# Isomonodromic deformations, quantization and exact WKB

Marchal Olivier

Université Jean Monnet St-Etienne, France Institut Camille Jordan, Lyon, France Institut Universitaire de France

January 21st 2025

<ロト < 部ト < 書ト < 書ト 書 の Q () 1/70

#### old m Isomonodromic deformations in $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

- Rational connections and parametrization
- Hamiltonian evolutions
- Symplectic reduction
- Examples and generalizations

2 Duality in isomonodromic deformations

- 3 Quantization and reverse way
  - Classical spectral curves and TR
  - Formal wave functions using TR
- O-parameter solutions of the Painlevé 1 equation
  - Results for the Painlevé 1 system
  - Possible generalizations

## Main objectives of the talk

- Introduce the theory of isomonodromic deformations of (ħ-deformed) rational connections in gl<sub>2</sub>(C) that includes the Painlevé equations.
- Show how to obtain the symplectic structure (Hamiltonians) for a specific set of Darboux coordinates.
- Present Harnad's symplectic duality on an example and associated open problems.
- Reverse way: Formal reconstruction using Topological Recursion and quantization of classical spectral curves.
- Exact WKB reconstruction for 0-parameter solutions of the Painlevé 1 equation.

## Isomonodromic deformations in $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

## History and strategy

- Isomonodromic deformations dates back to the beginning of 20<sup>th</sup> century. Big names in the theory are Picard, Fuchs, Painlevé, Garnier, Okamoto, Malmquist, Schlesinger, then Sato, Jimbo, Miwa, Ueno, Sato, Lax and more recently Harnad, Hurtibise, Bertola and Boalch, Yamakawa, Woodhouse, Komyo and many others.
- Point of view presented in this talk is mostly based on P. Boalch and D. Yamakawa's approach.
- Topic belongs to "*integrable systems*" at the border of geometry (differential and symplectic), PDEs and mathematical physics.
- Literature is vast and diverse (from very abstract geometry to big formulas or applications) and with remaining open questions.

## Rational connections in $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

Rational connections in  $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ 

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be *n* distinct points in the complex plane. Take  $\mathbf{r} := (r_{\infty}, r_1, \dots, r_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^{n+1}$ , and define

$$F_{\mathcal{R},\mathbf{r}} := \left\{ \hat{l}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{r_{\infty}-1} \hat{l}^{[\infty,k]} \lambda^{k-1} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{r_{s}-1} \frac{\hat{l}^{[X_{s},k]}}{(\lambda - X_{s})^{k+1}} \text{ with } \left\{ \hat{l}^{[p,k]} \right\} \in \left(\mathfrak{gl}_{2}\right)^{r-1} \right\} / \mathsf{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$$

where  $r = r_{\infty} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} r_s$  and  $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  acts simultaneously by conjugation on all coefficients  $\{\hat{L}^{[p,k]}\}_{p,k}$ .

#### Short version

A rational function with fixed poles (including  $\infty$ ) of given order with values in  $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Global conjugation action shall be used to select a representative normalized at infinity.

## Connections and gauge transformation

#### Connections and horizontal sections

The differential system

$$\partial_{\lambda}\hat{\Psi}(\lambda) = \hat{L}(\lambda)\hat{\Psi}(\lambda)$$

defines a rational connection on  $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\hat{\Psi}(\lambda)$  is called the horizontal section or wave matrix.  $\hat{L}(\lambda)$  is called the Lax matrix.

#### Gauge transformations

Performing a gauge transformation  $\hat{\Psi} 
ightarrow {\cal G}(\lambda) \hat{\Psi}$  implies that

 $\hat{L}(\lambda) \to G(\lambda)\hat{L}(\lambda)G^{-1}(\lambda) + (\partial_{\lambda}G)G(\lambda)^{-1}$ 

## Local diagonalization of the singular parts

#### Generic case: Local diagonalization of the singular part at each pole

Let  $\hat{L}(\lambda) \in \hat{F}_{\mathcal{R},r}$  the subset of  $F_{\mathcal{R},r}$  such that all coefficients have distinct eigenvalues (generic case). At any pole  $X_p$  or  $\infty$  there exists a local gauge transformation  $G_{X_p}(\lambda)$  locally holomorphic in  $\lambda$  such that  $\Psi_{X_p} = G_{X_p}(\lambda)\hat{\Psi}(\lambda)$  is

$$\Psi_{X_{p}}(\lambda) = \Psi_{X_{p}}^{(\text{reg})}(\lambda) \operatorname{diag}\left(\exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{r_{p}-1} \frac{t_{p^{(0)},k}}{kz_{x_{p}}(\lambda)^{k}} + t_{p^{(0)},0} \ln z_{X_{p}}(\lambda)\right), \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{r_{p}-1} \frac{t_{p^{(0)},k}}{kz_{x_{p}}(\lambda)^{k}} + t_{p^{(0)},0} \ln z_{X_{p}}(\lambda)\right)\right)$$

with  $z_{X_p}(\lambda) = (\lambda - X_p)$  (or  $z_{\infty}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}$  at infinity) and  $\Psi_{X_p}^{(\text{reg})}(\lambda)$  is regular at  $\lambda \to X_p$ . The Lax matrix has a locally diagonal singular part:

$$L_{X_{p}}(\lambda) = \operatorname{diag}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r_{p}-1} \frac{t_{p^{(1)},k}}{z_{X_{p}}(\lambda)^{k+1}} + \frac{t_{p^{(1)},0}}{z_{X_{p}}(\lambda)}, \sum_{k=1}^{r_{p}-1} \frac{t_{p^{(2)},k}}{z_{X_{p}}(\lambda)^{k+1}} + \frac{t_{p^{(2)},0}}{z_{X_{p}}(\lambda)}\right) + O(1)$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Comments on local diagonalizations

- Local diagonalization is known as "Birkhoff factorization" or "formal normal solution" or "Turritin- Levelt fundamental form".
- Definition needs adaptation if the matrices are not diagonalizable (e.g. Painlevé 1 case) using  $z_{X_p}(\lambda) = (\lambda X_p)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and holomorphic in  $z_{X_p}$  and  $z_{X_p}G_{X_p}$  is locally holomorphic in  $z_{X_p}$ . Case known as "**twisted case**".
- Local diagonalizations provides a natural set of irregular times  $\mathbf{t} := (t_{p^{(i)},k})_{p,i,k\geq 1}$  and monodromies  $\mathbf{t}_0 := (t_{p^{(i)},0})_{p,i}$  to parametrize the connections in addition to the location of poles  $(X_p)_p$ .
- Singularities with  $r_p = 1$  are called *Fuchsian singularities* (no irregular times).
- Construction is similar for connections in gl<sub>d</sub>(ℂ) with d ≥ 2, but many more ways to twist depending on the Jordan blocks of the singular parts.

## General picture



Figure: Summary of the notation for poles, monodromies and irregular times parametrizing the family of connections

## Moduli space and symplectic manifold

#### Symplectic manifold

 $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R},\mathsf{r},\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t}_0} := \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{R},\mathsf{r}} \ / \ \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) \ \text{has irregular times } \mathbf{t} \ \text{and monodromies } \mathbf{t}_0 \right\}$ 

is a symplectic manifold of dimension

$$\dim \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R},\mathbf{r,t,t_0}} = 4r-7-(2r-1)=2g$$
 where  $g:=r-3$ 

g is the genus of the spectral curve defined by the algebraic equation  $det(yl_2 - \hat{L}(\lambda)) = 0.$ 

#### Darboux coordinates

The Lax matrix  $\hat{L}(\lambda)$  is completely determined by the **poles**, **irregular times**, **monodromies** and 2*g* Darboux coordinates  $(q_j, p_j)_{1 \le j \le g}$  whose evolutions relatively to the irregular times and position of poles (i.e. **isomonodromic deformations**) are Hamiltonians.

