

# Computation of values of $p$ -adic Dirichlet $L$ -functions using PARI/GP

Xavier-François Roblot

IGD, Université Claude Bernard – Lyon 1

September 14th, 2004



Let  $f \geq 2$  and let  $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(a, f) = (c, f) = 1$  and  $c > 1$ .  
For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$ , set

$$Z_f(a, s) := \sum_{\substack{n \equiv a \pmod{f} \\ n \neq 0}} n^{-s} \text{ and } Z_f(a, c, s) := c^{1-s} Z_f(ac^{-1}, s) - Z_f(a, s).$$

Both functions have continuations to  $\mathbb{C}$ , with a single pole at  $s = 1$  for the first one, and no pole for the second one.

Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial Dirichlet character of conductor  $\delta$ . Let  $f$  be a multiple of  $\delta$  and let  $c$  be such that  $\chi(c) \neq 1$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \chi(a) Z_f(a, c, s) = (c^{1-s} \chi(c) - 1) \prod_{\ell \mid f} (1 - \chi(\ell) \ell^{-s}) L(\chi, s).$$

Let  $f \geq 2$  and let  $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(a, f) = (c, f) = 1$  and  $c > 1$ .  
For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$ , set

$$Z_f(a, s) := \sum_{\substack{n \equiv a \pmod{f} \\ n \neq 0}} n^{-s} \text{ and } Z_f(a, c, s) := c^{1-s} Z_f(ac^{-1}, s) - Z_f(a, s).$$

Both functions have continuations to  $\mathbb{C}$ , with a single pole at  $s = 1$  for the first one, and no pole for the second one.

Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial Dirichlet character of conductor  $\delta$ . Let  $f$  be a multiple of  $\delta$  and let  $c$  be such that  $\chi(c) \neq 1$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \chi(a) Z_f(a, c, s) = (c^{1-s} \chi(c) - 1) \prod_{\ell \mid f} (1 - \chi(\ell) \ell^{-s}) L(\chi, s).$$

Let  $f \geq 2$  and let  $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(a, f) = (c, f) = 1$  and  $c > 1$ .  
 For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$ , set

$$Z_f(a, s) := \sum_{\substack{n \equiv a(f) \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} n^{-s} \text{ and } Z_f(a, c, s) := c^{1-s} Z_f(ac^{-1}, s) - Z_f(a, s).$$

Both functions have continuations to  $\mathbb{C}$ , with a single pole at  $s = 1$  for the first one, and no pole for the second one.

Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial Dirichlet character of conductor  $\delta$ . Let  $f$  be a multiple of  $\delta$  and let  $c$  be such that  $\chi(c) \neq 1$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \chi(a) Z_f(a, c, s) = (c^{1-s} \chi(c) - 1) \prod_{\ell|f} (1 - \chi(\ell) \ell^{-s}) L(\chi, s).$$

## Lemma

For  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , set  $\xi_r := \exp(2i\pi r)$ . Then

$$Z_f(a, c, s) = \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \xi_{n/c}^i n^{-s}.$$

Let  $\eta$  be a root of unity such that  $\eta^f \neq 1$ . Define

$$Z_f(a, \eta, s) := \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n n^{-s},$$

then the lemma can be restated as

$$Z_f(a, c, s) = \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} Z_f(a, \xi_{i/c}, s).$$

## Lemma

For  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , set  $\xi_r := \exp(2i\pi r)$ . Then

$$Z_f(a, c, s) = \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \xi_{n/c}^i n^{-s}.$$

Let  $\eta$  be a root of unity such that  $\eta^f \neq 1$ . Define

$$Z_f(a, \eta, s) := \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n n^{-s},$$

then the lemma can restated as

$$Z_f(a, c, s) = \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} Z_f(a, \xi_{i/c}, s).$$

Define the following power series in  $T$

$$F_{f,a,\eta}(T) := \frac{\eta^a(1+T)^a}{1-\eta^f(1+T)^f}$$

and the operator  $\Delta$  on power series by

$$\Delta := (1+T) \frac{d}{dT}.$$

### Theorem

For any  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$Z_f(a, \eta, -k) = \Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T)_{|T=0}.$$

