Correlations and field theory inside the arctic circle [or Arctic quenches]

Jean-Marie Stéphan¹

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Complexer Systeme (Dresden)

Firenze 2015

in collaboration with N. Allegra, J. Dubail, M. Haque and J. Viti.

Outline

1 Arctic circle in Statistical mechanics

- Dimers
- Six vertex

2 A simple toy model

- Model and Motivations
- Correlations in the bulk
- Dirac action in curved space

3 Consequences and generalizations

- Dimers and vertex models
- Back to real time

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Classical Dimers in 2d



- dimers with hardcore constraint.
- Exactly solvable: free fermions.
- Z = det (...) [Kasteleyn, Fisher (1963)]
- Critical system

Long distance limit: Dirac field or free gaussian compact field

$$S = rac{g}{4\pi} \int dx dy \, (
abla arphi)^2 \qquad ext{,} \qquad arphi = arphi + 2\pi$$

$$C_{dd}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\right|^{-1/g}$$
, $C_{mm}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\right|^{-g}$

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Aztec diamond, and the arctic circle

[Jokusch, Propp and Shor, 1995]



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Aztec diamond, and the arctic circle



Image at http://tuvalu.santafe.edu/ \sim moore/gallery.html

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Lots of variations on this



Theory for the shape [Kenyon, Okounkov, Sheffield]

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Can be induced by boundary conditions



$$Z = \left\langle \psi_0 \, \middle| \, T^{L_y} \, \middle| \, \psi_0 \right\rangle$$

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Density profile for dimers



[Cohn, Elkies and Propp, Duke. Math. Journ 1996]

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Six vertex with domain wall boundary conditions

[Korepin, Izergin, Zinn-Justin, Colomo, Pronko,...]



Conjecture for the arctic curve [Colomo & Pronko, J. Stat. Phys 2010]

Field theory inside the circle?

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

A simple toy model



$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x} \left(c_x^{\dagger} c_{x+1} + h.c \right)$$

Imaginary time evolution

$$Z = \left\langle \psi_0 \left| e^{-2RH} \right| \psi_0 \right\rangle$$

Consequences and generalizations

Motivations (1/3)

- TASEP in continuous time [Rost, Zeit. Wahrs. 1981].
- Arctic circle phenomenology.



 Quantum mechanics from a domain wall initial state. [Antal Rácz Rákos Schütz 1999; Antal Krapivsky Rákos 2008;...] A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Motivation (2/3): Filling fraction quenches

$$H = -\sum_{j} \left(c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^{\dagger} c_{j} \right) = \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}$$



Fermions, with certain Fermi levels (k_l, k_r)

$$|\psi_0
angle = |k_l
angle \otimes |k_r
angle$$

and let evolve with $H(\frac{k_l+k_r}{2})$ at time t>0

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Motivation (2/3): Filling fraction quenches



Limiting cases:

- $k_l = \pi$, $k_r = 0$ is the domain wall quench.
- $k_l = k_r$ [Eisler, Karevski, Platini & Peschel, 2008] [Calabrese & Cardy, 2008] [JMS & Dubail, 2011]

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Motivation (3/3) low energy local quenches

$$k_l = k_r$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \left| \langle \psi_0 | e^{-\tau H_{\text{tot}}} | \psi_0 \rangle \right|^2$$

Keep in mind

 $\tau \rightarrow it$, but only at the end.

 $\mathcal{F}(\tau) = -\ln \mathcal{L}(\tau)$ is a free energy! [JMS & Dubail, 2011]



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Motivation (3/3) low energy local quenches

$$k_l = k_r$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \left| \langle \psi_0 | e^{-\tau H_{\text{tot}}} | \psi_0 \rangle \right|^2$$

Keep in mind

 $\tau \rightarrow it$, but only at the end.

 $\mathcal{F}(au) = -\ln \mathcal{L}(au)$ is a free energy! [JMS & Dubail, 2011]



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Motivation (3/3) low energy local quenches

$$k_l = k_r$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \left| \langle \psi_0 | e^{-\tau H_{\text{tot}}} | \psi_0 \rangle \right|^2$$

Keep in mind

 $\tau \rightarrow it$, but only at the end.

 $\mathcal{F}(au) = -\ln \mathcal{L}(au)$ is a free energy! [JMS & Dubail, 2011]



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Symmetric case $L_A = L_B = L/2$

The Loschmidt echo is periodic

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \frac{c}{4} \ln \left| \frac{L}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi t}{L} \right) \right|$$



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Non symmetric case $L_A = L/3$

Loschmidt echo

$$\mathcal{F}(a) = \frac{c}{4} \ln L + \frac{c}{24} \ln \left| \frac{a^3(a+1)^6(a+2)(2a+1)}{(a-1)^7} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

 \boldsymbol{a} is one of the solutions of

$$it = \frac{2L}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\frac{b-1}{b+1} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b} \right) \right]$$
$$b^2 = a \frac{a+2}{2a+1}$$

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Non symmetric case $L_A = L/3$



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Non symmetric case $L_A = L/3$





Motivation (end)

Here it is not that simple, because (need both!)

- Conservation of the number of particles
- Inhomogeneous initial state

Naive low energy-field theory does not work.

