

Lecture # 1

THE DIRECT METHOD: A FEW EXAMPLES

In what follows,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded open set. Additional smoothness, if needed, is explicitly assumed.

(a) **Functional analytical preliminaries**

**A** [4, Corollary 3.9] Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Let  $\varphi : E \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be convex and lower semicontinuous. Then  $\varphi$  is weakly lower semicontinuous.

**B** [4, Corollary 3.23] Let  $E$  be a reflexive Banach space. Let  $A \subset E$  be a closed convex set. Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be convex and lower semicontinuous. Assume that:

- (a) Either  $A$  is bounded.
- (b) Or  $\lim_{x \in A, \|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = \infty$ .

Then  $\varphi$  achieves its minimum on  $A$ .

**C** [4, Theorem 3.18] Let  $E$  be a reflexive Banach space. Let  $(x_n) \subset E$  be a bounded sequence. Then  $(x_n)$  contains a weakly convergent subsequence.

**D** **Fundamental exercise.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $\varphi : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex, lower semicontinuous, and *coercive*, i.e.,  $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u) = \infty$ . Then  $\varphi$  achieves its (global) minimum.

**E** **Fundamental exercise.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Prove that a bounded sequence  $(u_n) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  contains a subsequence  $(u_{n_j})$  such that:

- (a)  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow u$  a.e., for some  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .
- (b)  $\nabla u_{n_j} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

Same for  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  if  $\Omega$  is assumed Lipschitz.

Can one replace, in item (b), weak convergence with strong convergence?

(b) **Basic examples**

In items **A**, **B**, **C**,  $a \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $a \geq 0$ , and  $f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ .

**A** The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + a(x)u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique weak solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

**B** Same for the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + a(x)|u|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}, \text{ with } 1 < q < \infty.$$

In this case, give a meaning to the notion of solution, and specify a space in which this solution is unique.

Useful results:

**Exercise.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Then

$$L^q(X, \mathcal{T}, \mu) \ni u \mapsto G(u) := |u|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} u \in L^{q/(q-1)}(X, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$$

is continuous.

**Lemma.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L^q(X, \mathcal{T}, \mu) \ni u \mapsto F(u) &:= \int_X |u|^q d\mu \text{ is } C^1, \text{ and} \\ F'(u)(\varphi) &= q \int_\Omega |u|^{q-1} (\operatorname{sgn} u) \varphi, \quad \forall u, \varphi \in L^q(X, \mathcal{T}, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

**C** The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + a(x)|u|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

with  $1 < p, q < \infty$ , has a unique distributional solution in the space  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$ .

Useful result:

**Exercise.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L^p(X, \mathcal{T}, \mu; \mathbb{R}^d) \ni f \mapsto F(f) &:= \int_X |f|^p d\mu \text{ is } C^1, \text{ and} \\ F'(f)(g) &= p \int_\Omega |f|^{p-2} f \cdot g, \quad \forall f, g \in L^p(X, \mathcal{T}, \mu; \mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

**D** **Definition.** A *Carathéodory function* is a function  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

- (i)  $x \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is (Lebesgue) measurable,  $\forall (u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- (ii)  $(u, \xi) \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is continuous, for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem.** (Tonelli, Mac Shane, Morrey, ...) Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Let  $f$  be a Carathéodory function such that:

a)  $f(x, u, \xi) \geq a(x) \cdot u + b(x) \cdot \xi, \forall u, \xi$ , for a.e.  $x$ , for some  $a \in L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m), b \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

b)  $\xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is convex for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and every  $u \in \Omega$ .

Set

$$L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \ni (u, \xi) \mapsto L(u, \xi) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), \xi(x)) dx \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Then

$$[u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m), \xi_j \rightarrow \xi \text{ in } L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)] \implies \liminf L(u_j, \xi_j) \geq L(u, \xi).$$

(When  $p = \infty$ , we may replace  $\rightarrow$  by  $\xrightarrow{*}$ .)

Useful results:

**Exercise.** If  $f$  is a Carathéodory function and  $(u, \xi) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$  is measurable, prove that  $\Omega \ni x \mapsto f(x, u(x), \xi(x))$  is measurable. (Hint: start with the case where  $u$  and  $\xi$  are step functions.)

