

# Harmonious Groups

Part 2: Their structure inside groups of finite Morley rank

Rachad Bentbib

This work is joint with Olivier Frécon

"Ranked groups - The return" conference

September 23rd, 2021, Lyon

# Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The maximal harmonious subgroups  $M_G(X)$
- 3 The  $W_G(X)$  subgroups
- 4 Towards another possible decomposition

# Outline

## 1 Motivation

## 2 The maximal harmonious subgroups $M_G(X)$

## 3 The $W_G(X)$ subgroups

## 4 Towards another possible decomposition

# Motivation

## Theorem (Feferman & Vaught, 1959)

*Let  $L$  be a first order language,  $I$  a nonempty set, and for each  $i \in I$ , let  $A_i$  be an  $L$ -structure and  $B_i$  be an elementary equivalent to (resp. extension of)  $A_i$ . Then  $\prod_{i \in I} B_i$  is an elementary equivalent to (resp. extension of)  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ .*

## Corollary

*Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be groups in the language  $\{\cdot, e, -1\}$  and  $\tilde{H}_1$  be an elementary extension of  $H_1$ . Then  $\tilde{H}_1 \times H_2$  is an elementary extension of  $H_1 \times H_2$ .*

# Motivation

## Corollary

*Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  and  $K$  be infinite definable subgroups of  $G$  such that  $G = H \times K$ . Then  $G$  is not  $\aleph_1$ -categorical.*

## Proof.

Let  $\tilde{H}$  be an elementary extension of  $H$  having a cardinality larger than  $\text{card } K$ . Then  $\tilde{G} := \tilde{H} \times K$  is an elementary extension of  $G$  and  $(G, \tilde{G})$  is a Vaughtian pair for  $K$ . □

# Motivation

## Theorem

Let  $G$  be a group of finite Morley rank. If  $G^\circ = HK$  where:

- $H, K \trianglelefteq G$  not necessarily definable;
- $[H, K] = 1$ ;
- $H \cap K$  is finite;
- $H$  is abelian and  $K$  is not,

then  $G$  is not  $\aleph_1$ -categorical.

# Recalling some notions

Let  $G$  be a group of finite Morley rank.

**Proposition** (Lascar, 1985)

$G$  doesn't have the *finite cover property*.

**Definition**

We say that two strongly minimal sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are **analogous** if there is a strongly minimal set  $U$  and two interpretable maps  $U \rightarrow X$  and  $U \rightarrow Y$  with cofinite images. This is an equivalence relation.

An interpretable set  $N$  is said to be **harmonious** of type  $X$  if every strongly minimal set interpretable in  $N$  is analogous to  $X$ .

# Outline

1 Motivation

2 The maximal harmonious subgroups  $M_G(X)$

3 The  $W_G(X)$  subgroups

4 Towards another possible decomposition

# A fundamental lemma

## Lemma

*Let  $H_0 = 1 \leq H_1 \leq \cdots \leq H_n = G$  be definable subgroups of  $G$ . Then for each interpretable strongly minimal set  $X$ , there exists  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $X$  is analogous to a strongly minimal set interpretable in  $H_{i+1}/H_i$ .*

## Corollary

*If  $X$  is an interpretable strongly minimal set and  $H_0 = 1 \leq H_1 \leq \cdots \leq H_n = G$  are definable subgroups of  $G$  such that  $H_{i+1}/H_i$  is harmonious of type  $X$  for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $G$  is harmonious of type  $X$ .*

# Maximal harmonious subgroups

## Definition

Let  $X$  be an interpretable strongly minimal set. We define  $M_G(X)$  to be the maximal normal connected definable subgroup of  $G$  which is harmonious of type  $X$ .

## Proposition

- $M_G(X)$  is definably characteristic in  $G$ .
- $\text{card } M_G(X) \in \{1, \text{card } X\}$ .
- Every harmonious subset  $E \subseteq G$  of type  $X$  is contained in finitely many cosets of  $M_G(X)$ .

# Maximal harmonious subgroups

## Corollary

*There exists a strongly minimal subset  $X$  of  $G$  such that  $M_G(X) \neq 1$ .*

## Proof.

Let  $X$  be a strongly minimal subset of  $G$ . It is harmonious of type  $X$ , thus contained in finitely many cosets of  $M_G(X)$ . □

## Corollary

*If  $E$  is an infinite subset of  $G$  of type  $X$ , then  $M_G(X) \neq 1$ .*

# Maximal harmonious subgroups

## Lemma

*Let  $H$  be a definable subgroup of finite index in  $G$ . If  $H$  is harmonious of type  $X$ , so is  $G$ .*

## Corollary

*Let  $H$  be an interpretable group and let  $M$  be a normal connected interpretable subgroup of  $H$  of infinite index maximal for these conditions. Then  $H/M$  is harmonious.*

## Proof.

Let  $X$  be a strongly minimal subset of  $H/M$ . Then  $M_{H/M}(X)$  is not trivial, and by maximality of  $M$ , it has finite index in  $H/M$ . Therefore  $H/M$  is harmonious of type  $X$ . □

