

Introduction to model theory

Tomás Ibarlućía

Institut Camille Jordan
Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1

When topological dynamics meets model theory

Marseille, June 29th, 2015.

Overview

Model theory in 7 simple steps. (Syntax/Semantics)

1. Choose a signature σ : a list of basic symbols.
Look at σ -structures: sets and relations interpreting σ .
2. Build a language \mathcal{L} : well-formed formulas using σ .
Look at the definable sets on the structures.
3. Choose axioms (a theory, T): a set of statements from \mathcal{L} .
Restrict to models of T (how many are there?).
4. Look at consistent sets of formulas.
Finitely satisfiable conditions: types.
5. Invoke a monster (a structure realizing most types).
6. Look at definable groups and/or automorphism groups.

Overview

Model theory in 7 simple steps. (Syntax/Semantics)

1. Choose a signature σ : a list of basic symbols.
Look at σ -structures: sets and relations interpreting σ .
2. Build a language \mathcal{L} : well-formed formulas using σ .
Look at the definable sets on the structures.
3. Choose axioms (a theory, T): a set of statements from \mathcal{L} .
Restrict to models of T (how many are there?).
4. Look at consistent sets of formulas.
Finitely satisfiable conditions: types.
5. Invoke a monster (a structure realizing most types).
6. Look at definable groups and/or automorphism groups.
7. Do dynamics!

Signature

A **signature** is a list of

- ▶ **relation symbols (basic predicates)**
- ▶ and **function symbols**,

each with a prescribed **arity** (a natural number). Function symbols of arity 0 are called **constants**.

In continuous logic (CL), a **modulus of uniform continuity** is also prescribed.

Examples

- ▶ $\sigma_{\text{rings}} = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$, where $+$, $-$, \cdot are binary function symbols and 0 , 1 are constants.
- ▶ $\sigma_{\text{graphs}} = \{R\}$, where R is a binary predicate.
- ▶ $\sigma_{\text{MALG}} = \{\mu, \Delta, \cap, \cdot^c, 0, 1\}$, where μ is a 1-Lipschitz unary predicate, Δ , \cap are binary function symbols, \cdot^c is a unary function symbol and 0 , 1 are constants.

Structures

Fix a signature σ . A (classical) σ -structure M is a set (which we will also denote by M) together with interpretations for the symbols in σ :

- ▶ each n -ary basic predicate P is interpreted as a relation $P^M \subset M^n$;
- ▶ each n -ary function symbol f is interpreted as a function $f^M : M^n \rightarrow M$.

In CL: a metric σ -structure M is a **bounded complete metric space**; an n -ary predicate P is interpreted as a continuous function $P^M : M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Moreover, P^M and f^M must respect the given moduli of uniform continuity.

Examples

- ▶ Every ring or field is naturally a σ_{rings} -structure.
- ▶ A measure algebra (with the distance given by the measure of the symmetric difference) is naturally a σ_{MALG} -structure.
- ▶ Any complete bounded metric space is a structure over $\sigma = \emptyset$.

The first-order language

First-order formulas are well-formed expressions using the symbols of σ and the **logical symbols**: the equality relation, connectives, variables and quantifiers.

More formally, one starts by defining **terms**:

- ▶ every constant or variable is a term;
- ▶ if f is an n -ary function symbol and t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} are terms, then $f(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1})$ is a term.

Examples

- ▶ $x^2 + 2x - 1$ is a term in σ_{rings} (more formally, replace x^2 by $\cdot(x, x)$, 2 by $+(1, 1)$, etc).
- ▶ $x \cap y^c$ is a term in σ_{MALG} .

The first-order language

Then one defines **basic formulas**:

- ▶ if t and t' are terms, $t = t'$ is a basic formula;
- ▶ if P is an n -ary basic predicate and t is an n -tuple of terms, $P(t)$ is a basic formula.

In CL, $t = t'$ is replaced by $d(t, t')$.

Finally, the set \mathcal{L}_σ of **formulas** is given as follows:

- ▶ basic formulas are formulas;
- ▶ if φ and ψ are formulas, then so are $\varphi \wedge \psi$, $\varphi \vee \psi$, $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$, $\neg\varphi$;
- ▶ if φ is a formula and x is a variable, then $\forall x\varphi$ and $\exists x\varphi$ are formulas.

In CL, connectives are replaced by any continuous combinations $[0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Quantifiers are suprema and infima: $\sup_x \varphi$, $\inf_x \varphi$.

One also considers **forced limits** of sequences of formulas.

The first-order language

Remark: Formulas may or may not have **free** variables (i.e. not quantified). Intuitively, in the first case they express properties, in the second they express statements.

Respectively, in CL, they express functions or statements of a numerical nature.

Examples

- ▶ $x^2 + 2x - 1 = 0$ (“ x is a root of the polynomial $x^2 + 2x - 1$ ”).
- ▶ $\exists x x^2 + 2x - 1 = 0$ (“the polynomial $x^2 + 2x - 1$ has a root”).
- ▶ $\forall y_0 \forall y_1 \exists x x^2 + y_1 x + y_0 = 0$ (“every monic quadratic polynomial has a root”).
- ▶ $\frac{1}{4}(\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2)$ (the inner product in a real Hilbert space, in the language of Banach spaces).
- ▶ $\sup_x \inf_y |\mu(x \cap y) - \mu(x \cap y^c)|$ (the measure of the largest atom in a measure algebra).

Interpretation of formulas

Let φ be a σ -formula. We usually write $\varphi(x)$ to indicate that the free variables of φ are contained in x (a tuple of distinct variables).

Let M be a σ -structure and let $a \in M^{|x|}$. We write

$$\varphi^M(a)$$

for the truth value of $\varphi(x)$ on M when x is interpreted to denote the tuple a . Of course, quantifiers are interpreted as ranging over elements of M .

We omit the formal (recursive, natural) definition.

In CL, $\varphi^M(a)$ is a real number.

Satisfaction, definable sets

We write

$$M \models \varphi(a)$$

to say that $\varphi^M(a)$ is true.

A subset $D \subset M^n$ is **definable** if there is a formula $\varphi(x)$, $|x| = n$, such that

$$D = \{a \in M^n : M \models \varphi(a)\}.$$

Sometimes this set is denoted by $\varphi(M)$.

In CL one can think of truth as given by the value zero, then write

$$M \models \varphi(a)$$

to mean that $\varphi^M(a) = 0$. A function $P : M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **definable predicate** if there is a formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi^M = P$ as functions on M^n .

Definability with parameters

It is useful to admit parameters: if M is a σ -structure and $B \subset M$ is any subset, then $D \subset M^n$ is **B -definable** if there is a formula $\varphi(x, y)$ and a tuple $b \in B^m$ such that

$$D = \{a \in M^n : M \models \varphi(a, b)\}.$$

Equivalently: D is definable in the σ_B -structure M_B , where we have expanded σ to a signature σ_B with constants c_b for each $b \in B$, and M_B is just M with the obvious interpretation of this constants.

We denote the set of σ_B -formulas by $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(B)$. Thus, with a small abuse of notation, $\varphi(x, b) \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma(B)$.

Theories

A **theory** (on a given signature) is a set of statements (formulas with no free variables). A structure M is a **model** of a theory T , denoted $M \models T$, if each $\varphi \in T$ is true in M .

A theory T **implies** a statement φ if φ is true in every model of T :

$$\text{if } M \models T, \text{ then } M \models \varphi.$$

Each structure M induces a theory,

$$\text{Th}(M) = \{\varphi : M \models \varphi\},$$

which is **complete** in the sense that, for every statement φ , either $T \models \varphi$ or $T \models \neg\varphi$.

Theories

Examples

- ▶ The theory of infinite sets is axiomatized by the statements

$$\varphi_n : \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_{n-1} \bigwedge_{0 \leq i < j < n} x_i \neq x_j.$$

- ▶ The usual axioms of fields can be written in the first-order language of σ_{rings} .
- ▶ By adding the (infinitely many) axioms saying that 0 is different from 1, 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1, etc, and that every monic polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ has a root, we obtain the theory of algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0, denoted by ACF_0 .
- ▶ The theory of measure algebras is also first-order axiomatizable. Moreover, we have

$$M \models \sup_x \inf_y |\mu(x \cap y) - \mu(x \cap y^c)|$$

if and only if M is atomless.

Elementary extensions

Let M and N be two σ -structures such that $M \subset N$ as sets. Then N is an **extension** of M (or M is a **substructure** of N) if we have

$$P^M(a) = P^N(a), \quad f^M(a) = f^N(a)$$

for every basic predicate P and function symbol f , and every tuple **a from M** .

In CL, M must be a metric subspace of N .

If moreover

$$\varphi^M(a) = \varphi^N(a)$$

for every $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$, then N is an **elementary extension** of M , denoted **$M \prec N$** . In particular, if $M \prec N$ then $\text{Th}(M) = \text{Th}(N)$.

E.g.: as linear orders, \mathbb{Q} is an extension of \mathbb{Z} but $\mathbb{Z} \not\prec \mathbb{Q}$. Instead, $\mathbb{Q} \prec \mathbb{R}$.

Compactness

Let $\Gamma(x)$ be a set of σ -formulas with free variables from x .

$\Gamma(x)$ is **satisfiable** if there is an x -tuple a in some σ -structure M such that

$$M \models \Gamma(a).$$

We also say that $\Gamma(x)$ is **realized** by a .

$\Gamma(x)$ is **finitely realized (in M)** if every finite $\Delta(x) \subset \Gamma(x)$ is realized (by some tuple of M).

Theorem

If $\Gamma(x)$ is finitely realized (in M) then it is satisfiable (realized in some elementary extension of M , e.g. in an ultrapower of M).

Compactness

In CL, the same definition says that $\Gamma(x)$ is **satisfiable** (or **realized in M**) if for some a in some structure (resp., in M) we have $\varphi(a) = 0$ for every $\varphi \in \Gamma(x)$.

$\Gamma(x)$ is **approximately finitely realized (in M)** if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and finitely many formulas $\varphi_i(x) \in \Gamma(x)$, $i < n$, there is a tuple a (in M) such that

$$|\varphi_i(a)| < \epsilon$$

for every $i < n$.

(*Equivalently*: the closed ideal generated by $\{\varphi^M : \varphi \in \Gamma(x)\}$ in the space of real-valued continuous bounded functions $C(M^{|x|})$ is proper.)

Theorem

If $\Gamma(x)$ is approximately finitely realized (in M) then it is satisfiable (realized in some elementary extension of M , e.g. in an ultrapower of M).

Types

Fix a σ -structure M , $B \subset M$. A (partial) type in x over B in M is a set $\pi(x) \subset \mathcal{L}_\sigma(B)$ that is (approximately) finitely realized in M . When $|x| = n$, $\pi(x)$ is also called an n -type over B .

Given an x -tuple a in M , we define the type of a over B by

$$\text{tp}(a/B) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma(B) : M \models \varphi(a)\}.$$

These are complete types: maximal for inclusion. That is, complete types over A are ultrafilters in the algebra of B -definable sets.

If $B \subset M \prec N$, then any set $\Gamma(x) \subset \mathcal{L}_\sigma(B)$ is (app.) finitely realized in M if and only if it is (app.) finitely realized in N . In particular, types over B in M or in N coincide.

By the compactness theorem, every type over $B \subset M$ is realized in some elementary extension of M .

Types, quantifier elimination

A theory has **quantifier elimination** if $\text{tp}(a/B)$ is determined by the **basic formulas** in $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(B)$ satisfied by a . Using that this is true for dense linear orders and for pure sets, we see that:

Examples

- ▶ There is only one 1-type over \emptyset in $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$, only three 2-types over \emptyset , etc: a type over \emptyset is determined by the order isomorphism type of a tuple that realizes it.
- ▶ The type $\{x \neq b : b \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the only non-realized 1-type over $B = \mathbb{N}$ in the pure set $M = \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ There are as many non-realized 1-types over $B = \mathbb{Q}$ in $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ as there are partitions $\mathbb{Q} = C \sqcup D$ with $c < d$ for every $c \in C$, $d \in D$.

Space of types

Fix $B \subset M$ as before. We denote by $S_x(B)$ the space of all complete types over B in the variable x , or alternatively $S_n(B)$ if $|x| = n$. It is a compact Hausdorff totally disconnected space with basic clopen sets

$$[\varphi] = \{p \in S_x(B) : \varphi \in p\}$$

for each $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma(B)$.

In CL, the space of complete types $S_x(B)$ can be seen as the maximal ideal space of the algebra of B -definable predicates on M , with its usual Gelfand topology (of course, here it need not be totally disconnected).

In other words, $S_x(B)$ is the minimal compactification of M^n through which every function φ^M ($\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma(B)$) factors.

Saturation

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. A structure M is κ -saturated if, for any $B \subset M$ of cardinality $|B| < \kappa$, every type in $S_1(B)$ is realized in M (equivalently: any n -type over B).

Examples

1. Every \aleph_0 -categorical structure is \aleph_0 -saturated.
2. A model of ACF_0 is \aleph_0 -saturated if and only if it has infinite transcendence degree.

The monster

Fix a theory T . It is usual and convenient to work inside a fixed very saturated, homogeneous model of T containing all models of interest as elementary substructures.

More precisely, for an arbitrarily large cardinal κ one can find a model \mathbb{M} (a **monster model**) such that:

- ▶ (call a set B **small** if $|B| < \kappa$)
- ▶ all small models of T are elementary embeddable in \mathbb{M} ;
- ▶ every type over a small subset of \mathbb{M} is realized in \mathbb{M} ;
- ▶ every **elementary map** between small subsets of \mathbb{M} can be extended to an automorphism of \mathbb{M} .

Definable groups

Let M be a structure. A **definable group** in M is given by definable sets $G \subset M^n$ and $\cdot \subset M^n \times M^n \times M^n$ such that

$$M \models "(G, \cdot) \text{ is a group}."$$

We may abuse notation and identify G and \cdot with the formulas defining them.

Then for any elementary extension $M \prec N$ we have that (G^N, \cdot^N) is also a group. In fact it contains (G, \cdot) as a subgroup, since for any $a, b, c \in G$ we have

$$M \models a \cdot b = c \text{ if and only if } N \models a \cdot b = c.$$

Definable groups

Now let $S_G(M)$ be the space of types over M containing the formula G . That is, the closure of the image of the set G in the natural embedding $\text{tp} : M^n \rightarrow S_n(M)$. By saturation we have

$$S_G(M) = \{\text{tp}(\tilde{g}/M) : \tilde{g} \in G^{\mathbb{M}}\}.$$

But G is a subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{M}}$, and this induces an action of G on $S_G(M)$:

$$g.\text{tp}(\tilde{g}/M) = \text{tp}(g \cdot \tilde{g}/M)$$

for $g \in G \subset M^n$ and $\tilde{g} \in G^{\mathbb{M}} \subset \mathbb{M}^n$. Since the product is definable, this is a well-defined action by homeomorphisms. That is, $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow.

Automorphism groups

Let M be a structure. We denote by $\text{Aut}(M)$ the group of automorphisms of M . Then $\text{Aut}(M)$ is a topological group under the topology of **pointwise convergence**. If M is countable (separable) then $\text{Aut}(M)$ is a Polish group.

In fact, automorphism groups of classical countable structures are precisely the closed subgroups of S_∞ : if $G \leq S(X)$, one can define basic predicates on X to turn it into a structure with $G = \text{Aut}(X)$.

Similarly, any Polish group can be seen as the automorphism group of a separable metric structure: one chooses a left-invariant metric on G , takes $X = \widehat{G}_L$ its completion and defines appropriate predicates on X to turn it into a metric structure with $G = \text{Aut}(M)$.

Automorphism groups

$\text{Aut}(M)$ acts continuously (by isometries) on M . It also acts continuously on $S_x(M)$. If $g \in \text{Aut}(M)$, $p \in S_x(M)$ then gp is defined by

$$\varphi(x, m)^{gp} = \varphi(x, g^{-1}m)^p,$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ ranges over σ -formulas, $m \in M^{|y|}$, and $\varphi(x, b)^q$ denotes the value of $\varphi(a, b)$ for any a realizing $q \in S_x(M)$.

Categoricity

Let κ be a cardinal. A theory T is κ -categorical if there is only one model of cardinal κ up to isomorphism.

In CL: if there is only one model of density character κ .

Examples

- ▶ The theory of infinite sets is κ -categorical for every infinite κ .
- ▶ ACF_0 is κ -categorical for every $\kappa \geq \aleph_1$ but not for $\kappa = \aleph_0$.
- ▶ $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ is κ -categorical for $\kappa = \aleph_0$ but not for any $\kappa \geq \aleph_1$.
- ▶ The theory of infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces is categorical in every infinite cardinal.
- ▶ The theory of atomless measure algebras is \aleph_0 -categorical but not κ -categorical for larger κ .

\aleph_0 -categorical structures

Theorem

Let T be a complete theory in a countable signature.

The following are equivalent.

1. T is \aleph_0 -categorical.
2. $S_n(\emptyset)$ is finite for every n .

Theorem

Let M be a countable structure such that $\text{Th}(M)$ is \aleph_0 -categorical.

Then:

- ▶ M is homogeneous: if a, b are finite tuples with $\text{tp}(a/\emptyset) = \text{tp}(b/\emptyset)$ then there is $g \in \text{Aut}(M)$ with $ga = b$.
- ▶ A set $D \subset M^n$ is definable if and only if it is $\text{Aut}(M)$ -invariant.
- ▶ It follows that $S_n(\emptyset)$ can be identified with M^n/G .

Hence the theory of M is \aleph_0 -categorical if and only if the action of $\text{Aut}(M)$ on M is oligomorphic.

\aleph_0 -categorical structures

Analogous continuous/approximate statements hold for \aleph_0 -categorical structures in CL. Among them:

- ▶ $S_n(T)$ can be identified with the metric quotient $M^n // \text{Aut}(M)$ (in particular these quotients are compact for all n , and this is equivalent to \aleph_0 -categoricity).
- ▶ A predicate $P : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is definable if and only if it is uniformly continuous and $\text{Aut}(M)$ -invariant.

Suppose M is \aleph_0 -categorical and denote by E the set of endomorphisms of M , which is a topological semigroup under the topology of pointwise convergence. Then by (approximate) homogeneity we have the following:

Theorem

E is exactly the pointwise closure of G in M^M , and it can be identified with the left-completion \widehat{G}_L .

References

- ▶ K. Tent and M. Ziegler.
A course in model theory,
Lecture Notes in Logic, ASL, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- ▶ D. Marker.
Model theory: an introduction,
Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer, 2002.
- ▶ I. Ben Yaacov, A. Berenstein, W. Henson and A. Usvyatsov.
Model theory for metric structures,
Model theory with applications to algebra and analysis. Vol. 2,
Cambridge University Press, 2008.