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Rewriting theory has proven to be very useful to study

- monoids (and groups)
- term algebras
- $n$-categories?

> Can we generalize it
> to higher dimensions?

In this talk, I will be interested in extending the procedures of unification in dimension 2.
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A rewriting system consists of

- a set of terms generated by a free construction:
- free monoid: string rewriting systems
- free term algebra: term rewriting systems
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## REWRITING SYSTEMS

A rewriting system consists of

- a set of terms generated by a free construction:
- free monoid: string rewriting systems
- free term algebra: term rewriting systems
- a set of rewriting rules: $r: t \rightarrow u$

A term $t$ rewrites to a term $t^{\prime}$ when there exists

- a rule $r: u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$
- a context $C$ such that $t=C[u]$ and $t^{\prime}=C\left[u^{\prime}\right]$

Example

$$
\Sigma=\{a, b\} \quad \text { terms }=\Sigma^{*} \quad \text { rules }=\{b a \rightarrow a b\}
$$

$$
\text { aabaab } \xrightarrow{\text { aarab }} \text { aaabab }
$$

## CONVERGENT REWRITING SYSTEMS

- A rewriting system can be terminating when there is no infinite reduction path
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## CONVERGENT REWRITING SYSTEMS

- A rewriting system can be terminating
- A rewriting can be confluent when

- A rewriting system is convergent when both terminating and confluent

In a convergent rewriting system, every term has a normal form: canonical representative of terms modulo rewriting.

Why
are those properties
interesting?

## PRESENTATIONS OF MONOIDS

A presentation

$$
\langle G \mid R\rangle
$$

of a monoid $M$ consists of

- a set $G$ of generators
- a set $R \subseteq G^{*} \times G^{*}$ of relations
such that

$$
M \cong \quad G^{*} / \equiv_{R}
$$

Example

- $\mathbb{N} \cong\langle a \mid\rangle$
- $\mathbb{N} / 2 \mathbb{N} \cong\langle a \mid a a=1\rangle$
- $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \cong\langle a, b \mid b a=a b\rangle$
- $\mathfrak{S}_{n} \cong\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n} \mid \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i}^{2}=1, \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}\right\rangle$
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## PRESENTATIONS OF MONOIDS

How do we show that $M \cong\langle G \mid R\rangle$ i.e. $M \cong G^{*} / \equiv_{R}$ ?

1. Orient $R$ to get a string rewriting system.
2. Show that the rewriting system is terminating.
3. Show that the rewriting system is confluent.
4. Show that the normal forms are in bijection with $M$.

Example $\mathbb{N} \times(\mathbb{N} / 2 \mathbb{N}) \stackrel{?}{\cong}\langle a, b \mid b a \rightarrow a b, b b \rightarrow 1\rangle$
Normal forms are:

$$
a^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad a^{n} b
$$

They are in bijection with $\mathbb{N} \times(\mathbb{N} / 2 \mathbb{N})$ !

> How do we show
> that a rewriting system is confluent?
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## CRITICAL PAIRS

Given a terminating rewriting system the following are equivalent:

1. the rewriting system is confluent
2. the rewriting system is locally confluent
3. all the critical pairs are joinable
i.e. the property above is satisfied for all minimal $t$

Example $\mathbb{N} \times(\mathbb{N} / 2 \mathbb{N}) \stackrel{?}{\cong}\langle a, b \mid b a \rightarrow a b, b b \rightarrow 1\rangle$
Critical pairs are joinable:

string rs $=$ presentation of a monoid term rs $=$ presentation of ?

