

Théorie des modèles
 Feuille 9 : saturation

The following are equivalent for a structure \mathcal{M} and infinite cardinal κ :

1. For every $A \subseteq M$, if $|A| < \kappa$ then every $p \in S_n(A)$ is realised in \mathcal{M} .
2. For every $A \subseteq M$, $|A| < \kappa$, and every set of formulas $\pi(\bar{x})$ with parameters in A , if every finite subset of π is realised in \mathcal{M} (π is *finitely realised* in \mathcal{M}) then π is realised in \mathcal{M} .

[Idea : every complete type is in particular a partial type, and every partial type can be completed into a complete type.]

2 implies 1 : If $p \in S_n(A)$, then p is a set of formulas with parameters in A , and it is finitely realised, so it is realised.

1 implies 2 : It is enough to check this for maximal π (i.e., finitely realised set of formulas in A and maximal as such). By the compactness theorem (for sets of formulas with variables), or directly by Łoś, π is realised in some elementary extension of \mathcal{M} : i.e., $\mathcal{N} \succeq \mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{N} \models \pi$. Since π is maximal : $\pi = \text{tp}(\bar{a}/A) \in S_n(A)$. By 1 it is realised in \mathcal{M} .

Exercice 1. Parmi les structures de corps \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{Q}^a (clôture algébrique de \mathbf{Q}), \mathbf{R} et \mathbf{C} (dans le langage $L = \{0, 1, -, +, \cdot\}$) quelles sont celles qui sont \aleph_0 -saturées ?

\mathbf{Q}^a ? No.

We know that $\mathbf{C} \succeq \mathbf{Q}^a$ (since algebraically closed fields eliminate quantifiers)

Take an element $a \in \mathbf{C}$. What can $\text{tp}(a)$ be? By QE, we only need to know the atomic formulas that a satisfies, i.e., the polynomials that a satisfies.

If a is algebraic, then it satisfies some irreducible $P(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$, and this determines $\text{tp}(a)$ (so $\text{tp}(a)$ is isolated by the formula $P(x) = 0$).

If a is transcendental : it doesn't satisfy any polynomial over \mathbf{Z} .

So $S_1(ACF) = \{\text{many algebraic types}\} \cup \{\text{unique transcendental type}\}$

Are all these types realised in \mathbf{Q}^a ? The transcendental type is not realised.

The transcendental type is a type over a finite subset of \mathbf{Q}^a (the empty set), and is not realised. So \mathbf{Q}^a is not \aleph_0 -saturated.

\mathbf{Q} ? No. Why ?

Give a partial type over inspired by the previous argument :

$$\pi(x) = \{P(x) \neq 0 : P \in \mathbf{Z}[x] \setminus \{0\}\} = \text{"}x \text{ is transcendental"}$$

Any finite $\pi_0 \subseteq \pi$ is of the form $\{P_i(x) \neq 0 : i = 0, \dots, k-1\}$ It is equivalent to $P(x) \neq 0$ for $P = \prod P_i$, and this is satisfied by at least one element (infinitely many, in fact) in \mathbf{Q} . So π is finitely realised in \mathbf{Q} , but not realised (no transcendental elements in \mathbf{Q}).

\mathbf{R} ? No, because we can use both the order and the field structure. (the order is definable in the field language : $x \leq y$ if $(\exists z)(x + z^2 = y)$) Let

$$\pi(x) = \{x > 0\} \cup \{x < 1/n : n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}\} = "x \text{ is infinitesimal}"$$

Any $\pi_0 \subseteq \pi$ finite is contained in a set of the form

$$\pi_0(x) \subseteq \{x > 0\} \cup \{x < 1/n : 0 < n < m\}$$

This is realised by $1/m \in \mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$. So π is finitely realised in \mathbf{R} but not realised in \mathbf{R} .

