

Quantization of classical spectral curves and isomonodromic deformations

Marchal Olivier

Université Jean Monnet St-Etienne, France
Institut Camille Jordan, Lyon, France
Institut Universitaire de France

April 12th 2023

- 1 Classical spectral curve, TR
 - Classical spectral curve and technical assumptions
 - Topological recursion
- 2 Perturbative wave functions
 - Definition
 - KZ equations
 - Regularization for divisors at infinity
 - PDE form of KZ equations and operators
- 3 Non-perturbative wave functions
- 4 Lax pairs
 - Compatible systems arising from KZ equations
 - Gauge transformations and quantum curve
- 5 Lax systems and isomonodromic deformations
 - Meromorphic connections and isomonodromic deformations
 - Determinantal formulas
- 6 Example
 - Classical spectral curve and coordinates
 - KZ equations and Lax pairs
 - Time evolution and Painlevé 2
- 7 Open questions

General position of the talk

General problem

How to quantize a “**classical spectral curve**” ($[y, \lambda] = 0$)

$$P(\lambda, y) = 0, \quad P \text{ rational in } \lambda, \text{ monic polynomial in } y$$

into a **linear differential equation** ($[\hbar\partial_\lambda, \lambda] = \hbar$):

$$\left(\hat{P} \left(\lambda, \hbar \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) \right) \psi(\lambda, \hbar) = 0?$$

\hat{P} rational in λ with **same pole structure** as P .

Key ingredients

Key ingredient 1: Topological recursion [24].

Key ingredient 2: Integrable systems, Lax pairs:

$$\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t}) = L(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t}) \Psi(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t}), \quad \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t}) = A_{\mathbf{t}}(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t}) \Psi(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t})$$

Strategy of the construction

- 1 Define proper initial data to apply topological recursion (TR)
⇒ **Minor technical restrictions on the classical spectral curve**
- 2 Apply TR to initial data: ⇒ Output: $(\omega_{h,n})_{h,n \geq 0}$: “*TR differentials*”.
- 3 Stack the $\omega_{h,n}$ into some “*perturbative wave functions*” $(\psi_i(z))_{i=1}^d$.
⇒ **formal WKB series in \hbar** .
- 4 Take kind of “formal Fourier transform” to get “*non-perturbative wave functions*” and regroup them into a wave matrix $\Psi^{\text{NP}}(\lambda; \hbar)$
⇒ **Formal trans-series in \hbar** .
- 5 Prove that $\hbar \partial_\lambda \Psi^{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar) = L(\lambda, \hbar) \Psi^{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar)$ with L rational with controlled pole structure. \Leftrightarrow “*Quantum curve*”.
- 6 Obtain *auxiliary systems* $\hbar \partial_t \Psi^{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t}) = A_t(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t}) \Psi^{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar, \mathbf{t})$ with A_t rational with dominated pole structure ⇒ Connection with **isomonodromic deformations**.

Known results and applications

- Review on TR and quantum curves by P. Norbury [36].
- Elements of the strategy already existing in the literature [9, 18, 20, 23, 24, 35].
- Non-perturbative part is not necessary for **genus 0 classical spectral curves**.
- **Several examples** worked out in details [14, 15, 16, 17, 28, 30, 39].
- **Reverse approach also exists** [3, 7, 29, 33]:
 [Lax pair: $(L(\lambda, \hbar), A(\lambda, \hbar)) + \text{Topological type property}] \Rightarrow$
 Ψ reconstructed by TR applied on the associated classical spectral curve
 $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \det(yI_d - L(\lambda, \hbar)) = 0.$
- Applications in **enumerative geometry** [2, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 19, 37, 38, 40, 25, 26].

Summary of the general results

- Results presented following [32] for \mathfrak{sl}_2 case (hyper-elliptic case) and [22] **for the general \mathfrak{gl}_d case**. Similar works for \mathfrak{sl}_2 case in [21].
- Connection with isomonodromic deformations only in \mathfrak{gl}_2 case (so far) in [34, 31].
- Technical assumptions on the classical spectral curve include
 - **Pole of any degree including infinity.**
 - **Poles may be ramification points.**
 - **Ramification points are simple and smooth.**
- Main results: Construction of the **matrix wave functions**, **quantum curve** and some **compatible auxiliary systems with same pole structure as the initial spectral curve**.
- Application of the theory to all genus 1 cases in $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ recovers the six **Painlevé Lax pairs**.

Classical spectral curve, TR

Classical spectral curve

Classical spectral curve

Let $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N)$ be $N \geq 0$ distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_d(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N, \infty)$ be the Hurwitz space of covers $x: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree d defined as the Riemann surface

$$\Sigma := \overline{\{(\lambda, y) \mid P(\lambda, y) = 0\}},$$

where

$$P(\lambda, y) = \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l y^{d-l} P_l(\lambda) = 0, \quad P_0(\lambda) = 1$$

with each coefficient $(P_l)_{l \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}$ **being a rational function with possible poles at $\lambda \in \mathcal{P} := \{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N \cup \{\infty\}$.**

A *classical spectral curve* (Σ, x) is the data of the Riemann surface Σ and its realization as a Hurwitz cover of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Classical spectral curve with fixed pole structure

Classical spectral curve with fixed pole structure

For $l \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket$, let $r_\infty^{(l)}$ and $\left(r_{\Lambda_i}^{(l)}\right)_{i=1}^N$ be some non-negative integers. We consider the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_d \left((\Lambda_1, (r_{\Lambda_1}^{(l)})_{l=1}^d), \dots, (\Lambda_N, (r_{\Lambda_N}^{(l)})_{l=1}^d), (\infty, (r_\infty^{(l)})_{l=1}^d) \right) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N, \infty)$$

of covers x such that the rational functions $(P_l)_{l=1}^d$ are of the form

$$P_l(\lambda) := \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}_P^{(l)}} P_{P,k}^{(l)} \xi_P(\lambda)^{-k}, \text{ for } l \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket,$$

where we have defined

$$\forall i \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket : \mathbf{S}_{\Lambda_i}^{(l)} := \llbracket \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{r}_{\Lambda_i}^{(l)} \rrbracket \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}_\infty^{(l)} := \llbracket \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}_\infty^{(l)} \rrbracket,$$

and the local coordinates $\{\xi_P(\lambda)\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ around $P \in \mathcal{P}$ are defined by

$$\forall i \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket : \xi_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda) := (\lambda - \Lambda_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\infty(\lambda) := \lambda^{-1}$$

Canonical local coordinates and spectral times

Canonical local coordinates

Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $p \in x^{-1}(P)$. Canonical coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 near P are

$$\xi_P(\lambda) := \lambda - P \quad \text{if } P \neq \infty, \quad \xi_P(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad \text{if } P = \infty$$

Canonical local coordinates near any $p \in x^{-1}(P)$ are

$$\zeta_p(z) = \xi_P(x(z))^{1/d_p}, \quad d_p = \text{order}_p(\xi_P)$$

Spectral times (KP times)

The 1-form ydx has the following expansion:

$$ydx = \sum_{k=0}^{r_p-1} t_{p,k} \zeta_p^{-k-1} d\zeta_p + \text{analytic at } p.$$

$\mathbf{t} = (t_{p,k})_{p \in x^{-1}(\mathcal{P}), k \in \llbracket 1, r_p-1 \rrbracket}$ are called **“irregular or spectral times”**.
 $\mathbf{t}_0 = (t_{p,0})_{p \in x^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$ are called **“monodromies”**.