## Introduction of $\hbar$

#### Introduction of a formal $\hbar$ parameter

One can perform a rescaling of the quantities:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t_{\infty^{(i)},k} & \to & \hbar^{k-1}t_{\infty^{(i)},k} \ , \ \forall \left(i,k\right) \in \llbracket 1,2 \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0,r_{\infty}-1 \rrbracket, \\ t_{X_{s}^{(i)},k} & \to & \hbar^{-1-k}t_{X_{s}^{(i)},k} \ , \ \forall \left(i,s,k\right) \in \llbracket 1,2 \rrbracket \times \llbracket 1,n \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0,r_{s}-1 \rrbracket, \\ X_{s} & \to & \hbar^{-1}X_{s} \ , \ \forall s \in \llbracket 1,n \rrbracket, \\ \lambda & \to & \hbar^{-1}\lambda \\ \hat{\Psi} & \to & \text{diag}\left(\hbar^{-\frac{r_{\infty}-3}{2}}, \hbar^{\frac{r_{\infty}-3}{2}}\right) \hat{\Psi} \end{array}$$

so that the differential system reads

$$\hbar \partial_{\lambda} \hat{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar) = \hat{L}(\lambda, \hbar) \hat{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar)$$

Gauge transformations become

$$\hat{L} \rightarrow G\hat{L}G^{-1} + \hbar(\partial_{\lambda}G)G^{-1}$$

 $\hbar$  interpolates between usual isomonodromic world ( $\hbar=1)$  and isospectral world ( $\hbar\to0).$ 

ク Q (や 12 / 70

## Summary

- Construction of a (ħ-deformed) rational connection (Lax matrix L̂) in gl<sub>2</sub>(Ĉ) with given pole structure.
- It is parametrized by
  - Location of poles:  $(X_s)_{1 \le s \le n}$ .
  - Irregular times  $(t_{p^{(i)},k})_{p,i,k}$  coming from the local diagonalization at each pole.
  - Monodromies (t<sub>p(i),0</sub>)<sub>p,i</sub> coming from the local diagonalization at each pole.
- Isomonodromic deformations ⇔ deformations relatively to irregular times and location of poles. **Compatible auxiliary systems**:

$$\hbar\partial_t \hat{\Psi}(\lambda, \mathbf{t}; \hbar) = \hat{A}_t(\lambda, \mathbf{t}; \hbar) \hat{\Psi}(\lambda, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)$$

with  $A_t(\lambda, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)$  rational in  $\lambda$  with same pole structure as  $\hat{L}$ . • Compatibility of the systems implies compatibility equations

("zero-curvature equation")

$$\hbar\partial_t \hat{L} - \hbar\partial_\lambda \hat{A}_t + \left[\hat{L}, \hat{A}_t\right] = 0$$

•  $(\hat{L}, \hat{A}_t)$  are called **Lax pairs**.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Next steps

- Define suitable Darboux coordinates (q<sub>i</sub>, p<sub>i</sub>)<sub>i≤g</sub> and express the Lax matrix L̂ and the auxiliary matrices Â<sub>t</sub> in terms of Darboux coordinates, irregular times and monodromies.
- Solve the compatibility equations to obtain the Hamiltonian evolutions of the Darboux coordinates.

$$\hbar \partial_t q = \frac{\partial \mathsf{Ham}_t(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)}{\partial p} , \ \hbar \partial_t p = -\frac{\partial \mathsf{Ham}_t(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)}{\partial q}$$

Reduce the (big) deformation space to only g non-trivial directions to get Arnold-Liouville form of the Hamiltonian system (symplectic reduction).

## Oper gauge and choice of Darboux coordinates

Oper gauge or companion-like gauge

Define 
$$G(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \hat{L}_{1,1} & \hat{L}_{1,2} \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\Psi = G\hat{\Psi}$ , then we have  
 $\hbar \partial_{\lambda} \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ L_{2,1} & L_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \Psi := L(\lambda)\Psi$  and  $\partial_{t} \Psi := A_{t}(\lambda)\Psi$   
i.e.  $\Psi_{1,1} = \hat{\Psi}_{1,1}$  and  $\Psi_{1,2} = \hat{\Psi}_{1,2}$  satisfies the **quantum curve**  
 $\left[ \hbar^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \lambda^{2}} - L_{2,2}\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - L_{2,1} \right] \Psi_{1,j} = 0$ 

#### Apparent singularities

We have

$$\mathcal{L}_{2,1} = -\det \hat{\mathcal{L}} + \partial_{\lambda} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1,1} - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1,1} \frac{\partial_{\lambda} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1,2}}{\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1,2}} \ , \ \mathcal{L}_{2,2} = \ \mathrm{Tr} \ \hat{\mathcal{L}} + \frac{\partial_{\lambda} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1,2}}{\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{1,2}}$$

so that  $L(\lambda)$  has apparent singularities at the zeros of  $\hat{L}_{1,2}(\lambda)$  that we shall denote  $(q_j)_{1 \le j \le g}$  and take as half of the Darboux coordinates.

15 / 70

## Choice of Darboux coordinates

- Idea to use the oper gauge and the apparent singularities as natural Darboux coordinates dates back at least to Jimbo, Miwa, Ueno works.
- · We complement the Darboux coordinates by

$$p_i := -rac{1}{\hbar} \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda 
ightarrow q_i} L_{2,1}(\lambda) \ , \ \forall i \in \llbracket 1,g 
rbracket$$

 $\det(p_i l_2 - \hat{L}(q_i)) = 0 \Rightarrow (q_i, p_i)$  is a point on the spectral curve.

• Oper gauge has computational advantages: only L<sub>2,1</sub> and L<sub>2,2</sub> are to determine. Compatibility equation gives half of auxiliary matrices

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_{\alpha}(\lambda)]_{2,1} &= \hbar \partial_{\lambda} \left[ A_{\alpha}(\lambda) \right]_{1,1} + \left[ A_{\alpha}(\lambda) \right]_{1,2} L_{2,1}(\lambda), \\ & [A_{\alpha}(\lambda)]_{2,2} &= \hbar \partial_{\lambda} \left[ A_{\alpha}(\lambda) \right]_{1,2} + \left[ A_{\alpha}(\lambda) \right]_{1,1} + \left[ A_{\alpha}(\lambda) \right]_{1,2} L_{2,2}(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha := (\alpha_{p^{(i)},k})_{p,i,k}$  describes the tangent space of isomonodromic deformations:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} := \hbar \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{\infty}-1} \alpha_{\infty^{(i)},k} \partial_{t_{\infty^{(i)},k}} + \hbar \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{s}-1} \alpha_{X_{s}^{(i)},k} \partial_{t_{X_{s}^{(i)},k}} + \hbar \sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_{X_{s}} \partial_{X_{s}} \partial_{x_{$$

16 / 70

# Explicit expressions for the Hamiltonians and Lax matrices in the oper gauge

#### General expressions

There exist explicit expressions of the Hamiltonians, the Lax matrix and auxiliary matrices in the oper gauge in terms of poles, irregular times, monodromies and our choice of Darboux coordinates [16, 15].

- One can obtain some explicit expressions for the Lax matrix and auxiliary matrices in the initial geometric gauge by inverting the gauge transformation towards the oper gauge given before.
- Due to normalization at infinity, expressions require special attention for  $r_{\infty} \leq 2$ .
- General strategy to obtain formulas is to solve the compatibility equations in the oper gauge giving evolutions of (q, p). Requires substantial computations.

