

Exercise 1. High-temperature expansion and positive correlations

- (1) Recall the high-temperature expansion of the Ising model.

Solution. The high-temperature expansion for the partition function and the insertion of two spins is :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}(\sigma)} &= (\cosh \beta)^{|E|} 2^{|V|} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}} (\tanh \beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|} \\ \sum \sigma_x \sigma_y e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}(\sigma)} &= (\cosh \beta)^{|E|} 2^{|V|} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_{x,y}} (\tanh \beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C}_{x,y}$ are respectively the collection of edge sets such that every vertex is incident to an even number of edges / every vertex is incident to an even number of edges except for x and y which are incident to an odd number of edges (i.e. \mathcal{C} is the set of set of loops and $\mathcal{C}_{x,y}$ is the set of set of loops with a path $x \rightarrow y$).

- (2) Consider the Ising model on a finite connected graph
- \mathbb{G}
- without a boundary (i.e. with free boundary conditions). Show that for any inverse temperature
- $\beta \in]0, \infty[$
- , we have

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{E}[\sigma_x \sigma_y] > 0.$$

Solution. We have that $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_x \sigma_y] = \frac{\sum_{\sigma} \sigma_x \sigma_y e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}(\sigma)}}{\sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}(\sigma)}} = \frac{\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_{x,y}} (\tanh \beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|}}{\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}} (\tanh \beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|}} > 0$ since every term in $\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_{x,y}} (\tanh \beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|}$ and $\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}} (\tanh \beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|}$ are positive.

Exercise 2. Kramers-Wannier duality

Consider the Ising model on the lattice $V = \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \Omega$ with edge set E at the self-dual inverse temperature $\beta_c = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$ with free boundary conditions, where Ω is a bounded, connected open subset of the plane. Fix two neighbouring vertices x and $y \in V$ in the lattice, connected by the edge $e = \{x, y\} \in E$. Write $\mathcal{C} \subset 2^E$ for the collection of subsets $\mathcal{E} \subset E$ such that every vertex is incident to an even (possibly zero) number of edges in \mathcal{E} (informally, \mathcal{E} is a set of loops formed by elements of E). Similarly, write $\mathcal{C}_{x,y}$ for the collection of $\mathcal{E}_{x,y}$ such that every vertex except for x, y is incident to an even number of edges in $\mathcal{E}_{x,y}$, while x and y are both incident to an odd number of edges in $\mathcal{E}_{x,y}$. Write

$$Z(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(-2\beta_c |\mathcal{E}|) = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}} (\tanh \beta_c)^{|\mathcal{E}|}, \quad Z(\mathcal{C}_{x,y}) = \sum_{\mathcal{E}_{x,y} \in \mathcal{C}_{x,y}} \exp(-2\beta_c |\mathcal{E}_{x,y}|).$$

- (1) Express the spin correlation
- $\mathbb{E}^{free}[\sigma_x \sigma_y]$
- of two neighbouring vertices
- x, y
- in terms of
- $Z(\mathcal{C})$
- and
- $Z(\mathcal{C}_{x,y})$
- .

Solution. The spin correlation $\mathbb{E}^{free}[\sigma_x \sigma_y] = \frac{\sum_{\sigma} \sigma_x \sigma_y e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}(\sigma)}}{\sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}(\sigma)}}$, and using the high-temperature expansion this gives :

$$\mathbb{E}^{free}[\sigma_x \sigma_y] = \frac{Z(\mathcal{C}_{x,y})}{Z(\mathcal{C})}$$

- (2) Recall Kramers-Wannier duality.

Solution. The Kramers-Wannier duality can be formulated starting from an Ising model with free boundary conditions (although it can be formulated also with more general boundary conditions) at arbitrary inverse temperature β on the graph $G = (V, E)$. The duality consists in obtaining an Ising model with + boundary conditions at inverse temperature β^* on the dual graph $G^* = (V^*, E^*)$, where

- (a) the parameter
- β^*
- satisfies the relations

$$\exp(-2\beta^*) = \tanh(\beta) \iff \sinh(\beta^*) \sinh(\beta) = 1,$$

which in particular implies that $\beta^*(\beta)$ is decreasing in β and $\beta^*(\beta) = \beta \iff \beta = \beta_c := \frac{1}{2} \ln(\sqrt{2}+1)$,

- (b)
- V^*
- is the set of faces of
- G
- (squares in
- $\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \Omega$
- of side length 1) and
- E^*
- is the set of dual edges (pairs of faces sharing an edge in
- E
-).

Now the idea is simply to consider the high temperature expansion of the partition function Z of the Ising model on G as the low temperature expansion of the partition function Z^* of its dual model on G^* using the observation that each element $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}$ obtained in the high temperature expansion of Z corresponds uniquely to the boundaries of the sign clusters of a spin configuration $\sigma^* \in \{\pm 1\}^{V^*}$ on the dual graph G^*

with + boundary conditions, such that the number of neighboring spins in σ^* with opposite signs equal to $|\mathcal{E}|$. Hence we have that

$$Z = 2^{|\mathcal{V}|} \cosh(\beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in C} \tanh(\beta)^{|\mathcal{E}|} = cst \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in C} \exp(-2\beta^*) = cst \cdot Z^*,$$

where cst is a constant (i.e. it only depends on G), hence Z and Z^* define the same probability measure on $C \cong \{\pm 1\}^{V^*,+}$.

Remark. At critical inverse temperature β_c if one deals appropriately with the boundary conditions (e.g. choosing periodic boundary conditions) and if one takes Ω growing to the full square lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 we have that the dual model has the same limiting law as the original model (assuming also that this limiting law is well defined), hence we sometimes call the critical Ising model “self-dual”.

