Lecture # 1 The direct method: a few examples

# (a) Basic examples

In what follows,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a "smooth" bounded open set. In items A, B, C,  $a \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $a \ge 0$ , and  $f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ .

A The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + a(x)u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique weak solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Useful reference: [4, Corollary 3.23].

B Same for the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + a(x)|u|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases},$$

with  $1 < q < \infty$ .

Useful results:

**Exercise.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Then

$$L^q(X,\mathscr{T},\mu)\ni u\mapsto G(u):=|u|^{q-1}\,\operatorname{sgn} u\in L^{q/(q-1)}(X,\mathscr{T},\mu)$$

is continuous.

**Lemma.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Then

$$L^q(X,\mathscr{T},\mu)\ni u\mapsto F(u):=\int_X |u|^q\,d\mu$$

is  $C^1$ , and

$$F'(u)(\varphi) = q \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-1} \left( \operatorname{sgn} u \right) \varphi, \, \forall \, u, \varphi \in L^q(X, \mathscr{T}, \mu)$$

C The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) + a(x)|u|^{q-1}\,\operatorname{sgn} u = f & \operatorname{in} \Omega\\ u = 0 & \operatorname{on} \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

with  $1 < p, q < \infty$ , has a unique weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Useful result:

**Exercise.** Let 1 . Then

$$L^p(X,\mathscr{T},\mu;\mathbb{R}^d) \ni f \mapsto F(f) := \int_X |f|^p \, d\mu$$

is  $C^1$ , and

$$F'(f)(g) = p \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-2} f \cdot g, \,\forall f, g \in L^{p}(X, \mathscr{T}, \mu; \mathbb{R}^{d}).$$

**D Definition.** A Carathéodory function is a function  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

- (i)  $x \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is (Lebesgue) measurable,  $\forall (u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- (ii)  $(u,\xi) \mapsto f(x,u,\xi)$  is continuous, for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem.** (Tonelli, Mac Shane, Morrey, ...) Let  $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ . Let f be a Carathéodory function such that:

- a)  $f(x, u, \xi) \ge a(x) \cdot u + b(x) \cdot \xi$ ,  $\forall u, \xi$ , for a.e. x, for some  $a \in L^{q'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $b \in L^{p'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- b)  $\xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is convex for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ .

Set

$$L^{q}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m}) \times L^{p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \ni (u, \xi) \mapsto L(u, \xi) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), \xi(x)) \, dx \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Then

$$[u_j \to u \text{ in } L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m), \, \xi_j \rightharpoonup \xi \text{ in } L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)] \implies \underline{\lim} L(u_j, \xi_j) \ge L(u, \xi).$$

(When  $p = \infty$ , we may replace  $\rightarrow$  by  $\stackrel{*}{\rightarrow}$ .)

Useful results:

**Exercise.** If f is a Carathéodory function and  $(u, \xi) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$  is measurable, prove that  $\Omega \ni x \mapsto f(x, u(x), \xi(x))$  is measurable.

# Exercise.

1. Let f be a Carathéodory function. Prove that, for each  $\varepsilon, M > 0$ , there exist: some  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, M) > 0$  and some compact set  $K = K(\varepsilon, M) \subset \Omega$  such that:

- i.  $|\Omega \setminus K| < \varepsilon$ .
- ii.  $[x \in K, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d, |u| \le M, |\xi| \le M, |u-v| \le \delta, |\xi-\eta| \le \delta] \Rightarrow |f(x, u, \xi) f(x, v, \eta)| \le \varepsilon.$

(Hint: consider only  $u,v,\xi,\eta$  with rational coordinates.)

- 2. Prove the *Scorza-Dragoni theorem*: f is a Carathéodory function iff for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some compact set  $L_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$  such that:
  - i.  $|\Omega \setminus L_{\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon$ .
  - ii. f is continuous on  $L_{\varepsilon}\times \mathbb{R}^m\times \mathbb{R}^d.$

(Hint: use Lusin's theorem to find a large set  $L \subset \Omega$  such that  $L \ni x \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is continuous when  $u, \xi$  have rational coordinates.)

Useful references: [6, Theorem 3.4, Section 3.3.1], [4, Corollary 3.9], [3, Theorem 2.2.10].

### (b) Notions of convexity

A **Definition.** A continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \to \mathbb{R}$  is quasi-convex if

$$|U| f(\xi) \leq \int_{U} f(\xi + D\varphi(x)) \, dx, \forall U \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \text{ bounded open set}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nm}, \forall \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(U; \mathbb{R}^{m}).$$
(1)

**Exercise.** Prove that the f is quasi-convex iff (1) is satisfied for *one* non empty U.

**Exercise.** Assume that *U* is bounded and convex.

- 1. Prove that  $W^{1,\infty}(U) = \operatorname{Lip}(U)$ .
- 2. Prove that (1) still holds when  $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}_c(U,\mathbb{R}^m)$ .
- 3. Prove that, with  $(\varphi_j) \subset W^{1,\infty}(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $\varphi_j \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} 0$  iff  $(\varphi_j)$  has uniformly bounded Lipschitz constants and  $\varphi_j \to 0$  uniformly on U.