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Correlations inside the "circle"

Want to compute

$$\left\langle c_x^{\dagger}(y)c_{x'}(y') \right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle \psi_0 | e^{-(R+y)H} c_x^{\dagger} e^{-(y-y')H} c_{x'} e^{-(R-y')H} | \psi_0 \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_0 | e^{-2RH} | \psi_0 \right\rangle}$$

$$c^{\dagger}(k) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ikx} c_x^{\dagger} \qquad , \qquad [H, c^{\dagger}(k)] = \varepsilon(k) c^{\dagger}(k)$$

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Appearance of the Hilbert transform

$$\left\langle c^{\dagger}(k,y)c(k',y')\right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle \psi_{0} \left| e^{-(R-y)H}c^{\dagger}(k)e^{-(y'-y)H}c(k')e^{-(R+y)H} \right| \psi_{0} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_{0} \left| e^{-2RH} \right| \psi_{0} \right\rangle}$$

One can show that

$$\left\langle c^{\dagger}(k,y)c(k',y')\right\rangle = \frac{e^{-iR[\tilde{\varepsilon}(k)-\tilde{\varepsilon}(k')]}e^{y\varepsilon(k)-y'\varepsilon(k')}}{2i\sin\left(\frac{k-k'}{2}+i0^{+}\right)}$$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(k) = \text{Hilbert transform of } \varepsilon(k) = \text{pv} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \varepsilon(q) \cot \frac{k-q}{2}$$

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Bosonisation trick

$$c^{\dagger}(k) \rightarrow :e^{i\varphi(k)}:$$

$$c(k) \rightarrow :e^{-i\varphi(k)}:$$

$$c^{\dagger}(k)c(k) \rightarrow \partial\varphi(k)$$

Fusion of two vertex operators:

$$:e^{\alpha\varphi(k)}::e^{\beta\varphi(k')}:=e^{\alpha\beta\langle\varphi(k)\varphi(k')\rangle}:e^{\alpha\varphi(k)+\beta\varphi(k')}:$$

Need to define

• Normal order
$$: c_x^\dagger c_x :$$

•
$$\langle \varphi(k)\varphi(k')\rangle = \log\sin\frac{k-k'}{2}$$

Comments

- The result is exact.
- This completely solves the problem in principle.
- Real space correlations: inverse Fourier transform+stationary phase approximation.
- Stationary points

$$x + iy\frac{d\varepsilon(k)}{k} + R\frac{d\tilde{\varepsilon}(k)}{dk} = 0$$

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Density profile

$$\langle c_x^{\dagger}(y)c_x(y)\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi}\arccos\frac{x}{\sqrt{R^2 - y^2}}$$



$$Z = e^{-R^2/2}$$

A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations

Dirac in curved space

$$c_x^{\dagger} = \frac{e^{-i(\pi/4+\theta(x,y))}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\psi^{\dagger}(x,y) + \frac{e^{i(\pi/4+\theta^*(x,y))}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\overline{\psi}^{\dagger}(x,y)$$

where

$$\left\langle \psi^{\dagger}(x,y)\psi(x',y')\right\rangle = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\sigma(x,y)+\sigma(x',y')]}}{\sin\left(\frac{z(x,y)-z(x',y')}{2}\right)}$$

$$z(x,y) = \arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{R^2 - y^2}} + i \operatorname{arcth} \frac{y}{R}$$
$$\theta(x,y) = -z(x,y)x - \sqrt{R^2 - x^2 - y^2}$$
$$\sigma(x,y) = \log \sqrt{R^2 - x^2 - y^2}$$

Dirac theory with a metric $ds^2=e^{2\sigma}(dx^2+dy^2)$

Consequences and generalizations ${\color{red}\bullet}{\color{black}\circ}}{\color{black}\circ}}{\color{black}\circ}{\color{black}$

Dimers on honeycomb

$$T^{2} = \exp\left[-\int \frac{dk}{2\pi}\varepsilon(k)c(k)^{\dagger}c(k)\right]$$
$$\varepsilon(k) = \log(1+u^{2}+2u\cos k)$$



Consequences and generalizations ${\color{red}\bullet}{\color{black}\circ}}{\color{black}\circ$

Dimers on honeycomb

$$T^{2} = \exp\left[-\int \frac{dk}{2\pi}\varepsilon(k)c(k)^{\dagger}c(k)\right]$$
$$\varepsilon(k) = \log(1+u^{2}+2u\cos k)$$



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations $\odot \bullet \odot \odot \odot \odot \odot$

Dimers on square

Mapping: two bands



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations $\bigcirc \odot \odot \odot \odot \odot \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Six vertex



A simple toy model

Consequences and generalizations $_{\odot \odot \odot \odot \odot \odot \odot \odot}$

Back to the toy model

Is the analytic continuation y = it, $R \to 0$ consistent?

Analytic continuation

• Loschmidt echo

$$L(\tau) = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-\tau H} | \psi_0 \rangle = e^{\tau^2/8} \quad \longrightarrow \quad L(t) = e^{-t^2/8}$$

• Density

$$\rho(x, y, R) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \frac{x}{\sqrt{R^2 - y^2}} \longrightarrow \rho(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \frac{x}{t}$$

Analytic continuation of the circle = light cone.





Analytic continuation

• Loschmidt echo

$$L(\tau) = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-\tau H} | \psi_0 \rangle = e^{\tau^2/8} \quad \longrightarrow \quad L(t) = e^{-t^2/8}$$

• Density

$$\rho(x, y, R) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \frac{x}{\sqrt{R^2 - y^2}} \longrightarrow \rho(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \frac{x}{t}$$

Prediction for the entanglement entropy A \overleftarrow{x} $S(x,t) = \frac{1}{6} \log \left[t \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{t^2}\right)^{3/2} \right]$

Conclusion

• Arctic circle revisited: correlations.

• Inhomogeneous system, so inhomogeneous field theory.

• Other initial states.

Interactions?

• Logarithmic terms in six-vertex DWBC?

Thank you!