*False proof.* Expand the power series  $F_{f,a,\eta}$  in terms of  $(1+T)$  (which of course is not possible)

$$F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \eta^a(1+T)^a \sum_{n \geq 0} \eta^{nf}(1+T)^{nf} = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n(1+T)^n.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \sum n^n \eta^k (1+T)^{n-k}$$

Define the following power series in  $T$

$$F_{f,a,\eta}(T) := \frac{\eta^a(1+T)^a}{1-\eta^f(1+T)^f}$$

and the operator  $\Delta$  on power series by

$$\Delta := (1+T) \frac{d}{dT}.$$

### Theorem

For any  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$Z_f(a, \eta, -k) = \Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T)_{|T=0}.$$

*False proof.* Expand the power series  $F_{f,a,\eta}$  in terms of  $(1+T)$  (which of course is not possible)

$$F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \eta^a(1+T)^a \sum_{n \geq 0} \eta^{nf} (1+T)^{nf} = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n (1+T)^n.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \sum n^n \eta^k (1+T)^n$$

## Theorem

For any  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$Z_f(a, \eta, -k) = \Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T)|_{T=0}.$$

*False proof.* Expand the power series  $F_{f,a,\eta}$  in terms of  $(1 + T)$  (which of course is not possible)

$$F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \eta^a (1 + T)^a \sum_{n \geq 0} \eta^{nf} (1 + T)^{nf} = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n (1 + T)^n.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n n^k (1 + T)^n,$$

and so

$$\Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T)|_{T=0} = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n n^k = Z_f(a, \eta, -k). \quad \square$$

## Theorem

For any  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$Z_f(a, \eta, -k) = \Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T)_{|T=0}.$$

*False proof.* Expand the power series  $F_{f,a,\eta}$  in terms of  $(1 + T)$  (which of course is not possible)

$$F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \eta^a (1 + T)^a \sum_{n \geq 0} \eta^{nf} (1 + T)^{nf} = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n (1 + T)^n.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T) = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n n^k (1 + T)^n,$$

and so

$$\Delta^k F_{f,a,\eta}(T)_{|T=0} = \sum_{n \equiv a(f)} \eta^n n^k = Z_f(a, \eta, -k). \quad \square$$

A measure  $\mu$  is a linear form on  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$  such that there exists  $B > 0$  with

$$|\mu(f)| \leq B|f|,$$

where  $|f| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} |f(x)|$ . We write  $\int f d\mu := \mu(f)$ .

Any function  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  has a unique Mahler expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \binom{x}{n}$$

with  $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ . Furthermore  $\lim a_n = 0$ .

### Theorem

A linear form  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a measure iff there exists  $B > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\left| \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x) \right| \leq B.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mu$  is a measure

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x).$$

A measure  $\mu$  is a linear form on  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$  such that there exists  $B > 0$  with

$$|\mu(f)| \leq B|f|,$$

where  $|f| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} |f(x)|$ . We write  $\int f d\mu := \mu(f)$ .

Any function  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  has a unique Mahler expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \binom{x}{n}$$

with  $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ . Furthermore  $\lim a_n = 0$ .

### Theorem

A linear form  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a measure iff there exists  $B > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\left| \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x) \right| \leq B.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mu$  is a measure

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x).$$

Any function  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  has a unique Mahler expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \binom{x}{n}$$

with  $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ . Furthermore  $\lim a_n = 0$ .

### Theorem

A linear form  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a measure iff there exists  $B > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\left| \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x) \right| \leq B.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mu$  is a measure

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x).$$

Any function  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  has a unique Mahler expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \binom{x}{n}$$

with  $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ . Furthermore  $\lim a_n = 0$ .