Ramification points and critical values

Ramification points and critical values

We denote by \mathcal{R}_0 the set of all ramification points of the cover x , and by \mathcal{R} the set of all ramification points that are not poles (i.e. not in $x^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$),

$$\mathcal{R}_0 := \{p \in \Sigma / 1 + \text{order}_p dx \neq \pm 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{R} := \{p \in \Sigma / dx(p) = 0, x(p) \notin \mathcal{P}\} = \mathcal{R}_0 \setminus x^{-1}(\mathcal{P}).$$

We shall refer to their images $x(\mathcal{R})$ as the *critical values* of x .

Admissible spectral curve

Admissible classical spectral curves

We say that a classical spectral curve (Σ, x) is *admissible* if it satisfies:

- The Riemann surface Σ defined by $P(\lambda, y) = 0$ is an **irreducible algebraic curve**, i.e. $P(\lambda, y)$ does not factorize.
- All **ramification points are simple**, i.e. dx has only a simple zero at $a \in \mathcal{R}$.
- **Critical values are distinct**: for any $(a_i, a_j) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R}$ such that $a_i \neq a_j$ then $x(a_i) \neq x(a_j)$.
- **Ramification points are smooth**: for any $a \in \mathcal{R}$, $dy(a) \neq 0$ (i.e. the tangent vector $(dx(a), dy(a))$ to the immersed curve $\{(\lambda, y) \mid P(\lambda, y) = 0\}$ is not vanishing at a).
- **Generic ramified poles**: for any pole $p \in x^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ ramified, the 1-form ydx has a pole of degree $r_p \geq 3$ at p , and the corresponding spectral times satisfy $t_{p, r_p-2} \neq 0$.

Remarks on the technical assumptions

- Topology of admissible spectral curves relatively to spectral times is complicated. \Rightarrow Spectral times are not independent. Tangent space and deformations hard to define for $d \geq 3$.
- Tangent space defined for $d = 2 \leftrightarrow$ Existence of deformations $\partial_{t_p, k}$. Split into trivial deformations (Möbius transformations and $\mathfrak{gl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2$) and g isomonodromic deformations.
- Ingredients to remove some technical assumptions already exist in the literature: simple ramification points, smooth ramification points, reducible algebraic curves.
- Defining properly the tangent space (in the spirit of [34]) would allow to make the connection with isomonodromic deformations for $d \geq 3$.
- Condition that ramified poles are generic allows to exclude ramified poles in the residues of TR.

Admissible initial data

Admissible initial data

Given an **admissible spectral curve** (Σ, x) of genus g , we add

- **Choice of Torelli marking** $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)_{i=1}^g$.
 \Leftrightarrow Associated “Bergman” kernel (normalized fundamental second kind differential) $B^{(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)_{i=1}^g}$.
- A generic smooth point $o \in \Sigma \setminus x^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ and some choice of non-intersecting homology chains $\mathcal{C}_{o \rightarrow p}$ for each $p \in x^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ compatible with the Torelli marking:

$$\forall p \in x^{-1}(\mathcal{P}), \forall i \in \llbracket 1, g \rrbracket, \quad \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_{o \rightarrow p} = 0 = \mathcal{B}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_{o \rightarrow p},$$

These three ingredients define some “**admissible initial data**” on which TR can be applied. Denoted $((\Sigma, x), (\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)_{i=1}^g)$.

General considerations

- Initial version [24] of TR dating back to 2007 is sufficient since ramification points are assumed simple.
- Some generalizations of TR exist to deal with non-simple ramification points, non-irreducible curves [8, 13].
- **TR takes admissible initial data as input and provides some TR differentials $(\omega_{h,n})_{h \geq 0, n \geq 0}$ as output.**
- These differentials are computed by recursion on $s = n + 2h$ starting from

$$\omega_{0,1} := ydx, \quad \omega_{0,2} := B^{(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)_{i=1}^g},$$

Definition of TR

Definition of Topological Recursion

We have for $h \geq 0$, $n \geq 0$ with $(h, n) \notin \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$:

$$\omega_{h,n+1}(z_0, \mathbf{z}) := \sum_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Res}_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\int_{\sigma_a(z)}^z \omega_{0,2}(z_0, \cdot)}{\omega_{0,1}(z) - \sigma_a^* \omega_{0,1}(z)} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{h,n+1}^{(2)}(z, \sigma_a(z); \mathbf{z}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{h,n+1}^{(2)}(z, z'; \mathbf{z}) &:= \omega_{h-1,n+2}(z, z', \mathbf{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{A \sqcup B = \mathbf{z}, s \in \llbracket 0, h \rrbracket \\ (s, |A|) \notin \{(0, 0), (h, n)\}}} \omega_{s,|A|+1}(z, A) \omega_{h-s,|B|+1}(z', B) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\omega_{h,0} := \frac{1}{2-2h} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Res}_{z \rightarrow a} \omega_{h,1}(z) \Phi(z), \quad \forall h \geq 2$$

and $(\omega_{0,0}, \omega_{1,0})$ defined by specific formulas (See [24])

Loop equations

- Some combinations of the TR differentials have interesting properties \Rightarrow “*Loop equations*”
- Following [9], for $(h, n, l) \in \mathbb{N}^3$:

$$Q_{h,n+1}^{(0)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z}) = \hat{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(0)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z}) = \tilde{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(0)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z}) = \delta_{h,0} \delta_{n,0}.$$

$$Q_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\beta \subseteq x^{-1}(\lambda)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\beta)} \sum_{\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} J_i = \mathbf{z}} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} g_i = h + l(\mu) - l} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \omega_{g_i, |\mu_i| + |J_i|}(\mu_i, J_i) \right]$$

$$\hat{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\beta \subseteq (x^{-1}(x(\mathbf{z})) \setminus \{\mathbf{z}\})} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\beta)} \sum_{\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} J_i = \mathbf{z}} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} g_i = h + l(\mu) - l} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \omega_{g_i, |\mu_i| + |J_i|}(\mu_i, J_i) \right]$$

$$\tilde{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z}) = \frac{Q_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z})}{(d\lambda)^l} - \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - x(z_j)} \frac{\hat{Q}_{h,n}^{(l-1)}(z_j; \mathbf{z} \setminus \{z_j\})}{(dx(z_j))^{l-1}} \right)$$

Loop equations

For any $(h, n, l) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and any $\mathbf{z} \in (\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{R})^n$, the function $\lambda \mapsto \frac{Q_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z})}{(d\lambda)^l}$ has no poles at critical values.