**Lemma.** (Morrey) If f is quasi-convex and  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a cube, then

$$[(\varphi_j) \subset W^{1,\infty}(Q; \mathbb{R}^m), \, \varphi_j \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} 0] \implies \underline{\lim} \int_Q f(\xi + D\varphi_j(x)) \, dx \ge |Q| \, f(\xi),$$
$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nm}.$$

Useful reference: [8, Lemma 2.2].

**Exercise.** Prove a version of Morrey's lemma with Q replaced by a finite volume open set.

- B **Theorem.** (Morrey, ..., Acerbi-Fusco) Let f be a Carathéodory function on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  such that:
  - a) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and each  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.
  - b)  $0 \le f(x, u, \xi) \le a(x) + b(u, \xi)$ , with  $a \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $b \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm})$ .

If 
$$(u_j) \subset W^{1,\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$$
 and  $u_j \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u_j$ , then

$$\underline{\lim} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_j(x), Du_j(x)) \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), Du(x)) \, dx.$$

Useful result:

**Exercise.** (Easy version of Lebesgue's differentiation theorem) Let  $Q := (0, 1)^N$  and let  $g \in L^1(Q)$ . Let  $\ell \ge 1$  be an integer and

$$g_{\ell}(x) := \oint_C g(y) \, dy$$
 if x belongs to the dyadic cube C of size  $2^{-\ell}$ .

Then, up to a subsequence  $\ell_n \to \infty$  ,  $g_\ell \to g$  a.e.

Useful references: [1, Theorem II.1], [10, Corollary, p. 13].

For the record [1, Theorem II.4]:

**Theorem.** (Acerbi-Fusco) Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ . Let f be a Carathéodory function on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  such that:

- a) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and each  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.
- b)  $0 \le f(x, u, \xi) \le a(x) + C(|u|^p + |\xi|^p)$ , with  $a \in L^1(\Omega)$  and C finite.

If  $(u_j) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ , then

$$\underline{\lim} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_j, Du_j(x)) \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du(x)) \, dx.$$

**C** Theorem. (Morrey) Let  $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  be continuous. If, for every open set  $U \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{split} & [u_j \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(U)] \implies \\ & \underline{\lim} \int_U f(x, u_j(x), Du_j(x)) \, dx \ge \int_U f(x, u(x), Du(x)) \, dx, \end{split}$$

then, for each  $x \in \Omega$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.

Useful result:

**Lemma.** Let  $Q := (0,1)^N$  and let  $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , extended as a smooth 1-periodic function to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $U \subset \Omega$  be relatively compact. Let  $u_0 \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $\xi_0 \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{Nm})$ . Set  $\zeta_j(x) := 2^{-j} \zeta(2^j x)$ ,  $\forall j \ge 1$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then

$$\lim \int_{U} f(x, u_0(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta_j(x)) \, dx$$
  
=  $\int_{U} \int_{Q} f(x, u_0(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta(y)) \, dy \, dx$ 

and

$$\lim \int_{U} f(x, u_0(x) + \zeta_j(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta_j(x)) \, dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_{U} \int_{Q} f(x, u_0(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta(y)) \, dy \, dx.$$

For the record [1, Theorem II.2]:

**Theorem.** (Acerbi-Fusco) Let  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  be a Carathéodory function such that  $0 \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq a(x) + b(u, \xi)$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega$ ,  $\forall (u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$ , where  $a \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $b \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm})$ . If, for every open set  $U \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{split} & [u_j \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(U)] \implies \\ & \underline{\lim} \int_U f(x, u_j(x), Du_j(x)) \, dx \ge \int_U f(x, u(x), Du(x)) \, dx, \end{split}$$

then, for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and each  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.

D **Proposition.** Assume that N = 1 and let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then f is quasiconvex iff f is convex.

For the record:

**Theorem.** Assume that m = 1 (i.e., we work with scalar functions u) and let  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then f is quasi-convex iff f is convex.

Useful reference: [6, Theorem 3.1, Section 3.3.1].

E We identify  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  with  $M_{m,N}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $A \in M_{m,N}(\mathbb{R})$ . Given  $1 \le \ell \le K := \min(m, N)$ , and  $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_\ell\} \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ ,  $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_\ell\} \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$ , let  $A_{I,J}$  denote the minor of order  $\ell$  of A formed with the rows  $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ , respectively the columns  $j_1, \ldots, j_\ell$ . Let M be the number of all possible minors. We order the minors as  $A^1, \ldots, A^M$ .