**Exercise.** If  $f$  is a non-negative Carathéodory function,  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is measurable,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $\xi_j \rightarrow \xi$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), \xi(x)) dx \leq \liminf \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), \xi_j(x)) dx.$$

**Exercise.**

1. Let  $f$  be a Carathéodory function. Prove that, for each  $\varepsilon, M > 0$ , there exist: some  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, M) > 0$  and some compact set  $K = K(\varepsilon, M) \subset \Omega$  such that:

- i.  $|\Omega \setminus K| < \varepsilon$ .
- ii.  $[x \in K, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d, |u| \leq M, |\xi| \leq M, |u - v| \leq \delta, |\xi - \eta| \leq \delta] \implies |f(x, u, \xi) - f(x, v, \eta)| \leq \varepsilon$ .

(Hint: prove first the statement for some Lebesgue measurable (instead of compact) set.)

2. Prove the *Scorza-Dragoni theorem*:  $f$  is a Carathéodory function if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some compact set  $L_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$  such that:

- i.  $|\Omega \setminus L_{\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon$ .
- ii.  $f$  is continuous on  $L_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

(Hint: Consider  $u, \xi$  with rational coordinates and use Vitali's theorem to find a large set  $L \subset \Omega$  such that  $L \ni x \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is continuous.)

Useful references: [6, Theorem 3.4, Section 3.3.1], [4, Corollary 3.9], [3, Theorem 2.2.10].

(c) Notions of convexity

**A** **Definition.** A continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *quasi-convex* if

$$|U| f(\xi) \leq \int_U f(\xi + D\varphi(x)) dx, \forall U \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ bounded open set,} \quad (1)$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nm}, \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^m).$$

**Exercise.** Prove that a convex function is quasi-convex.

**Exercise.** Prove that the  $f$  is quasi-convex iff (1) is satisfied for *one* non empty  $U$ .

**Exercise.** Assume that  $U$  is bounded and convex.

1. Prove that  $W^{1,\infty}(U) = \text{Lip}(U)$ .
2. Prove that (1) still holds when  $\varphi \in W_c^{1,\infty}(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .
3. Prove that, with  $(\varphi_j) \subset W^{1,\infty}(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , we have  $\varphi_j \xrightarrow{*} 0$  iff  $(\varphi_j)$  has uniformly bounded Lipschitz constants and  $\varphi_j \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $U$ .

**Lemma.** (Morrey) If  $f$  is quasi-convex and  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a cube, then

$$[(\varphi_j) \subset W^{1,\infty}(Q; \mathbb{R}^m), \varphi_j \xrightarrow{*} 0] \implies \liminf \int_Q f(\xi + D\varphi_j(x)) dx \geq |Q| f(\xi),$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nm}.$$

Useful reference: [11, Lemma 2.2].

**Exercise.** Prove a version of Morrey's lemma with  $Q$  replaced with a finite volume open set.

**B** **Theorem.** (Morrey, ..., Acerbi-Fusco) Let  $f$  be a Carathéodory function on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  such that:

- a) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and each  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.
- b)  $0 \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq a(x) + b(u, \xi)$ , with  $a \in L^1(\Omega), b \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm})$ .

If  $(u_j) \subset W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $u_j \xrightarrow{*} u$ , then

$$\liminf \int_\Omega f(x, u_j(x), Du_j(x)) dx \geq \int_\Omega f(x, u(x), Du(x)) dx.$$

Useful result:

**Exercise.** (Easy version of Lebesgue's differentiation theorem) Let  $Q := (0, 1)^N$  and let  $g \in L^1(Q)$ . Let  $\ell \geq 1$  be an integer and

$$g_\ell(x) := \int_C g(y) dy \text{ if } x \text{ belongs to the dyadic cube } C \text{ of size } 2^{-\ell}.$$

Then, up to a subsequence  $\ell_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g_\ell \rightarrow g$  a.e.

Useful references: [1, Theorem II.1], [13, Corollary, p. 13].

**Theorem.** (Acerbi-Fusco, [1, Theorem II.4]) Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $f$  be a Carathéodory function on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  such that:

- a) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and each  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.
- b)  $0 \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq a(x) + C(|u|^p + |\xi|^p)$ , with  $a \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $C$  finite.

If  $(u_j) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $u_j \rightarrow u$ , then

$$\liminf \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_j, Du_j(x)) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du(x)) dx.$$

**C Theorem.** (Morrey) Let  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  be continuous. If, for every open set  $U \subset \Omega$ ,

$$[u_j \xrightarrow{*} u \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(U)] \implies \liminf \int_U f(x, u_j(x), Du_j(x)) dx \geq \int_U f(x, u(x), Du(x)) dx,$$

then, for each  $x \in \Omega$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.

