# SUPERGENERIX

dedicated to the memory of Eric Jaligot

poizat@math.univ-lyon1.fr

#### **SUPERGENERIX I**

## A FILTER OF LARGE SETS

#### **DEFINITIONS**

Let G be any group ; a subset X of G is - generic (or syndedic) if  $G = a_1.X \cup ... \cup$   $a_m.X$  for a certain tuple <u>a</u> of elements of G - supergeneric if any intersection  $b_1.X \cap ...$   $\cap b_n.X$  of a finite number of translates of X is generic (and supergeneric !)

- *thick* if any intersection  $b_1 X \cap ... \cap b_n X$  is non-empty, i.e. if  $\neg X$  is not generic.

#### **BASIC FACTS**

1. A set containing a generic, a supergeneric, or a thick set, is of the same kind. 2. A left or right translate of a generic, a supergeneric, or a thick set, is equally so. 3. If  $a_1 X \cup ... \cup a_m X$  is generic, so is X (does not work for supergeneric !). 4. If X is supergeneric or thick, so is  $a_1$ .X  $\cap ... \cap a_m X$  (does nor work for generic !)

5. The intersection of a generic set and a thick set is not empty.

6. The intersection of a supergeneric set and a thick set is thick.

7. Fundamental observation : the  $\cap$  tion of a generic set and a supergeneric set is generic.

8. The intersection of two supergeneric sets is supergeneric.

9. The filter of supergenerics is the  $\cap$  of all the maximal filters closed by translations.

Proof of 7: if  $G = a_1 X \cup ... \cup a_m X$ , then  $a_1 Y \cap ... \cap a_m Y \subseteq a_1 (X \cap Y) \cup ... \cup a_m (X \cap Y)$ .

Proof of 8:  $a_1.(X \cap Y) \cap ... \cap a_m.(X \cap Y) = (a_1.X \cap ... \cap a_m.X) \cap (a_1.Y \cap ... \cap a_m.Y).$ 

**Proof of 9**: if  $\Phi$  is a filter of thick sets, by 5 it generates with the supergenerics a non-trivial filter; if  $Y = a_1 X \cap ... \cap a_m X$  is not generic, any non-trivial filter closed under translations containing  $\neg Y$  does not contains X.

#### COUNTEREXAMPLES

In any infinite group, we can find two complement sets which are both generics, and two complement sets which are both non-generic (and thick !).

Neither the generic sets, nor the thick sets, nor the sets which are generic and thick, form a filter : we can find pairs of sets which are both generic and thick, but whose intersection is neither generic nor thick.

#### EXAMPLES

If G is finite, X generic  $\Leftrightarrow X \neq \emptyset$ , X thick  $\Leftrightarrow X$  supergeneric  $\Leftrightarrow X = G$ .

For infinite G, cofinite subsets are supergeneric ; but there exist many non-cofinite supergenerics. The complement of a subset of G of stricly smaller cardinal is supergeneric. H generic subgroup of G  $\Leftrightarrow$  H has finite index in G ; G is its only thick subgroup. If H is a subgroup of infinite index, its complement is supergeneric (NEUMAN 1952). If H has finite index in G, X is supergeneric in G  $\Leftrightarrow$  for each a, X  $\cap$  a.H is a translate of a supergeneric in H.

Let f be a surjective homomorphism from G onto H ; if X is supergeneric in G, f(X) is supergeneric in H ; if Y is supergeneric in H ,  $f^{1}(Y)$  is supergeneric in G. Same thing for generic or thick.

#### LEFT AND RIGHT

We have defined genericity, supergenericity and thickness in association with lefttranslations a.X ; we can consider as well right-translations X.a , and even two-sidestranslations a.X.b .

We can also consider these notions corresponding to conjugacy  $a.X.a^{-1}$ , and even to any action of G on a set E.

#### If X is left-supergeneric, it is also twosides-supergeneric.

**Proof**: Since all the right-translates X.b are left-supergeneric, so is any intersection  $a_1.X.b_1 \cap ... \cap a_n.X.b_n$ .

Ergo a left-supergeneric is right- and even two-sides-thick, but there are examples of left-supergenerics which are not rightsupergeneric.

#### PRODUCTS

Fubini Assumption : X is supergeneric in  $G \times H \Leftrightarrow$  the set of points a whose fiber  $X_a = \{ y / (a,y) \in X \}$  is supergeneric in H is itself supergeneric in G. We expect this assumption to be false in both directions.

