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## Möbius function

The Möbius function is defined by

$$
\mu(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(-1)^{k} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { if } n \text { is squarefree and } \\
k \text { is the number of prime factors } \\
0
\end{array} \\
\text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

A sequence $\mathbf{u}$ is orthogonal to the Möbius function $\mu(n)$ if
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## Sarnak Conjecture

## Definition <br> A dynamical system is said to be deterministic, if its topological entropy is 0 .
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=22=(10110)_{2}, \quad u_{22}=1 \\
& \mathbf{u}=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}=01101001100101101001011001101001 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$
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Use and adopt a framework of Mauduit and Rivat developed for the Rudin-Shapiro sequence.

- Carry Property: The contribution of high and low digits is „independent".
- Fourier Property:

We say that $U$ has the Fourier property if there exists $\eta>0$ and $c$ such that for all $\lambda, \alpha$ and $t$

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{k^{\lambda}} \sum_{m<k^{\lambda}} U\left(m k^{\alpha}\right) e(m t)\right\| \leq c k^{-\eta \lambda}
$$
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## Example (Thue-Morse)

$E=\{0,1\}$
$\sigma(0)=01$
$\sigma(1)=10$
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## A Morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & \mapsto a b \\
b & \mapsto c \\
c & \mapsto c d \\
d & \mapsto a
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the sequence $(-1)^{s_{\varphi}(n)}$ under the coding $\tau(a)=\tau(d)=1, \tau(b)=\tau(c)=-1$.

This is one of the first examples of a substitution with non-constant length to be orthogonal to the Möbius function.
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## Kátai Criterion

Suppose that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a bounded complex valued sequence with values in a finite set and that for every pair $(p, q)$ of different prime numbers we have
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Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1}=4 & \Rightarrow 0000101 \\
n_{2}=29 & \Rightarrow 1010000
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quasi Additivity

## Definition (for integer base by Kropf, Wagner)

We call a function $f(n)$ quasi-additive (with respect to the Zeckendorf expansion) if there exists $r \geq 0$ such that
-

$$
f\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)=f\left(n_{1}\right)+f\left(n_{2}\right)
$$

for all integers $n_{1}, n_{2}$ that are $r$ separated.

- $f\left(n_{1}\right)=f\left(n_{2}\right)$ if the Zeckendorf expansion of $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ coincide up to ",shifts"
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It suffices to work with $s_{\varphi}(m n)$ as the sum of quasi-additive functions is again quasi-additive.
Choose $r$ such that $\varphi^{r-1}<m$.


## Lemma

Let $q>p \geq 2$ and $f(n)=s_{\varphi}(p n)+s_{\varphi}(q n)$. Then $f(n)$ is quasi-additive with respect to the Zeckendorf expansion.

## Proof (Sketch):

It suffices to work with $s_{\varphi}(m n)$ as the sum of quasi-additive functions is again quasi-additive.


## Lemma

Let $q>p \geq 2$ and $f(n)=s_{\varphi}(p n)+s_{\varphi}(q n)$. Then $f(n)$ is quasi-additive with respect to the Zeckendorf expansion.

## Proof (Sketch):

It suffices to work with $s_{\varphi}(m n)$ as the sum of quasi-additive functions is again quasi-additive.
Choose $r$ such that $\varphi^{r-1}<m$.


## Lemma

Let $q>p \geq 2$ and $f(n)=s_{\varphi}(p n)+s_{\varphi}(q n)$. Then $f(n)$ is quasi-additive with respect to the Zeckendorf expansion.

## Proof (Sketch):

It suffices to work with $s_{\varphi}(m n)$ as the sum of quasi-additive functions is again quasi-additive.
Choose $r$ such that $\varphi^{r-1}<m$. $n_{1}<F_{k-r} \Rightarrow m n_{1}<F_{k}$.

## Lemma

Let $q>p \geq 2$ and $f(n)=s_{\varphi}(p n)+s_{\varphi}(q n)$. Then $f(n)$ is quasi-additive with respect to the Zeckendorf expansion.

## Proof (Sketch):

It suffices to work with $s_{\varphi}(m n)$ as the sum of quasi-additive functions is again quasi-additive.
Choose $r$ such that $\varphi^{r-1}<m$.
$n_{1}<F_{k-r} \Rightarrow m n_{1}<F_{k}$.
$\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{2}\right)=0 \forall i<k+r \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{i}\left(m n_{2}\right)=0 \forall i<k$.

## Generating Functions Approach

Let $f$ be a quasi-additive function and

$$
H(x, z):=\sum_{k \geq 3} x^{k} \sum_{F_{k-1} \leq n<F_{k}} z^{f(n)}
$$

## Note that

$$
\left[x^{k}\right] H(x,-1)=\sum(-1)^{s_{\varphi}(p n)+s_{\varphi}(q n)}
$$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of integers $n$ whose Zeckendorf expansion ends with exactly $r$ zeros and that can not be decomposed into positive, $r$-separated summands. Let
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