 \\ \eta(0, x) &= \eta_0(x), \quad w(0, x) = w_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} w(t, x) &= \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \eta(t, x) = 0, \end{split}$$

where the initial data  $\eta_0$ ,  $w_0$  are compactly supported functions in a finite interval  $[x_\ell, x_r]$ . The problem set on the complement of  $[x_\ell, x_r] \subset \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\eta}_t + \tilde{w}_x &= 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [x_\ell, x_r], \forall t > 0\\ \tilde{w}_t + \tilde{\eta}_x - \varepsilon \tilde{w}_{txx} &= 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [x_\ell, x_r], \forall t > 0\\ \tilde{\eta}(0, x) &= 0, \quad \tilde{w}(0, x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [x_\ell, x_r]\\ \tilde{\eta}(t, x_\ell) &= \eta(t, x_\ell), \tilde{w}(t, x_\ell) = w(t, x_\ell), \tilde{\eta}(t, x_r) = \eta(t, x_r), \tilde{w}(t, x_r) = w(t, x_r), \\ \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \tilde{w}(t, x) &= \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \tilde{\eta}(t, x) = 0. \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

Applying the Laplace transform :

$$s\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\eta}) + \mathcal{L}(\tilde{w}_x) = 0,$$
  
$$s\mathcal{L}(\tilde{w}) + \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\eta}_x) - \varepsilon s\mathcal{L}(\tilde{w}_{xx}) = 0.$$

The solutions of the system have the from

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{w})(s,x) \\ \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\eta})(s,x) \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_{+}^{r} V^{+} e^{\lambda^{+} x} + \alpha_{-}^{r} V^{-} e^{\lambda^{-} x}, \quad \forall x > x_{r},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{w})(s,x) \\ \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\eta})(s,x) \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_{+}^{\ell} V^{+} e^{\lambda^{+} x} + \alpha_{-}^{\ell} V^{-} e^{\lambda^{-} x}, \quad \forall x < x_{\ell}$$

where  $\alpha_{+}^{r,\ell}$  ,  $\alpha_{-}^{r,\ell}$  are constant coefficients,  $\lambda^{+}$  ,  $\lambda^{-}$  are given by

$$\lambda^{+} = \sqrt[+]{\frac{s^2}{1+\varepsilon s^2}}, \quad \lambda^{-} = -\sqrt[+]{\frac{s^2}{1+\varepsilon s^2}},$$

and  $V^+$ ,  $V^-$  are the constant vectors:

$$V^+ = (1, -\lambda^+/s)^T, V^- = (1, \lambda^+/s)^T.$$

Laplace transform inversion

$$2\alpha_{+}^{r} = \mathcal{L}(\tilde{w})(s, x_{r}) - \sqrt[+]{1 + \varepsilon s^{2}} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\eta})(s, x_{r}) = 0,$$

$$2\alpha_{-}^{\ell} = \mathcal{L}(\tilde{w})(s, x_{\ell}) + \sqrt[+]{1 + \varepsilon s^2} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\eta})(s, x_{\ell}) = 0.$$

This leads to the conditions at the boundary points  $x_{\ell}, x_r$ :

$$\mathcal{L}(w)(s,x_r) = \frac{1+\varepsilon s^2}{\sqrt[+]{1+\varepsilon s^2}} \mathcal{L}(\eta)(s,x_r), \quad \mathcal{L}(w)(s,x_\ell) = -\frac{1+\varepsilon s^2}{\sqrt[+]{1+\varepsilon s^2}} \mathcal{L}(\eta)(s,x_\ell)$$

The inversion of Laplace transform is explicit:

$$\varepsilon w_{tx}(t,x_r) - \eta(t,x_r) = -\int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w(t-s,x_r) ds - \sqrt{\varepsilon} w_t(t,x_r),$$
  
$$\varepsilon w_{tx}(t,x_\ell) - \eta(t,x_\ell) = \int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w(t-s,x_\ell) ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} w_t(t,x_\ell),$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_n$  is the Bessel function of the first kind:

$$\mathcal{J}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(n\tau - t\sin\tau) d\tau$$