## TERM REWRITING SYSTEMS

- A signature ( $\Sigma, \alpha$ ) consists of
- a set $\Sigma$ of generators
- an arity function $\alpha: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- Terms are elements of the free algebra $\Sigma^{*}$ over this signature


## Example

The TRS of commutative monoids: $\Sigma=\{m: 2, e: 0\}$

$$
R=\left\{\begin{array}{lrl}
\alpha: & m(m(x, y), z) & \rightarrow m(x, m(y, z)) \\
\lambda: & m(e, x) & \rightarrow x \\
\rho: & m(x, e) \rightarrow x \\
\gamma: & m(x, y) \rightarrow m(y, x)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

# PRESENTATIONS OF LAWVERE THEORIES 

String rewriting systems correspond to presentations of monoids.
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## Example

Consider the TRS of commutative monoids: $\Sigma=\{m: 2, e: 0\}$

It presents the Lawvere theory whose morphisms $M: m \rightarrow n$ are $(m \times n)$-matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$.
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## PRESENTATIONS OF LAWVERE THEORIES

A Lawvere theory is a category $\mathcal{C}$

- whose objects are integers
- which is cartesian
- whose cartesian product is given on objects by addition

TRS $((\Sigma, \alpha), R)$ induce a LT whose morphisms $m \rightarrow n$ are $n$-uples of terms with variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$, considered modulo relations.
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## Example

Consider the TRS of commutative monoids: $\Sigma=\{m: 2, e: 0\}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[m\left(m\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right), x_{2}\right) ; e ; x_{2}\right]} \\
{\left[m\left(x_{1}, m\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) ; e ; m\left(e, x_{2}\right)\right]}
\end{array}: 2 \rightarrow 3 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Use rewriting theory!

Can we use the same techniques in order to build presentations of $n$-categories?

# POLYGRAPHS 

[Street76,Burroni93,Power90]

## PRESENTING $\boldsymbol{n}$-CATEGORIES

We want to generalize rewriting systems

| dimension | rewr. syst. | presents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | string | monoid |

$$
\xrightarrow{a} \xrightarrow{b} \xrightarrow{c}
$$
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## PRESENTING $n$-CATEGORIES

We want to generalize rewriting systems

| dimension | rewr. syst. | presents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | element | 0-category |
| 1 | string | 1-category |
| 2 | term | 2-category |

monoidal category $=$ 2-category with only one object

Generalization:

$\leadsto$


## POLYGRAPHS

## A 0-signature

$$
\Sigma_{0}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { signature } \\
& x \quad y
\end{aligned}
$$

## POLYGRAPHS

A 0-rewriting system


Example
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## POLYGRAPHS

A 1-signature generates a category

$$
\Sigma_{0} \underset{t_{0}^{*}}{\stackrel{s_{0}}{s_{0}} \Sigma_{s_{0}^{*} t_{0}}^{i_{1}} \downarrow} \sum_{1}^{\Sigma_{1}}
$$

## Example

 signature$$
x \xrightarrow{a} y \bigcap_{2}^{b} x \xrightarrow{a} y \xrightarrow{b} y \xrightarrow{b} y
$$

## POLYGRAPHS

A 1-rewriting system

such that $s_{0}^{*} \circ s_{1}=s_{0}^{*} \circ t_{1}$ and $t_{0}^{*} \circ s_{1}=t_{0}^{*} \circ t_{1}$
Example signature
terms
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## POLYGRAPHS

A 2-signature generates a 2-category

such that $s_{0}^{*} \circ s_{1}=s_{0}^{*} \circ t_{1}$ and $t_{0}^{*} \circ s_{1}=t_{0}^{*} \circ t_{1}$
Example

> signature


## POLYGRAPHS

## A 2-rewriting system


such that $s_{1}^{*} \circ s_{2}=s_{1}^{*} \circ t_{2}$ and $t_{1}^{*} \circ s_{2}=t_{1}^{*} \circ t_{2}$
Example
signature

rules


## POLYGRAPHS

A 2-rewriting system


Right notion of n-rewriting system: n-polygraphs.

# An example: a presentation of $\mathbf{B i j}$ 

[Lafont03]

## A PRESENTATION OF Bij

The category Bij has

- objects are integers $[n]=\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$
- morphisms $f:[m] \rightarrow[n]$ are bijections
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## A PRESENTATION OF Bij

The category Bij has

- objects are integers $[n]=\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$
- morphisms $f:[m] \rightarrow[n]$ are bijections


Horizontal composition $\otimes$

## A PRESENTATION OF Bij

We want to give a presentation of $\mathbf{B i j}$, i.e. describe it as

- a free category on sets of typed generators for 0 -, 1- and 2-cells
- quotiented by relations between 2-cells in the generated 2-category
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# Critical pairs are computed using a unification procedure. 