## Expression for the Lax matrix

#### Expression of the Lax matrix in the oper gauge

P(2

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{1,1}(\lambda) &= 0 , \ \mathcal{L}_{1,2}(\lambda) = 1 , \ \mathcal{L}_{2,2}(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_{1}(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \frac{\hbar}{\lambda - q_{j}} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{h_{rs}}{\lambda - X_{s}} \\ \mathcal{L}_{2,1}(\lambda) &= -\mathcal{P}_{2}(\lambda) + \sum_{j=0}^{r_{\infty}-4} \mathcal{H}_{\infty,j}\lambda^{j} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{s}} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{X_{s,j}}}{(\lambda - X_{s})^{j}} - \sum_{j=1}^{g} \frac{\hbar\rho_{j}}{\lambda - q_{j}} - \hbar t_{\infty}(1)_{,r_{\infty}-1}\lambda^{r_{\infty}-3}\delta_{r_{\infty}} \geq 0 \end{split}$$

with rational functions  $P_1(\lambda)$  and  $P_2(\lambda)$  defined by the irregular times and monodromies:

$$P_{1}(\lambda) = -\sum_{j=0}^{r_{\infty}-2} (t_{\infty}(1)_{,k+1} + t_{\infty}(2)_{,k+1})\lambda^{j} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{s}} \frac{t_{X_{s}^{(1)}, k-1} + t_{X_{s}^{(2)}, k-1}}{(\lambda - X_{s})^{j}}$$

$$P_{2}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=\max(0, r_{\infty} - 3)}^{2r_{\infty}-4} P_{\infty,j}^{(2)}\lambda^{j} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{j=r_{s}+1}^{2r_{s}} \frac{P_{X_{s},j}^{(2)}}{(\lambda - X_{s})^{j}}$$

$$P_{2}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} t_{\infty}(1)_{,r_{\infty}-1-j} t_{\infty}(2)_{,r_{\infty}-1-(k-j)}, \quad \forall k \in [0, r_{\infty} - 1]$$

18 / 70

## Expression for the Lax matrix 2

#### Expression of the coefficients $(H_{p,k})_{p,k}$

Coefficients  $(H_{p,k})_{p,k}$  are called "*spectral invariants*". Define vectors  $\mathbf{H}_{\infty} := (H_{\infty,0}, \dots, H_{\infty,r_{\infty}-4})^t$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{X_s} := (H_{X_s,1}, \dots, H_{X_s,r_s})^t$  then

$$\begin{pmatrix} (V_{\infty})^{t} & (V_{1})^{t} & \dots & (V_{n})^{t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{H}_{X_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{H}_{X_{n}} \end{pmatrix} = \\ \begin{pmatrix} p_{1}^{2} - P_{1}(q_{1})p_{1} + p_{1} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\hbar r_{s}}{q_{1} - \bar{\chi}_{s}} + P_{2}(q_{1}) + \hbar \sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{p_{i} - p_{1}}{q_{1} - q_{i}} + \hbar t_{\infty}(1), r_{\infty} - 1q_{1}^{r_{\infty} - 3}\delta r_{\infty} \ge 3 \\ \vdots \\ p_{g}^{2} - P_{1}(q_{g})p_{g} + p_{g} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\hbar r_{s}}{q_{g} - \chi_{s}} + P_{2}(q_{g}) + \hbar \sum_{i \neq g} \frac{p_{i} - p_{g}}{q_{g} - q_{i}} + \hbar t_{\infty}(1), r_{\infty} - 1q_{g}^{r_{\infty} - 3}\delta r_{\infty} \ge 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where matrices  $(V_{\infty}, V_1, \ldots, V_n)$  are rectangular **Vandermonde matrices** with entries given by the apparent singularities. Coefficients  $(H_{p,k})_{p,k}$  depend on the whole pole structure not only pole by pole.

✓) Q (↓
19 / 70

## Expression for the Lax matrix 3

# Expression for the Vandermonde matrices The Vandermonde matrices $(V_{\infty}, V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ are given by $V_{\infty} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ q_1 & q_2 & \dots & q_g \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ q_1^{r_{\infty}-4} & q_2^{r_{\infty}-4} & \dots & \dots & q_g^{r_{\infty}-4} \end{pmatrix}$ $V_s := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{q_1-X_s} & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{q_g-X_s} \\ \frac{1}{(q_1-X_s)^2} & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{(q_g-X_s)^2} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(q_1-X_s)^{r_s}} & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{(q_g-X_s)^{r_s}} \end{pmatrix}, \ \forall \ s \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$

20 / 70

#### General isomonodromic deformation

For a vector  $\pmb{lpha} \in \mathbb{C}^{2g+4-n}$ , define

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} := \hbar \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{\infty}-1} \alpha_{\infty^{(i)},k} \partial_{t_{\infty^{(i)},k}} + \hbar \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{s}-1} \alpha_{X_{s}^{(i)},k} \partial_{t_{X_{s}^{(i)},k}} + \hbar \sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_{X_{s}} \partial_{X_{s}}$$

the general isomonodromic deformation (i.e. a general vector in the tangent space)

#### Hamiltonian evolutions

The Darboux coordinates  $(q_j, p_j)_{1 \le j \le g}$  have Hamiltonian evolutions:

$$\forall j \in \llbracket 1,g \rrbracket : \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}[q_j] = \frac{\partial \mathsf{Ham}^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_j} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}[p_j] = -\frac{\partial \mathsf{Ham}^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})}{\partial q_j}$$

and the expression of the general Hamiltonian  $\operatorname{Ham}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})$  is explicit.

#### Expression of the general Hamiltonian

For any  $\pmb{lpha} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\mathsf{g}+4-n}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ham}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{r_{\infty}-4} \nu_{\infty,k+1}^{(\alpha)} H_{\infty,k} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=2}^{r_{5}} \nu_{X_{5},k-1}^{(\alpha)} H_{X_{5},k} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_{X_{5}}^{(\alpha)} H_{X_{5},1} \\ &-\hbar \sum_{j=1}^{g} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{r_{\infty}-1} c_{\infty,k}^{(\alpha)} q_{j}^{k} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{5}-1} c_{X_{5},k}^{(\alpha)} (q_{j} - X_{5})^{-k} \right] \\ &+ \nu_{\infty,-1}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \left( X_{s} H_{X_{5},1} + H_{X_{5},2} \delta_{r_{5} \ge 2} \right) + \nu_{\infty,0}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^{n} H_{X_{5},1} \\ &-\delta_{r_{\infty} \in \{1,2\}} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{n} H_{X_{5},1} - \hbar \sum_{j=1}^{g} p_{j} \right) \nu_{\infty,0}^{(\alpha)} \\ &-\delta_{r_{\infty}=1} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{n} X_{s} H_{X_{5},1} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} H_{X_{5},2} \delta_{r_{5} \ge 2} - \hbar \sum_{j=1}^{g} q_{j} p_{j} \right) \nu_{\infty,-1}^{(\alpha)} \\ &-\hbar \nu_{\infty,0}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{g} p_{i} - \hbar \nu_{\infty,-1}^{(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{g} q_{i} p_{j}, \end{aligned}$$