Nevertheless, if the fiber is supergeneric for thickly many a, X is thick.

<u>Question</u> : Is it true if we assume only thickness for the fibers ? Also, if, for supergenerically many a and some fixed integer n, the fiber contains all the points except n, then X is supergeneric. But there exist non-generic (but thick !) sets whose each fiber is cofinite.

In the other direction, there exist generic sets with no generic fibers, and thick sets with no thick fibers, but supergeneric examples are wanted.

#### LOGIC

Stable context :  $\Gamma$  is a stable group and X is a definable subset of  $\Gamma$ ; in other words the structure ( $\Gamma$ ; ×, X(x)) is stable.

Substable context: G is a subgroup of a stable group  $\Gamma$  (for instance G is linear,  $\Gamma$ = GLn(K) with K an acf) and X is the  $\cap$  section with G of a definable subset of  $\Gamma$ . *The big idea* : obtain generic constraints in the substable context. In stable context, it is known since Neanderthal (Lachlan, Poizat) that :

- left = right = two-sides

- if  $X \cup Y$  is generic, then X or Y is generic, so that we have generic types

- X is generic ⇔ X contains a gen. type

- X is supergeneric  $\Leftrightarrow$  X is thick  $\Leftrightarrow$  X contains all the generic types

# - if $\Gamma$ is connected, X is supergeneric or $\neg X$ is supergeneric

- in general, to X is associated a definable subgroup  $\Delta$  of finite index, such that, for each a,  $X \cap a.\Delta$  is a translate of a supergeneric or cosupergeneric subset of  $\Delta$ 

- Fubini Assumption is valid.

It is known since Cromagnon (Wagner, Newelski) that, in a substable context, the generic types of cl(G) are finitely satisfiable in G; that, if X is a definable subset of cl(G), X is generic, or supergeneric, or thick, in  $cl(G) \Leftrightarrow X \cap G$  is the same in G. Consequently, all that we have said assuming stability is valid for substability. In particular, if cl(G) is connected,  $X \cap G$ is supergeneric or cosupergeneric in G.

#### **SUPERGENERIX II**

### UNIFORMITY

We say that X is m-generic if G is the union of m translates of X ; we say that X is *uniformly supergeneric* if, for each n , there is an integer  $\gamma(n)$  s.t. any intersection of n translates of X be  $\gamma(n)$ -generic.

If  $\gamma(n)$  is bounded by the constant m, we say that X is m-supergeneric.

**Example :** the cofinite subsets of an infinite group are 2-supergeneric.

We say that X is *parametrically super*generic if, for each n, there exists a finite subset  $A_n$  of G such that, for any intersection X' of n translates of X, G is the union of the translates of X' by the elements of  $A_n$ ; if  $X \neq G$ ,  $A_n$  must have at least n+1 points.

**<u>Example</u> : if X = G - \{b\}, we can take A\_n = \{a\_0, ..., a\_n\} where the a\_i are distinct.** 

<u>Théorème</u> 8. *The intersection of two uniformly supergeneric sets is also unifly supergeneric.* 

<u>Théorème</u> 9. *The intersection of two parametrically supergeneric sets is also paramly spg.* 

<u>Théorème</u> 10. If G is commutative, every supergeneric set is parametrically supergeneric. **Proof of 10.** Nous montrons l'existence de  $A_n$  par induction sur n . Pour n = 1, si G =  $a_1 X \cup ... \cup a_m X$ , on a aussi  $G = a_1.a.X \cup ... \cup a_m.a.X$  pour n'importe quel a, puisque G est commutatif, et on pose  $A_1 = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ . Supposons  $A_n = \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$  déjà construit, et considérons  $X' = b_1 \cdot X \cap \ldots \cap b_n \cdot X$ ; l'intersection Y des  $a_i \cdot X$ est contenue dans la réunion des  $a_i(X \cap X')$ ; comme Y est générique, on trouve  $c_1$ , ...  $c_k$  tel que G soit la réunion des c<sub>i</sub>.Y, et alors G est la réunion des  $c_i.a_i.(X \cap X')$ ; comme on peut tout translater par un quelconque a , vu la commutativité de G , on peut prendre pour  $A_{n+1}$  les produits  $c_i.a_i$ . End

There exist supergeneric sets which are not parametrically supergeneric. But :

<u>Questions</u>. Existence of non-uniformly supergeneric sets ? of uniformly supergeneric set which are not m-supergeneric for a certain integer m ? of parametrically supergeneric sets whose number of elements in A<sub>n</sub> cannot be bounded by a linear function of n ?

