# Quantum cohomology of the odd symplectic Grassmannian of lines

Clélia Pech Institut Fourier, Grenoble

June 28th, 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Motivation What are odd symplectic Grassmannians ?

#### Classical cohomology

Schubert varieties Pieri and Giambelli formulas

#### Quantum cohomology

Enumerativity of GW invariants Quantum Pieri rule Quantum presentation

Motivation

Quantum cohomology has been extensively studied for

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- homogeneous spaces ;
- toric varieties.

Motivation

Quantum cohomology has been extensively studied for

- homogeneous spaces ;
- toric varieties.

But

 very few explicit formulas for non-homogeneous non-toric varieties ;

 quasi-homogeneous varieties (e.g odd symplectic Grassmannians) should provide interesting examples.

What are odd symplectic Grassmannians ?

Studied by MIHAI (2007).

Definition

 $\omega$  antisymmetric form of maximal rank on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ .

 $\operatorname{IG}_{\omega}(m,2n+1) := \{\Sigma \in \operatorname{G}(m,2n+1) \mid \Sigma \text{ is isotropic for } \omega\}.$ 

What are odd symplectic Grassmannians ?

Studied by MIHAI (2007).

Definition

 $\omega$  antisymmetric form of maximal rank on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ .

 $\mathrm{IG}_\omega(m,2n+1):=\{\Sigma\in\mathrm{G}(m,2n+1)\mid \Sigma ext{ is isotropic for }\omega\}\,.$ 

### Remarks

- 1. independant of the form  $\omega$  ;
- 2. endowed with an action of the odd symplectic group :

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{2n+1} := \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(2n+1) \mid \forall u, v \in V \ \omega(gu, gv) = \omega(u, v)\};$$

3. odd symplectic Grassmannians of lines are the m = 2 case.

What are odd symplectic Grassmannians ?

Properties (of IG(m, 2n + 1))

- 1. smooth subvariety of dimension  $m(2n + 1 m) \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$  of G(m, 2n + 1).
- 2. two orbits under the action of  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2n+1}$  :
  - closed orbit  $\mathbb{O} := \{\Sigma \in IG(m, 2n + 1) \mid \Sigma \supset K\}$ , isomorphic to IG(m 1, 2n);

open orbit {Σ ∈ IG(m, 2n + 1) | Σ ⊅ K}, isomorphic to the dual of the tautological bundle over IG(m, 2n);

where  $K = \operatorname{Ker}(\omega)$ .

Schubert varieties for the symplectic Grassmannian

Schubert varieties of the symplectic Grassmannian IG(m, 2n)

- are subvarieties defined by incidence conditions with respect to an *isotropic flag*;
- can be indexed by k-strict partitions (cf BUCH-KRESCH-TAMVAKIS), i.e

$$\lambda = (2n - m \ge \lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_m \ge 0)$$
 such that  $\lambda_j > k \Rightarrow \lambda_j > \lambda_{j+1}$ ,

with k = n - m;

correspond to classes σ<sub>λ</sub> ∈ H<sup>|λ|</sup>(IG, ℤ) generating the cohomology ring H\*(IG, ℤ) as a ℤ-module.

Schubert varieties for IG(m, 2n + 1)

Embedding in the symplectic Grassmannian :

▶  $IG(m, 2n + 1) \hookrightarrow IG(m, 2n + 2)$  identifies IG(m, 2n + 1) with a Schubert variety of IG(m, 2n + 2) (MIHAI);

▶ hence "induced" Schubert varieties for IG(m, 2n + 1) and decomposition H\*(IG(m, 2n + 1), ℤ) = ⊕<sub>λ</sub> ℤσ<sub>λ</sub>.

Schubert varieties for IG(m, 2n + 1)

Embedding in the symplectic Grassmannian :

- ▶  $IG(m, 2n + 1) \hookrightarrow IG(m, 2n + 2)$  identifies IG(m, 2n + 1) with a Schubert variety of IG(m, 2n + 2) (MIHAI);
- ▶ hence "induced" Schubert varieties for IG(m, 2n + 1) and decomposition H\*(IG(m, 2n + 1), ℤ) = ⊕<sub>λ</sub> ℤσ<sub>λ</sub>.

For IG(2, 2n + 1), Schubert varieties are indexed by

- "usual" (n-2)-strict partitions  $\lambda = (2n-1 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge 0)$ ;
- b the "partition" λ = (2n − 1, −1) corresponding to the class of the closed orbit O.

Special Schubert classes ; Pieri and Giambelli formulas

 $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{IG}(2,2n+1),\mathbb{Z})$  is generated as a ring by two sets of special Schubert classes :

- 1. "rows"  $\sigma_p$  for  $1 \le p \le 2n-1$ , plus the class  $\sigma_{2n-1,-1}$  ;
- 2. "columns"  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_{1,1}$ .

Special Schubert classes ; Pieri and Giambelli formulas

 $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{IG}(2,2n+1),\mathbb{Z})$  is generated as a ring by two sets of special Schubert classes :

- 1. "rows"  $\sigma_p$  for  $1 \le p \le 2n-1$ , plus the class  $\sigma_{2n-1,-1}$  ;
- 2. "columns"  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_{1,1}$ .