✓) Q (
22 / 70

### Expression of the coefficients $(\nu_{p,k}^{(\alpha)})_{p,k}$

Coefficients  $(\nu_{p,k}^{(\alpha)})_{p,k}$  are independent of Darboux coordinates and are given by some time-dependent linear combinations of the vector of deformation  $\alpha$ :

$$\forall s \in [\![1, n]\!] : \nu_{X_{s},0}^{(\alpha)} = -\alpha_{X_{s}} \text{ and } M_{s} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{X_{s},1}^{(\alpha)} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \nu_{X_{s},r_{s}-1}^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_{X_{s}^{(1)},r_{s}-1}^{-\alpha}\alpha_{X_{s}^{(2)},r_{s}-1}^{-\alpha} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \nu_{X_{s},r_{s}-1}^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} \\ M_{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{\infty,-1}^{(\alpha)} \\ \nu_{\infty,0}^{(\alpha)} \\ \vdots \\ \nu_{\infty,0}^{(\alpha)} \\ \vdots \\ \nu_{\infty,r_{\infty}-3}^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{\infty}^{(1),r_{\infty}-1}^{-\alpha}\alpha_{\infty}^{(2),r_{\infty}-1}^{-\alpha} \\ \frac{\alpha_{\infty}^{(1),r_{\infty}-2}^{-\alpha}\alpha_{\infty}^{(2),r_{\infty}-1}}{r_{\infty}-2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\alpha_{\infty}^{(1),1}^{-\alpha}\alpha_{\infty}^{(2),1}}{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $(M_{\infty}, M_1, \dots, M_n)$  are **lower triangular Toeplitz matrices** with coefficients given by irregular times at each pole.

23 / 70

Expression of the lower triangular Toeplitz matrices  $(M_{\infty}, M_1, \ldots, M_n)$ 

✓) Q (
24 / 70

## Properties induced by the explicit expressions

- Expressions are rational functions of Darboux coordinates, irregular times and location of poles ⇒ "There exists a birational map between the symplectic Ehresmann connection and the Jimbo-Miwa-Ueno/Boalch symplectic isomonodromy connection"
- Roughly: Hamiltonians are time-dependent linear combinations (coefficients  $\nu_{p,k}^{(\alpha)}$ ) of the spectral invariants  $H_{p,k}$  (independent of the deformation).
- Increasing the order at a pole is equivalent to increase the size of Toeplitz matrix.
- Fuchsian singularities provide only  $-\alpha_{X_s}H_{X_s,1}$  in the Hamiltonian  $\Rightarrow$  simpler formulas as known from Schlesinger.
- Many directions in the tangent space (specific choice of α) gives trivial Hamiltonian evolutions ⇒ Existence of a symplectic reduction to obtain Arnold-Liouville form (i.e. same number of Darboux coordinates as non-trivial deformation parameters).

## Shifted Darboux coordinates

Shifted Darboux coordinates and trivial/non-trivial times for  $r_\infty \geq 3$ 

Define 
$$\check{q}_j := \mathsf{T}_2 \mathsf{q}_j + \mathsf{T}_1$$
,  $\check{p}_j := \mathsf{T}_2^{-1}\left(\mathsf{p}_j - \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{P}_1(\mathsf{q}_j)\right)$ 

$$\tau_1 := \frac{t_{\infty}(1)_{,r_{\infty}-2} - t_{\infty}(2)_{,r_{\infty}-2}}{2^{\frac{1}{r_{\infty}-1}}(r_{\infty}-2)(t_{\infty}(1)_{,r_{\infty}-1} - t_{\infty}(2)_{,r_{\infty}-1})^{\frac{r_{\infty}-2}{r_{\infty}-1}}}, \ \tau_2 := \left(\frac{t_{\infty}(1)_{,r_{\infty}-1} - t_{\infty}(2)_{,r_{\infty}-1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r_{\infty}-1}}$$

Define also:

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\infty,k} &= t_{\infty^{(0),k}} + t_{\infty^{(0),k}} \ , \ T_{X_i,k} &= t_{X_i^{(0),k}} + t_{X_i^{(0),k}}^{(0),k} + t_{X_i^{(0),k}}^{(0),k} \\ \tau_{\infty,j} &= 2^{\frac{1}{\tau_{\infty}^{j-1}}} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i (j+i-1)!}{i(j-1)!(r_{\infty}-2)^i} \frac{(t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-2} - t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1})^{\frac{1}{(m_{\infty}^{j-1})!}}}{(t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1} - t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1})^{\frac{1}{(m_{\infty}^{j-1})!}}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{r_{\infty}-j-2} (r_{\infty}-3)!}{(r_{\infty}-1-j)!(r_{\infty}-j-3)!(j-1)!(r_{\infty}-2)^{r_{\infty}-j-2}} \frac{(t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-2} - t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1})^{\frac{(m_{\infty}^{-2m_{\infty}^{j-1}})!}{(m_{\infty}^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1} - t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1})^{\frac{(m_{\infty}^{-2m_{\infty}^{j-1}})!}{(m_{\infty}^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1} - t_{\infty^{(0)},r_{\infty}-1})} \right] \\ \bar{X}_{i} &= T_{i}X_{i} + T_{i} \end{split}$$

## Properties of the symplectic decomposition

- One-to-one map between  $(t_{p,k}, X_s) \leftrightarrow (T_1, T_2, T_{p,k}, \tau_{p,k}, \tilde{X}_s)$ .
- $(T_1, T_2, T_{p,k})$  are trivial times, i.e.  $\partial_T \check{q}_j = \partial_T \check{p}_j = 0$ .
- Shifted Darboux coordinates (*q̃<sub>j</sub>*, *p̃<sub>j</sub>*) are independent of the trivial times ⇒ only depend on non-trivial times (*X̃<sub>s</sub>*, τ<sub>p,j</sub>)
- The Hamiltonian evolutions of (*q̃*<sub>j</sub>, *p̃*<sub>j</sub>) only depend on non-trivial times. Non-trivial directions gives c<sup>(α)</sup><sub>∞,k</sub> = 0 and other simplifications:

$$\operatorname{Ham}^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\tau})}(\check{\mathbf{q}},\check{\mathbf{p}}) = \sum_{k=0}^{r_{\infty}-4} \nu_{\infty,k+1}^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\tau})} H_{\infty,k} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=2}^{r_{s}} \nu_{X_{s},k-1}^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\tau})} H_{X_{s},k} + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_{X_{s}}^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\tau})} H_{X_{s},1}$$

- Canonical choice is to take  $T_2 = 1$ ,  $T_1 = 0$ ,  $T_{p,k} = 0$  so that  $(q_j, p_j) = (\check{q}_j, \check{p}_j)$
- Canonical choice kills the trace  $(T_{p,k} = 0 \Leftrightarrow P_1 = 0)$  of  $\hat{L}(\lambda)$  and the action of Möbius transformations  $\lambda \to \frac{a\lambda+b}{c\lambda+d}$

## Properties of the symplectic decomposition 2

#### Reduction of the symplectic two-form

The symplectic two-form  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  characterizing the symplectic structure reduces:

$$\Omega := \hbar \sum_{j=1}^{g} dq_{j} \wedge dp_{j} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{s}-1} dt_{\chi_{s}^{(i)},k} \wedge d\operatorname{Ham}^{(\mathbf{e}_{\chi_{s}^{(j)},k})} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{\infty}-1} dt_{\infty^{(i)},k} \wedge d\operatorname{Ham}^{(\mathbf{e}_{\infty^{(i)},k})} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} dX_{s} \wedge d\operatorname{Ham}^{(\mathbf{e}_{\chi_{s}})} \\ = \hbar \sum_{j=1}^{g} d\check{q}_{j} \wedge d\check{p}_{j} - \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{poin triv.}}}^{g} d\tau \wedge d\operatorname{Ham}^{(\alpha_{\tau})}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{non\ triv.}$  is the set of non-trivial times.