# Finitely many equivalence classes

## Theorem

*There are finitely many strongly minimal sets  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  such that every interpretable strongly minimal set  $X$  of  $G$  is analogous to  $X_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

## Proof.

Let  $(H_i)_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$  be definable subgroups of  $G$  defined as follows:  $H_0 = 1$ ,  $H_n = G$  and  $H_i$  is a maximal proper normal definable subgroup of infinite index in  $H_{i+1}$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Each  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is harmonious of type  $X_i$  for some  $X_i$ . By the fundamental lemma, every interpretable strongly minimal set  $X$  is analogous to  $X_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . □

# Notation

Fix  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be representatives of the different equivalence classes under the "analogous" relation, and  $X$  be an arbitrary interpretable strongly minimal set.

# Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The maximal harmonious subgroups  $M_G(X)$
- 3 The  $W_G(X)$  subgroups
- 4 Towards another possible decomposition

# Defining $W_G(X)$

## Definition

An interpretable set  $N$  in  $G$  is said to be of type  $X^\perp$  if none of its interpretable strongly minimal sets is analogous to  $X$ .

Let  $W_G(X)$  be the intersection of the definable subgroups  $W$  of  $G$  for which  $G/W$  is of type  $X^\perp$ .

# Properties

## Proposition

$W_G(X)$  is a definable subgroup definably characteristic in  $G$ , and  $G/W_G(X)$  is of type  $X^\perp$ .

## Proof.

There exists  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  definable subgroups of  $G$  such that  $W_G(X) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n W_i$ . Define  $H_i := \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^{k-i} W_j \right) / W_G(X)$  for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $H_k = G/W_G(X)$ . Then  $H_{i+1}/H_i$  is of type  $X^\perp$  for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ , and so is  $G/W_G(X)$  according to the fundamental lemma. □

# $W_-(X)$ as an operator

## Corollary

$$W_{W_G(X)}(X) = W_G(X).$$

## Proof.

Let  $H_0 := 1$ ,  $H_1 := W_G(X)/W_{W_G(X)}(X)$  and  $H_2 := G/W_{W_G(X)}(X)$ .

Then  $H_{i+1}/H_i$  is of type  $X^\perp$  for each  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and so is  $G/W_{W_G(X)}(X)$ . Therefore  $W_G(X) \leq W_{W_G(X)}(X)$ . □

# $W_-(X)$ as an operator

## Corollary

*If  $H$  is a definable subgroup of  $G$ , then  $W_H(X) \leq W_G(X) \cap H$ .*

## Proof.

The quotient group

$W_H(X)W_G(X)/W_G(X) \cong W_H(X)/(W_H(X) \cap W_G(X))$  is of type  $X^\perp$ , thus  $W_H(X) = W_{W_H(X)}(X) \leq W_H(X) \cap W_G(X)$ . □

# Properties

## Corollary

$W_G(X)$  is connected.

## Proof.

$W_G(X)/W_G(X)^\circ$  is finite, thus of type  $X^\perp$ . Therefore

$$W_G(X) = W_{W_G(X)}(X) \leq W_G(X)^\circ.$$

□

# Relation to harmonious groups

## Proposition

$M_G(X) \leq W_G(X)$ .

## Proof.

$M_G(X)/(M_G(X) \cap W_G(X)) \cong M_G(X)W_G(X)/W_G(X)$  is harmonious of type  $X$  and is also of type  $X^\perp$ , thus it is a finite group. Since  $M_G(X)$  is connected, we must have

$M_G(X) \cap W_G(X) = M_G(X)$ . □

# Relation to harmonious groups

## Lemma

*If  $G$  is harmonious of type  $X$  then  $W_G(X) = G^\circ$ .*

## Proof.

Let  $W \leq G^\circ$  definable such that  $G^\circ/W$  is of type  $X^\perp$ . We also know that  $G^\circ/W$  is harmonious of type  $X$ . Thus  $G^\circ/W$  is finite, which entails  $W = G^\circ$ . □

# Towards a decomposition

## Lemma

*Let  $N$  be a definable subgroup of infinite index in  $W_G(X)$ . If  $W_G(X)/N$  is harmonious, then it is harmonious of type  $X$ .*

## Proof.

Assume  $W_G(X)/N$  is harmonious of type  $Y$ . If  $Y$  is not analogous to  $X$ , then  $W_G(X)/N$  is of type  $X^\perp$ , thus  $W_G(X) = W_{W_G(X)}(X) \leq N$ . □

## Proposition

*If  $X$  and  $Y$  are two nonanalogous interpretable strongly minimal sets of  $G$ , then  $[W_G(X), W_G(Y)] = 1$ .*