### Theorem

A linear form  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a measure iff there exists  $B > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\left| \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x) \right| \leq B.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mu$  is a measure

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu(x).$$

Measures on  $\mathcal{C}$  and power series in  $\mathbb{C}_p[[T]]^{bd}$  are in 1-to-1 correspondance by the formula

$$F_\mu(T) := \int (1 + T)^x d\mu(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu \cdot T^n.$$

Recall that  $\Delta := (1 + T) \frac{d}{dT}$ .

### Theorem

For all  $k \geq 0$

$$\Delta^k F_\mu(T)_{|T=0} = \int x^k d\mu(x).$$

Measures on  $\mathcal{C}$  and power series in  $\mathbb{C}_p[[T]]^{bd}$  are in 1-to-1 correspondance by the formula

$$F_\mu(T) := \int (1 + T)^x d\mu(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int \binom{x}{n} d\mu \cdot T^n.$$

Recall that  $\Delta := (1 + T) \frac{d}{dT}$ .

### Theorem

For all  $k \geq 0$

$$\Delta^k F_\mu(T)_{|T=0} = \int x^k d\mu(x).$$

Embed  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ , then

$$F_{f,a,c}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} F_{f,a,\xi_{i/c}}(T) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[T]]^{bd}.$$

Call  $\mu_{f,a,c}$  the measure associated to  $F_{f,a,c}$ . Then

### Theorem

For all  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\int x^k d\mu_{f,a,c}(x) = Z_f(a, c, -k).$$

Embed  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ , then

$$F_{f,a,c}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} F_{f,a,\xi_{i/c}}(T) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[T]]^{bd}.$$

Call  $\mu_{f,a,c}$  the measure associated to  $F_{f,a,c}$ . Then

### Theorem

For all  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\int x^k d\mu_{f,a,c}(x) = Z_f(a, c, -k).$$

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times := \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p$  splits as  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = W_p \times \mathcal{U}_p$  with  $W_p$  the torsion part and  $\mathcal{U}_p = 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , write  $\omega(x)$  (resp.  $\langle x \rangle$ ) the projection of  $x$  onto  $W_p$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}_p$ ).

Fix  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the function

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_s^{(m)} : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \\ x &\mapsto \omega(x)^m \langle x \rangle^{-s}\end{aligned}$$

is continuous. The function is extended to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  by setting

$\varphi_s^{(m)}(x) := 0$  for  $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The function  $\varphi_s^{(m)}$  will serve as the “ $p$ -adic analogue” of  $x^{-s}$ .

Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times := \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p$  splits as  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = W_p \times \mathcal{U}_p$  with  $W_p$  the torsion part and  $\mathcal{U}_p = 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , write  $\omega(x)$  (resp.  $\langle x \rangle$ ) the projection of  $x$  onto  $W_p$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}_p$ ).

Fix  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the function

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_s^{(m)} : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \\ x &\mapsto \omega(x)^m \langle x \rangle^{-s}\end{aligned}$$

is continuous. The function is extended to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  by setting

$\varphi_s^{(m)}(x) := 0$  for  $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The function  $\varphi_s^{(m)}$  will serve as the “ $p$ -adic analogue” of  $x^{-s}$ .

The  $p$ -adic twisted partial zeta function (with character  $\omega^m$ ) is defined for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by

$$Z_{f,p}^{(m)}(a, c, s) := \int \varphi_s^{(m)}(x) d\mu_{f,a,c}(x).$$

This is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  that depends only upon the class of  $m$  modulo  $\phi(q)$ .

The function

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, s) := Z_{f,p}^{(-1)}(a, c, s)$$

is *the*  $p$ -adic twisted partial zeta function.

### Theorem

Assume  $p$  divides  $f$ . Then for all  $k \geq 0$  with  $k \equiv -1 \pmod{\phi(q)}$

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, -k) = Z_f(a, c, -k).$$

The  $p$ -adic twisted partial zeta function (with character  $\omega^m$ ) is defined for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by

$$Z_{f,p}^{(m)}(a, c, s) := \int \varphi_s^{(m)}(x) d\mu_{f,a,c}(x).$$

This is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  that depends only upon the class of  $m$  modulo  $\phi(q)$ .