Useful result:

**Lemma.** Let  $Q := (0, 1)^N$  and let  $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , extended as a smooth 1-periodic function to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $U \subset \Omega$  be relatively compact. Let  $u_0 \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $\xi_0 \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{Nm})$ .

Set  $\zeta_j(x) := 2^{-j}\zeta(2^jx)$ ,  $\forall j \geq 1$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then

$$\lim \int_U f(x, u_0(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta_j(x)) dx = \int_U \int_Q f(x, u_0(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta(y)) dy dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim \int_U f(x, u_0(x) + \zeta_j(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta_j(x)) dx \\ = \int_U \int_Q f(x, u_0(x), \xi_0(x) + D\zeta(y)) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** (Acerbi-Fusco, [1, Theorem II.2]) Let  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  be a Carathéodory function such that  $0 \leq f(x, u, \xi) \leq a(x) + b(u, \xi)$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega, \forall (u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$ , where  $a \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $b \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{Nm})$ . If, for every open set  $U \subset \Omega$ ,

$$[u_j \xrightarrow{*} u \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}(U)] \implies \liminf \int_U f(x, u_j(x), Du_j(x)) dx \geq \int_U f(x, u(x), Du(x)) dx,$$

then, for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and each  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm} \ni \xi \mapsto f(x, u, \xi)$  is quasi-convex.

**D Proposition.** Assume that  $N = 1$  and let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then  $f$  is quasi-convex if and only if  $f$  is convex.

**Theorem.** [6, Theorem 3.1, Section 3.3.1] Assume that  $m = 1$  (i.e., we work with scalar functions  $u$ ) and let  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is quasi-convex if and only if  $f$  is convex.

**E** We identify  $\mathbb{R}^{Nm}$  with  $M_{m,N}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $A \in M_{m,N}(\mathbb{R})$ . Given  $1 \leq \ell \leq K := \min(m, N)$ , and  $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\ell\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_\ell\} \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ , let  $A_{I,J}$  denote the minor of order  $\ell$  of  $A$  formed with the rows  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell$ , respectively the columns  $j_1, \dots, j_\ell$ . Let  $M$  be the number of all possible minors. We order the minors as  $A^1, \dots, A^M$ .

**Definition.** (Morrey, Ball) A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *polyconvex* if there exists some convex function  $g : \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(A) = g(A^1, \dots, A^M)$ .

**Proposition.** (Morrey, Ball) A polyconvex function is quasi-convex.

A useful result:

**Lemma.**

1. If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is open bounded and  $u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^k)$  are such that  $u = v$  near  $\partial\Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \det(\nabla u)(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \det(\nabla v)(x) dx.$$

2. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be open bounded. If  $I, J$  are as above,  $A \in M_{m,N}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , then

$$\int_U (A + D\varphi(x))_{I,J} dx = |U| A_{I,J}.$$

Useful references: [2, Section 4], [6, Section 4.1].

#### (d) Passing to the weak limits in nonlinear quantities

**A Theorem** (Reshetnyak) If  $u^j, u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $u^j \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W^{1,N}$ , then

$$\det(\nabla u^j) \rightarrow \det(\nabla u) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

Useful reference: [12].

**B Definition.** (Ball) Let  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N) \in W^{1, N^2/(N+1)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then

$$\text{Det}(\nabla u) := *d(u_1 du_2 \wedge \dots \wedge du_N) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

**Exercise.** Using the Sobolev embeddings, prove that the above definition makes sense.

**Exercise.** If  $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , prove that  $\text{Det}(\nabla u) = \det(\nabla u)$ .

Equivalently, prove that, if  $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \det(\nabla u) \varphi = - \int_{\Omega} \det(\varphi, u_2, \dots, u_N) u_1, \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}).$$

**C Theorem.** (Reshetnyak, Ball, Brezis-Nguyen) Let  $N^2/(N+1) < p \leq N$ . Let  $u^j, u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that  $u^j \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Then

$$\text{Det}(\nabla u^j) \rightarrow \text{Det}(\nabla u) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

Useful result:

**Lemma.** Let  $p \geq N-1$  and let  $q \geq 1$  satisfy  $(N-1)/p + 1/q = 1$ . If  $u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} [\det(\nabla v) - \det(\nabla u)] \varphi \right| \leq C_{N,\Omega} \|v - u\|_q (\|\nabla u\|_p + \|\nabla v\|_p)^{N-1} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{\infty},$$

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}).$$

Useful reference: [5, Theorem 1].