#### Provides Arnold-Liouville form

- $\mathfrak{gl}_2 \to \mathfrak{sl}_2$  reduction was already known geometrically
- Möbius reduction also known: fixes either location of 3 poles (P6) or one pole and the most singular coefficients (P2)

## Examples from direct application of the general formulas

#### Examples:

- n = 3 with  $r_{\infty} = r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 1$  gives Painlevé 6 in Jimbo-Miwa form after canonical reduction
- n = 2 with  $r_{\infty} = 1$ ,  $r_1 = 1$  and  $r_2 = 2$ : Painlevé 5 in Jimbo-Miwa form after canonical reduction
- n = 1 with  $r_{\infty} = 1$  and  $r_1 = 3$ . Painlevé 4 case. To get Jimbo-Miwa case, another choice of canonical trivial times is necessary
- n = 1 with  $r_{\infty} = 2$  and  $r_1 = 1$ : Painlevé 3 case in Jimbo-Miwa form after canonical reduction
- n = 0 with  $r_{\infty} = 4$ : Painlevé 2 case in Jimbo-Miwa form after canonical reduction
- n = 0 arbitrary  $r_{\infty}$ : Full Painlevé 2 hierarchy ( $r_{\infty} = 5$  already known in the literature by Chiba)

## Twisted cases and Painlevé 1 hierarchy

- Similar results available for the **twisted case** (pole=ramification point) in [15]
- Also gives rise to a symplectic reduction and Arnold-Liouville form
- Includes Painlevé 1 case and the full Painlevé 1 hierarchy
- Birkhoff factorization is different but in the end Hamiltonian formulas and Lax matrices have very similar form to the non-twisted cases (lower triangular Toeplitz matrices, Vandermonde matrices, symplectic reduction, etc.)
- Cover all possible cases arising in  $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$
- Explicit formulas enables direct link with **isospectral coordinates** developed by the Montréal school

## Isospectral approach in a nutshell

#### Isospectral approach

- $\bullet$  Isospectral approach is to look for "isospectral coordinates" (u,v) for which isomonodromic deformations equal isospectral deformations
- Isospectral condition is equivalent to ⇔ δ<sup>(α)</sup><sub>t</sub>[L(λ)] = ∂<sub>λ</sub>Â<sub>α</sub>(λ) (δ<sub>t</sub>: only explicit derivative relatively to a time so no effect on isospectral Darboux coordinates)
- In these isospectral coordinates, Hamiltonians Ham<sub>t<sub>p,k</sub></sub>(u, v) are equal to isospectral invariants I<sub>p,k</sub> easily obtained by expansion of det L at each pole
- Isospectral coordinates always exist (general result in sl<sub>d</sub> [5]) but general construction is not known

## Link between both approaches in the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case

#### Strategy [14]

**(**) Change of Darboux coordinates  $(q_j, p_j) \leftrightarrow (\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R})$  to get

$$\hat{L}_{1,1}(\lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{r_s} \frac{R_{X_s,k}}{(\lambda - X_s)^k} - t_{\infty,r_\infty - 1} \delta_{r_\infty \ge 2} \lambda^{r_\infty - 2}$$
$$-t_{\infty,r_\infty - 2} \delta_{r_\infty \ge 3} \lambda^{r_\infty - 3} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_\infty - 4} R_{\infty,k} \lambda^k$$
$$\hat{L}_{1,2}(\lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{r_s} \frac{Q_{X_s,k}}{(\lambda - X_s)^k} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_\infty - 4} Q_{\infty,k} \lambda^k + \omega \delta_{r_\infty \ge 3} \lambda^{r_\infty - 3}$$

- ② Change of Darboux coordinates is time-independent but not symplectic ⇒ Derivation of Hamiltonians of (Q, R) is difficult but possible
- In Relate coordinates (Q, R) to isospectral coordinates (u, v) ⇔ Solving explicit differential systems

イロン 不得 とくほと くほど

## Differential systems to solve

For any  $s \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ , the relation between **u** and **Q** is given by



Similar differential systems at  $\infty$  and between  $\mathbf{R} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{v}$ 

## Partial expressions for the solutions

#### Solutions of the former differential system are of the form:



with

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_{j,j}^{(X_s)}(t_{X_s,r_s-1}) & = & (t_{X_s,r_s-1})^{\frac{r_s-j}{r_s-1}} \ , \ \forall j \in [\![1,r_s-1]\!] \\ f_{j+1,j}^{(X_s)}(t_{X_s,r_s-2},t_{X_s,r_s-1}) & = & \frac{r_s-j-1}{r_s-2}(t_{X_s,r_s-1})^{\frac{1-j}{r_s-1}}t_{X_s,r_s-2} \ , \ \forall j \in [\![1,r_s-2]\!] \\ f_{j,1}^{(X_s)}(t_{X_s,r_s-j},\ldots,t_{X_s,r_s-1}) & = & t_{X_s,r_s-j} \ , \ \forall j \in [\![1,r_s-1]\!] \end{array}$$

Functions  $(f_{i,j}^{(X_s)})_{i,j}$  are easily computable for low values of  $r_s$  but not general formulas for arbitrary  $r_s$  so far...

◆□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Duality in isomonodromic deformations

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 35 / 70

## General conjectures associated to duality

#### General conjectures associated to duality

- Take isomonodromic deformations associated to an arbitrary meromorphic connection  $\hat{L}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbb{C})$  and define  $P(\lambda, y) = \det(yl_d \hat{L}(\lambda))$  as the spectral curve of  $\hat{L}(\lambda)$ .
- Exchange  $\lambda \leftrightarrow y$  to define the dual spectral curve  $P_{dual}(\lambda, y) = det(\lambda I_d \hat{L}(y))$ . Same genus as the initial one. It is associated to a dual meromorphic connection  $\hat{L}_{dual}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{gl}_{d'}(\mathbb{C})$  that also admits isomonodromic deformations.
- Identification of the spectral curves provides identification of rank, poles, irregular times and monodromies.
- Up to this identification, Hamiltonians are identical on both sides before or after symplectic reduction.
- The symplectic two-forms are identical:  $\Omega = \Omega_{dual}$
- JMU one-forms are identical:  $\omega_{JMU} = \omega_{JMU,dual}$ .
- The associated Hermitian random matrix integrals can also be identified. **JMU**  $\tau$ -functions are equal up to normalization.

36 / 70

## State of the art

- Duality is often called "*x y* **duality**" because of the exchange of parameters in the spectral curve
- First observed on a special case by J. Harnad [10] in 1993 whence the historical name "**Harnad's duality**"
- Several low order examples done case by case [1, 3, 4] using Hermitian matrix integrals
- Other examples dealt by P. Boalch [7] and N.M.J. Woodhouse [21]
- Several other cases done using Middle convolution approach [6, 22]
- So far no general proof exists
- Particularly interesting cases are when *d'* ≠ *d*, i.e. both sides provide **connections with different ranks**.

