

**A velocity-based time-stepping scheme
for vibro-impact problems**

L. Paoli

LaMUSE, Université de Saint-Etienne

Workshop “Méthodes numériques innovantes, application à la mécanique”

INSA de Lyon, 23 et 24 juin 2008

I - Description of the dynamics

We consider a mechanical system with a finite number of degrees of freedom. The unconstrained dynamics is given by

$$M(q)\ddot{q} = f(t, q, \dot{q}).$$

We assume that the system is submitted to **perfect unilateral constraints** described by

$$q(t) \in K = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^d; g_\alpha(q) \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}\}, \quad \nu \geq 1.$$

Adding the reaction force due to the constraints, we obtain

$$(1) \quad M(q)\ddot{q} = f(t, q, \dot{q}) + \mu, \quad \mu \in -N_K(q), \quad \text{Supp}(\mu) \subset \{t; q(t) \in \partial K\}$$

with

$$N_K(q) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} \lambda_\alpha \nabla g_\alpha(q), \lambda_\alpha \leq 0 \text{ if } g_\alpha(q) \leq 0, \lambda_\alpha = 0 \text{ otherwise} \right\}.$$

The transmission of the velocity at impacts is modelled by **Newton's law**

$$(2) \quad \dot{q}^+(t) = -e\dot{q}^-(t) + (1 + e)\text{proj}_{q(t)}(T_K(q(t)), \dot{q}^-(t))$$

where $e \in [0, 1]$ is a **restitution coefficient** and

$$T_K(q) = V(q) = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^d; \nabla g_\alpha(q) \cdot w \geq 0 \text{ if } g_\alpha(q) \leq 0\}.$$

Following J.J. Moreau's approach, (1)-(2) can be replaced by a **Measure Differential Inclusion**

$$(MDI) \quad f(t, q, \dot{q}) - M(q)\ddot{q} \in N_{V(q)}\left(\frac{\dot{q}^+ + e\dot{q}^-}{1 + e}\right).$$

Reference: J.J. Moreau, "Unilateral contact and dry friction in finite freedom dynamics", 1988.

More precisely we consider the following Cauchy problem:

Problem (P) Let $(q_0, u_0) \in K \times V(q_0)$ be admissible initial data.

Find $\tau > 0$ and $u \in BV([0, \tau]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that u and the function q defined by

$$q(t) = q_0 + \int_0^t u(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau]$$

satisfy:

$$u^+(0) = u_0, \quad u(t) = \frac{u^+(t) + eu^-(t)}{1+e} \quad \forall t \in (0, \tau),$$

and

$$f(t, q, u)dt - M(q)du \in N_{V(q)}(u)$$

with

$$N_{V(q)}(u) = \begin{cases} \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d; y \cdot (x - u) \leq 0 \forall x \in V(q)\} & \text{if } u \in V(q), \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

II - Description of the scheme

For a given time-step $h > 0$, we define the approximate positions and velocities by

$$q_{h,0} = q_0, \quad u_{h,0} = u_0$$

and for all $i \geq 0$

$$q_{h,i+1} = q_{h,i} + hu_{h,i}$$

$$f(t_{h,i+1}, q_{h,i+1}, u_{h,i+1}) - M(q_{h,i+1}) \left(\frac{u_{h,i+1} - u_{h,i}}{h} \right) \in N_{V(q_{h,i+1})} \left(\frac{u_{h,i+1} + eu_{h,i}}{1+e} \right).$$

Using the definition of $N_{V(q)}(\cdot)$, we can rewrite it as

$$u_{h,i+1} = -eu_{h,i} + (1+e) \text{proj}_{q_{h,i+1}} \left(V(q_{h,i+1}), u_{h,i} + \frac{h}{1+e} M^{-1}(q_{h,i+1}) f(t_{h,i+1}, q_{h,i+1}, u_{h,i+1}) \right).$$

Reference: J.J. Moreau, “Dynamique de systèmes à liaisons unilatérales avec frottement sec éventuel, essais numériques”, 1986.

Properties

- If $q_{h,i+1} \in \text{Int}(K)$, we obtain simply

$$\frac{q_{h,i+2} - 2q_{h,i+1} + q_{h,i}}{h^2} = M^{-1}(q_{h,i+1})f(t_{h,i+1}, q_{h,i+1}, u_{h,i+1})$$

which is a discretization of the unconstrained dynamics.

- The constraint is satisfied at the velocity level at each time step in the following sense

$$\frac{u_{h,i+1} + eu_{h,i}}{1+e} \in V(q_{h,i+1}).$$

- The ponderation between $u_{h,i+1}$ and $u_{h,i}$ leads to a correct reflection of the velocity at impacts.