Perturbative wave functions

Generic perturbative wave functions

Perturbative wave functions

$((\Sigma, x), (\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)_{i=1}^g)$ admissible initial data, $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i [p_i]$ generic divisor on Σ . *Perturbative wave functions* associated to D are

$$\psi(D, \hbar) := \exp \left(\sum_{h, n \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^{2h-2+n}}{n!} \int_D \cdots \int_D \omega_{h,n}(\mathbf{z}) - \delta_{h,0} \delta_{n,2} \frac{dx(z_1)dx(z_2)}{(x(z_1) - x(z_2))^2} \right)$$

$$\forall i \in [1, s] : \psi_{0,i}(D, \hbar) := \psi(D, \hbar),$$

$$\forall i \in [1, s], l \geq 1 : \psi_{l,i}(D, \hbar) := \left[\sum_{h \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^{2h+n}}{n!} \int_D \cdots \int_D \frac{\hat{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(p_i; \cdot)}{(dx(p_i))^l} \right] \psi(D, \hbar).$$

Remark

Definition as a **formal power series in \hbar times exponential terms in finite negative powers of \hbar (formal WKB series)**:

$$e^{-\hbar^{-2}\omega_{0,0}} e^{-\hbar^{-1} \int_D \omega_{0,1}} \psi(D, \hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]].$$

KZ equations

- Loop equations translate into Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations [9]

Generic KZ equations

For $i \in \llbracket 1, s \rrbracket$ and $l \in \llbracket 0, d - 1 \rrbracket$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hbar}{\alpha_i} \frac{d\psi_{l,i}(D, \hbar)}{dx(p_i)} &= -\psi_{l+1,i}(D, \hbar) - \hbar \sum_{j \in \llbracket 1, s \rrbracket \setminus \{i\}} \alpha_j \frac{\psi_{l,i}(D, \hbar) - \psi_{l,j}(D, \hbar)}{x(p_i) - x(p_j)} \\ &+ \sum_{h \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^{2h+n}}{n!} \int_{z_1 \in D} \cdots \int_{z_n \in D} \tilde{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(l+1)}(x(p_i); \mathbf{z}) \psi(D, \hbar) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_i} - \alpha_i \right) \left[\sum_{(h,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \frac{\hbar^{2h+n+1}}{n!} \overbrace{\int_D \cdots \int_D}^n \frac{d}{dx(p_i)} \left(\frac{\hat{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(p_i; \cdot)}{(dx(p_i))^l} \right) \right] \psi(D, \hbar). \end{aligned}$$

- Valid for generic divisors (p_i not a pole or a ramification point).
- Simplification for two points divisors with $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \{-1, +1\}^2$.

Remarks

- KZ equations allow to obtain PDEs for $\psi(D, \hbar)$.
- Generic divisors provide PDEs with derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x(z)}$ up to order d^2 generically.
- Quantum curve is expected to be of order d and not d^2 .
- At least two specific choices of divisors allow for order d :
 $D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}]$ or $D = [z] - [\sigma(z)]$.
- Open question: are there other choices that provide PDEs of order d ?

Regularization of perturbative wave functions for

$$D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}]$$

Infinity is a pole of the classical spectral curve $\Rightarrow D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}]$ is **not** a generic divisor \Rightarrow Some quantities ($\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$) require **regularization** obtained from $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty^{(\alpha)}} ([z] - [p])$

Definition of regularized wave function

$$\psi^{\text{reg}}(D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}], \hbar) := \exp \left(\hbar^{-1} \left(V_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}(z) + \int_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}^z (y dx - dV_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}) \right) \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{E(z, \infty^{(\alpha)}) \sqrt{dx(z) d\zeta_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}(\infty^{(\alpha)})}} \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 3\delta_{h,0}} \frac{\hbar^{2h-2+n}}{n!} \int_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}^z \cdots \int_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}^z \omega_{h,n} \right)$$

$$\psi_l^{\text{reg}}(D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}], \hbar) :=$$

$$\left(\sum_{n \geq 3\delta_{h,0}} \frac{\hbar^{2h+n}}{n!} \int_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}^z \cdots \int_{\infty^{(\alpha)}}^z \frac{\hat{Q}_{h,n+1}^{(l)}(z; z_1, \dots, z_n)}{dx(z)^l} \right) \psi^{\text{reg}}(D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}], \hbar)$$

KZ equations for regularized wave functions

KZ equations for regularized wave functions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hbar \frac{d}{dx(z)} \psi_l^{\text{reg}}(D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}], \hbar) + \psi_{l+1}^{\text{reg}}(D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}], \hbar) \\
 &= \left[\sum_{h \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^{2h+n}}{n!} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k \in S_P^{(l+1)}} \xi_P(x(z))^{-k} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow P}{\text{Res}} d\xi_P(\lambda) \xi_P(\lambda)^{k-1} \right. \\
 & \left. \int_{z_1 = \infty^{(\alpha)}}^{z_1 = z} \cdots \int_{z_n = \infty^{(\alpha)}}^{z_n = z} \frac{Q_{h,n+1}^{(l+1)}(\lambda; \mathbf{z})}{(d\lambda)^{l+1}} \right] \psi^{\text{reg}}(D = [z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}], \hbar)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comments and technical issue

- RHS of KZ equations uses residues, i.e. integrals.
- RHS may be rewritten using **generalized integrals**, i.e. **linear operators** $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_{p,k}}$.
- $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_{p,k}}$ is expected to correspond to $\partial_{t_{p,k}}$. Valid for $d = 2$ and examples.
- Action of these operators is defined only on a sub-algebra generated by $\int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \omega_{h,n}$. \Leftrightarrow **Algebra of symbols**
- One need to check that these operators never act on something else.

PDE form of KZ equations

PDE form of KZ equations

$$\hbar \frac{d}{dx(z)} \psi_l^{\text{reg}}([z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}]) + \psi_{l+1}^{\text{reg}}([z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}]) = \text{ev. } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(x(z)) \left[\psi^{\text{reg symb}}([z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}]) \right]$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_l(x(z)) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k \in S_P^{(l+1)}} \xi_P(x(z))^{-k} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{P,k,l}$$

Definition of the operators

Definition of the operators $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{P,k,l}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{P,k,l} = & \epsilon_P^{l+1} \left[\xi_P(x(z))^{-(l+1)\epsilon_P} \sum_{\ell'=0}^{l+1} \sum_{\nu' \subset_{\ell'} \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} \prod_{j \in \nu'} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{r_{P(j)}-1} \frac{t_{P(j),m}}{d_{P(j)}} \epsilon_P^{-\frac{m}{d_{P(j)}}} \right) \right. \\
 & \sum_{0 \leq \ell'' \leq \frac{l+1-\ell'}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu'' \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}(\llbracket 1, d \rrbracket \setminus \nu') \\ l(\nu'') = \ell''}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell''} \frac{\hbar^2 R^{(P)} \nu_i''}{d_{P(\nu_i'',+)}^d d_{P(\nu_i'',-)}^d} \\
 & \left. \sum_{\nu \substack{\subset \\ l+1-\ell'-2\ell''} \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket \setminus (\nu' \cup \nu'')} \prod_{j \in \nu} \left(\hbar^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{m}{d_{P(j)}}} \frac{\xi_P}{d_{P(j)}} \mathcal{I}_{C_{P(j),k}} \right) \right]_{-k} \\
 + & \hbar \delta_{P,\infty} \frac{\epsilon_\infty^{l+1}}{d_\infty(\alpha)} \left[\xi_\infty(x(z))^{-(l+1)\epsilon_\infty} \sum_{\ell'=0}^{l+1} \sum_{\nu' \subset_{\ell'} \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket \setminus \{\alpha\}} \prod_{j \in \nu'} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{r_{\infty(j)}-1} \frac{t_{\infty(j),k}}{d_{\infty(j)}} \epsilon_\infty^{-\frac{m}{d_{\infty(j)}}} \right) \right. \\
 & \sum_{0 \leq \ell'' \leq \frac{l+1-\ell'}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu'' \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}(\llbracket 1, d \rrbracket \setminus (\nu' \cup \{\alpha\})) \\ l(\nu'') = \ell''}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell''} \frac{\hbar^2 R^{(\infty)} \nu_i''}{d_{\infty(\nu_i'',+)}^d d_{\infty(\nu_i'',-)}^d} \\
 & \left. \sum_{\nu \substack{\subset \\ l-\ell'-2\ell''} \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket \setminus (\nu' \cup \nu'' \cup \{\alpha\})} \prod_{j \in \nu} \left(\hbar^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{m}{d_{\infty(j)}}} \frac{\xi_{\infty(j)}}{d_{\infty(j)}} \mathcal{I}_{C_{\infty(j),m}} \right) \right]_{-k}
 \end{aligned}$$