The function

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, s) := Z_{f,p}^{(-1)}(a, c, s)$$

is *the*  $p$ -adic twisted partial zeta function.

### Theorem

Assume  $p$  divides  $f$ . Then for all  $k \geq 0$  with  $k \equiv -1 \pmod{\phi(q)}$

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, -k) = Z_f(a, c, -k).$$

The  $p$ -adic twisted partial zeta function (with character  $\omega^m$ ) is defined for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by

$$Z_{f,p}^{(m)}(a, c, s) := \int \varphi_s^{(m)}(x) d\mu_{f,a,c}(x).$$

This is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  that depends only upon the class of  $m$  modulo  $\phi(q)$ .

The function

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, s) := Z_{f,p}^{(-1)}(a, c, s)$$

is *the*  $p$ -adic twisted partial zeta function.

### Theorem

Assume  $p$  divides  $f$ . Then for all  $k \geq 0$  with  $k \equiv -1 \pmod{\phi(q)}$

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, -k) = Z_f(a, c, -k).$$

Let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Write

$$\varphi_s^{(-1)}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n \binom{x}{n}$$

and

$$F_{f,a,c}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n T^n.$$

Then

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, s) = \int \varphi_s^{(-1)}(x) d\mu_{f,a,c}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n f_n.$$

Let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Write

$$\varphi_s^{(-1)}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n \binom{x}{n}$$

and

$$F_{f,a,c}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n T^n.$$

Then

$$Z_{f,p}(a, c, s) = \int \varphi_s^{(-1)}(x) d\mu_{f,a,c}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n f_n.$$



Let  $\chi$  be a character of conductor  $\delta$ , and let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We want to compute  $L_p(s, \chi)$  up to a given precision.

Types:  $p$ -adic numbers will be represented by  $p$ -adic numbers and power series by power series

For simplicity, assume from now on that  $p \neq 2$  and that  $s = k$  is an integer.

Let  $\chi$  be a character of conductor  $\delta$ , and let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We want to compute  $L_p(s, \chi)$  up to a given precision.

Types:  $p$ -adic numbers will be represented by  $p$ -adic numbers and power series by power series

For simplicity, assume from now on that  $p \neq 2$  and that  $s = k$  is an integer.

Let  $\chi$  be a character of conductor  $\delta$ , and let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We want to compute  $L_p(s, \chi)$  up to a given precision.

Types:  $p$ -adic numbers will be represented by  $p$ -adic numbers and power series by power series

For simplicity, assume from now on that  $p \neq 2$  and that  $s = k$  is an integer.

Code: initialization of the roots of unity

```
p1 = x^(p-1) - 1;  
p2 = vector(p - 1, j , x - j );  
p1 = polhensellift(p1, p2, p, precp );  
return(vector(p - 1, j , -polcoeff(p1[j], 0)  
+ O(p^precp )));
```

Call  $vp$  the vector returned by the previous function.

Code: computation of  $\omega(x)$

```
return ( vp [ x%p ] );
```

Code: initialization of the roots of unity

```
p1 = x^(p-1) - 1;  
p2 = vector(p - 1, j, x - j);  
p1 = polhensellift(p1, p2, p, precp);  
return(vector(p - 1, j, -polcoeff(p1[j], 0)  
+ O(p^precp)));
```

Call  $vp$  the vector returned by the previous function.

Code: computation of  $\omega(x)$

```
return (vp[x%p]);
```

Code: initialization of the roots of unity

```
p1 = x^(p-1) - 1;  
p2 = vector(p - 1, j, x - j);  
p1 = polhensellift(p1, p2, p, precp);  
return(vector(p - 1, j, -polcoeff(p1[j], 0)  
+ O(p^precp)));
```

Call  $vp$  the vector returned by the previous function.