 $X \subseteq G$  is said *largely generic* if, for some n, the n-tuples y such that  $y_1 X \cup ... \cup$  $y_n X = G$  form a supergeneric subset of  $G^n$ . X is very largely generic if to each n is associated  $\lambda(n)$  such that the  $\lambda(n)$ -tuples y such that  $y_1.X' \cup ... \cup y_{\lambda(n)}.X' = G$  for every intersection X' of n translates of X form supergeneric subset of  $G^{\lambda(n)}$ .

Vlg  $\Rightarrow$  param. supergeneric ; the intersection of two vlg is so. But : <u>Questions</u>. Supergeneric  $\Leftrightarrow$  largely generic ? Is the intersection of two largely generic sets always largely generic ?

In a stable or substable context, a supergeneric set is very largely generic with a linear function  $\lambda(n)$ ; if  $\Gamma$  has finite Morley (or Lascar) rank d,  $\lambda(n) = (n+1).d + 1$ .

**Proof in finite Morley rank context. We can assume that**  $\Gamma$  is saturated. Consider in it a sequence  $e_1, \dots e_{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda(n)$ = (n+1).d + 1 points which are generic and independent over the parameters defining X ; for any  $a, b_1, \dots, b_n$  in  $\Gamma$ , one of them, say  $e_i$ , remains generic over  $\{a, b_1, ..., a_n\}$  $b_n$ , so that the generic point  $e_i^{-1}$  belongs to  $b_1 X \cap ...$  $\cap$  b<sub>n</sub>.X, since this set is supergeneric; in consequence  $\Gamma =$  $e_1.(b_1.X \cap ... \cap b_n.X) \cup ... \cup e_{\lambda(n)}.(b_1.X \cap ... \cap b_n.X)$ and the  $\lambda$ -tuples y such that  $G = y_1 \cdot Y \cup \ldots \cup y_{\lambda} \cdot Y$  for any intersection Y of n translates of X form a definable subsets of  $G^{\lambda}$  which contains all its generic types. <u>End</u>

#### **SUPERGENERIX III**

## SOME CONCRETE SITUATIONS

#### LINEAR GROUPS

### G is a subgroup of GLn(K), for K acf. X is a definable subset of cl(G) ⇔ X is constructible, i.e. a boolean combination of a finite number of Zariski-closed sets.

If cl(G) is connected, X contains a nonempty open set or is contained in a proper closed subset ; in the first case, X is supergeneric in cl(G) and  $X \cap G$  is supergeneric in G. Otherwise, consider  $G \cap cl(G)^{\circ}$ .

#### **SLOW GENERATORS**

A generating subset Y of G is *fast* if, for some s, every point in G can be expressed as a product of less than s elements in  $Y \cup Y^{-1}$ ; if not, it is *slow*.

If G is commutative, the complement of a slow generating set is vlg, with  $\lambda(n) = n+2$ .

Every gen. set of the group of permutations of an infinite set is fast ; no countable group is known with this property.

#### **THE INTEGERS**

 $X \subseteq Z$  is generic  $\Leftrightarrow Z = 1+X \cup 2+X \cup ...$ n+X for large enough n.

X is supergeneric  $\Leftrightarrow$  for each n, there is  $\chi(n)$  such that any interval of length  $\chi(n)$  contain n consecutive elements all in X.

X is thick  $\Leftrightarrow$  for each n, X contains an interval of length n.

We say that Y is m-*spaced* if, for each n, there is only a finite nb of segments of length n containing more than m points in Y.

<u>Théorème</u> 19. *The complement of a* m-spaced set is (2m+2)-supergeneric.

<u>Théorème</u> 20. For each m, there exists a mspaced set whose complement is not mgeneric. The set of squares is 2-spaced ; it is a fast generating set since any integer can be written  $x^2 + (y+1)^2 - y^2$ .

The set of powers of 2 is slow.

<u>Théorème</u> 22. The structure formed by the additive group of the integers and a predicate  $\Pi$  interpreting the  $2^n$  is superstable with Lascar rank  $\omega$ .

The additive group of the rationals equipped with  $\Pi$  is  $\omega$ -stable with Morley rank  $\omega$ .

<u>Question</u>. Is a supergeneric subset of (Z,+), which is the intersection with Z of a definable subset of an overgroup of Z of finite Morley rank, necessarily cofinite ?

<u>Anxious question</u>. *True and well-known when we embed* Z *in* GLn(K) ?

#### **PRÜFER GROUPS**

 $P = Z(p^{\infty})$  is the divisible closure of Z/pZ, where p is a prime number; it is the union of a sequence of cyclic groups  $P_0 \subset P_1 \subset ... \subset$  $P_n \subset ..., P_n$  having  $p^n$  elements; as a group, it is a strongly minimal structure.

If P is embedded in a stable group, cl(P) is divisible, and therefore connected, and the traces on P of the definable sets are supergeneric or cosupergeneric. X is generic  $\Leftrightarrow$  there exists n such that each coset modulo  $P_n$  intersect X.

X is supergeneric  $\Leftrightarrow$  for each n there exists  $\varphi(n)$  such that each coset modulo  $P_{\varphi(n)}$  have a point whose full coset modulo  $P_n$ be included in X.

X is thick  $\Leftrightarrow$  for each n there exists a point whose full coset modulo  $P_n$  be included in X.

X is m-*spaced* if , for each n except a finite number, there is no more than m points in each coset modulo  $P_n$ .

<u>Théorème</u> 23. *The complement of a m-spaced set is* (2m+2)-*supergeneric*.

Any sequence  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ , ...,  $a_n$ , ..., such that, for each n,  $a_n$  be in  $P_n - P_{n-1}$ , and  $a_{n+1}^p = a_n$  forms a slow and 1-spaced generating set. Such a sequence is unique up to isomorphy. <u>Théorème</u> 24. The structure formed by the Prüfer group and a predicate interpreting this sequence is  $\omega$ -stable of Morley rank  $\omega$ .

<u>Remark</u>. If X is infinite and (P; ×, X(x)) is of finite RM, X is a fast generating set.

Question. Is the intersection with P of a definable subset of an overgroup of P of finite RM necessarily finite or cofinite?

This is true and when we embed P in GLn(K) (Gramain-Poizat).

#### **GROUPES LIBRES**

L'article se conclut par quelques observations préselayennes sur les groupes libres, et les groupes libres commutatifs, à une infinité de générateurs, dans le langage des groupes augmenté, pour chaque n , d'un symbole  $I_n(x_1, ..., x_n)$  interprétant l'orbite des n-uplets de la suite génératrice.

Il demande si ce sont les seuls modèles positivement existentiellement clos de leur théorie hom-inductive dans ce langage, et demande aussi comment on doit définir généricité et supergénéricité dans un groupe en Logique positive.

#### REFERENCES

BEN YAACOV & POIZAT 2007 Itaï Ben Yaacov et Bruno Poizat, Fondements de la Logique positive, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 72, 1141-1162

BERGMAN 2006 George M. Bergman, Generating infinite symmetric groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 38, 1-12

GOODE 1997 John B. Goode, HLM (Hrushovski-Lang-Mordell), *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Astérisque 241, 179-194.

NEUMANN 1952 B.H. Neumann, A note on algebraically closed groups, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 27, 247-249

**NEWELSKI 1991** Ludomir Newelski, On type definable subgroups of a stable group, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, Vol. 32, 173-187

POIZAT 1981 Bruno Poizat, Sous-groupes définissables d'un groupe stable, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 46, 137-146

POIZAT 1981a Logic, 46, 513-522 Id., Théories instables, The Journal of Symbolic

POIZAT 1985 Id., *Cours de Théorie des Modèles*, Nur al-Mantiq wal-Ma'rifah, Villeurbanne

POIZAT 1987Id., Groupes Stables, Nur al-Mantiq wal-Ma'rifah,Villeurbanne

POIZAT 1993 Id., Le groupe libre est-il stable ? Seminarberichte 93-1, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, 169-176

POIZAT 2013 Id., Groupes linéaires de rang de Morley fini, Journal des Sciences Mathématiques du Québec

POIZAT 2014Id., Supergénérix, Jounal of AlgebraSELA 2013Zlil Sela, Diophantine geometry over groups VIII :<br/>Stability, Annals of Mathematics, 177, 787-868

WAGNER 1990 Frank O. Wagner, Subgroups of stable groups, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 55, 151-156

WAGNER 2003 Id., Bad fields in positive characteristic, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 35, 499-502