Example (bouncing ball): $d = 1$, $K = \mathbb{R}^+$, $M(q) \equiv 1$, $f \equiv 0$, $q_0 = 1$, $u_0 = -1$.
The solution of problem (P) is

$$\begin{cases} q(t) = 1 - t & \text{if } t \in [0, 1], \\ q(t) = e(t - 1) & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We obtain $q_{h,0} = 1$, $u_{h,0} = -1$ and for all $i \geq 0$

$$q_{h,i+1} = q_{h,i} + hu_{h,i}, \quad u_{h,i+1} = -eu_{h,i} + (1 + e)\text{proj}_{q_{h,i+1}}(V(q_{h,i+1}), u_{h,i})$$

with

$$\text{proj}_{q_{h,i+1}}(V(q_{h,i+1}), u_{h,i}) = \begin{cases} u_{h,i} & \text{if } q_{h,i+1} > 0, \\ \max(u_{h,i}, 0) & \text{if } q_{h,i+1} \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Assume that $h \in (0, 1)$. There exists $p \geq 1$ such that

$$p = \max\{k \geq 0; q_{h,i} > 0 \forall i \in \{0, \dots, k\}\}.$$

Then

$$q_{h,i+1} = 1 - (i + 1)h, \quad u_{h,i} = -1 \quad \forall i \in \{0, \dots, p\}$$

and $q_{h,p+1} \leq 0$. Thus $u_{h,p+1} = -eu_{h,p} + (1 + e)\max(u_{h,p}, 0) = e$ and

$$q_{h,i} = 1 - (p + 1)h + e(i - p - 1)h, \quad u_{h,i} = e \quad \forall i \geq p + 1.$$

III - Convergence results

References:

- single constraint case ($\nu = 1$), trivial mass matrix and $e = 0$: M. Monteiro Marques, “Differential inclusions in nonsmooth mechanical problems”, 1993
- single constraint case, trivial mass matrix and $e \in [0, 1]$: M. Mabrouk, “A unified variational model for the dynamics of perfect unilateral constraints”, 1998

New results:

- single constraint case, non trivial mass matrix and $e \in [0, 1]$ (joint work with R. Dzonou and M. Monteiro Marques)
- multi-constraint case, non trivial mass matrix, $e \in [0, 1]$

In the **single constraint case** we prove the convergence of the approximate positions and velocities under the following assumptions:

(H1) $f \in C^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ($T > 0$), s.t. f is locally Lipschitz continuous in its last two arguments,

(H2) $M \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M}_{d \times d, \text{sdp}}(\mathbb{R}))$,

(H3) $g \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ s.t. ∇g does not vanish in a neighbourhood of $\{q \in \mathbb{R}^d; g(q) = 0\}$.

Under assumptions (H1) and (H2) we can not expect a global existence result on $[0, T]$ for problem (P) but we have

Proposition (energy estimate): Let $R > \|u_0\|_{q_0}$. Then there exists $\tau(R) > 0$ s.t., for any solution (q, u) of problem (P) defined on $[0, \tau]$ (with $\tau \in (0, T]$), we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{q(t)} \leq R \quad \forall t \in [0, \min(\tau, \tau(R))].$$

Reference: L.P. and M. Schatzman, “A numerical scheme for impact problems”, 2002.

We define the sequence of **approximate solutions** $(q_h, u_h)_{h>0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u_h(t) &= u_{h,i} \quad \text{if } t \in [t_{h,i}, t_{h,i+1}) \cap [0, T], \\ q_h(t) &= q_0 + \int_0^t u_h(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

So we prove

Theorem: Let $R > \|u_0\|_{q_0}$. Then there exists a subsequence of $(q_h, u_h)_{h>0}$, still denoted $(q_h, u_h)_{h>0}$, s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} q_h &\rightarrow q \quad \text{in } C^0([0, \min(T, \tau(R))]; \mathbb{R}^d) \\ u_h &\rightarrow v \quad \text{pointwise in } [0, \min(T, \tau(R))] \end{aligned}$$

and (q, u) is a solution of problem (P) on $[0, \min(T, \tau(R))]$, with

$$u(t) = \frac{v^+(t) + e v^-(t)}{1 + e} \quad \forall t \in (0, \min(T, \tau(R))).$$

Sketch of the proof

First step: local convergence result

- We establish uniform estimates for the discrete velocities on a time interval $[0, \tau]$, $\tau \in (0, T]$, by using Brouwer's fixed point theorem.