# Proof

We proceed by induction on the Morley rank of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be a minimal infinite definable connected subgroup of  $G$ . By minimality of  $N$ , it is harmonious of type  $Z$  for some  $Z$ . By induction hypothesis,

$$[W_G(X), W_G(Y)]N/N = [W_{G/N}(X), W_{G/N}(Y)] = 1, \text{ thus}$$

$$[W_G(X), W_G(Y)] \leq N.$$

Suppose that there exists  $u \in W_G(X)$  and  $v \in W_G(Y)$  such that  $[u, v] \neq 1$ . Then the adjoint maps  $\text{ad}_u : W_G(Y) \rightarrow N$  and  $\text{ad}_v : W_G(X) \rightarrow N$  induce interpretable embeddings of  $W_G(Y)/C_{W_G(Y)}(u)$  and  $W_G(X)/C_{W_G(X)}(v)$  into  $N$ . These quotient groups are thus harmonious of type  $Z$ , and according to the previous lemma,  $Z$  is analogous to both  $X$  and  $Y$ . □

# The main theorem

## Theorem

*The connected component of  $G$  is the central product of the  $(W_G(X_i))_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ . In particular, we have*

$$G^\circ / Z(G^\circ) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \overline{W_G(X_i)}$$

*where  $\overline{W_G(X_i)} = W_G(X_i)Z(G)/Z(G)$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

# Proof

Since the different  $W_G(X_i)$  commute with each other, it's enough to show that they generate  $G^\circ$ . Let  $H$  be a maximal proper definable normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G/H$  is harmonious of fingerprint  $X_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus  $W_G(X_i)H/H = W_{G/H}(X_i) = G/H$ , which shows that no proper definable subgroup contains all the  $(W_G(X_i))_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ . Hence  $G^\circ$  is their central product.

The remaining follows from the fact that every element of  $W_G(X_i)Z(G) \cap \prod_{j \neq i} (W_G(X_j)Z(G))$  is central in  $G$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . □

# A harmonious quotient of $W_G(X)$

## Proposition

*If  $G$  is connected, then  $W_G(X)Z(G)/Z(G)$  is harmonious of type  $X$ .*

## Proof.

Let  $Y$  not analogous to  $X$ . Then

$[W_G(X), W_{W_G(X)}(Y)] = [W_{W_G(X)}(X), W_{W_G(X)}(Y)] = 1$ , thus  $W_{W_G(X)}(Y)$  is central in  $W_G(X)$ , thus  $W_G(X)/Z(W_G(X))$  is of type  $Y^\perp$ , and we have  $Z(W_G(X)) = W_G(X) \cap Z(G)$ . □

## Fact

*Harmonious groups are  $\aleph_1$ -categorical.*

# About the commutator subgroup

## Corollary

*If  $G$  is connected, then  $[G, W_G(X)] \leq M_G(X)$ .*

*In particular, if  $M_G(X) = 1$ , then  $W_G(X)$  is abelian.*

## Theorem

*If  $G$  is connected, then  $G'$  is contained in the central product of the  $(M_G(X_i))_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ .*

# Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The maximal harmonious subgroups  $M_G(X)$
- 3 The  $W_G(X)$  subgroups
- 4 Towards another possible decomposition

# Some terminology

## Definition

A definable **section** of  $G$  is a quotient group  $U/V$  for some definable  $V \trianglelefteq U \leq G$ .

Let  $H$  be a (not necessarily definable) subgroup of  $G$ . Consider the section  $(H \cap U)V/V$ . If it is equal to  $U/V$ , we say that  $H$  **covers**  $U/V$ . If it is finite, we say that  $H$  **almost avoids**  $U/V$ .

A definable section of type  $X$  (resp.  $X^\perp$ ) is called an  **$X$ -section** (resp.  **$X^\perp$ -section**).

# A property of interest

## Definition

Let  $K$  be an interpretable group in  $G$ , and  $H \leq K$  not necessarily interpretable. We say that  $H$  has the (\*) property for  $X$  in  $K$  if  $H$  covers all the definable connected  $X$ -sections and almost avoids all the connected  $X^\perp$ -sections.

## Lemma

*We get an equivalent definition if we consider minimal connected sections.*

# $W_G(X)$ covers the $X$ -sections

## Proposition

$W_G(X)$  covers the  $X$ -sections.

## Proof.

The quotient  $(U/V)/((W_G(X) \cap U)V/V) \cong U/(W_G(X) \cap U)$  is both of type  $X$  and  $X^\perp$ . □

# Searching for (\*) inside $W_G(X)$

## Lemma

*Let  $W$  be a definable subgroup of  $G$  which covers the  $X$ -sections of  $G$  and  $H$  a subgroup of  $W$ . Then  $H$  has the (\*) property for  $X$  in  $G$  if and only if it has the (\*) property for  $X$  in  $W$ .*

# A possible decomposition

## Conjecture

*Assume  $G$  is connected. Then, for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , there exists  $H_i \leq W_G(X_i)$  which has the (\*) property for  $X_i$  in  $G$ .*

*This entails that  $G$  is the central product of the  $(H_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ , and that*

$$G/F = \prod_{i=1}^n H_i F/F$$

*for some finite central subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ .*

# Questions

- Is  $X_i$  interpretable in  $H_i$ ?
- If this is the case, is  $H_i$  harmonious of type  $X_i$ ?

Thank you for your attention.