We want to extend it to 2-dimensional rewriting systems

Contrarily to term rewriting systems we can have an infinite number of critical pairs...

IDEA:
change the definition of critical pairs

# CRITICAL PAIRS IN THE MULTICATEGORY OF COMPACT CONTEXTS 

## TWO PROBLEMS WITH CRITICAL PAIRS

Consider the 2-rewriting system $\Sigma$ with
$\Sigma_{0}=\{*\} \quad \Sigma_{1}=\{1\} \quad \Sigma_{2}=\{s: 1 \rightarrow 1, d: 1 \rightarrow 3, m: 3 \rightarrow 1\}$
the generators for 2-cells are drawn respectively as


## TWO PROBLEMS WITH CRITICAL PAIRS

Consider the 2 -rewriting system $\Sigma$ with
$\Sigma_{0}=\{*\} \quad \Sigma_{1}=\{1\} \quad \Sigma_{2}=\{s: 1 \rightarrow 1, d: 1 \rightarrow 3, m: 3 \rightarrow 1\}$
the generators for 2-cells are drawn respectively as

with rules

and
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## TWO PROBLEMS WITH CRITICAL PAIRS

The two rules

induce an infinite number of critical pairs:
variables inside:
use contexts!
(= multicategory of
terms with metavariables)


## BACK TO A FINITE NUMBER OF CRITICAL PAIRS

Theorem
The 2-category of "terms" generated by a signature can be embedded into the multicategory of compact contexts.


In other words, there is a finite number of generating families of critical pairs
in those rewriting systems.

## THE MULTICATEGORY OF CONTEXTS

Consider a 2-polygraph $\Sigma$

and a family $\mathcal{X}=\left\{X_{1}: f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}: f_{n} \Rightarrow g_{n}\right\}$ of 2-globes, with $f_{i}, g_{i} \in \Sigma_{1}^{*}$ parallel 1-cells considered as formal variables
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## THE MULTICATEGORY OF CONTEXTS

Consider a 2-polygraph $\Sigma$


## Substitution

Given

- a 2-cell $\alpha: f \Rightarrow f^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma_{2}^{*}$
- and a 2-cell $\beta: g \Rightarrow g^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma_{2}\left[X: f \Rightarrow f^{\prime}\right]^{*}$
we can define
- a 2 -cell $\alpha[\beta / X]: f \Rightarrow f^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma_{2}^{*}$
which corresponds to the 2 -cell $\alpha$ where all occurrences of $X$ have been replaced by $\beta$.


## THE MULTICATEGORY OF CONTEXTS

Consider a 2-polygraph $\Sigma$


## Definition

We can thus define the multicategory of contexts $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}$ whose

- objects are globes $f \Rightarrow g$, i.e. parallel 1-cells in $\Sigma_{1}^{*}$
- operations in $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}\left(f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \Rightarrow g_{n} ; f \Rightarrow g\right)$ are 2-cells $\kappa: f \Rightarrow g$ in $\Sigma_{2}\left[X_{1}: f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}: f_{n} \Rightarrow g_{n}\right]^{*}$
- composition is given by generalizing the previous substitution


## CRITICAL PAIRS

## Definition

Suppose that we are given two 2-cells

$$
\alpha_{1}: f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{1}: f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1}
$$

in a 2-polygraph $\Sigma$. A most general unifier is


## CRITICAL PAIRS

## Definition

Suppose that we are given two 2-cells

$$
\alpha_{1}: f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{1}: f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1}
$$

in a 2-polygraph $\Sigma$. A most general unifier is a pair

$$
\kappa_{1} \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}\left(f_{1} \Rightarrow g_{1} ; f \Rightarrow g\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \kappa_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}\left(f_{2} \Rightarrow g_{2} ; f \Rightarrow g\right)
$$

of linear contexts such that

1. unifier: $\kappa_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\kappa_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$
2. minimal: if $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime} \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\kappa_{2}=\kappa_{2}^{\prime \prime} \circ \kappa_{2}^{\prime}$ where $\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}, \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a unifier then $\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{id}$ and $\kappa_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{id}$
3. overlapping: there is no binary context $\kappa$ such that $\kappa_{1}=\left(\mathrm{id}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ and $\kappa_{2}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \mathrm{id}\right)$
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## COMPACT 2-CATEGORIES