## Our contribution to duality [2]

- Advantage of explicit formulas is to check duality by brutal force
- Dual side (letter *s* instead of *t* for times and monodromies): subcase of Painlevé 4 where one of the monodromy at  $X_1$  is vanishing:  $s_{X_1^{(1)},0}s_{X_1^{(2)},0} = 0$ . Former general formulas apply directly
- Initial side is a rank 3 case with only one pole at infinity (n = 0) of order  $r_{\infty} = 3$ . Requires similar derivation of all formulas. Taken in the "duality gauge" such that

$$\hat{L}_{d}(\lambda) = \operatorname{diag}(t_{\infty^{(1)},2} - t_{\infty^{(2)},2}, 0, t_{\infty^{(3)},2} - t_{\infty^{(2)},2}) + O(1$$

Figure: Left: Newton polygons of  $S_d$  (light blue) or S (light and dark blue). Right: Newton polygon of  $S_{P4}$  under the constraint  $s_{\chi_{1}^{(1)},0}s_{\chi_{1}^{(2)},0}=0$ 

## Duality at different levels



39 / 70

## Quantization and reverse way

< □ > < ⑦ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > 40 / 70

## General idea

- Use the formal  $\hbar$  parameter to define formal power series/transseries in  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  for Darboux coordinates and formal WKB series/transseries for wave matrix  $\hat{\Psi}$ .
- Solve recursively the formal power series/transseries
- Find a way to resum formal power series/transseries to get analytic quantities for Darboux coordinates and wave matrix (Laplace Borel resummation or other ways)
- Take  $\hbar = 1$  if the analytic continuation can reach this point.
- Many interesting features in enumerative geometry and mathematical physics
- Situation is much simpler when the spectral curve is of genus 0 (no need for transseries)
- Formal recursive part can be dealt with Topological Recursion (TR) of Chekhov-Eynard-Orantin
- Going from formal to analytic rigorously is still hard

## Topological recursion as a black box

#### Classical spectral and TR

A classical spectral curve is defined by an algebraic curve

$$0 = P(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} P_k(x) y^k$$

with  $(P_k)_{0 \le k \le d}$  rational functions with given pole structure. It defines a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  of genus g and we choose a Torelli marking  $(\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{B}_j)_{j=1}^g$ . Add *admissible* conditions like: irreducibility, simple and smooth ramification points, distinct critical values, etc.

#### Initial quantities for TR

We define

$$\omega_{0,1} = y dx$$
,  $\omega_{0,2} = Bergman kernel$ 

where Bergman kernel is the unique symmetric  $(1 \boxtimes 1)$ -form B on  $\Sigma^2$  with a unique double pole on the diagonal  $\Delta$ , without residue, bi-residue equal to 1 and normalized on the  $\mathcal{A}$ -cycles by  $\oint_{z_1 \in \mathcal{A}_i} B(z_1, z_2) = 0$ .

42 / 70

## Parametrization of classical spectral curve

#### Parametrization of the classical spectral curve

The classical spectral curve is parametrized by spectral times  $t_{p,k}$  given by the singular part of ydx at each pole and g filling fractions

$$\epsilon_i := \oint_{\mathcal{A}_i} y dx$$

- Connection with isomonodromic deformations is that classical spectral curve =  $\lim_{h\to 0} \det(yl_d \hat{L}(x)) = 0$
- Requires to define the limit  $\hbar 
  ightarrow 0$  of Darboux coordinates

## **Topological recursion**

#### Black box TR

- Topological recursion is a **recursive procedure** (sum of residue at ramification points) starting from  $\omega_{0,1}$  and  $\omega_{0,2}$  that produces  $(\omega_{h,n})_{h\geq 0,n\geq 0}$  by induction on 2h + n 2.
- $\omega_{h,n}$  are called Eynard-Orantin differentials and are symmetric *n*-forms on  $\Sigma^n$  with only poles at ramification points when  $(h, n) \notin \{(0, 1), (0, 2)\}.$
- ω<sub>h,0</sub> are just numbers sometimes called "free energies" or "symplectic invariants".
- Many generalizations of TR exist to deal with non-admissible curves.

## Step 1: Formal WKB wave functions

#### Formal WKB wave functions

Define for any  $z \in \Sigma$  the formal WKB series (formal perturbative wave functions)

$$\psi_j(\lambda,\hbar) \coloneqq \exp\left(\sum_{h\geq 0} \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\hbar^{2h-2+n}}{n!} \int_{\infty^{(1)}}^{z^{(j)}(\lambda)} \cdots \int_{\infty^{(1)}}^{z^{(j)}(\lambda)} \left(\omega_{h,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) -\delta_{h,0}\delta_{n,2} \frac{dx(z_1)dx(z_2)}{(x(z_1)-x(z_2))^2}\right)\right)$$

and the formal partition function

$$Z(\hbar) \coloneqq \exp\left(\sum_{h \ge 0} \hbar^{2h-2} \omega_{h,0}\right)$$

## Monodromies around $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ cycles

#### Monodromies

The formal perturbative wave functions have good monodromies on  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{A}}\xspace$ -cycles:

$$\psi_j(\lambda + \mathcal{A}_i, \hbar) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\hbar}\epsilon_i}\psi_j(\lambda, \hbar)$$

It has bad monodromies on the  $\mathcal{B}$ -cycles:

$$\psi_j(\lambda + \mathcal{B}_i, \hbar) = \exp\left(\sum_{(h,n)\in\mathbb{N}^2} \frac{\hbar^{2h-2+n}}{n!} \int_{\infty^{(1)}}^{z} \cdots \int_{\infty^{(1)}}^{z} \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_i}\right)^m \omega_{h,n}\right)$$
$$= \psi_j(\lambda, \epsilon_i \to \epsilon_i + \hbar, \hbar),$$

Requires to formally "sum on filling fractions" to obtain good monodromies  $\Rightarrow$  creates Theta functions evaluated at  $\frac{\rho}{\hbar} \Rightarrow$  formal transseries.

## Quantum curve and formal solutions

#### Quantum curve

After "sum on filling fractions", i.e. going from  $\psi_j(\lambda, \hbar) \rightarrow \psi_{j,NP}(\lambda, \hbar)$  by adding formal theta series terms (Cf. [8]), we get that  $(\psi_{j,NP}(\lambda, \hbar))$  are formal solutions to the ODE

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d} b_{d-k}(\lambda,\hbar) \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right)^{k} \psi^{(j)}(\lambda,\hbar) = 0,$$

with coefficients  $b_j(\lambda, \hbar)$  rational in  $\lambda$  with same pole structure as classical spectral curve and simple poles at some apparent singularities  $(q_j)_{1 \le j \le g}$  defined by det  $\Psi_{NP} = 0$  with

 $[\Psi_{\mathsf{NP}}]_{i,j} := (\hbar \partial_{\lambda})^{i} \psi_{j,\mathsf{NP}}(\lambda,\hbar) \Leftrightarrow \hbar \partial_{\lambda} \Psi_{\mathsf{NP}} = L_{\mathsf{NP}} \Psi_{\mathsf{NP}} , L_{\mathsf{NP}} \text{ companion form}$ 

#### Remark

 $b_0(\lambda,\hbar) = 1$  and  $b_l(\lambda,\hbar) \stackrel{\hbar \to 0}{\to} (-1)^l P_l(\lambda) \Rightarrow$  Formal quantization of the classical spectral curve  $\Rightarrow$  Terminology: **quantum curve** 

## Connection with isomonodromic deformations

- One can derive formal auxiliary matrices ħ∂<sub>t</sub>Ψ<sub>NP</sub> = A<sub>t,NP</sub>Ψ<sub>NP</sub> for any spectral time t or any position of poles with good pole structure for A<sub>t,NP</sub>
- One can perform an explicit gauge transformation to remove the apparent singularities and obtain  $\hat{L}_{NP}$  and  $\hat{A}_{NP}$
- Starting from a classical spectral curve ( $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  limit), we have reconstructed formal Lax systems and formal wave matrices that arises in  $\hbar$ -deformed isomonodromic deformations.
- For genus 0 spectral curves, there is no need for NP quantities: simple power series for Darboux coordinates and WKB formal series for wave functions
- Only a **formal** reconstruction since all series/transseries are **divergent**. What sense to give to  $\hbar = 1$ ?
- Construction is made for arbitrary rank  $d \ge 2$