Code: computation of  $\omega(x)$

```
return (vp[x%p]);
```

Call `teichm(x)` the function computing  $\omega(x)$  assuming  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .  
Recall that

$$\varphi_k^{(m)}(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p, \\ \omega(x)^m \langle x \rangle^{-k} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Code: computation of  $\varphi_k^{(m)}(x)$

```
if (x%p == 0, return (0));
p1 = teichm(x)^(k + m);
p2 = x^(-k);
return (p1*p2);
```

Call `teichm(x)` the function computing  $\omega(x)$  assuming  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .  
Recall that

$$\varphi_k^{(m)}(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p, \\ \omega(x)^m \langle x \rangle^{-k} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Code: computation of  $\varphi_k^{(m)}(x)$

```
if (x%p == 0, return (0));
p1 = teichm(x)^(k + m);
p2 = x^(-k);
return (p1*p2);
```

Recall that

$$\varphi_k^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n \binom{x}{n}.$$

Now, if  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we have  $\binom{A}{n} = 0$  for all  $n > A$ .

Code: computation of  $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{N-1}$

```
v = vector(N);
for (A = 1, N,
    val = phi_k(A, k, m);
    v[A] = val - sum(j = 1, A - 1,
                      binomial(A, j)*v[j])
);
return (v);
```

Recall that

$$\varphi_k^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi_n \binom{x}{n}.$$

Now, if  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we have  $\binom{A}{n} = 0$  for all  $n > A$ .

Code: computation of  $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{N-1}$

```
v = vector(N);
for (A = 1, N,
    val = phi_k(A, k, m);
    v[A] = val - sum(j = 1, A - 1,
                      binomial(A, j)*v[j])
);
return (v);
```

Let  $e$  be a root of unity of order  $c$ , recall that

$$F_{f,a,e}(T) := \frac{e^a(1+T)^a}{1-e^f(1+T)^f}$$

Code: Compute  $F_{f,a,\eta}$

```
mon = (1 + O(p^precP))*(1 + X + O(X^precX));
den = 1 - e^f*mon^f;
num = e^a*mon^a;
return (num/den);
```

Let  $e$  be a root of unity of order  $c$ , recall that

$$F_{f,a,e}(T) := \frac{e^a(1+T)^a}{1-e^f(1+T)^f}$$

Code: Compute  $F_{f,a,\eta}$

```
mon = (1 + O(p^precP))*(1 + X + O(X^precX));
den = 1 - e^f*mon^f;
num = e^a*mon^a;
return (num/den);
```

Recall that

$$F_{f,a,c}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} F_{f,a,\xi_{i/c}}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} F_{f,a,\xi^i}(T)$$

where  $\xi$  is any primitive  $c$ -th root of unity.

Code: “formal”  $c$ -th root of unity

```
e = Mod(y, (y^c - 1)/(y - 1));
```

Code: compute  $F_{f,a,c}$

```
F = computeF_eta(N, f, e, a);
return (trace(F));
```

Recall that

$$F_{f,a,c}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} F_{f,a,\xi_{i/c}}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} F_{f,a,\xi^i}(T)$$

where  $\xi$  is any primitive  $c$ -th root of unity.

Code: “formal”  $c$ -th root of unity

```
e = Mod(y, (y^c - 1)/(y - 1));
```

Code: compute  $F_{f,a,c}$

```
F = computeF_eta(N, f, e, a);
return (trace(F));
```

Take  $f = \text{lcm}(p, \delta)$ , and let  $c$  be such that  $\chi(c) \neq 1$ . Recall that

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \chi(a) Z_f(a, c, s) = (c^{1-s} \chi(c) - 1)(1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}) L(\chi, s).$$

The corresponding  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function is defined by

$$L_p(\chi, s) := (c \varphi_s^{(-1)}(c) \chi(c) - 1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \chi(a) Z_{f,p}(a, c, s).$$

Take  $f = \text{lcm}(p, \delta)$ , and let  $c$  be such that  $\chi(c) \neq 1$ . Recall that

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \chi(a) Z_f(a, c, s) = (c^{1-s} \chi(c) - 1)(1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}) L(\chi, s).$$

The corresponding  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function is defined by

$$L_p(\chi, s) := (c \varphi_s^{(-1)}(c) \chi(c) - 1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \chi(a) Z_{f,p}(a, c, s).$$