(remark : the same π can be used to prove that \mathbf{Q} is not saturated, since it is finitely realised even in \mathbf{Q})

—
C? Yes

(We cannot define the order in **C** – by QE every formula is equivalent to a Boolean combination of polynomial equalities.)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ finite (or even $|A| < 2^{\aleph_0}$). What is $S_1(A)$? An atomic formula in one variable x , with parameters in A is of the form $P(x, \bar{a}) = 0$ where $\bar{a} \in A$ and $P(x, \bar{y}) \in \mathbf{Z}[x, \bar{y}]$. It is the same thing as a polynomial in $K[x]$, where K is the subfield of **C** generated by A . . . [Analogous argument to what we did earlier] . $S_1(A)$ = algebraic types over K (each determined by an irreducible polynomials over K) or the unique transcendental (over K) type.

- The algebraic types are realised in **C** because **C** is algebraically closed.
- The transcendental type : (recall that $K \supseteq \mathbf{Q}$, so $|K| \geq \aleph_0$)

$$|K| = |A| + \aleph_0$$

$$|K[x]| \leq \sum |K[x]_{\leq n}| \dots \leq |K| + \aleph_0 = |K|$$

$$|K^a| \leq \aleph_0 \cdot |K[x]| = |K| + \aleph_0 = |K| = |A| + \aleph_0 < 2^{\aleph_0} = |\mathbf{C}|.$$

So there exists $a \in \mathbf{C} \setminus K^a$, and this a realises the transcendental type.

So every 1-type over A is realised for every finite A (and even for any A strictly smaller than the continuum).

Therefore, **C** is \aleph_0 -saturated, and even 2^{\aleph_0} -saturated.

For a structure \mathcal{M} and κ infinite, TFAE :

1. \mathcal{M} is κ saturated.
2. For every $A \subseteq M$, $|A| < \kappa$, every type in $S_1(A)$ is realised in \mathcal{M} .
3. For every $A \subseteq M$, $|A| < \kappa$, and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, every type in $S_n(A)$ is realised in \mathcal{M} .

Important observation : $A \subseteq M$, and let $\bar{a} \in M^n$ and $\bar{b} \in M^m$. Let $p(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \text{tp}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}/A) \in S_{n+m}(A)$.

– Then $p(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) = \text{tp}(\bar{a}/A\bar{b}) \in S_n(A, \bar{b})$ (here $A\bar{b} = A, \bar{b} = A \cup \{b_0, \dots, b_{m-1}\}$).

– If $\bar{c} \in M^m$ and $\text{tp}(\bar{c}/A) = \text{tp}(\bar{b}/A)$, then $p(\bar{x}, \bar{c}) \in S_n(A, \bar{c})$ (why? check that is it finitely satisfiable because of the quality of types between \bar{b} and \bar{c} , and it is maximal because p is maximal...)

This allows us to “replace parameters” in a type.

Now, let \mathcal{M} be κ -saturated, $A \subseteq M$, $|A| < \kappa$, and let $p \in S_n(A)$. We want to show that p is realised in \mathcal{M} . We do know by definition that p is realised in some $\mathcal{N} \succeq \mathcal{M}$, say by $\bar{a} \in N^n$. We now apply induction on n .

$n = 1$: our assumption.

$n \rightarrow n+1$: [idea : realise an n -type, then, up to replacing parameters, we only need to realise one more 1-type] $\bar{a} \in N^{n+1}$, say $\bar{a} = a', \bar{a}''$ where $\bar{a}'' \in N^n$. By the induction hypothesis there exists $\bar{b}'' \in M^n$ such that $\text{tp}(\bar{a}''/A) = \text{tp}(\bar{b}''/A)$. Write $p = \text{tp}(\bar{a}/A) = \text{tp}(a', \bar{a}''/A)$ as $p(x, \bar{y})$. So $p(x, \bar{b}'') \in S_1(A, \bar{b}'')$ and $A, \bar{b}'' \subseteq M$ and $|A, \bar{b}''| \leq |A| + n < \kappa$. Therefore $p(x, \bar{b}'')$ is realised in M say by b' .

Then :

$$\mathcal{M} \models p(b', \bar{b}'')$$

And we are done.