### Definition

- A Pieri formula is a rule for multiplying any Schubert class with a special class;
- A Giambelli formula is a rule expressing any Schubert class as a polynomial in special classes.

Knowing both formulas, all cup-products of Schubert classes can be computed.

A Pieri formula for IG(2, 2n + 1)

- i : IG(m, 2n + 1) → IG(m, 2n + 2) induces a restriction map in cohomology, which happens to be surjective.
- For m = 2 the map and its "inverse" can be explicitly computed.
- So Pieri rules for IG(2, 2n + 2) (cf PRAGASZ-RATAJSKI, BKT) can be "pulled back" to IG(2, 2n + 1), hence

Proposition (Pieri formula for IG(2, 2n + 1))

$$\sigma_{a,b} \cdot \sigma_{1} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{a+1,b} + \sigma_{a,b+1} & \text{if } a+b \neq 2n-3, \\ \sigma_{a,b+1} + 2\sigma_{a+1,b} + \sigma_{a+2,b-1} & \text{if } a+b = 2n-3. \end{cases}$$
  
$$\sigma_{a,b} \cdot \sigma_{1,1} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{a+1,b+1} & \text{if } a+b \neq 2n-4, 2n-3, \\ \sigma_{a+1,b+1} + \sigma_{a+2,b} & \text{if } a+b = 2n-4 \text{ or } 2n-3. \end{cases}$$

Giambelli formula and presentation

To find a Giambelli formula for IG(2, 2n + 1):

- use the well-known Giambelli formula on G(2, 2n + 1);
- ▶ "pull it back" to IG(2n + 1) by the natural embedding  $IG(2, 2n + 1) \hookrightarrow G(2, 2n + 1)$ ;
- get an explicit formula.

Proposition (Presentation of  $H^*(IG(2, 2n + 1), \mathbb{Z}))$ The ring  $H^*(IG(2, 2n + 1), \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the classes  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_{1,1}$ and the relations are

$$\det (\sigma_{1^{1+j-i}})_{1 \le i,j \le 2n} = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \det (\sigma_{1^{1+j-i}})_{1 \le i,j \le 2n+1} = 0$$

Definition

Goal : compute the small quantum product

$$\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}\star\sigma_{\gamma,\delta} = \sum_{d\geq 0}\sum_{d\geq 0}\underbrace{I_d(\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot\sigma_{\gamma,\delta}\cdot\check{\sigma}_{\epsilon,\zeta})}_{\text{Gromov-Witten invariant}}\sigma_{\epsilon,\zeta}q^d,$$

where

- ▶ q is the quantum parameter and has degree 2n ;
- $\sigma_{\epsilon,\zeta}$  runs through the Schubert classes ;  $\check{\sigma}_{\epsilon,\zeta}$  runs through the corresponding dual basis.

Definition

Goal : compute the small quantum product

$$\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}\star\sigma_{\gamma,\delta} = \sum_{d\geq 0}\sum_{d\geq 0}\underbrace{I_d(\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}\cdot\sigma_{\gamma,\delta}\cdot\check{\sigma}_{\epsilon,\zeta})}_{\text{Gromov-Witten invariant}}\sigma_{\epsilon,\zeta}q^d,$$

where

- ▶ q is the quantum parameter and has degree 2n ;
- $\sigma_{\epsilon,\zeta}$  runs through the Schubert classes ;  $\check{\sigma}_{\epsilon,\zeta}$  runs through the corresponding dual basis.

**Idea** : to compute the GW invariants, use their enumerative interpretation.

Enumerativity of GW invariants

#### What does it mean ?

 $I_d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) =$  number of degree *d* rational curves through  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$ ,

### where $\Gamma_i$ 's are cycles Poincaré dual to the classes $\gamma_i$ . What are the obstructions ?

- 1. moduli space may not have the expected dimension ;
- 2. maybe  $\Gamma_i$ 's can't be made to intersect transversely;
- 3. stable maps with reducible source may contribute ;
- a curve may cut one of the Γ<sub>i</sub>'s in several points, contributing several times to the invariant ;
- 5. similarly a curve may cut one of the  $\Gamma_i$ 's with multiplicities.

The moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(\mathrm{IG},1)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(\mathrm{IG},1)$ 

### Proposition

The moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(\mathrm{IG},1)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(\mathrm{IG},1)$  are smooth (as stacks) and of the expected dimension.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(\mathrm{IG},1)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(\mathrm{IG},1)$ 

### Proposition

The moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}(\mathrm{IG},1)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(\mathrm{IG},1)$  are smooth (as stacks) and of the expected dimension.

**Idea of proof** : We prove that  $H^1(f^*T IG) = 0$  for each stable f.

- If no irreducible component of the source of f is entirely mapped into 
  <sup>O</sup>, use the generic global generation of f\*T IG due to the transitive Sp<sub>2n+1</sub>-action on IG \ <sup>O</sup>;
- ► Else use the tangent exact sequence of the closed orbit and prove that H<sup>1</sup>(f\*T N<sub>0</sub>) = 0.

