Second order Implicit-Explicit Total Variation Diminishing schemes for the Euler system in the low Mach regime

Victor Michel-Dansac

INSTITUT de MATHEMATIQUES de TOULOUSE

Workshop NumWave, 13 December 2017

Giacomo Dimarco, Univ. of Ferrara, Italy

Raphaël Loubère, Univ. of Bordeaux, CNRS, France

Marie-Hélène Vignal, Univ. of Toulouse, France

Funding: ANR MOONRISE

Outline

Multiscale model M_ε, depending on a parameter ε



In the (space-time) domain, ε can

- be of same order as the reference scale;
- be small compared to the reference scale;
- take intermediate values.



When ε is small: $M_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} M_\varepsilon$ asympt. model

Difficulties:

- Classical explicit schemes for M_ε: they are stable and consistent if the mesh resolves all the scales of ε. $\Longrightarrow$ very costly when $\varepsilon \to 0$
- Schemes for M_0 $\Longrightarrow$ the mesh is independent of ε

  But: ➠ M_0 is not valid everywhere, it needs $\varepsilon \ll 1$
  ➠ the interface may be moving: how to locate it?

Principle of AP schemes

A possible solution: Asymptotic Preserving (AP) schemes

- Use the multi-scale model M_ε even for small ε.
- Discretize M_ε with a scheme preserving the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$.

➠ The mesh is independent of ε: **Asymptotic stability**.

➠ Recovery of an approximate solution of M_0 when $\varepsilon \to 0$: **Asymptotic consistency**.

➠ Asymptotically stable and consistent scheme $\Rightarrow$ **Asymptotic preserving scheme (AP)**.

([Jin, '99] kinetic $\to$ hydro)

- The AP scheme may be used only to reconnect M_ε and M_0.



Outline

The multi-scale model and its asymptotic limit

Isentropic Euler system in scaled variables: $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \geq 0$

$$(M_\varepsilon)\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho\, u) = 0 & (1)_\varepsilon \\ \partial_t(\rho\, u) + \nabla \cdot (\rho\, u \otimes u) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla p(\rho) = 0 & (2)_\varepsilon \end{cases} \qquad \text{(with } p(\rho) = \rho^\gamma\text{)}$$

Parameter: $\varepsilon = M^2 = m|\overline{u}|^2/(\gamma p(\overline{\rho})/\overline{\rho})$, $\quad M =$ Mach number

Boundary and initial conditions:

$$u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \rho(x,0) = \rho_0 + \varepsilon\, \tilde{\rho}_0(x) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) + \varepsilon\, \tilde{u}_0(x), \ \text{ with } \nabla \cdot u_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

The formal low Mach number limit $\varepsilon \to 0$:

$$(2)_\varepsilon \;\Rightarrow\; \nabla p(\rho) = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \rho(x,t) = \rho(t)$$

$$(1)_\varepsilon \;\Rightarrow\; |\Omega|\, \rho'(t) + \rho(t) \int_{\partial\Omega} u \cdot n = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \rho(t) = \rho(0) = \rho_0 \;\Rightarrow\; \nabla \cdot u = 0$$

The asymptotic model: Rigorous limit [Klainerman & Majda, '81]:

$$(M_0)\begin{cases} \rho = \mathrm{cst} = \rho_0, & \\ \rho_0 \nabla \cdot u = 0, & (1)_0 \\ \rho_0\,\partial_t u + \rho_0\,\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) + \nabla \pi_1 = 0, & (2)_0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\pi_1 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(p(\rho) - p(\rho_0)\Big).$$

Explicit eq. for π_1: $\partial_t (1)_0 - \nabla \cdot (2)_0 \;\Rightarrow\; -\Delta \pi_1 = \rho_0\,\nabla^2 : (u \otimes u)$

The pressure wave equation from M_ε:

$$\partial_t (1)_\varepsilon - \nabla \cdot (2)_\varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_{tt}\rho - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Delta p(\rho) = \nabla^2 : (\rho\, u \otimes u) \qquad (3)_\varepsilon$$

From a numerical point of view

- Explicit treatment of $(3)_\varepsilon$ $\Rightarrow$ conditional stability $\Delta t \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\Delta x$
- Implicit treatment of $(3)_\varepsilon$ $\Rightarrow$ uniform stability with respect to ε

An order 1 AP scheme in the low Mach limit

Time discretization:

[Degond, Deluzet, Sangam & Vignal, '09], [Degond & Tang, '11], [Chalons, Girardin & Kokh, '15]

If ρ^n and u^n are known at time t^n:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\rho^{n+1}-\rho^n}{\Delta t} + \nabla\cdot(\rho\, u)^{n+1} = 0, & (1) \quad \text{(AS)} \\ \dfrac{(\rho\, u)^{n+1}-(\rho\, u)^n}{\Delta t} + \nabla\cdot(\rho\, u\otimes u)^n + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla p(\rho^{n+1}) = 0. & (2) \quad \text{(AC)} \end{cases}$$

- $\varepsilon \to 0$ gives $\nabla p(\rho^{n+1}) = 0$ $\Rightarrow$ consistency at the limit
- implicit treatment of the pressure wave eq. $\Rightarrow$ uniform stability in ε

$\nabla\cdot(2)$ **inserted into** (1)**:** gives an uncoupled formulation

$$\frac{\rho^{n+1}-\rho^n}{\Delta t} + \nabla\cdot(\rho\, u)^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon}\Delta p(\rho^{n+1}) - \Delta t\,\nabla^2 : (\rho\, u\otimes u)^n = 0$$

An order 1 AP scheme in the low Mach limit

The scheme proposed in [Dimarco, Loubère & Vignal, '17]:

➠ Framework of IMEX (IMplicit-EXplicit) schemes:

$$\underbrace{\partial_t\begin{pmatrix}\rho\\ \rho\, u\end{pmatrix}}_{W} + \nabla\cdot\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \rho\, u\otimes u\end{pmatrix}}_{F_e(W)} + \nabla\cdot\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}\rho\, u\\ \frac{p(\rho)}{\varepsilon}\,Id\end{pmatrix}}_{F_i(W)} = 0.$$

➠ The C.F.L. condition comes from the explicit flux $F_e(W)$:

$$\Delta t \ \leq\ \frac{\Delta x}{\lambda_j^n} \ =\ \frac{\Delta x}{2\,|u_j^n|},$$

where λ_j^n are the eigenvalues of the explicit Jacobian matrix $DF_e(W_j^n)$.

➠ A linear stability analysis yields: if the implicit part is

- centered $\Rightarrow L^2$ stability;
- upwind $\Rightarrow$ TVD and L^∞ stability.

SSP Strong Stability Preserving, [Gottlieb, Shu & Tadmor, '01]

AP but diffusive results, 1D test case

$\varepsilon = 0.99$, 300 cells

Class: 273 loops
CPU time 0.07

AP: 510 loops
CPU time 1.46





$\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$, 300 cells

Class: 4036 loops
CPU time 0.82

AP: 57 loops
CPU time 0.14





AP but diffusive results, 1D test case

$\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$

Underlying of the viscosity





$\Downarrow$

It is necessary to use high order schemes

But they must respect the AP properties

we also wish to retain the L^∞ stability

Outline

Principle of IMEX schemes

Bibliography for stiff source terms or ODE problems: Ascher, Boscarino, Cafflish, Dimarco, Filbet, Gottlieb, Happenhofer, Higueras, Jin, Koch, Kupka, Le Floch, Pareschi, Russo, Ruuth, Shu, Spiteri, Tadmor...

IMEX division: $\partial_t W + \nabla \cdot F_e(W) + \nabla \cdot F_i(W) = 0.$

General principle: Step n: W^n is known

- Quadrature formula with intermediate values:

$$W(t^{n+1}) = W(t^n) - \Delta t \underbrace{\int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \nabla \cdot F_e(W(t))\, dt}_{} - \Delta t \underbrace{\int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \nabla \cdot F_i(W(t))\, dt}_{}$$

$$W^{n+1} = W^n \quad - \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} \tilde{b}_j \, \nabla \cdot F_e(W^{n,j}) \; - \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j \, \nabla \cdot F_i(W^{n,j})$$

Quadratures exact on the constants: $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \tilde{b}_j = \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j = 1$

- Intermediate values at times $t^{n,j} = t^n + c_j \Delta t$:

$$W^{n,j} \approx W(t^n) + \int_{t^n}^{t^{n,j}} \partial_t W(t)\, dt = W^n + \Delta t \int_0^{c_j} \partial_t W(t^n + s\Delta t)\, ds$$

Principle of IMEX schemes

- Quadrature formula for intermediate values: $i = 1, \cdots, s$

$$W^{n,j} = W^n - \Delta t \sum_{k<j} \tilde{a}_{j,k} \nabla \cdot F_e(W^{n,k}) - \Delta t \sum_{k\leq j} a_{j,k} \nabla \cdot F_i(W^{n,k}),$$

Quadratures exact on the constants: $\sum_{k=1}^{s} \tilde{a}_{j,k} = \tilde{c}_j$, $\sum_{k=1}^{s} a_{j,k} = c_j$

- $W^{n+1} = W^n - \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} \tilde{b}_j \nabla \cdot F_e(W^{n,j}) - \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j \nabla \cdot F_i(W^{n,j})$

Butcher tableaux:

Explicit part

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
c_2 & \tilde{a}_{2,1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_s & \tilde{a}_{s,1} & \ldots & \tilde{a}_{s,s-1} & 0 \\
\hline
 & \tilde{b}_1 & \ldots & \ldots & \tilde{b}_s
\end{array}$$

Implicit part

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
c_1 & a_{1,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
c_2 & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_s & a_{s,1} & \ldots & a_{s,s-1} & a_{s,s} \\
\hline
 & b_1 & \ldots & \ldots & b_s
\end{array}$$

Conditions for 2nd order: $\sum b_j c_j = \sum b_j \tilde{c}_j = \sum \tilde{b}_j c_j = \sum \tilde{b}_j \tilde{c}_j = 1/2$

ARS scheme [Ascher, Ruuth & Spiteri, '97]:

"only one" intermediate step

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \beta-1 & 2-\beta & 0 \\ \hline & \beta-1 & 2-\beta & 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1-\beta & \beta \\ \hline & 0 & 1-\beta & \beta \end{array} \qquad \beta = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$W^{n,1} = W^n$$

$$W^{n,2} = W^\star = W^n - \Delta t\,\beta\,\nabla\cdot F_e(W^n) - \Delta t\,\beta\,\nabla\cdot F_i(W^\star)$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^{n,3} = W^{n+1} = W^n &- \Delta t(\beta-1)\nabla\cdot F_e(W^n) - \Delta t(2-\beta)\nabla\cdot F_e(W^\star) \\ &- \Delta t(1-\beta)\nabla\cdot F_i(W^\star) - \Delta t\beta\nabla\cdot F_i(W^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

AP Order 2 scheme for Euler

Density ρ for the ARS time discretization:



Better understand the oscillations

Consider the scalar hyperbolic equation $\partial_t w + \partial_x f(w) = 0.$

- Oscillations measured by the Total Variation and the L^∞ norm:

$$TV(w^n) = \sum_j |w_{j+1}^n - w_j^n| \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|w^n\|_\infty = \max_j |w_j^n|.$$

- TVD (Total Variation Diminishing) property and L^∞ stability:

$$\begin{cases} TV(w^{n+1}) \leq TV(w^n) \\ \|w^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \|w^n\|_\infty \end{cases} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \text{no oscillations}$$

First idea: Find an AP order 2 scheme which satisfies these properties.

Impossible

Theorem (Gottlieb, Shu & Tadmor, '01): There are no implicit Runge-Kutta schemes of order higher than one which preserves the TVD property.

A limiting procedure

Another idea: use a limited scheme.

$$W^{n+1} = \theta\, W^{n+1,O2} + (1-\theta)\, W^{n+1,O1}$$

- $W^{n+1,Oj}$ = order j AP approximation
- $\theta \in [0,1]$ largest value such that W^{n+1} does not oscillate

Toy scalar equation: $\partial_t w + c_e \partial_x w + \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \partial_x w = 0$

- Order 1 AP scheme with upwind space discretizations ($c_e, c_i > 0$):

$$w_j^{n+1,O1} = w_j^n - c_e (w_j^n - w_{j-1}^n) - \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (w_j^{n+1,O1} - w_{j-1}^{n+1,O1}).$$

- Order 2 AP scheme: ARS with the parameter $\beta = 1 - 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Lemma (Dimarco, Loubère, M.-D., Vignal):
Under the CFL condition $\Delta t \leq \Delta x / c_e$,

$$\theta = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \simeq 0.41 \quad \Rightarrow \begin{cases} TV(w^{n+1}) \leq TV(w^n), \\ \|w^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \|w^n\|_\infty. \end{cases}$$

A MOOD procedure

Limited AP scheme:

$$w^{n+1,lim} = \theta\, w^{n+1,O2} + (1-\theta)\, w^{n+1,O1} \quad \text{with} \quad \theta = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$$

Problem: More accurate than order 1 but not order 2

Solution: MOOD procedure: see [Clain, Diot & Loubère, '11]

On the toy equation: $w^{n+1,HO}$ **MOOD AP scheme,** CFL $\Delta t \leq \Delta x / c_e$

- Compute the order 2 approximation $w^{n+1,O2}$.
- Detect if the max. principle is satisfied: $\|w^{n+1,O2}\|_\infty \leq \|w^n\|_\infty$?
- If not, compute the limited AP approximation $w^{n+1,lim}$.



Outline

Error curves for the toy scalar equation

- Order 2 in space: MUSCL (with the MC limiter) with explicit slopes for implicit fluxes.
- Error curves on a smooth solution for the toy scalar equation:



Second-order scheme for the Euler equations

Recall the first-order IMEX scheme for the Euler system:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\rho^{n+1}-\rho^n}{\Delta t} + \nabla\cdot(\rho\, u)^{n+1} = 0, & (1) \\[2ex] \dfrac{(\rho\, u)^{n+1}-(\rho\, u)^n}{\Delta t} + \nabla\cdot(\rho\, u\otimes u)^n + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla p(\rho^{n+1}) = 0. & (2) \end{cases}$$

We apply the same convex combination procedure:

$$W^{n+1,lim} = \theta\, W^{n+1,O2} + (1-\theta)\, W^{n+1,O1}, \quad \text{with } \theta = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ We use the value of θ given by the study of the toy scalar equation.

$\rightsquigarrow$ But how can we detect oscillations for the MOOD procedure?

Euler equations: MOOD procedure

The previous detector (L^∞ criterion on the solution) is irrelevant for the Euler equations, since ρ and u do not satisfy a maximum principle.

$\rightsquigarrow$ we need another detection criterion

We pick the Riemann invariants $\Phi_\pm = u \mp \frac{2}{\gamma - 1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial p(\rho)}{\partial \rho}}$: in a Riemann problem, at least one of them satisfies a maximum principle. [Conway & Smoller, '73]

On the Euler equations:

$W^{n+1,HO}$ **MOOD AP scheme,** CFL $\Delta t \leq \Delta x / \lambda$

- Compute the order 2 approximation $W^{n+1,O2}$.
- Detect if both Riemann invariants break the maximum principle at the same time.
- If so, compute the limited AP approximation $W^{n+1,lim}$.

Euler equations: 1D Numerical results

Riemann problem: left rarefaction wave, right shock ;
top curves: $\varepsilon = 1$; bottom curves: $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$



Euler equations: 1D Numerical results

Error curves in L^∞ norm, smooth 1D solution



Euler equations: 2D Numerical results

Error curves in L^∞ norm, smooth 2D traveling vortex



Euler equations: 2D Numerical results $\begin{cases} 200 \times 200 \text{ cells} \\ \varepsilon = 10^{-5} \end{cases}$

1st-order AP





TVD-AP

2nd-order AP





TVD-AP-MOOD

reference solution obtained solving the vorticity formulation

$$\partial_t \omega + U \cdot \nabla \omega = 0,$$

with $\omega = \partial_x v - \partial_y u$

reference



Outline

Work in progress and perspectives

- Pick an order ≥ 2 and L^2-stable time discretization to get a θ as close as possible to 1 for the stability of the limited scheme.
- Study a local value of θ, depending on the presence of oscillations in a given cell.
- Extension to full Euler (order 1 scheme exists).
- Domain decomposition with respect to ε:



Thanks!

Euler equations: 2D Numerical results

To obtain a 2D reference incompressible solution, set $\omega = \partial_x v - \partial_y u$ and consider the **vorticity formulation** of the incompressible Euler equations:

$$\partial_t \omega + U \cdot \nabla \omega = 0,$$

$$\nabla \cdot U = 0 \implies \exists \text{ **stream function** } \Psi \text{ such that } \begin{cases} U &= {}^t(\partial_y \Psi, -\partial_x \Psi), \\ -\Delta \Psi &= \omega. \end{cases}$$

To get the time evolution of the vorticity from ω^n:

1. solve $-\Delta \Psi^n = \omega^n$ for Ψ^n (with periodic BC and assuming that the average of Ψ vanishes);
2. get U^n from $U^n = {}^t(\partial_y \Psi^n, -\partial_x \Psi^n)$;
3. solve $\partial_t \omega + U^n \cdot \nabla \omega^n = 0$ to get ω^{n+1}.

We get a reference incompressible vorticity $\omega(x,t)$, to be compared to the vorticity of the solution given by the compressible scheme with small ε (we take $\varepsilon = M^2 = 10^{-5}$).

Bibliography

All speed schemes

- **Preconditioning methods:** [Chorin, '65], [Choi, Merkle, '85], [Turkel, '87], [Van Leer, Lee & Roe, '91], [Li & Gu '08, '10], . . .
- **Splitting and pressure correction:** [Harlow & Amsden, '68, '71], [Karki & Patankar, '89], [Bijl & Wesseling, '98], [Sewall & Tafti, '08], [Klein, Botta, Schneider, Munz & Roller '08], [Guillard, Murrone & Viozat '99, '04, '06] [Herbin, Kheriji & Latché '12, '13], . . .
  - ➠ **Asymptotic preserving schemes**
    [Degond, Deluzet, Sangam & Vignal, '09], [Degond & Tang, '11], [Cordier, Degond & Kumbaro, '12], [Grenier, Vila & Villedieu, '13] [Dellacherie, Omnès & Raviart, '13], [Noelle, Bispen, Arun, Lukáčová & Munz, '14], [Chalons, Girardin & Kokh, '15] [Dimarco, Loubère & Vignal, '17]