Stability result

Proposition The problem

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t + w_x &= 0, \quad w_t + \eta_x - \varepsilon w_{txx} = 0 \quad \forall x \in ]x_\ell, x_r[, \forall t > 0 \\ \eta(0, x) &= \eta_0(x), \quad w(0, x) = w_0(x), \quad \forall x \in ]x_\ell, x_r[ \\ \varepsilon w_{tx}(t, x_r) - \eta(t, x_r) &= -\int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w(t - s, x_r) ds - \sqrt{\varepsilon} w_t(t, x_r), \\ \varepsilon w_{tx}(t, x_\ell) - \eta(t, x_\ell) &= \int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w(t - s, x_\ell) ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} w_t(t, x_\ell), \end{aligned}$$

is  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  stable: for all t > 0 and for all smooth solution of (0.1), we have

$$\int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(w_{x}(t,x))^{2}}{2} dx \leq \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(w_{0,x}(x))^{2}}{2} dx$$

Stability result

proof The time-derivation of the generalised kinetic energy is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(w_{x}(t,x))^{2}}{2} dx = [w(t,x) \left(\varepsilon w_{tx}(t,x) - \eta(t,x)\right)]_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}},$$

Integrating over the time interval (0, t) gives:

$$\begin{split} \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(\partial_{x}w(t,x))^{2}}{2} dx - \\ \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(\partial_{x}w_{0}(x))^{2}}{2} dx = \end{split}$$

$$= \int_0^t w(s, x_r)(\varepsilon w_{tx} - \eta)(s, x_r) ds - \int_0^t w(s, x_\ell)(\varepsilon w_{tx} - \eta)(s, x_\ell) dt := J_r - J_\ell$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Stability result

proof The time-derivation of the generalised kinetic energy is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(w_{x}(t,x))^{2}}{2} dx = [w(t,x) \left(\varepsilon w_{tx}(t,x) - \eta(t,x)\right)]_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}},$$

Integrating over the time interval (0, t) gives:

$$\begin{split} \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(\partial_{x}w(t,x))^{2}}{2} dx - \\ \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(\partial_{x}w_{0}(x))^{2}}{2} dx = \end{split}$$

$$= \int_0^t w(s, x_r)(\varepsilon w_{tx} - \eta)(s, x_r) ds - \int_0^t w(s, x_\ell)(\varepsilon w_{tx} - \eta)(s, x_\ell) dt := J_r - J_\ell$$

$$J_r \stackrel{?}{<} 0$$

Stability result

proof The time-derivation of the generalised kinetic energy is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(w_{x}(t,x))^{2}}{2} dx = [w(t,x) \left(\varepsilon w_{tx}(t,x) - \eta(t,x)\right)]_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}},$$

Integrating over the time interval (0, t) gives:

$$\begin{split} \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}(t,x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(\partial_{x}w(t,x))^{2}}{2} dx - \\ \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\eta^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \frac{w^{2}_{0}(x)}{2} + \varepsilon \frac{(\partial_{x}w_{0}(x))^{2}}{2} dx = \end{split}$$

$$=\int_0^t w(s,x_r)(\varepsilon w_{tx}-\eta)(s,x_r)ds - \int_0^t w(s,x_\ell)(\varepsilon w_{tx}-\eta)(s,x_\ell)dt := J_r - J_\ell$$
$$J_\ell \stackrel{?}{>} 0$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Stability result

$$J_r = -\int_0^t \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}) * w(s, x_r) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} w_t(s, x_r)\right) w(s, x_r) ds$$

Next, we fix T > 0 and denote  $W(t) = w(t, x_r)1[0, T](t)$ . One has  $w'(t) = W'(t) + W(T)\delta_{t=T}$ . By substituting into the formula for  $J_r$ , one has

$$J_r = -\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}) * W(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}W'(s)\right) W(s, x_r) ds - \sqrt{\varepsilon}W(T)^2.$$

By applying Plancherel's identity, one finds:

$$J_r = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt[+]{1 - \varepsilon \xi^2} |\widehat{W}|^2(\xi) d\xi - \sqrt{\varepsilon} |W(T)|^2 \le 0.$$

#### Linearized Boussinesq equation

The system is equivalent to the following equation:

$$(w - \varepsilon w_{xx})_{tt} - w_{xx} = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t > 0.$$

Solution decreasing at infinity: this gives us one condition on the left boundary and one on the right one for the function  $\mathcal{L}(w)$ :

$$\mathcal{L}(w_x)(s,x_r) = -\frac{s}{\sqrt[4]{1+\varepsilon s^2}} \mathcal{L}(w)(s,x_r), \quad \mathcal{L}(w_x)(s,x_\ell) = \frac{s}{\sqrt[4]{1+\varepsilon s^2}} \mathcal{L}(w)(s,x_\ell) = \frac{s}{\sqrt[4]{1+\varepsilon s^2}} \mathcal{L}(w)(s,x_\ell)$$

The inversion of Laplace transform can be found explicitly and finally we get

$$w_{x}(t,x_{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{J}_{1}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w(t-s,x_{r}) ds - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} w(t,x_{r}),$$

$$w_{x}(t,x_{\ell}) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{J}_{1}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w(t-s,x_{\ell}) ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} w(t,x_{\ell}),$$
(1)

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 • のへで

## Stability result

Proposition

Any smooth solution of the problem

$$(w - \varepsilon w_{xx})_{tt} - w_{xx} = 0, \quad \forall x \in [x_l, x_r], \forall t > 0$$
$$w(0, x) = w_0(x), \quad w_t(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad \forall x \in ]x_\ell, x_r[$$
$$\left(1 + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) w_x(t, x_r) = -\int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{t - s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w_t(s, x_r) ds - \sqrt{\varepsilon} w_{tt}(t, x_r),$$
$$\left(1 + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) w_x(t, x_\ell) = \int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{t - s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w_t(s, x_\ell) ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} w_{tt}(t, x_\ell),$$

satisfies for all t > 0 the following estimate:

$$\int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \left( \frac{(w_{t})^{2}}{2} + (w_{x})^{2} + \varepsilon \ (w_{tx})^{2} \right) (t, x) dx \leq \int_{x_{\ell}}^{x_{r}} \left( \frac{v_{0}^{2}}{2} + (w_{0,x})^{2} + \varepsilon \ (v_{0,x})^{2} \right) dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We write down the centred-Crank Nicholson discretization :

$$\begin{split} \frac{\eta_{j+1/2}^{n+1} - \eta_{j+1/2}^n}{\delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{w_{j+1}^{n+1} - w_j^{n+1}}{\delta x} + \frac{w_{j+1}^n - w_j^n}{\delta x} \right) &= 0, \\ \frac{w_j^{n+1} - w_j^n}{\delta t} - \varepsilon \left( \frac{w_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2w_j^{n+1} + w_{j-1}^{n+1}}{\delta x^2} - \frac{w_{j+1}^n - 2w_j^n + w_{j-1}^n}{\delta x^2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\eta_{j+1/2}^{n+1} - \eta_{j-1/2}^{n+1}}{2\delta x} + \frac{\eta_{j+1/2}^n - \eta_{j-1/2}^n}{2\delta x} \right) = 0, \\ &1 \le j \le J, n \in \mathbb{N} \end{split}$$

where  $\delta t > 0$ ,  $\delta x > 0$  the time and space step, respectively,  $w_j^n \approx w(n\delta t, x_l + j\delta x)$  and number of space cells J is calculated as

$$J = \frac{x_r - x_l}{\delta x}$$



We will apply the discrete  $\mathcal{Z}-\text{transform}$  with respect to time index n, which is defined as follows

$$\hat{u}(z) = \mathcal{Z}\{(u)_n\}(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} u_n z^{-n}, \quad |z| > R > 0,$$

C. Besse, M. Ehrhardt, I. Lacroix-Violet, *Discrete artificial boundary* conditions for the linearised Korteweg-de Vries equation\* Num.Meth. for PDE, V. 32, Issue 5, (2016) 1455-1484. C. Besse, Mesognon B., Noble P., *Discrete Artificial Boundary Condition* for the Benjamin- Bona-Mahoney equation\* C. Besse, P. Noble, D. Sanchez, *Discrete transparent boundary conditions* for the mixed KDV-BBM equation\*.

Recurrence relation

$$\hat{\eta}_{j+1/2} = -\frac{1}{s(z)\delta x}(\hat{w}_{j+1} - \hat{w}_j),$$
$$-\frac{\varepsilon s(z)}{\delta x^2}\hat{w}_{j-1} + s(z)\left(1 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\delta x^2}\right)\hat{w}_j - \frac{\varepsilon s(z)}{\delta x^2}\hat{w}_{j+1} + \frac{\hat{\eta}_{j+1/2} - \hat{\eta}_{j-1/2}}{\delta x} = 0$$

where,

$$s(z) = \frac{2}{\delta t} \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 + z^{-1}}.$$

 $\underline{\text{Note}} \ |z| > 1 \implies \Re(s(z)) > 0 \ \boxed{\mathcal{Z}(u_{n+1}) = z\mathcal{Z}(u_n)}$ 

$$(1 + \varepsilon s^2(z))\hat{w}_{j-1} - 2\left(1 + s(z)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\delta x^2}{2}\right)\right)\hat{w}_j + (1 + \varepsilon s^2(z))\hat{w}_{j+1} = 0,$$
$$1 \le j \le J, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��

Recurrence relation, solution

This solution of the linear recurrence written in the form:

$$\hat{w}_j = A_+ r_+ (z)^j + A_- r_- (z)^j$$

where  $r_{\pm}$  are the roots of characteristic polynomial associated with the recurrence:

$$P(r) = (1 + \varepsilon s^2(z))r^2 - 2\left(1 + s^2(z)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\delta x^2}{2}\right)\right)r + (1 + \varepsilon s^2(z)).$$

The explicit formulae for the roots reads

$$r_{\pm}(z) = 1 + \frac{s^2(z)\delta x^2}{2(1+\varepsilon s^2(z))} \pm \frac{s(z)\delta x\sqrt{\delta x^2 + 4(1+\varepsilon s^2(z))}}{2(1+\varepsilon s^2(z))}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Roots separation, assymptotics



<u>Proposition 2</u> The roots of characteristic polynomial associated with the linear recurrence relation have the following property

$$P(r) = (1 + \varepsilon s^{2}(z))r^{2} - 2\left(1 + s^{2}(z)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\delta x^{2}}{2}\right)\right)r + (1 + \varepsilon s^{2}(z)),$$
$$|r_{+}(z)| > 1, \quad |r_{-}(z)| < 1.$$

Procedure of the boundary condition construcion

<u>*Remark 1*</u> The further strategy of discrete boundary conditions construction will be based on the fact proved in Proposition 2.

Solutions space decomposition :  $E^s(z) \cup E^u(z)$ 

 $E^s(z)$  : solutions decrease to 0 with  $j \to \infty$ 

 $E^u(z)$  : solutions decrease to 0 with  $j \to -\infty$ 

Choice of the space step  $\delta x$  in order to separate the roots  $r_+$ ,  $r_-$  well. Take into account the relation between  $\delta t$  and  $\varepsilon$  for the dispersive effects.

$$1 + \varepsilon s^2 = 1 + \frac{4\varepsilon}{\delta t^2} \frac{z - 1}{z + 1}$$

#### Discrete boundary conditions

Inversion of Z transform

$$\hat{w}_{1} = \left(1 + \frac{2\delta x^{2}(z-1)^{2}}{\Lambda z^{2} - 2\mu z + \Lambda} + \frac{2\delta x(z-1)\sqrt{\Gamma z^{2} - 2\nu z + \Gamma}}{\Lambda z^{2} - 2\mu z + \Lambda}\right)\hat{w}_{0},$$
$$\hat{w}_{J+1} = \left(1 + \frac{2\delta x^{2}(z-1)^{2}}{\Lambda z^{2} - 2\mu z + \Lambda} - \frac{2\delta x(z-1)\sqrt{\Gamma z^{2} - 2\nu z + \Gamma}}{\Lambda z^{2} - 2\mu z + \Lambda}\right)\hat{w}_{J},$$

 $\text{here }\Lambda=4\varepsilon+\delta t^2\text{, }\mu=4\varepsilon-\delta t^2\text{, }\Gamma=\Lambda+\delta x^2\text{, }\nu=\mu+\delta x^2\text{.}$ 

# Discrete boundary conditions

Consistency result

The Crank-Nicolson approximation  $O(\delta t^2 + \delta x^2)$ . The derived discrete boundary conditions provide the same order of approximation:

#### Theorem

Let w(t,x) be a smooth solution, then one has for all compact  $K \in C^+$ , all  $s \in K$ :

$$\hat{w}(e^{s\delta t}, \delta x) - r_+(e^{s\delta t})\hat{w}(e^{s\delta t}, 0) = O(\delta t^2 + \delta x^2)$$
$$\hat{w}(e^{s\delta t}, 1) - r_+(e^{s\delta t})\hat{w}(e^{s\delta t}, Jdx) = O(\delta t^2 + \delta x^2)$$

where  $r_{\pm}(z)$  the roots of recurrence relation and Z-transform of  $w(\cdot, x)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  defined as

Scheme

$$\begin{aligned} -a_+ w_{j+1}^{n+1} + (1+2a_+) w_j^{n+1} - a_+ w_{j-1}^{n+1} &= 2(-a_- w_{j+1}^n + (1+2a_-) w_j^n - a_- w_{j-1}^n) \\ &- (-a_+ w_{j+1}^{n-1} + (1+2a_+) w_j^{n-1} - a_+ w_{j-1}^{n-1}), \quad 1 \le j \le J, n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{-} = \frac{\varepsilon - \delta t/4}{\delta x^2}, \quad a_{+} = \frac{\varepsilon + \delta t/4}{\delta x^2}.$$

The linear system to solve numerically is:

$$M_{n+1}W^{n+1} = 2M_nW^n - M_{n+1}W^{n-1} + V, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

## Collocated grid

The numerical scheme reads as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta_{j}^{n+1} - \eta_{j}^{n}}{\delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{w_{j+1}^{n+1} - w_{j-1}^{n+1}}{2\delta x} + \frac{w_{j+1}^{n} - w_{j-1}^{n}}{2\delta x} \right) &= 0, \\ \frac{w_{j}^{n+1} - w_{j}^{n}}{\delta t} - \varepsilon \left( \frac{w_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2w_{j}^{n+1} + w_{j-1}^{n+1}}{\delta x^{2}} - \frac{w_{j+1}^{n} - 2w_{j}^{n} + w_{j-1}^{n}}{\delta x^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\eta_{j+1}^{n+1} - \eta_{j-1}^{n+1}}{2\delta x} + \frac{\eta_{j+1}^{n} - \eta_{j-1}^{n}}{2\delta x} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

## Collocated grid

Left boundary condition:

$$(1+P^u)\widehat{w}_1 = S^u\widehat{w}_0 - 2\delta x P^u s(z)\widehat{\eta}_0,$$
  
$$2\delta x \, s(z)\widehat{\eta}_1 + S^u\widehat{w}_1 = (1+P^u)\widehat{w}_0.$$

Right boundary condition:

$$(1+P^s)\widehat{w}_{J+1} = S^s\widehat{w}_J - 2\delta x P^s s(z)\widehat{\eta}_J,$$
  
$$2\delta x s(z)\widehat{\eta}_{J+1} + S^s\widehat{w}_{J+1} = (1+P^s)\widehat{w}_J.$$

Explicit inversion is not possible!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Dispersive effects. Gaussian initial data



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

Dispersive effects. Wave package

$$\begin{split} \omega^2(k) &= \frac{k^2}{1+\varepsilon k^2}, \\ v_\varphi(k) &= \frac{\omega(k)}{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon k^2}}, \quad v_g(k) = \frac{d\omega(k)}{dk} = \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon k^2)^{3/2}}. \end{split}$$

Group velocity is always less than phase velocity (see right Figure ??).



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで



Incoming wave

$$w^{in}(x,t)=eta\cos(kx-\omega(k)t)$$
 with wave number  $k=2\pi p$ ,  $p\in N$ 



Figure 5: Evolution of incoming wave solution for different wave number.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��

## **Conclusions and Perspectives**

#### Theoretical results

- Exact transparent boundary condition are constructed (energy dissipation is shown)
- Discrete conditions are derived
- Consistency of the discrete conditions is proofed
- Numerics
  - The applicability of the technique is shown numerically as well Outgoing wave Incoming wave

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Purpose for the further investigation:

Non-linear cases 2D case Two-layer models Green-Naghdi type