## 0-parameter solutions of the Painlevé 1 equation

<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト 言 の Q () 49 / 70

## Lax system and Painlevé 1 equation

#### Painlevé 1 Lax system

The Painlevé 1 system correspond to n = 0 and a twisted singularity at infinity  $r_{\infty} = 4$  (genus g = 1 case). The  $\hbar$ -deformed Lax matrices are

$$\hat{L}(\lambda) := egin{pmatrix} p & 4(\lambda-q) \ \lambda^2+q\lambda+q^2+rac{1}{2}t & -p \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{A}(\lambda) := rac{1}{2}egin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \ \lambda+2q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

The compatibility implies the Painlevé 1 Hamiltonian system

$$egin{aligned} &\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}q = p = \hbarrac{\partial}{\partial p}\mathsf{Ham}(q,p;t),\ &\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}p = 6q^2 + t = -\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial q}\mathsf{Ham}(q,p;t), \end{aligned}$$

with Hamiltonian  $\text{Ham}(q, p; t) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - 2q^3 - tq. q(t)$  satisfies P1:

$$\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} q = 6q^2 + t$$

/70

## 0-parameter solutions of the Painlevé 1 equation

#### 0-parameter solutions

We look for formal 0-parameter solutions (also known as tri-tronquée solutions) of Painlevé 1 equation:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}(t;\hbar) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k(t)\hbar^k \; \Rightarrow \; \hat{p}(t;\hbar) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t)\hbar^k$$

It implies formal  $\hbar$  power series for the Lax matrices

$$\hat{L}(\lambda,t;\hbar) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \hat{L}_k(\lambda,t)\hbar^k \;,\; \hat{A}(\lambda,t;\hbar) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \hat{A}_k(\lambda,t)\hbar^k$$

It implies formal WKB expansion for  $\hat{\Psi}$ :

$$\hat{\Psi}(\lambda,t;\hbar) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \Psi_k(\lambda,t)\hbar^k
ight)$$

51 / 70

## Degenerate genus 0 family of classical spectral curve

- Leading order:  $q_0(t) = \left(-rac{t}{6}
  ight)^{rac{1}{2}}$  and  $p_0 = 0$
- The classical spectral curve is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(\lambda, y) \ \det(yI_2 - \hat{L}_0(\lambda, t)) = 0 = \lim_{\hbar \to 0} \det(yI_2 - \hat{L}(\lambda, t))\}$$

• It gives a family (time-dependent) of singular hyperelliptic genus 0 curves:

$$y^2 = 4(x - q_0(t))^2(x + 2q_0(t))$$

- We can apply TR to it so that coefficients of formal WKB expansion are given by integrals of Eynard-Orantin differentials [12]
- Computation of formal coefficients (q<sub>k</sub>(t))<sub>k≥1</sub> and (Ψ<sub>k</sub>(λ, t))<sub>k≥-1</sub> by induction ⇒ divergent but Gevrey 1-series

## Borel-resummation in the *t*-plane

- Works of N. Nikolaev providing mathematically rigorous Laplace-Borel resummation both in for  $\hat{q}(t;\hbar)$  and  $\Psi(\lambda, t;\hbar)$ . (See [17, 18, 19]) in  $\lambda$  for fixed t
- Results already conjectured and used by mathematical physicists
- Natural coordinate is  $q_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  rather than  $t (q_0(t) = \left(-\frac{t}{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}})$  to avoid square root branch.
- Existence of 5 sectors in the  $q_0$ -plane

## Stokes sectors in the *t* or $q_0$ -plane



<ロ > < 部 > < 書 > < 書 > 差 の < で 54/70

## Borel resummation theorems for $q(t; \hbar)$

#### Existence of uniqueness of 0-parameter solutions from Borel resummation

Choose a phase  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  and a Stokes sector  $V_{\scriptscriptstyle (k)}$  in the *t*-plane. Define

$$\mathbb{H}_{\theta} := \left\{ \textit{re}^{i\vartheta} ~ \middle| ~ r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta \in \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}, \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\}$$

Then, there is a domain  $\mathbb{V}_{(k)} \subset V_{(k)} \times \mathbb{H}_{\theta}$  such that the Painlevé 1 equation has a unique holomorphic solution  $q_{(k)}$  on  $\mathbb{V}_{(k)}$  which admits an asymptotic expansion of factorial type:

$$q_{(k)}(t,\hbar)\sim \hat{q}_{(k)}(t,\hbar)$$
 as  $\hbar
ightarrow 0$  unif. along  $\left( heta-rac{\pi}{2}, heta+rac{\pi}{2}
ight)$  ,

locally uniformly for all  $t \in V_{(k)}$ .

#### Remark

The domain  $\mathbb{V}_{(k)}$  satisfies that every point  $t_0 \in V_{(k)}$  has a neighborhood  $V \subset V_{(k)}$  such that there is a sector  $U \subset \mathbb{H}_{\theta}$  with opening  $\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}, \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$  with the property that  $V \times U \subset \mathbb{V}_{(k)}$ .

## Towards Exact WKB wave matrices: Step 1 Stokes lines

- Insert q<sub>(k)</sub> in the Lax matrix to have a well defined analytic Lax matrix admitting a formal power series expansion in ħ
- Solve recursively the formal problem (careful on compatibility). In particular no singularity for coefficients at the double point  $\lambda = q_0$  (See also Topological Type Property)
- Exact WKB resummation implies to avoid **Stokes curves** defining the **Stokes graph** (or "spectral network") defined by

$$\operatorname{Im} \Big( \Phi(\lambda) - \Phi(-2q_0) \Big) = 0$$
 and  $\operatorname{Im} \Big( \Phi(\lambda) - \Phi(q_0) \Big) = 0$ 

where  $y^2=4(\lambda-q_0)^2(\lambda+2q_0)$  is the classical spectral curve and

$$\Phi(\lambda) := e^{-i\theta} \int^{\lambda} y dx = e^{-i\theta} \left( \frac{4}{5} (\lambda - 3q_0) (\lambda + 2q_0)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)$$

- Corresponds to Stokes trajectories ending at the ramification point  $-2q_0$  or the double point  $q_0$
- Stokes graph defines Stokes sectors.
- Critical Stokes graphs only when t belongs to a Stokes line in the t-plane.

56 / 70

## Example of Stokes graphs



Figure: Stokes graphs in the  $\lambda$ -plane for  $\theta = 0$  and various values of  $q_0$ 

## Stokes regions in the $\lambda$ -plane for non-critical configurations



Figure: Labelling convention for the Stokes regions in the  $\lambda$ -plane (left) and the Stokes cells in the classical spectral curve (middle and right).

## Step 2: Exact WKB wave matrices

Existence and uniqueness in each Stokes sector (work in progress)

Fix a phase  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Select  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  in a Stokes sector  $V := V_{(k)}$  and associated holomorphic  $q_{(k)}$ .

Let  $\hat{\Psi}$  be a formal WKB wave matrix of the formal  $\hbar$ -deformed Painlevé 1 system.