Exercice 2. Soient \mathcal{M} une structure \aleph_0 -saturée, $\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M}$, et $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ une famille d'éléments de \mathcal{N} . Montrer qu'il existe une famille $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ d'éléments de \mathcal{M} tel que pour tout $k \in \mathbf{N}$, (m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}) et (n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}) ont même type.

Indication : on peut considérer d'abord le cas où $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{N}$.

With the hint, we have $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{N}$, \mathcal{M} is \aleph_0 -saturated, and $(n_i : i \in \mathbf{N})$ is a sequence in \mathcal{N} . Want to find $(m_i : i \in \mathbf{N})$ in \mathcal{M} "of the same type as (n_i) " i.e. $\text{tp}(n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}) = \text{tp}(m_0, \dots, m_{k-1})$ for all k (equivalently : they satisfy the same formulas).

We do this by the same inductive argument as earlier.

In the general case, we only have $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$. We have seen that there exists an ultrapower $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$ and an elementary embedding $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$. In this case we may replace \mathcal{N} with $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$ (recall that $\mathcal{N} \preceq \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$) and \mathcal{M} with its image in $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$, and we have reduced to the previous case. [What we really use here is that we can embed both \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} elementarily in some third structure, so we can replace \mathcal{N} with that structure.]

Exercice 3 (Critère du va-et-vient). 1. Montrer qu'une théorie T élimine les quantificateurs si et seulement si pour tous deux modèles \aleph_0 -saturés \mathcal{M} et \mathcal{N} de T , dès lors qu'ils admettent au moins un isomorphisme partiel (non vide), la famille de tous les isomorphismes partiels finis entre \mathcal{M} et \mathcal{N} forme un va-et-vient.

2. Montrer qu'une théorie complète T élimine les quantificateurs si et seulement si pour tous deux modèles \aleph_0 -saturés \mathcal{M} et \mathcal{N} de T , la famille de tous les isomorphismes partiels finis entre \mathcal{M} et \mathcal{N} forme un va-et-vient.

Sufficient : by what we did last time + every structure admits an \aleph_0 -saturated elementary extension.

Necessary : Assume T has QE, $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \models T$ are \aleph_0 -saturated. We need to show that any finite partial isomorphism between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} can be extended to one more element. Let f be a finite partial isomorphism. So it is $\bar{a} \mapsto \bar{b}$ for $\bar{a} \in M^n$ and $\bar{b} \in N^n$, and \bar{a} and \bar{b} satisfy the same qf formulas. By QE : $\text{tp}(\bar{a}) = \text{tp}(\bar{b})$. Let $c \in M$. We apply the same "change of parameters" idea : Let $p(x, \bar{y}) = \text{tp}(c, \bar{a})$. Then $p(x, \bar{a}) = \text{tp}(c, \bar{a}) \in S_1(\bar{a})$, and since $\text{tp}(\bar{a}) = \text{tp}(\bar{b})$: $p(x, \bar{b}) \in S_1(\bar{b})$.

Since \mathcal{N} is \aleph_0 -saturated, $p(x, \bar{b})$ is realised in \mathcal{N} , say by d , and now $\text{tp}(d, \bar{b}) = p = \text{tp}(c, \bar{a})$. In particular (c, \bar{a}) and (d, \bar{b}) satisfy the same qf formulas so the map sending $(c, \bar{a}) \mapsto (d, \bar{b})$ is a finite partial isomorphism extending f . So we have back-and-forth.

If T is incomplete, it may be that there are no finite partial isomorphisms (of non-empty domain), so we need the extra condition. If T is complete, choose any $a \in \mathcal{M}$, and by the exercise, there exists $b \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\text{tp}(a) = \text{tp}(b)$, so a non-empty finite partial isomorphism always exists (e.g., $a \mapsto b$).

Exercice 4. Soit le langage $L = \{<, c_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ où $<$ est une relation binaire et les c_i sont des constantes. Soit T la théorie des ordres totaux denses sans extrémité telle que pour tout $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $c_i < c_{i+1}$.