**Exercise.** When  $N = 2$ , establish the above theorem by proving the following stronger statement: if  $p > 4/3$  and  $u^j = (u_1^j, u_2^j) \rightharpoonup u = (u_1, u_2)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ , then  $u_1^j \nabla u_2^j \rightharpoonup u_1 \nabla u_2$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ .

**D Theorem.** (Edelsen, Ericksen, Ball) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^{Nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that, for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$[u^j \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)] \implies [f(Du^j) \rightarrow f(Du) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)].$$

Then  $f$  is an affine function of the minors of  $Du$ . Similarly when  $p = \infty$ , for the  $\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}$  convergence.

Useful reference: [6, Theorem 1.5 in Section 4.1.2, and Section 4.2.2].

**E Gap (or Lavrentiev) phenomenon**

**Theorem.** (Maniá) Let

$$F(x) := \int_0^1 (x^3(t) - t)^2 x'^6(t) dt, \quad \forall x \in W^{1,1}((0,1)) \text{ with } x(0) = 0 \text{ and } x(1) = 1.$$

Then we have the following *Lavrentiev phenomenon*

$$\inf\{F(x); x \in C^1([0,1])\} > \inf\{F(x); x \in W^{1,1}((0,1))\}.$$

Useful reference: [8].

**(e) Concentration-compactness**

Useful general reference: [14, Section I.4].

**A Exercise.** Let  $F_m : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , be *non decreasing* functions. Prove that, up to a subsequence,  $F_m$  converges simply.

**First concentration-compactness lemma** (Lions) Let  $(\mu_m)$  be a sequence of Borel probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, up to a subsequence, one of the following holds:

- (a) (Compactness) There exists a sequence  $(x_m) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $R = R(\varepsilon)$  satisfying  $\mu_m(B_R(x_m)) > 1 - \varepsilon, \forall m$ .
- (b) (Vanishing) For every  $R > 0$ ,  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \mu_m(B_R(x)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (c) (Dichotomy) There exists some  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and sequences  $(x_m) \subset \mathbb{R}^N, R_m \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_m(B_{R_m}(x_m)) &\rightarrow \lambda, \quad \mu_m(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B_{2R_m}(x_m)}) \rightarrow 1 - \lambda, \\ \mu_m(\overline{B_{2R_m}(x_m)} \setminus B_{R_m}(x_m)) &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in the above we may replace  $2R_m$  with any  $\rho_m > R_m$ .

**B Brezis-Lieb lemma** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$  be a measured space and  $0 < p < \infty$ . Let  $f_j, f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be measurable functions such that:

- (i)  $f_j \rightarrow f$  a.e.
- (ii) For some finite  $C$ ,  $\int_X |f_j|^p \leq C, \forall j$ .

Then

$$\int_X ||f_j|^p - |f|^p - |f_j - f|^p| \rightarrow 0,$$

In particular, if  $p \geq 1$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}^N$  with the Lebesgue measure, and we set

$$\mu_j := (|f_j|^p - |f|^p - |f_j - f|^p) dx,$$

then  $\mu_j \xrightarrow{*} 0$  in the sense of measures.

Useful references: [7, Theorem 1.9], [10, Exercice de synthèse #10].

**C Theorem (Lions)** Let  $a = a(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, (0, \infty))$  be such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x) = a_\infty > 0.$$

Let  $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + au^2); u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+1} = 1 \right\}, \\ I_\infty &:= \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + a_\infty u^2); u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p+1} = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $I < I_\infty$ , then the inf in  $I$  is attained. Up to a multiplicative constant, a minimizer is a non trivial solution  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of

$$-\Delta u + au = |u|^{p-1}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$



**D Exercise.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite *diffuse* Borel measure in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \mu(B_r(x)) = 0.$$

**Exercise.** Let  $\omega, \lambda$  be finite Borel measures in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . Assume that, for some  $0 < S < \infty$ , we have

$$S \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^q d\omega \right)^{p/q} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^p d\lambda, \quad \forall \text{ Borel function } f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

Prove that:

- (a)  $\omega$  is a purely atomic measure, i.e., there exist  $\alpha_j > 0, x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\omega = \sum_j \alpha_j \delta_{x_j}$ .
- (b)  $\sum_j (\alpha_j)^{p/q} < \infty$ .
- (c)  $\lambda \geq S \sum_j (\alpha_j)^{p/q} \delta_{x_j}$ .
- (d) (2) holds if and only if it holds for  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Hint. *Step 1.* Assume first that  $\lambda$  is diffuse. Using the previous exercise, prove that, for every cube  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\omega(C) = 0$ , and thus  $\omega = 0$ .