Select a *t*-dependent Stokes region  $U \subset \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$ . Then, there is a canonical WKB wave matrix  $\Psi$  over U. Namely, there is a domain  $\mathbb{U} \subset U imes \mathbb{H}_{ heta}$  such that the  $\hbar$ -deformed Painlevé 1 system has a unique holomorphic fundamental solution  $\Psi$  on  $\mathbb{U}$  with the property that

$$\Psi(\lambda,t,\hbar)\sim \hat{\Psi}(\lambda,t,\hbar)$$
 as  $\hbar o 0$  unif. along  $\left( heta-rac{\pi}{2}, heta+rac{\pi}{2}
ight)$ 

of factorial/WKB type, locally uniformly for all  $\lambda \in U$ . Specifically,  $\Psi$  is the Borel resummation of  $\hat{\Psi}$  with phase  $\theta$ , locally uniformly for all  $\lambda \in U$ .

## Stokes phenomenon and jump matrices

- Previous theorem defines (Ψ<sub>A</sub>,...,Ψ<sub>F</sub>) that are solutions to the ħ-deformed P1 system.
- Each solution can be analytically continued and is holomorphic in the full  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$  plane (ODE has no finite singularity)
- The factorial/WKB property is only valid in the Stokes sector indexing the wave matrix
- Lax system is linear  $\Rightarrow$  existence of **Stokes matrices**  $\Psi_A = \Psi_B S_{AB}$  etc. Always time-independent.
- On the spectral curve one scalar solution does not jump  $\Rightarrow S_{UU'}$  is lower or upper triangular matrices for contiguous Stokes sectors.
- Upon proper normalization of the columns we get Stokes matrices of the form

$$S_{U,U'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 or  $S_{U,U'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \star & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

for contiguous Stokes region U and U'.

• Branchcut (exchange sheets)  $\Rightarrow$  Stokes matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha \\ \alpha^{-1} & 0 \\ \alpha^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

## Stokes matrices version 1



Figure: Stokes matrices after turning each vertex

## Stokes matrices around the double turning point

- The value of α is not important. Corresponds to a choice of normalization between the two sheets. Usually set to α = i by physicists (normalization at the ramification point) or to α = 1 (normalization at infinity)
- Last step is to prove that s<sub>-</sub> = s<sub>+</sub> = 0, i.e. no active Stokes matrices at the double turning point
- Consequence of the fact that formal WKB solutions have regular coefficients at  $\lambda=q_0$
- Difficult technical part is to integrate the flows in the Borel planes (both in  $(\lambda, \xi)$  and  $(t, \xi)$ ) and keep them compatible
- Recover conjectured Kapaev's Stokes matrices [13] for connections associated to tritronquée (0-parameter) solutions of *P*1 in a different context.

## Stokes matrices version 2



Figure: Final Stokes matrices

## Riemann-Hilbert problem for 0-parameter solutions of P1

#### RHP for 0-parameter solutions of P1 (work in progress)

Let  $t \in V_{(k)}$ . We look for  $\Psi(\lambda, t; \hbar)$  such that

- Ψ is holomorphic for λ ∈ C except on the previous Stokes lines where it has jumps given by the previous Stokes matrices
- ② Ψ admits the following expansion at λ → ∞ (consequence of the local Birkhoff factorization):

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi(\lambda, t; \hbar) e^{-\frac{\theta(x, t)}{\hbar}} - I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{diag}(\hbar) \text{ where } \theta(\lambda, t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{5}\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} + t\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_3$$

#### Work in progress

The previous RHP admits a unique solution which is obtained as the Borel-resummation of  $\hat{\Psi}$ 

## Possible generalizations

- Main interest is to obtain a Riemann-Hilbert problem formulation. Make the link with Hermitian matrix models and orthogonal polynomials approach.
- Generalization to 0-parameter solutions of Hamiltonian systems arising from isomonodromic deformations (at least rank 2) is very likely. Difficulty is to describe general properties of Stokes graphs both in the *t*-plane and the  $\lambda$ -plane.
- Physicists [9, 11, 13, 20] conjectured similar results for general 2-parameters solutions of the Painlevé 1 equation described by formal transseries. Stokes graphs and Stokes matrices are very similar (but all are active). Mathematically difficult because no clear  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  limit in transseries. What is the meaning of Gevrey 1-series? What could generalize Borel resummation for transseries?
- Could give a mathematically rigorous understanding of *resurgence* in physics

## Thank You

< □ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ つ Q (~ 66 / 70

## References I

- M. Adams, J. Harnad, and J. Hurtubise. Dual moment maps into loop algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 20:299–308, 1990.
- [2] M. Alameddine and O. Marchal. Explicit Hamiltonian representations of meromorphic connections and duality from different perspectives: a case study. 2024.
- [3] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, and J. Harnad. Duality, Biorthogonal Polynomials and Multi-Matrix Models. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 229:73–120, 2001.
- [4] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, and J. Harnad. Duality of spectral curves arising in two-matrix models. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 134:27–38, 2003.
- [5] M. Bertola, J. Harnad, and J. Hurtubise. Hamiltonian structure of rational isomonodromic deformation systems. J. Math. Phys., 64(8), 2023.
- [6] Y. Bibilo and G. Filipuk. Middle convolution and non-Schlesinger deformations. Proc. Jpn. Acad. A: Math. Sci., 91(5):66–69, 2015.
- [7] P. Boalch. Simply-laced isomonodromy systems. Publ. Math. IHÉS, 116:1–68, 2012.

## References II

- [8] B. Eynard, E. Garcia-Failde, O. Marchal, and N. Orantin. Quantization of classical spectral curves via topological recursion. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 405(5):116, 2024.
- [9] S. Garoufalidis, A. Its, A. Kapaev, and M. Mariño. Asymptotics of the instantons of Painlevé I. Int. Math. Res. Not., 2012(3):561–606, 2012.
- [10] J. P. Harnad. Dual isomonodromic deformations and moment maps to loop algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 166:337–366, 1994.
- [11] K. Iwaki. 2-parameter  $\tau$ -function for the first Painlevé equation: topological recursion and direct monodromy problem via exact WKB analysis. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 377(2):1047–1098, 2020.
- [12] K. Iwaki, O. Marchal, and A. Saenz. Painlevé equations, topological type property and reconstruction by the topological recursion. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 124:16–54, 2018. math-ph/1601.02517.
- [13] A. Kapaev. Quasi-linear Stokes phenomenon for the Painlevé first equation. J. Phys. A Math. Theor., 37(46):11149–11167, 2004.

## References III

- [14] O. Marchal and M. Alameddine. Isomonodromic and isospectral deformations of meromorphic connections: the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  case. *Nonlinearity*, 37(11), 2024.
- [15] O. Marchal and M. Alameddine. Hamiltonian representation of isomonodromic deformations of twisted rational connections: The Painlevé 1 hierarchy. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 406(1), 2025.
- [16] O. Marchal, N. Orantin, and M. Alameddine. Hamiltonian representation of isomonodromic deformations of general rational connections on  $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , 2022. arXiv:2212.04833.
- [17] N. Nikolaev. Exact solutions for the singularly perturbed Ricatti equation and exact WKB analysis. *Nagoya Math. J.*, pages 1–36, 2022.
- [18] N. Nikolaev. Existence and uniqueness of exact WKB solutions for second-order singularly perturbed linear ODEs. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 2022.
- [19] N. Nikolaev. Geometry and Resurgence of WKB Solutions of Schrödinger Equations, 2024. arXiv:2410.17224.

## **References IV**

- [20] A. van Spaendonck and M. Vonk. Painlevé I and exact WKB: Stokes phenomenon for two-parameter transseries. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 55, 2022.
- [21] N. Woodhouse. Duality for the general isomonodromy problem. J. Geom. Phys., 57(4):1147–1170, 2007.
- [22] D. Yamakawa. Middle convolution and Harnad duality. Math. Ann., 349:215–262, 2011.