## Definition

Given a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$, a 1-cell $f: A \rightarrow B$ is left adjoint to a 1-cell $g: B \rightarrow A$, which we write

when there exists two 2-cells $\eta: A \rightarrow f \otimes g$ and $\varepsilon: g \otimes f \rightarrow B$

such that

$$
(f \otimes \varepsilon) \circ(\eta \otimes f)=f \quad \text { and } \quad(\varepsilon \otimes g) \circ(g \otimes \eta)=g
$$
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A 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ is compact when every 1-cell admits both a left and a right adjoint.

Lemma
A 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ generates a free compact 2-category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$, which is

- 0-cells: same as $\mathcal{C}$,
- 1-cells: $f^{n}$ with $f$ a 1 -cell of $\mathcal{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- 2-cells:
- $\alpha: f^{0} \Rightarrow g^{0}$ for $\alpha: f \Rightarrow g$ a 2 -cell of $\mathcal{C}$,
- $\eta_{f^{n}}: \mathrm{id} \Rightarrow f^{n-1} \otimes f^{n}$
- $\varepsilon_{f n}: f^{n} \otimes f^{n-1} \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}$
-     + equations

Theorem
A 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ embeds fully and faithfully in the free compact category it generates.

## ROTATIONS

In the free compact 2-category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$, the following Hom-sets

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(f^{n} \otimes g, h\right) \quad \cong \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(g, f^{n-1} \otimes h\right)
$$

are isomorphic:
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We call these isomorphisms rotations.

Rotations are unary operations in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}}$.

## ROTATIONS

The diagram

up to rotation!

## THE MULTICATEGORY OF COMPACT CONTEXTS

A 2-polygraph $\Sigma$ generates a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$,

- which can be embedded in the free compact 2-category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$
- and the 2-cells $\alpha: f \Rightarrow g$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ can be seen as nullary contexts in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}}(; f \Rightarrow g)$
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## Theorem

Given a 3-polygraph $R$ with underlying 2-polygraph $\Sigma$ generating a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a finite number of contexts

$$
\kappa^{i} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}}\left(f_{1}^{i} \Rightarrow g_{1}^{i}, \ldots, f_{k_{i}}^{i} \Rightarrow g_{k_{i}}^{i} ; f^{i} \Rightarrow g^{i}\right)
$$

such that

- for any nullary contexts $\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{k_{i}}$ and unary context $\kappa$ such that the composite $\kappa \circ \kappa^{i} \circ\left(\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{k_{i}}\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}}\left(; f^{\prime} \Rightarrow g^{\prime}\right)$ is of the form $\kappa_{\alpha}$, for some 2-cell $\alpha: f^{\prime} \Rightarrow g^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, the 2-cell $\alpha$ is an unifier of left members of two rewriting rules of $R$,
- and moreover any such unifier can be obtained in this way (in particular the critical pairs).
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## Remark

There is unicity up to rotations.
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## METACONFLUENCE

## Remark

When a 2-rewriting system is confluent, its critical pairs (in our generalized sense) are not necessarily confluent. But at least, we get a finite description of the critical pairs!

## NOT SHOWN HERE

- The operations in the multicategory of compact contexts can be represented in an effective way.
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- Precise formulation of the algorithm.


## NOT SHOWN HERE

- The operations in the multicategory of compact contexts can be represented in an effective way.
- Precise formulation of the algorithm.
- An implementation was realized.


## FUTURE WORKS

- Generalize techniques developed by Guiraud and Malbos to this setting
- Generalize to higher dimensions
- Towards automated tools for studying higher categories?


## THANKS!

## Any questions?