1. Soit \mathcal{M} un modèle \aleph_0 -saturé de T . Montrer que l'ensemble A des éléments majorants tous les c_i n'a pas de plus petit élément.
2. Montrer que T élimine les quantificateurs.
Indication : on peut le faire directement, ou faire une réduction vers un résultat déjà connu.
3. En déduire que T est complète et élimine les quantificateurs.
4. Construire un modèle dénombrable de T qui contient un plus petit majorant de la suite (c_i) .
5. Montrer que T a exactement trois modèles dénombrables non isomorphes.
6. Montrer qu'il y a deux des modèles dénombrables non isomorphes qui se plongent l'un dans l'autre et vice versa.

Un ultrafiltre \mathcal{U} sur I est dit \aleph_1 -incomplet s'il existe une famille dénombrable de $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ telle que $\bigcap J_n \notin \mathcal{U}$.

- Il est facile de vérifier qu'un ultrafiltre sur \mathbf{N} est soit principal, soit \aleph_1 -incomplet.
- Plus généralement, tous les ultrafiltres que nous avons construits sont \aleph_1 -incomplets (vérifiez !)
- Encore plus fort : si ZFC est consistant, alors ZFC + "tout ultrafiltre non principal est \aleph_1 -incomplet" est consistant aussi (mais pour cela, il faut travailler un peu).

Exercice 5 (Les ultraproduits sont saturés). Soit I un ensemble et \mathcal{U} un ultrafiltre \aleph_1 -incomplet sur I . Soit $(\mathcal{M}_i : i \in I)$ une famille de structures, et $\mathcal{N} = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ l'ultraproduit.

Le but de cet exercice est de montrer que pour toute famille dénombrable de formules $\pi(\bar{x})$, avec paramètres dans \mathcal{N} , si π est finiment réalisé dans \mathcal{N} alors π est réalisé dans \mathcal{N} (en d'autres mots, si pour tout $\pi_0 \subseteq \pi$ fini il existe $\bar{a} \in N$ tel que $\mathcal{N} \models \pi_0(\bar{a})$, alors il existe $\bar{a} \in N$ tel que $\mathcal{N} \models \pi(\bar{a})$).

(Une structure ayant cette propriété est appelée \aleph_1 -compacte.)

1. On peut supposer que $J_0 = I$, $J_n \supseteq J_{n+1}$, et $\bigcap J_n = \emptyset$ (et toujours $J_n \in \mathcal{U}$).
2. Considérons d'abord le cas où π est sans paramètres. On énumère $\pi = \{\varphi_n(\bar{x}) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, et pose

$$\psi_n(\bar{x}) = \bigwedge_{m < n} \varphi_m(\bar{x}).$$

Posons

$$K_n = \{i \in I : \mathcal{M}_i \models (\exists \bar{x}) \psi_n\}.$$

Montrer que $K_0 = I \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$ et $K_n \in \mathcal{U}$ pour tout n .

3. On peut supposer que $J_n \subseteq K_n$ pour tout n .
4. Pour chaque $i \in I$, choisir $\bar{a}_i \in M_i$, de sorte que

$$J_n \supseteq \{i \in I : \mathcal{M}_i \models \psi_n(\bar{a}_i)\}.$$

5. Posons $\bar{a} = [\bar{a}_i : i \in I] \in \mathbf{N}$ (que cela veut-il dire, lorsque \bar{x} est un uplet et non un singleton ?) Montrer que $\mathcal{N} \models \pi(\bar{a})$.
6. Démontrer le cas général, par réduction au cas où π n'a pas de paramètres.
7. Montrer que si L est dénombrable, alors $\mathcal{N} = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ est \aleph_1 -saturé.

Exercice 6. Soit κ un cardinal fortement inaccessible. Montrer que toute théorie complète sur un langage de cardinalité strictement inférieur à κ , qui a des modèles infinis, a un modèle κ -saturé de cardinal κ .