**Definition.** (Morrey, Ball) A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \to \mathbb{R}$  is *polyconvex* if there exists some convex function  $g : \mathbb{R}^M \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(A) = g(A^1, \ldots, A^M)$ .

**Proposition.** (Morrey, Ball) A polyconvex function is quasi-convex.

A useful result:

### Lemma.

1. If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is smooth bounded and  $u, v \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^k)$  are such that u = v near  $\partial \Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \det \left(\nabla u\right)(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \det \left(\nabla v\right)(x) \, dx.$$

2. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be open bounded. If I, J are as above,  $A \in M_{m,N}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , then

$$\int_U (A + D\varphi(x))_{I,J} \, dx = |U| \, A_{I,J}.$$

Useful references: [2, Section 4], [6, Section 4.1]

## (c) Passing to the weak limits in nonlinear quantities

A **Theorem** (Reshetnyak) If  $u^j, u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $u^j \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W^{1,N}$ , then

 $\det (\nabla u^j) \to \det (\nabla u) \text{ in } \mathscr{D}'(\Omega).$ 

Useful reference: [9]

**B** Definition. (Ball) Let  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_N) \in W^{1,N^2/(N+1)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then

Det  $(\nabla u) := *d(u_1 du_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_N) \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega).$ 

**Exercise.** Using the Sobolev embeddings, prove that the above definition makes sense.

**Exercise.** If  $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , prove that  $Det(\nabla u) = det(\nabla u)$ .

Equivalently, prove that, if  $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \det \left( \nabla u \right) \varphi = - \int_{\Omega} \det \left( \varphi, u_2, \dots, u_N \right) u_1, \forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}).$$

C **Theorem.** (Reshetnyak, Ball, Brezis-Nguyen) Let  $N^2/(N+1) . Let <math>u^j, u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that  $u^j \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Then

Det  $(\nabla u^j) \to \text{Det} (\nabla u)$  in  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ .

Useful result:

**Lemma.** Let  $p \ge N-1$  and let  $q \ge 1$  satisfy (N-1)/p + 1/q = 1. If  $u, v \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \left[ \det \left( \nabla v \right) - \det \left( \nabla u \right) \right] \varphi \right| \le C_{N,\Omega} \| v - u \|_q \left( \| \nabla u \|_p + \| \nabla v \|_p \right)^{N-1} \| \nabla \varphi \|_{\infty},$$
$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}).$$

Useful reference: [5, Theorem 1]

**Exercise.** When N = 2, establish the above theorem by proving the following stronger statement: if p > 4/3 and  $u^j = (u_1^j, u_2^j) \rightharpoonup u = (u_1, u_2)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ , then  $u_1^j \nabla u_2^j \rightarrow u_1 \nabla u_2$  in  $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ .

## D For the record:

**Theorem.** (Edelsen, Ericksen, Ball) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that, for some  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,

 $[u^j \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)] \implies [f(Du^j) \rightarrow f(Du) \text{ in } \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)].$ 

Then f is an affine function of the minors of Du.

Similarly when  $p = \infty$ , for the  $\stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup}$  convergence.

Useful reference: [6, Theorem 1.5 in Section 4.1.2, and Section 4.2.2].

# E Gap (or Lavrentiev) phenomen

Theorem. (Maniá) Let

$$F(x) := \int_0^1 (x^3(t) - t)^2 \, x'^6(t) \, dt, \, \forall \, x \in W^{1,1}((0,1)) \text{ with } x(0) = 0 \text{ and } x(1) = 1.$$

Then we have the following Lavrentiev phenomenon

 $\inf\{F(x); x \in C^1([0,1])\} > \inf\{F(x); x \in W^{1,1}((0,1))\}.$ 

Useful reference: [7]

# References

- [1] Emilio Acerbi and Nicola Fusco. Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 86(2):125–145, 1984.
- [2] John M. Ball. Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 63(4):337–403, 1976/1977.
- [3] Vladimir I. Bogachev. Measure theory. Vol. I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [4] Haim Brezis. Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [5] Haim Brezis and Hoai-Minh Nguyen. The Jacobian determinant revisited. *Invent. Math.*, 185(1):17–54, 2011.
- [6] Bernard Dacorogna. Direct methods in the calculus of variations, volume 78 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] Philip D. Loewen. On the Lavrentiev phenomenon. Canad. Math. Bull., 30(1):102–108, 1987.
- [8] Charles B. Morrey, Jr. Quasi-convexity and the lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals. *Pacific J. Math.*, 2:25–53, 1952.

- [9] Yuriĭ G. Reshetnyak. Mappings with bounded distortion as extremals of integrals of Dirichlet type. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.*, 9:652–666, 1968.
- [10] Elias M. Stein. Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, volume 43 of Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.