- (3) Now, write $C = C^e \cup C^{-e}$ where C^e is the collection of $\mathcal{E} \in C$ with $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $C^{-e} = C \setminus C^e$, then accordingly decompose the sum $Z = Z(C^{-e}) + Z(C^e)$. By Kramers-Wannier duality, we have a dual Ising model on the *faces* of the lattice with *plus* boundary conditions. Suppose the two faces separated by e are denoted f_1, f_2 . Recall the low-temperature expansion: what are the probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}^+[\sigma_{f_1} = \sigma_{f_2}], \quad \mathbb{P}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \neq \sigma_{f_2}]$$

in terms of $Z(C), Z(C^e), Z(C^{-e})$? What is $\mathbb{E}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \sigma_{f_2}]$?

Solution. In the low-temperature expansion, we put an edge between two spins if and only if they disagree. Thus, we must put an edge between f_1 and f_2 if and only if $\sigma_{f_1} \neq \sigma_{f_2}$. Thus $\mathbb{P}^+[\sigma_{f_1} = \sigma_{f_2}] = \frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \neq \sigma_{f_2}] = \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)}$. Now the value of $\mathbb{E}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \sigma_{f_2}]$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \sigma_{f_2}] = \mathbb{P}^+[\sigma_{f_1} = \sigma_{f_2}] - \mathbb{P}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \neq \sigma_{f_2}] = \frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)} - \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)}.$$

- (4) Note that there is a bijection from C to $C_{x,y}$: given $\mathcal{E} \in C^e$, $\mathcal{E} \setminus \{e\} \in C_{x,y}$, and give $\mathcal{E} \in C^{-e}$, $\mathcal{E} \cup \{e\} \in C_{x,y}$. This also means there is a one-to-one correspondence between the terms of $Z(C) = Z(C^e) + Z(C^{-e})$ and $Z(C_{x,y})$. Express $Z(C_{x,y})$ in terms of $Z(C^e)$ and $Z(C^{-e})$.

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(C_{x,y}) &= \sum_{\mathcal{E}_{x,y} \in C_{x,y}} \exp(-2\beta_c |\mathcal{E}_{x,y}|) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in C^{-e}} \exp(-2\beta_c |\mathcal{E} \cup \{e\}|) + \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in C^e} \exp(-2\beta_c |\mathcal{E} \setminus \{e\}|) \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in C^{-e}} e^{-2\beta_c} \exp(-2\beta_c |\mathcal{E}|) + \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in C^e} e^{+2\beta_c} \exp(-2\beta_c |\mathcal{E}|) \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$Z(C_{x,y}) = e^{2\beta_c} Z(C^e) + e^{-2\beta_c} Z(C^{-e}).$$

- (5) We know that, as we take bigger and bigger $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{E}^{free}(\sigma_x \sigma_y)$ and $\mathbb{E}^+(\sigma_{f_1} \sigma_{f_2})$ both tend to a single positive number μ . Compute μ by using above results.

Solution. We showed that

$$\mathbb{E}^{free}[\sigma_x \sigma_y] = \frac{Z(C_{x,y})}{Z(C)} = e^{2\beta_c} \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)} + e^{-2\beta_c} \frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \sigma_{f_2}] = \frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)} - \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)}.$$

Let us suppose that $\mathbb{E}^{free}(\sigma_x \sigma_y) = \mathbb{E}^+[\sigma_{f_1} \sigma_{f_2}]$ we get the equation

$$\frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)} - \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)} = e^{2\beta_c} \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)} + e^{-2\beta_c} \frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)}.$$

Let us remark that we also have

$$\frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)} + \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)} = 1.$$

This results in a system of two equations with two unknowns, which solution is $\frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)} = \frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{4}$ and $\frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)} = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4}$. Hence we obtain

$$\mu = \frac{Z(C^{-e})}{Z(C)} - \frac{Z(C^e)}{Z(C)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

Exercise 3. $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and boundary conditions

Consider the Ising model on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap [0, N]^2$. We impose respectively plus and minus spins on the boundary vertices $\{-1\} \times [0, N] \cup [0, N] \times \{N+1\}$ and $\{N+1\} \times [0, N] \cup [0, N] \times \{-1\}$. Describe the $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the model.

Hint : in a previous exercises sheet, you already studied the $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ limit of an Ising model with free boundary conditions. Use the low temperature expansion to study the limit, and use a combinatorial argument to count the number of configurations which remain as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in order to compute the probabilities of the remaining configurations.

Solution. We have already seen that the $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ limit of this model is given by the uniform measure on the configurations with lowest energy (Exercise 2 Sheet 11). We just have to understand what are these configurations and how many they are. In order to do so, we consider the low temperature expansion : we draw edges separating opposite spins and we get a representation of the spin configurations as edge sets $\mathcal{E} \in 2^{E^*}$ (where E^* is the set of edges in the dual). The energy is given by $2|\mathcal{E}|$, and for any configuration, we see a path from the left-bottom corner to the right-top corner and some loops. For any lowest energy configuration there will not be a loop since it would only add more energy.

Thus we have the following combinatorial problem : if we have a square subdivided into N^2 equal squares (N rows and columns), how many shortest length edge-paths are there from the left-bottom corner to the right-top corner ? Starting from the left-bottom corner, we either go up or right by one edge : we need to do N up moves and N right moves. So there are $\binom{2N}{N}$ such paths; a corresponding spin configuration has plus spins above the path and minus below.

As $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, Ising probabilities are uniformly distributed across the $\binom{2N}{N}$ such configurations.