*Step 2.* Apply Step 1 to  $\omega_0$  and  $\lambda_0$ , where  $\omega_0$ , respectively  $\lambda_0$ , is the diffuse part of  $\omega$ , respectively  $\lambda$ .

**Exercise.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $k \geq 1$  be such that  $kp < N$ . Let  $\frac{1}{q} := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{N}$ .

Set

$$\dot{W}^{k,p} := \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N); D^k u \in L^p, u \in L^q\}.$$

Prove that, if we endow  $\dot{W}^{k,p}$  with the norm  $u \mapsto \|D^k u\|_p$ , then  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in  $\dot{W}^{k,p}$ . In particular, prove that we have the Sobolev inequality

$$S \|u\|_q^p \leq \|D^k u\|_p^p, \quad \forall u \in \dot{W}^{k,p}, \quad (3)$$

for some (optimal Sobolev constant)  $0 < S < \infty$ .

Useful reference for  $k = 1$ : [9, Lemma 14]. Hint for  $k \geq 2$ : prove the following result:

**Exercise.** Let  $k, p$ , and  $q$  be as above. For  $R > 0$ , set  $A_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}$ . If  $v \in C^\infty(A_R)$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some finite  $C(\varepsilon)$  (independent of  $R$  and  $v$ ) such that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} R^{-(k-\ell)} \|D^\ell v\|_{L^p(A_R)} \leq \varepsilon \|D^k v\|_{L^p(A_R)} + C(\varepsilon) \|v\|_{L^q(A_R)}.$$

**Exercise.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on  $X$  and  $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ . If  $(f_m) \subset L^q(X)$  is bounded and  $f_m \rightarrow 0$  a.e., then  $f_m \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p(X)$ .

**Second concentration-compactness lemma** (Lions) Let  $1 < p < \infty, k, q$ , and  $S$  be as above. Let  $(u_m) \subset \dot{W}^{k,p}$  and  $u \in \dot{W}^{k,p}$  be such that:

- (i)  $u_m \rightharpoonup u$  in  $\dot{W}^{k,p}$  and  $u_m \rightarrow u$  a.e.
- (ii)  $|u_m|^q dx \xrightarrow{*} |u|^q dx + \omega$  in the sense of measures, for some (non-negative) Borel measure  $\omega$ .
- (iii)  $|D^k u_m|^p dx \xrightarrow{*} |D^k u|^p dx + \mu$  in the sense of measures, for some (non-negative) Borel measure  $\mu$ .

Then:

- (a)  $\omega$  is a purely atomic measure:  $\omega = \sum_j \alpha_j \delta_{x_j}$ , with  $\alpha_j > 0$ ,  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (b) We have  $\sum_j (\alpha_j)^{p/q} < \infty$ .
- (c) We have  $\mu \geq S \sum_j (\alpha_j)^{p/q} \delta_{x_j}$ .

**[E] Theorem** (Aubin, Talenti, Lions) Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  be such that  $kp < N$ . Then there exists some  $u \in \dot{W}^{k,p} \setminus \{0\}$  such that equality holds in (3).

## References

- [1] Emilio Acerbi and Nicola Fusco. Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 86(2):125–145, 1984.
- [2] John M. Ball. Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 63(4):337–403, 1976/1977.
- [3] Vladimir I. Bogachev. *Measure theory. Vol. I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [4] Haim Brezis. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [5] Haim Brezis and Hoai-Minh Nguyen. The Jacobian determinant revisited. *Invent. Math.*, 185(1):17–54, 2011.
- [6] Bernard Dacorogna. *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, volume 78 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] Elliott H. Lieb and Michael Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2001.
- [8] Philip D. Loewen. On the Lavrentiev phenomenon. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 30(1):102–108, 1987.
- [9] Petru Mironescu. The role of the Hardy type inequalities in the theory of function spaces. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 63(4):447–525, 2018.
- [10] Petru Mironescu. *Measure et intégration*. [http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~mironescu/resources/complet\\_mesure\\_integracion.pdf](http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~mironescu/resources/complet_mesure_integracion.pdf), 2023.
- [11] Charles B. Morrey, Jr. Quasi-convexity and the lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals. *Pacific J. Math.*, 2:25–53, 1952.

- [12] Yurii G. Reshetnyak. Mappings with bounded distortion as extremals of integrals of Dirichlet type. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.*, 9:652–666, 1968.
- [13] Elias M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, *Monographs in Harmonic Analysis*, III.
- [14] Michael Struwe. *Variational methods*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fourth edition, 2008. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems.