

Errata of the book
Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators
Springer, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften
Vol. 348 (2013)

Dominique Bakry, Ivan Gentil and Michel Ledoux

March 25, 2022

- Page 30, line 8 : instead of $\int (LP_t)^2 d\mu$ read $\int (LP_t f)^2 d\mu$, thanks to Kevin Tanguy.

- Page 35, line 10 : instead of

$$\sum_{y \in E} \mu(x) L(x, y) = 0$$

read

$$\sum_{x \in E} \mu(x) L(x, y) = 0,$$

thanks to Michał Strzelecki.

- Page 36, line 4 : instead of \hat{L} read K , thanks again to Michał Strzelecki.
- Page 39, line -1 : instead of $F(x, T)$ read $F(T, x)$, thanks to Michał Strzelecki.
- Page 42, line -4 : the matrix \mathbf{g} is supposed to be also definite-positive, thanks to Michał Strzelecki.
- Page 49, line -13 : instead of $g = \psi'(f)$ read $g = \psi(f)$, thanks to Michał Strzelecki.
- Page 79, line -5 : instead of

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\partial_{ij} f)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i^2 f \right)^2$$

read

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\partial_{ij}^2 f)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{ii}^2 f \right)^2,$$

thanks to Michał Strzelecki.

- Page 90, line 11 : instead of

$$k_3(t, d) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} \frac{d}{\sinh(d)} \exp\left(-t - \frac{d^2}{4t}\right)$$

read (add a ())

$$k_3(t, d) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \frac{d}{\sinh(d)} \exp\left(-t - \frac{d^2}{4t}\right)$$

- Page 129, line -1 : instead of

$$\Gamma(f)(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2t_k} P_{t_k}(f^2)(x) - P_{t_k}(f)(x)^2 \right)$$

read

$$\Gamma(f)(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t_k} \left(P_{t_k}(f^2)(x) - P_{t_k}(f)^2(x) \right)$$

thanks to Michał Strzelecki.

- Page 152, line -7 : Item (iii) has to be understood as follows, for any functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function (\mathcal{C}^∞), then $\Psi(f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathcal{A}$.
- Page 156, line 17 : instead of $f - g$ read $f + g$ (three times), thanks to Michał Strzelecki.
- Page 158, line 13 : instead of

$$H(f)(g, h) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Gamma(g, \Gamma(f, h)) + \Gamma(h, \Gamma(f, g)) - \Gamma(f, \Gamma(g, h)) \right].$$

read (add a ())

$$H(f)(g, h) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Gamma(g, \Gamma(f, h)) + \Gamma(h, \Gamma(f, g)) - \Gamma(f, \Gamma(g, h)) \right].$$

- Page 170, line -6: remove $L^*(f)$ at the beginning of the formula.
- Page 200, line -8 to the end of the page. Replace the paragraph by the following:

The second set (ii) of inequalities, without any boundary condition, appears as a consequence of (iii) by symmetrization and periodization (for $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary, define $g : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in [0, +1]$, $g(x) = f(-x)$ for $x \in [-1, 0]$, and apply (iii) to g on the interval $[-1, +1]$ after re-scaling).

Finally (i) is a consequence of (iii) by anti-symmetrization and periodization. For $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, define $g : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in [0, +1]$, $g(x) = -f(-x)$ for $x \in [-1, 0]$. Then

$$\int_{[0,1]} f^2 dx = \int_{[-1,1]} g^2 \frac{dx}{2} - \left(\int_{[-1,1]} g \frac{dx}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{[-1,1]} g'^2 \frac{dx}{2} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{[0,1]} f'^2 dx,$$

where (iii) has been applied to the probability measure $1_{[-1,1]} \frac{dx}{2}$ with the optimal constant $1/\pi^2$. The function $f(x) = \sin(\pi x)$ is an optimal function from a direct computation.

- Page 201, line -7 : instead of

$$\int_K (f_\ell^2 - \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_K f_\ell d\mu)^2 d\mu,$$

read

$$\int_K (f_\ell - \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_K f_\ell d\mu)^2 d\mu,$$

(thanks to Arnak Dalalyan).

- Page 205, Proposition 4.6.4 : instead of

$$C_{K \cup L} \leq \frac{\mu(K \cap L)}{\mu(K \cup L)} \max(C_K, C_L),$$

read

$$C_{K \cup L} \leq 2 \frac{\mu(K \cap L)}{\mu(K \cup L)} \max(C_K, C_L),$$

(thanks to Michał Strzelecki).

- Page 240, line -1 : instead of $s = \int_E f d\mu$ read $s = f$, thanks to Michał Strzelecki.
- Page 249, Proposition 5.2.7 : instead of $\mathbb{E}_1, \mathbb{E}_2$ read E_1, E_2 .
- Page 251, formula (5.3.2), read $(q-1)^{k/2}$ instead of $(q-1)^k$, thanks to Max Fathi.
- Page 263, line 11 : instead of $\Lambda^{q-1}(s)$ in the LHS, read

$$\frac{q^2}{q'} \Lambda^{q-1}(s) \Lambda'(s),$$

moreover the function q is decreasing, thanks to Michał Strzelecki.

- Page 267, line -7 : instead of

$$\frac{d(x, y)}{2t}$$

in the RHS, read

$$\frac{d(x, y)}{2\sqrt{t}},$$

thanks to Michał Strzelecki.

- Page 298, line -8: instead of

$$P_t(f \log f) - P_t f \log P_t f \leq t \Delta P_t f + \frac{n}{2} \log(1 - \frac{2t P_t(f \Delta(\log f))}{n P_t f}),$$

read

$$P_t(f \log f) - P_t f \log P_t f \leq t \Delta P_t f + \frac{n}{2} P_t f \log(1 - \frac{2t P_t(f \Delta(\log f))}{n P_t f}).$$

- Page 298. The proof of Theorem 6.7.3 can be simplified as follows.

Let f be a nonnegative function and let, as usual, for $s \in [0, t]$

$$\Lambda(s) = P_s(P_{t-s}f \log P_{t-s}f).$$

As already observed,

$$\Lambda'(s) = P_s(P_{t-s}f \Gamma(\log P_{t-s}f)),$$

$$\Lambda''(s) = 2P_s(P_{t-s}f \Gamma_2(\log P_{t-s}f))$$

and the $CD(0, n)$ condition yields the inequality (6.7.6) page 300,

$$\Lambda''(s) \geq \frac{2}{nP_{t}f} [LP_{t}f - \Lambda'(s)]^2.$$

Now, letting $\varphi(s) = \Lambda(s) - sLP_{t}f$, the previous inequality can be reformulated as,

$$\varphi''(s) \geq \frac{2}{nP_{t}f} (\varphi'(s))^2, \quad s \in [0, t].$$

In other words, the map

$$[0, t] \ni s \mapsto \exp\left(-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}\varphi(s)\right)$$

is concave.

Then the two inequalities hold true:

$$-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}\varphi'(t) \exp\left(-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}\varphi(t)\right) \leq \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}\varphi(t)\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}\varphi(0)\right)}{t} \leq -\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}\varphi'(0) \exp\left(-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}\varphi(0)\right).$$

The first inequality can be written as

$$P_t \left(\frac{\Gamma(f)}{f} \right) - LP_{t}f + \frac{n}{2t}P_{t}f \geq \frac{n}{2t}P_{t}f \exp\left(-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}(\varphi(0) - \varphi(t))\right),$$

which is a reformulation of inequality (6.7.4), and the second one can be written as

$$-\frac{\Gamma(P_{t}f)}{P_{t}f} + LP_{t}f + \frac{n}{2t}P_{t}f \geq \frac{n}{2t}P_{t}f \exp\left(-\frac{2}{nP_{t}f}(\varphi(t) - \varphi(0))\right),$$

which is a reformulation of inequality (6.7.5). We recover the Li-Yau inequality since the exponential is positive.

- Page 301, line 11 : instead of

$$\Lambda''(s) \geq \frac{2[LP_{t}f - \Lambda'(s)]^2}{nP_{t}f} + \rho\Lambda'(s),$$

read

$$\Lambda''(s) \geq \frac{2[LP_{t}f - \Lambda'(s)]^2}{nP_{t}f} + 2\rho\Lambda'(s).$$

- Page 308, additional information on Theorem 6.8.3. For all the computations explained on page 309, the extremal function f has to satisfy some properties.

It is proved that f is bounded from above and below (by a strictly positive constant). From the equation satisfied by f , we know that Lf is also bounded. To apply the various integration by parts formula, we need to prove that for any constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^a \in \mathcal{D}(L)$. One way to prove it is to show that $\Gamma(f)$ is a bounded function.

From the first formula page 312, we have

$$f = \frac{1}{C} R_\lambda(f^{q-1}),$$

which implies that

$$\sqrt{\Gamma(f)} \leq \frac{1}{C} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \sqrt{\Gamma(P_t(f^{q-1}))} dt.$$

Now, since the model satisfies the $CD(0, \infty)$ condition and f^{q-1} is a bounded function, Inequality 4.7.7 page 211 implies that

$$\sqrt{\Gamma(P_t(f^{q-1}))} \leq \frac{\|f^{q-1}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad t > 0.$$

The two previous inequalities imply that $\Gamma(f)$ is a bounded function.

- Page 315, formula (6.9.2) : instead of \hat{L} , read $\hat{L}(f)$.
- Page 317, line 12: instead of $\nabla W(f)$, read $\Gamma(W, f)$.
- Page 318, line 13: instead of μ , read $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
- Page 321, Proposition 6.9.6. The proposition and the proof have to be replaced by the following.

Proposition 6.9.6 *Let $d\mu = e^{-W} d\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$S_\alpha(\mu, \Gamma) = \gamma_n(\alpha)[sc_{\mathfrak{g}} - \alpha \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} W + \beta_n(\alpha) \Gamma(W)]$$

is n -conformal invariant where

$$\beta_n(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha(n - 2n_0 + 2) - 2(n_0 - 1)}{2(n - n_0)}$$

and

$$\gamma_n(\alpha) = \frac{n - 2}{4(n_0 - 1) - 2\alpha(n - n_0)}.$$

Proof

\triangleleft It is enough to check that $S_\alpha(\mu, \Gamma)$ satisfies the condition (6.9.1). The measure μ is transformed to $\hat{\mu} = c^{-n} \mu$, and Γ to $\hat{\Gamma} = c^2 \Gamma$. From the previous computations, $sc_{\mathfrak{g}}$ becomes

$$\hat{sc}_{\mathfrak{g}} = c^2[sc_{\mathfrak{g}} + (n_0 - 1)(2\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \tau - (n_0 - 2)\Gamma(\tau))],$$

$W = -\log \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ becomes

$$\hat{W} = -\log \frac{d\hat{\mu}}{d\hat{\mu}_{\mathfrak{g}}} = -\log \frac{c^{-n}d\mu}{c^{-n_0}d\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}} = -\log c^{n_0-n} \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}} = W + (n - n_0)\tau,$$

and finally, $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ becomes

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{g}} = c^2[\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} - (n_0 - 2)\Gamma(\tau, \cdot)].$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha}(c^{-n}\mu, c^2\Gamma) &= c^2\gamma_n(\alpha) \left[sc_{\mathfrak{g}} + [2(n_0 - 1) - \alpha(n - n_0)]\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau) \right. \\ &\quad + [\beta_n(\alpha)(n - n_0)^2 - (n_0 - 1)(n_0 - 2) + \alpha(n_0 - 2)(n - n_0)]\Gamma(\tau) \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}(W) + [\alpha(n_0 - 2) + 2\beta_n(\alpha)(n - n_0)]\Gamma(\tau, W) + \beta_n(\alpha)\Gamma(W) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It has to be equal to

$$c^2 \left[\gamma_n(\alpha)[sc_{\mathfrak{g}} - \alpha\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}(W) + \beta_n(\alpha)\Gamma(W)] + \frac{n-2}{2} \left(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau) - \Gamma(W, \tau) - \frac{n-2}{2}\Gamma(\tau) \right) \right].$$

On can check the values of $\gamma_n(\alpha)$ and $\alpha_n(\alpha)$ proposed do the job. \triangleright

- Page 338, line -1: instead of $I(u)$, read $I_{\mu, F}(u)$.
- Page 364, line -6: The sentence starting by *In the finite measure case...* is not correct. It has to be replaced by the following one : In the finite measure case, the tight Nash inequality (3.2.3), p. 281, corresponds to a function Φ which is the inverse function of $(1, +\infty) \ni x \mapsto (x^{1+2/n} - x)/C$.
- Page 372, line -5: instead of $e^{-C/t}$, read $e^{-t/C}$.
- Page 373, line -13: instead of $w(x) = p(x)^{1/2}(1+x^2)^{-\beta}$, read $w(x) = p(x)^{-1/2}(1+x^2)^{-\beta}$ (thanks to Persi Diaconis).
- Page 425, Theorem 8.6.3: the set A_{d_t} should be here the d_t -closed neighborhood of A instead of the open one ($A_{d_t} = \{x \in E; d(x, A) \leq d_t\}$ instead of $A_{d_t} = \{x \in E; d(x, A) < d_t\}$).
- Page 448, line -7: (the line before formula (9.3.5)) the integration is w.r.t. the measure $u^{1-1/n}dx$ instead of udx (thanks to Emanuel Milman).
- Page 464, formula (9.7.4) should be

$$W_2^2(P_t f\mu, P_t g\mu) \leq W_2^2(f\mu, g\mu) + 2n(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2,$$

thanks to Luigia Ripani.

- Page 516, in the formula (C.6.5) the last term should be

$$H(f_i)(f_j, f_l)$$

instead of

$$H(f_i)(f_i, f_l)$$

thanks to François Bolley.

- Page 514, line -2: instead of wrapped product, read, of course, warped products !