Graber's lemma

For homogeneous varieties, enumerativity of GW invariants comes from Kleiman's lemma. For quasi-homogeneous spaces there is a version by Graber :

#### Lemma

- ► G a connected algebraic group ;
- X a quasi-G-homogeneous variety ;
- $f: Z \rightarrow X$  a morphism from an irreducible scheme ;
- $Y \subset X$  intersecting the orbit stratification properly.

Then there exists a dense open subset U of G such that  $\forall g \in U$ ,  $f^{-1}(gY)$  is either empty or has pure dimension dim  $Y + \dim Z - \dim X$ .

Enumerativity theorem

#### Theorem

- ▶ *r* = 2 or 3 ;
- deg  $\gamma_i \ge 2$  for all i;

$$\blacktriangleright \sum_{i=1}^{r} \deg \gamma_i = \dim \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,r}(\mathrm{IG},1).$$

Then there exists a dense open subset  $U \subset \operatorname{Sp}_{2n+1}^r$  such that for all  $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in U$ , the Gromov-Witten invariant  $l_1(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$  is equal to the number of lines of IG incident to the translates  $g_1Y_1, \ldots, g_rY_r$ .

Enumerativity theorem

### Theorem

- ▶ *r* = 2 or 3 ;
- deg  $\gamma_i \ge 2$  for all i;

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \deg \gamma_i = \dim \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,r}(\mathrm{IG},1).$$

Then there exists a dense open subset  $U \subset \operatorname{Sp}_{2n+1}^r$  such that for all  $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in U$ , the Gromov-Witten invariant  $l_1(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$  is equal to the number of lines of IG incident to the translates  $g_1Y_1, \ldots, g_rY_r$ .

**Idea of proof** : We get rid of the last three obstructions to enumerativity using Graber's lemma.

Finding subvarieties with transverse intersection

### Problem :

- To compute an invariant with the enumerativity theorem we need transverse cycles.
- Schubert varieties can never be made to intersect transversely.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Finding subvarieties with transverse intersection

### Problem :

- To compute an invariant with the enumerativity theorem we need transverse cycles.
- Schubert varieties can never be made to intersect transversely.

#### Solution :

- ► Use pullbacks of the Schubert varieties of the type A Grassmannian G(2, 2n + 1);
- ► They can be made to intersect transversely on the homogeneous space G(2, 2n + 1);
- Corresponding pullbacks to IG(2, 2n + 1) stay transverse.

Quantum Pieri rule for IG(2, 2n + 1)

### Theorem

$$\sigma_1 \star \sigma_{a,b} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{a+1,b} + \sigma_{a,b+1} & \text{if } a+b \neq 2n-3 \text{ and } a \neq 2n-1, \\ \sigma_{a,b+1} + 2\sigma_{a+1,b} + \sigma_{a+2,b-1} & \text{if } a+b = 2n-3, \\ \sigma_{2n-1,b+1} + q\sigma_b & \text{if } a = 2n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq b \leq 2n-3, \\ q(\sigma_{2n-1,-1} + \sigma_{2n-2}) & \text{if } a = 2n-1 \text{ and } b = 2n-2. \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_{1,1} \star \sigma_{a,b} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{a+1,b+1} & \text{if } a+b \neq 2n-4, \ 2n-3 \text{ and } a \neq 2n-1, \\ \sigma_{a+1,b+1} + \sigma_{a+2,b} & \text{if } a+b = 2n-4 \text{ or } 2n-3, \\ q\sigma_{b+1} & \text{if } a = 2n-1 \text{ and } b \neq 2n-3, \\ q(\sigma_{2n-1,-1} + \sigma_{2n-2}) & \text{if } a = 2n-1 \text{ and } b = 2n-3. \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへぐ

Quantum Hasse diagrams





Figure: Quantum Hasse diagrams of IG(2,6) and IG(2,7)

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Quantum presentation

Proposition (Presentation of  $QH^*(IG(2, 2n + 1), \mathbb{Z}))$ The ring  $QH^*(IG(2, 2n + 1), \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the classes  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_{1,1}$  and the quantum parameter q. The relations are

$$\det \left(\sigma_{1^{1+j-i}}\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \det \left(\sigma_{1^{1+j-i}}\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n+1} + q = 0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Quantum presentation

Proposition (Presentation of  $QH^*(IG(2, 2n + 1), \mathbb{Z}))$ The ring  $QH^*(IG(2, 2n + 1), \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the classes  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_{1,1}$  and the quantum parameter q. The relations are

$$\det (\sigma_{1^{1+j-i}})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \det (\sigma_{1^{1+j-i}})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n+1} + q = 0$$

Corollary

- 1.  $\operatorname{QH}^*(\operatorname{IG}(2,2n+1),\mathbb{Z})_{q\neq 0}$  is semisimple ;
- 2. hence Dubrovin's conjecture holds for IG(2, 2n + 1).

# Conclusion

#### Main results :

- Enumerativity of GW invariants ;
- Quantum Pieri formula ;
- Quantum presentation and semisimplicity.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Next step :

▶ The *m* > 2 case ?