Monodromies

- Perturbative wave functions have **bad monodromies** on \mathcal{B} -cycles.
- Monodromies are directly connected to **a shift of the filling fractions**
 $\epsilon_i = \oint_{\mathcal{A}_i} \omega_{0,1}$ **by \hbar** .
- Monodromies issues only arise for genus $g > 0$ classical spectral curves.
- Solution is to “sum over filling fractions” \Rightarrow Formal Fourier transform \Rightarrow **non-perturbative corrections**.

Non-perturbative wave functions

Non perturbative wave functions

Integrals of TR differentials

For any divisor D , let us define $G_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}^{(0)}(D) = \delta_{k,0}$ and for $r \geq 1$:

$$G_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)}^{(r)}(D) = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{(h_1, n_1), \dots, (h_\ell, n_\ell)} \delta \left(r = k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} 2h_j - 2 + n_j \right) \\ \left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \dots \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{B}_{i_k}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{n_j!} \overbrace{\int_D \dots \int_D}^{n_j} \omega_{h_j, n_j} \right) \right)_{\text{stable}}$$

$$G_{\emptyset}^{(1)}(D) = \int_D \omega_{1,1} + \frac{1}{6} \int_D \int_D \int_D \omega_{0,3}, \quad G_{(i_1)}^{(1)}(D) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \omega_{1,1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_D \int_D \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \omega_{0,3}$$

$$G_{(i_1, i_2)}^{(2)}(D) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \omega_{1,1} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_2}} \omega_{1,1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_D \int_D \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_2}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \omega_{0,4} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \omega_{1,1} \int_D \int_D \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_2}} \omega_{0,3} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_2}} \omega_{1,1} \int_D \int_D \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \omega_{0,3} \\ + \frac{1}{8} \int_D \int_D \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_1}} \omega_{0,3} \int_D \int_D \int_{\mathcal{B}_{i_2}} \omega_{0,3}$$

Non perturbative wave functions

Non perturbative wave functions

$$\psi_{\text{NP}}(D; \hbar, \rho) := e^{\hbar^{-2}\omega_{0,0} + \omega_{1,0}} e^{\hbar^{-1} \int_D \omega_{0,1}} \frac{1}{E(D)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \hbar^r G^{(r)}(D; \rho)$$

where E prime form on Σ and

$$G^{(r)}(D; \rho) := \sum_{k=0}^{3r} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \llbracket 1, g \rrbracket^k} \Theta^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}(\mathbf{v}, \tau) G_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}^{(r)}(D)$$

with

$$v_j := \frac{\rho_j + \phi_j}{\hbar} + \mu_j^{(\alpha)}(z), \quad \phi_j := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_j} \omega_{0,1}, \quad \mu_j^{(\alpha)}(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_D \oint_{\mathcal{B}_j} \omega_{0,2}.$$

Moreover

$$\psi_{l, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z, \hbar, \rho) := \sum_{\beta \subseteq \overline{\{x^{-1}(x(z)) \setminus \{z\}\}}^l} \frac{1}{l!} \text{ev.} \left(\prod_{j=1}^l \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_{\beta_j, 1}} \right) \psi_{\text{NP}}^{\text{symbol}}([z] - [\infty^{(\alpha)}]; \hbar, \rho)$$

and $d \times d$ wave functions matrix

$$\widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar, \rho) := \left[\psi_{l-1, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(\alpha)}(\lambda), \hbar, \rho) \right]_{1 \leq l, \alpha \leq d},$$

Trans-series in \hbar

- Non-perturbative quantities are **formal trans-series in \hbar** of the form

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \hbar^r e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^g n_j \phi_j} F_{r, \mathbf{n}},$$

- Equalities should only be considered coefficients by coefficients in the trans-monomials.
- Non-perturbative wave functions satisfy same KZ equations as the perturbative wave functions.
- Non-perturbative wave functions have **good monodromies**. \Rightarrow **rational functions** of λ .



Lax pairs

Lax systems

Lax systems

We have the Lax systems

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar \frac{d\widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar)}{d\lambda} &= \widehat{L}(\lambda, \hbar) \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar) \\ \hbar^{-1} \text{ev.} \mathcal{L}_{P,k,l} \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NP}}^{\text{symbol}}(\lambda, \hbar) &= \widehat{A}_{P,k,l}(\lambda, \hbar) \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}(\lambda, \hbar) &= \left[-\widehat{P}(\lambda) + \hbar \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_P^{-k}(\lambda) \widehat{\Delta}_{P,k}(\lambda, \hbar) \right] \\ \left[\widehat{\Delta}_{P,k}(\lambda, \hbar) \right]_{2,j} &= \left[\widehat{A}_{P,k,l}(\lambda, \hbar) \right]_{1,j}, \quad \forall j \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{P}(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} -P_1(\lambda) & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -P_2(\lambda) & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -P_{d-1}(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -P_d(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Gauge transformation to recover companion-like matrix when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$

Define

$$G(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ P_1(\lambda) & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ P_2(\lambda) & -P_1(\lambda) & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{d-2}(\lambda) & -P_{d-3}(\lambda) & P_{d-4}(\lambda) & \dots & (-1)^{d-2} & 0 \\ P_{d-1}(\lambda) & -P_{d-2}(\lambda) & P_{d-3}(\lambda) & \dots & (-1)^{d-2}P_1(\lambda) & (-1)^{d-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar) &:= (G(\lambda))^{-1} \hat{\Psi}_{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar) \\ \hbar \frac{d\tilde{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar)}{d\lambda} &= \tilde{L}(\lambda, \hbar) \tilde{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar) \\ \hbar^{-1} \text{ev.} \mathcal{L}_{P,k,l} \tilde{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar) &= \tilde{A}_{P,k,l}(\lambda, \hbar) \tilde{\Psi}(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda, \hbar) = \left[\tilde{P}(\lambda) + \hbar \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_P^{-k}(\lambda) \tilde{\Delta}_{P,k}(\lambda, \hbar) \right]$$

$\tilde{P}(\lambda)$ companion-like matrix associated to the classical spectral curve.

Main result: pole structure of the Lax system

Pole structure of the Lax system

Matrices $\tilde{A}_{P,k,l}(\lambda, \hbar)$ are **rational functions** of λ with **no pole at critical values** $u \in X(\mathcal{R})$.

Matrices $\tilde{L}(\lambda, \hbar)$ and $\hat{L}(\lambda, \hbar)$ are **rational functions** of λ with possible **poles only at** $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ and at **zeros of the Wronskian** $\det \hat{\Psi}_{\text{NP}}(\lambda, \hbar)$ (i.e. *apparent singularities*).

- Long and technical proof by induction relatively to the order in the trans-series.
- Proof uses some of admissibility conditions (distinct critical values, smooth and simple ramification points).
- Proof should adapt without the admissibility conditions but involving more technical computations.

Quantum curve

Quantum curve

$\forall j \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket$, $\psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(j)}(\lambda), \hbar)$ is solution to a degree d ODE of the form

$$\forall j \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket : \sum_{k=0}^d b_{d-k}(\lambda, \hbar) \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^k \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(j)}(\lambda), \hbar) = 0,$$

Coefficients $(b_l(\lambda, \hbar))_{l \in \llbracket 0, d \rrbracket}$ with $b_0(\lambda, \hbar) = 1$ are **rational functions** of λ with **poles only at $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$** and **zeros of the Wronskian**.

\Leftrightarrow Matrix form: $\Psi(\lambda, \hbar) := \left[\left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{i-1} \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(j)}(\lambda), \hbar) \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -b_d(\lambda, \hbar) & -b_{d-1}(\lambda, \hbar) & \dots & -b_1(\lambda, \hbar) \end{bmatrix} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) \\ &:= L(\lambda, \hbar) \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) \end{aligned}$$

Gauge transformation to remove apparent singularities

- Apparent singularities \Leftrightarrow zeros of Wronskian:

$$W(\lambda, \hbar) := \det \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) = \kappa \frac{\prod_{i=1}^G (\lambda - q_i(\hbar))}{\prod_{i=1}^N (\lambda - \Lambda_i)^{G\Lambda_i}} \exp\left(\hbar^{-1} \int_0^\lambda P_1(\lambda) d\lambda\right),$$

- Explicit gauge transformation $J(\lambda, \hbar)$ to **remove apparent singularities**

$$\check{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{Q_d(\lambda, \hbar)}{\prod_{i=1}^G (\lambda - q_i(\hbar))} & \dots & \frac{Q_2(\lambda, \hbar)}{\prod_{i=1}^G (\lambda - q_i(\hbar))} & \frac{Q_1(\lambda, \hbar)}{\prod_{i=1}^G (\lambda - q_i(\hbar))} \end{bmatrix} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar)$$

- Q_j : polynomial of degree $G - 1$ at most defined by interpolation.
- Gauge transformation does not introduce new poles because

$$\det J(\lambda, \hbar) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^N (\lambda - \Lambda_k)^{G\Lambda_k} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^G (\lambda - q_i(\hbar)) \right)^{-1}$$

Remarks

4 equivalent gauges:

- Gauge $\hat{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar)$: Natural gauge from KZ equations and provides compatible auxiliary systems. But leading order in \hbar of $\hat{L}(\lambda, \hbar)$ is not companion-like \Rightarrow Classical spectral curve is not easily recovered. Contains apparent singularities.
- Gauge $\tilde{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar)$: Same properties as the previous gauge (\hbar^0 gauge transformation) except leading order in \hbar is companion-like and recovers the classical spectral curve.
- Gauge $\Psi(\lambda, \hbar)$: $L(\lambda, \hbar)$ is companion-like \Rightarrow Quantum curve is directly read in the last line of $L(\lambda, \hbar)$. Classical spectral curve directly obtained as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit of $L(\lambda, \hbar)$. But contains apparent singularities. Natural framework for Darboux coordinates and isomonodromic deformations.
- Gauge $\check{\Psi}$: $\check{L}(\lambda, \hbar)$ has no apparent singularity. But no longer companion like so less adapted to read the classical and quantum curves.

Lax systems and isomonodromic deformations

Meromorphic connections in $\mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbb{C})$

- Start from a differential system $\hbar \partial_\lambda \tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{L}(\lambda) \tilde{\Psi}$ with $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ rational in λ with poles in $\mathcal{P} = \{\infty, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N\}$
- Classical spectral curve is defined by $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \det(yI_d - \tilde{L}(\lambda)) = 0$
- Choose orders of poles $(r_\infty, r_1, \dots, r_N)$ to get same type of classical spectral curve and define

$$F_{\mathcal{R}, r} := \left\{ \hat{L}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{r_\infty-1} \hat{L}^{[\infty, k]} \lambda^{k-1} + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{r_s-1} \frac{\hat{L}^{[X_s, k]}}{(\lambda - X_s)^{k+1}} \right\} / \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$$

- $F_{\mathcal{R}, r}$ has a **Poisson structure** (loop algebra [27, 1]). Representative normalized at infinity \tilde{L} ($\tilde{L}^{[\infty, r_\infty-1]}$ diagonal and $[\tilde{L}^{[\infty, r_\infty-2]}]_{1,j} = 1$ for $j \geq 2$).
- Irregular times $\mathbf{t} = (t_{p,k})_{p \in \mathcal{P}, 1 \leq k \leq r_p-1}$ and monodromies $\mathbf{t}_0 = (t_{p,0})_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ are given as **singular part of the local diagonalization of \tilde{L} at each pole**.
- Symplectic manifold** of dimension $2g$ (g genus of the spectral curve):

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{R}, r, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_0} := \left\{ \hat{L}(\lambda) \in \hat{F}_{\mathcal{R}, r} / \hat{L}(\lambda) \text{ has irregular times } \mathbf{t} \text{ and monodromies } \mathbf{t}_0 \right\}$$

Isomonodromic deformations

- Existence of g isomonodromic deformations

$$\hbar \partial_{\tau_i} \tilde{\Psi} = A_{\tau_i}(\lambda) \tilde{\Psi}$$

A_{τ_i} rational in λ with dominated pole structure [4].

- Existence of a Hamiltonian system and $2g$ Darboux coordinates $(x_i, y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}$ parametrizing the Lax pairs.

$$\hbar \partial_{\tau_i} x_j = \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial y_j}, \quad \hbar \partial_{\tau_i} y_j = -\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial x_j}$$

- Explicit expression of the Lax pairs, Hamiltonians in $\mathfrak{g}/2$ in terms of the apparent singularities q_i and dual coordinates p_i in [34, 31].
- Lax matrices are the same as the one produced by the quantization procedure in $\mathfrak{g}/2$.
- Monodromies and Stokes matrices are independent of the isomonodromic times. Help for analytic understanding?

Determinantal formulas

For any differential system $\hbar\partial_\lambda\Psi = L(\lambda, \hbar)\Psi$ with L rational in λ and formal Taylor series in \hbar , one may associate determinantal formulas.

Definition

Define $(\Sigma, x(z))$ the classical spectral curve: $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \det(yI_d - L(\lambda)) = 0$.

Let $E_i = \text{diag}(\mathbf{0}_{i-1}, 1, \mathbf{0}_{d-1-i})$ for $i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket$ and

$$M(z; E_i) := \Psi(z)E_i\Psi(z)^{-1}$$

Set of correlators W_n (“**determinantal formulas**”) for $n \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(z_1 \otimes E_{i_1}) &= \text{Tr} [L(x(z_1))M(z_1; E_{i_1})] dx(z_1) \\ W_n(z_1 \otimes E_{i_1}, \dots, z_n \otimes E_{i_n}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \frac{\text{Tr} [M(z_{\sigma(1)}; E_{i_{\sigma(1)}}) \dots M(z_{\sigma(n)}; E_{i_{\sigma(n)}})]}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x(z_{\sigma(i)}) - x(z_{\sigma(i+1)}))} \prod_{i=1}^n dx(z_i) \end{aligned}$$

Properties of determinantal formulas

- Correlators W_n satisfy **loop equations**.
- Correlators $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$ can be defined for **any linear differential systems** (no need for Lax pairs)
- If correlators W_n satisfy “Topological Type Property” then they are reconstructed by $(\omega_{h,n})_{h,n \geq 0}$ TR differentials:

$$W_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n; \hbar) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k,n}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \hbar^{n-2+2k}$$

- Topological Type Property requires **genus 0 and some additional pole structure**. Can it be generalized to higher genus? Ψ (and W_n) are expected to be transseries in \hbar , how is the reconstruction formula adapted?

Several types of solutions

- **Hamiltonian system, Lax pairs, spectral curves are independent of the type of solution Ψ** (formal WKB, formal trans-series, etc.)
- Formal WKB solutions of the Lax system \Leftrightarrow 0-instanton solutions of the Hamiltonian system (i.e. formal power series in \hbar) \Leftrightarrow Classical spectral curve genus drops to 0 \Leftrightarrow Degenerate classical spectral curve: ramification points coincide by pairs
- **Auxiliary matrices are crucial to prove “topological type property”** (no pole at double zeros) in the formal WKB solutions case.
- General solutions are expected to be \hbar -transseries (k -instanton solutions, etc.). How auxiliary matrices could help in this case?

Example

Classical spectral curve

Classical spectral curve

We take $d = 2$, $N = 0$, $r_{\infty}^{(1)} = 2$ and $r_{\infty}^{(2)} = 4$. Two points above infinity denoted by $\infty^{(1)}$ and $\infty^{(2)}$ non-ramified.

$$y^2 - P_1(\lambda)y + P_2(\lambda) = 0,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(\lambda) &= P_{\infty,2}^{(1)}\lambda^2 + P_{\infty,1}^{(1)}\lambda + P_{\infty,0}^{(1)} \\ P_2(\lambda) &= P_{\infty,4}^{(2)}\lambda^4 + P_{\infty,3}^{(2)}\lambda^3 + P_{\infty,2}^{(2)}\lambda^2 + P_{\infty,1}^{(2)}\lambda + P_{\infty,0}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

Six spectral times $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 0 \leq j \leq 3}$ are defined by $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}$:

$$y(z) = -t_{i,3}x(z)^2 - t_{i,2}x(z) - t_{i,1} - t_{i,0}x(z)^{-1} + O(x(z)^{-2}), \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty^{(i)}$$

Associated isomonodromic system is the Painlevé 2 Lax pair.

Connection with spectral times

Relations between spectral times and coefficients of the classical spectral curve:

$$P_{\infty,2}^{(1)} = -t_{1,3} - t_{2,3}$$

$$P_{\infty,1}^{(1)} = -t_{1,2} - t_{2,2}$$

$$P_{\infty,0}^{(1)} = -t_{1,1} - t_{2,1}$$

$$P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} = t_{1,3}t_{2,3}$$

$$P_{\infty,3}^{(2)} = t_{1,2}t_{2,3} + t_{1,3}t_{2,2}$$

$$P_{\infty,2}^{(2)} = t_{1,2}t_{2,2} + t_{1,3}t_{2,1} + t_{1,1}t_{2,3}$$

$$P_{\infty,1}^{(2)} = t_{1,3}t_{2,0} + t_{1,0}t_{2,3} + t_{1,2}t_{2,1} + t_{1,1}t_{2,2}$$

$$0 = -t_{1,0} - t_{2,0}$$

Only $P_{\infty,0}^{(2)}$ remains undetermined (genus 1).

KZ equations

Using the general theory, we get:

KZ equations

$$\begin{cases} \hbar \frac{\partial \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(1)}(z, \hbar)}{\partial x(z)} + \psi_{1, \text{NP}}^{\infty(1)}(z, \hbar) = P_1(x(z)) \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(1)}(z, \hbar), \\ \hbar \frac{\partial \psi_{1, \text{NP}}^{\infty(1)}(z, \hbar)}{\partial x(z)} = P_2(x(z)) \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(1)}(z, \hbar) + \hbar \text{ev. } \mathcal{L}_{\text{KZ}}(x(z)) \left[\psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(1), \text{symbol}}(z, \hbar) \right] \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{KZ}}(\lambda) := \hbar \mathbf{t}_{1,3} \mathcal{I}c_{\infty(2),1} + \hbar \mathbf{t}_{2,3} \mathcal{I}c_{\infty(1),1} - \mathbf{t}_{2,3} \lambda - \mathbf{t}_{2,2}$$

Lax pair from KZ equations

Define $\Psi(\lambda, \hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(1)}(\lambda), \hbar) & \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(2)}(\lambda), \hbar) \\ \hbar \partial_\lambda \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(1)}(\lambda), \hbar) & \hbar \partial_\lambda \psi_{0, \text{NP}}^{\infty(\alpha)}(z^{(2)}(\lambda), \hbar) \end{pmatrix}$

KZ equations are equivalent to

$$\hbar \partial_\lambda \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -P_2(\lambda) + \hbar P_1'(\lambda) + H - \frac{p}{\lambda-q} + \hbar \alpha \lambda & P_1(\lambda) + \frac{\hbar}{\lambda-q} \end{pmatrix} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar)$$

$$\text{ev. } \mathcal{L}_{\text{KZ}}(\lambda) [\Psi^{\text{symbol}}(\lambda, \hbar)] = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \lambda - \frac{H}{\hbar} + \frac{p}{\hbar(\lambda-q)} & -\frac{1}{\lambda-q} \\ [A_{\text{KZ}}]_{2,1}(\lambda, \hbar) & [A_{\text{KZ}}]_{2,2}(\lambda, \hbar) \end{pmatrix} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar)$$

for $\alpha = t_{1,3} + 2t_{2,3}$ and some unknown H .
Equivalently defining

$$\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_{\text{KZ}}(\lambda) + \mathbf{t}_{2,3} \lambda + \mathbf{t}_{2,2} = \hbar \mathbf{t}_{1,3} \mathcal{I}_{\infty(2),1} + \hbar \mathbf{t}_{2,3} \mathcal{I}_{\infty(1),1}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev. } \mathcal{L} [\Psi^{\text{symbol}}(\lambda, \hbar)] &= \begin{pmatrix} P_{\infty,2}^{(1)} \lambda + \mathbf{t}_{2,2} - \frac{H}{\hbar} + \frac{p}{\hbar(\lambda-q)} & -\frac{1}{\lambda-q} \\ A_{2,1}(\lambda, \hbar) & A_{2,2}(\lambda, \hbar) \end{pmatrix} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) \\ &:= A(\lambda, \hbar) \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) \end{aligned}$$

Evolution equations

- Compatibility equations $\mathcal{L}[L(\lambda, \hbar)] = \hbar \partial_\lambda A(\lambda, \hbar) + [A(\lambda, \hbar), L(\lambda, \hbar)]$:

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{\infty,4}^{(2)}] = \mathcal{L}[P_{\infty,3}^{(2)}] = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{\infty,2}^{(2)}] = -2\hbar P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} + \hbar [P_{\infty,2}^{(1)}]^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{\infty,1}^{(2)}] = -\hbar P_{\infty,3}^{(2)} + \hbar P_{\infty,1}^{(1)} P_{\infty,2}^{(1)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{\infty,0}^{(2)}] - \mathcal{L}[H] = 2\hbar P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} q^2 + \hbar P_{\infty,3}^{(2)} q - P_{\infty,2}^{(1)} p + \hbar P_{\infty,0}^{(1)} P_{\infty,2}^{(1)}$$

$$H = \frac{p^2}{\hbar^2} - P_1(q) \frac{p}{\hbar} + P_2(q) - \hbar P_1'(q) + \hbar (P_{\infty,2}^{(1)} - t_{2,3}) q$$

$$\mathcal{L}[q] = P_1(q) - 2 \frac{p}{\hbar}$$

$$\mathcal{L}[p] = -P_1'(q) p + \hbar P_2'(q) + \hbar^2 t_{2,3}$$

- Equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}[t_{1,3}] = \mathcal{L}[t_{2,3}] = \mathcal{L}[t_{1,2}] = \mathcal{L}[t_{1,0}] = \mathcal{L}[t_{2,0}] = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}[t_{1,1}] = \hbar t_{2,3}, \quad \mathcal{L}[t_{2,1}] = \hbar t_{1,3}$$

- Equivalent to $\mathcal{L} = \hbar t_{2,3} \partial_{t_{1,1}} + \hbar t_{1,3} \partial_{t_{2,1}}$

Hamiltonian evolution

Hamiltonian evolution

“Time” (\mathcal{L})-evolution is Hamiltonian $\Leftrightarrow (p, q)$ are Darboux coordinates

$$\mathcal{L}[q] = -\hbar \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial p}, \quad \mathcal{L}[p] = \hbar \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial q}$$

for Hamiltonian $H_0(p, q, \hbar)$:

$$H_0(p, q, \hbar) = \frac{p^2}{\hbar^2} - P_1(q) \frac{p}{\hbar} + P_2(q) - \hbar P_1'(q) + \hbar q (2P_{\infty,2}^{(1)} - t_{2,3})$$

giving $H = H_0(p, q, \hbar) + \hbar(t_{1,3} + t_{2,3})q$.

Connection with the Painlevé 2 equation

- q satisfies the evolution equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^2[q] = & 2(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3})^2 q^3 + 3(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3})(t_{1,2} - t_{2,2})q^2 \\ & + ((t_{1,2} - t_{2,2})^2 + 2(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3})(t_{1,1} - t_{2,1}))q \\ & + (t_{1,2} - t_{2,2})(t_{1,1} - t_{2,1}) + (2t_{1,0} - \hbar)(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3}) \end{aligned}$$

- Change of variables $(t_{1,1}, t_{2,1}) \leftrightarrow (\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ and affine rescaling:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{1}{t_{1,3} - t_{2,3}} (t_{2,1} - t_{1,1}), \quad \tilde{\tau} = \frac{1}{t_{1,3} - t_{2,3}} (t_{1,3}t_{1,1} - t_{2,3}t_{2,1}) \\ t &= \left(-2(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3})^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\tau + \frac{(t_{1,2} - t_{2,2})^2}{4(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3})^2}\right) \\ \tilde{q} &= \left(\frac{-(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3})}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(q + \frac{t_{1,2} - t_{2,2}}{2(t_{1,3} - t_{2,3})}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{q}(t, \hbar)$ satisfies the Painlevé 2 equation

$$\hbar^2 \partial_t^2 \tilde{q} = 2\tilde{q}^3 + t\tilde{q} - \left(t_{1,0} - \frac{\hbar}{2}\right)$$

Gauge without apparent singularities

- Gauge transformation to remove apparent singularity:

$$\check{\Psi}(\lambda, \hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{p}{\hbar(\lambda-q)} & \frac{1}{\lambda-q} \end{pmatrix} \Psi(\lambda, \hbar) := J(\lambda, \hbar) \Psi(\lambda, \hbar)$$

- Provides another Lax pair (**Jimbo-Miwa type**) **without apparent singularity**:

$$\check{L}(\lambda, \hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p}{\hbar} & \lambda - q \\ -((\lambda + q)(t_{1,3} + t_{2,3}) + t_{2,2} + t_{1,2}) \frac{p}{\hbar} + Q_3(\lambda, \hbar) & -\frac{p}{\hbar} + P_1(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\check{A}(\lambda, \hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} - (t_{1,3} + t_{2,3}) \lambda - \frac{H}{\hbar} + t_{2,2} & -1 \\ (t_{1,3} + t_{2,3}) \frac{p}{\hbar} + Q_2(\lambda, \hbar) & (t_{1,3} + t_{2,3}) q + t_{1,2} + 2t_{2,2} - \frac{H}{\hbar} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$Q_3(\lambda, \hbar) = -P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} \lambda^3 - (P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} q + P_{\infty,3}^{(2)}) \lambda^2 - (P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} q^2 + P_{\infty,3}^{(2)} q + P_{\infty,2}^{(2)}) \lambda + P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} q^3 + P_{\infty,3}^{(2)} q^2 + P_{\infty,2}^{(2)} q + P_{\infty,1}^{(2)} + \hbar t_{1,3}$$

$$Q_2(\lambda, \hbar) = P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} \lambda^2 + 2P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} q \lambda + P_{\infty,3}^{(2)} \lambda + (3P_{\infty,4}^{(2)} q^2 + 2P_{\infty,3}^{(2)} q + P_{\infty,2}^{(2)})$$

Connection with isomonodromic deformations

- Classical spectral curve corresponds to isomonodromic deformations of

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda) = L^{[\infty,3]}\lambda^2 + L^{[\infty,2]}\lambda^1 + L^{[\infty,1]}$$

- Results of [34] immediately recovers the previous Lax matrices and the Hamiltonian system.
- Hamiltonian system is proved invariant under the choice of trivial times. A canonical choice is equivalent to $t_{i,2} = -t_{i,1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ($\mathfrak{gl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2$) and $t_{3,1} = 1$, $t_{2,1} = 0$ (Mobius transformations). Equivalent to the invariance of TR under rational reparametrization and $y \rightarrow y + f(\lambda)$.
- Results of [34] provide explicit formulas for the quantum curve, Lax pairs and Hamiltonians in terms of the apparent singularities $(q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}$ and dual coordinates $(p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g}$. Results only available for \mathfrak{gl}_2 connections so far.

Open questions

Open questions

- Can we give some **analytic meaning to the formal WKB solutions or formal \hbar -transseries**? Compute Stokes matrices? Write a Riemann-Hilbert-Problem for Ψ ?
- What about the underlying **Hamiltonian structure** coming from isomonodromic deformations? How is it helpful?
- **How can the auxiliary matrices be useful to describe analytic solutions of the quantum curve?**
- Are determinantal formulas (reverse approach) easier to use than the wave matrix?
- Can we obtain explicit expressions for the isomonodromic deformations for gI_d ?

References I

- [1] M. Adams, J. Harnad, and J. Hurtubise. Dual moment maps into loop algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 20:299–308, 1990.
- [2] J. Andersen, G. Borot, and N. Orantin. Geometric recursion. 2017. [math.GT/1711.04729](#).
- [3] M. Bergère, G. Borot, and B. Eynard. Rational differential systems, loop equations, and application to the q th reductions of KP. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 16(12):2713–2782, 2015. [math-ph/1312.4237](#).
- [4] M. Bertola, J. Harnad, and J. Hurtubise. Hamiltonian structure of rational isomonodromic deformation systems, 2022. [arXiv:2212.06880](#).
- [5] G. Borot, B. Eynard, M. Mulase, and B. Safnuk. A matrix model for simple Hurwitz numbers, and topological recursion. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 61(2):522–540, 2011. [math-ph/0906.1206](#).
- [6] G. Borot and E. Garcia-Failde. Simple maps, Hurwitz numbers, and Topological Recursion. 2017. [math-ph/1710.07851](#).
- [7] G. Borot, A. Guionnet, and K. Kozłowski. Large- n asymptotic expansion for mean field models with coulomb gas interaction. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2015(20), 2015.

References II

- [8] V. Bouchard and B. Eynard. Think globally, compute locally. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2013(2):143, front matter + 34, 2013. math-ph/1211.2302.
- [9] V. Bouchard and B. Eynard. Reconstructing WKB from topological recursion. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 4:845–908, 2017. math-ph/1606.04498.
- [10] V. Bouchard, A. Klemm, M. Mariño, and S. Pasquetti. Remodeling the B-model. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 287(1):117–178, 2009. hep-th/0709.1453.
- [11] N. Do, A. Dyer, and D. Mathews. Topological recursion and a quantum curve for monotone Hurwitz numbers. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 120:19–36, 2017. arXiv:1408.3992.
- [12] N. Do and D. Manescu. Quantum curves for the enumeration of ribbon graphs and hypermaps. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 8(4):677–701, 2014. arXiv:1312.6869.
- [13] N. Do and P. Norbury. Topological recursion for irregular spectral curves. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 97(3):398–426, 2018. arXiv:1412.8334.
- [14] O. Dumitrescu and M. Mulase. Quantum curves for Hitchin fibrations and the Eynard-Orantin theory. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 104(6):635–671, 2014. arXiv:1310.6022.

References III

- [15] O. Dumitrescu and M. Mulase. Quantization of spectral curves for meromorphic Higgs bundles through topological recursion. In *Topological recursion and its influence in analysis, geometry, and topology*, volume 100 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 179–229. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018. arXiv:1411.1023.
- [16] P. Dunin-Barkowski, M. Mulase, P. Norbury, A. Popolitov, and S. Shadrin. Quantum spectral curve for the Gromov-Witten theory of the complex projective line. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 726:267–289, 2017.
- [17] P. Dunin-Barkowski, N. Orantin, A. Popolitov, and S. Shadrin. Combinatorics of loop equations for branched covers. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2017. math-ph/1412.1698.
- [18] B. Eynard. Large N expansion of convergent matrix integrals, holomorphic anomalies, and background independence. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2009(3):003, 20, 2009. math-ph/0802.1788.
- [19] B. Eynard. *Counting Surfaces, Progress in Mathematical Physics Volume 70*. 2016.

References IV

- [20] B. Eynard. The Geometry of integrable systems. Tau functions and homology of Spectral curves. Perturbative definition. 2018. [math-ph/1706.04938](#).
- [21] B. Eynard and E. Garcia-Failde. From topological recursion to wave functions and PDEs quantizing hyperelliptic curves. 2019. [arXiv:1911.07795](#).
- [22] B. Eynard, E. Garcia-Failde, O. Marchal, and N. Orantin. Quantization of classical spectral curves via topological recursion. 2021. [arXiv:21xxxxx](#).
- [23] B. Eynard and M. Mariño. A holomorphic and background independent partition function for matrix models and topological strings. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 61(7):1181–1202, 2011. [hep-th/0810.4273](#).
- [24] B. Eynard and N. Orantin. Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion. *Commun. Number Theory and Physics*, 1(2), 2007. [math-ph/0702045](#).
- [25] B. Eynard and N. Orantin. Weil-Petersson volume of moduli spaces, Mirzakhani's recursion and matrix models. 2007. [math-ph/0705.3600](#).

References V

- [26] B. Eynard and N. Orantin. Computation of open Gromov-Witten invariants for toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds by topological recursion, a proof of the BKMP conjecture. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 337(2):483–567, 2015. [math-ph/1205.1103](#).
- [27] J. P. Harnad. Dual isomonodromic deformations and moment maps to loop algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 166:337–366, 1994.
- [28] K. Iwaki. 2-parameter τ -function for the first Painlevé equation: topological recursion and direct monodromy problem via exact WKB analysis. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 377(2):1047–1098, 2020. [arXiv:1902.06439](#).
- [29] K. Iwaki, O. Marchal, and A. Saenz. Painlevé equations, topological type property and reconstruction by the topological recursion. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 124:16–54, 2018. [math-ph/1601.02517](#).
- [30] K. Iwaki and A. Saenz. Quantum curve and the first Painlevé equation. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 12:Paper No. 011, 24, 2016. [math-ph/1507.06557](#).
- [31] O. Marchal and M. Alameddine. Hamiltonian representation of isomonodromic deformations of twisted rational connections: The Painlevé 1 hierarchy, 2023. [arXiv:2302.13905](#).

References VI

- [32] O. Marchal and N. Orantin. Quantization of hyper-elliptic curves from isomonodromic systems and topological recursion. 2019. arXiv:1911.07739.
- [33] O. Marchal and N. Orantin. Isomonodromic deformations of a rational differential system and reconstruction with the topological recursion: the \mathfrak{sl}_2 case. *J. Math. Phys.*, 61(6):061506, 33, 2020.
- [34] O. Marchal, N. Orantin, and M. Alameddine. Hamiltonian representation of isomonodromic deformations of general rational connections on $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, 2022. arXiv:2212.04833.
- [35] M. Mulase and P. S. kowski. Spectral curves and the Schrödinger equations for the Eynard-Orantin recursion. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 19(5):955–1015, 2015. arXiv:1210.3006.
- [36] P. Norbury. Quantum curves and topological recursion. In *String-Math 2014*, volume 93 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 41–65. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016. arXiv:1502.04394.
- [37] P. Norbury and N. Scott. Gromov-Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^1 and Eynard-Orantin invariants. *Geom. Topol.*, 18(4):1865–1910, 2014. math.AG/1106.1337.

References VII

- [38] B. Safnuk. Topological recursion for open intersection numbers. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 10(4):833–857, 2016. arXiv:1601.04049.
- [39] N. C. V. Bouchard and T. Dauphinee. Quantizing Weierstrass. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 12(2):253–303, 2018. math-ph/1610.00225.
- [40] J. Zhou. Intersection numbers on Deligne–Mumford moduli spaces and quantum Airy curve. 2012. arXiv:1206.5896.