Then we prove that $(u_h)_{h>0}$ is bounded in $BV(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

- We pass to the limit by using Ascoli's and Helly's theorems: there exists a subsequence, still denoted $(q_h, u_h)_{h>0}$ s.t.

$$u_h \rightarrow v \quad \text{pointwise in } [0, \tau],$$

and

$$q_h \rightarrow q \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, \tau],$$

with

$$q(t) = q_0 + \int_0^t u(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau],$$

and

$$u(t) = \frac{v^+(t) + ev^-(t)}{1 + e} \quad \forall t \in (0, \tau).$$

- We study the properties of the limit (q, u) : we prove that

$$q(t) \in K \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau].$$

Then we establish that the MDI is satisfied on $J = \{t \in [0, \tau]; u^+(t) = u^-(t)\}$ and that the velocity is correctly reversed on $[0, \tau] \setminus J$.

Second step: Convergence on $[0, \min(T, \tau(R))]$, $R > \|u_0\|_{q_0}$.

We establish that, if $(q_h, u_h)_{h>0}$ converges to a solution (q, u) of problem (P) on $[0, \tau^*]$, with $\tau^* \in (0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup \{ \|u_{h,i}\|_{q_{h,i}}; 0 \leq t_{h,i} \leq \min(\tau^*, \tau(R)) \} \\ & \leq \text{ess sup} \{ \|u(t)\|_{q(t)}; 0 \leq t \leq \min(\tau^*, \tau(R)) \} \leq R \end{aligned}$$

and we argue by contradiction.

In the **multi-constraint case** we replace assumption (H3) by

(H'3) for all $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, $g_\alpha \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ s.t. ∇g_α is locally Lipschitz continuous and does not vanish in a neighbourhood of $\{q \in \mathbb{R}^d; g_\alpha(q) = 0\}$,

(H'4) $(\nabla g_\alpha(q))_{\alpha \in J(q)}$, with $J(q) = \{\alpha; g_\alpha(q) = 0\}$, is linearly independent for all $q \in K$.

Moreover a new difficulty occurs: **the motion is not continuous with respect to initial data**.

Nevertheless continuity on data holds if

(H'5) for all $q \in K$, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in J(q)^2$ s.t. $\alpha \neq \beta$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla g_\alpha(q), M(q)^{-1} \nabla g_\beta(q)) &\leq 0 \quad \text{if } e = 0, \\ (\nabla g_\alpha(q), M(q)^{-1} \nabla g_\beta(q)) &= 0 \quad \text{if } e \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Reference: L.P., "Continuous dependence on data for vibro-impact problems", 2005.

Under these assumptions we prove once again the convergence of a subsequence of $(q_h, u_h)_{h>0}$ to a solution of problem (P).

The sketch of the proof is similar but now the main difficulty is the study of the reflection of the velocity at impacts.

Idea: If $u^+(t) \neq u^-(t)$ we prove first that

$$M(q(t))(u^+(t) - u^-(t)) \in -N_K(q(t))$$

i.e. there exists $(\mu_\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq \nu}$ s.t.

$$u^+(t) - u^-(t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} \mu_\alpha M(q(t))^{-1} \nabla g_\alpha(q(t)) \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \mu_\alpha \geq 0 & \text{if } g_\alpha(q(t)) = 0, \\ \mu_\alpha = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we observe that the impact law is satisfied iff

$$\nabla g_\alpha(q(t)) \cdot (u^+(t) + e u^-(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \{1, \dots, \nu\} \text{ s.t. } \mu_\alpha > 0$$

which is proved by performing a precise study of the discrete velocities in a neighbourhood of t .

Other references about approximation of vibro-impact problems

- M.Schatzman, “A class of nonlinear differential equations of second order in time”, *Non-linear Anal., Theory, Methods and Applications*, 2(1978)355-373.
- L.Paoli and M.Schatzman, “Ill-posedness in vibro-impact and its numerical consequences”, *in Proceedings of European Congress on COmputational Methods in Applied Sciences and engineering (ECCOMAS)*, CD Rom, 2000.
- L. Paoli, “An existence result for vibrations with unilateral constraints: case of a non-smooth set of constraints”, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. (M3AS)*, 10-6(2001)815-831.
- M. Schatzman, “Penalty method for impact in generalized coordinates”, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A*, 359(2001)2429-2446.
- L. Paoli and M. Schatzman, “A numerical scheme for impact problems I and II”, *SIAM J. on Numerical Analysis*, 40-2(2002)702-733 and 734-768.