

# Linearity and Nonlinearity of Groups of Polynomial Automorphisms of the Plane

Olivier Mathieu \*

August 24, 2023

## Abstract

Given a field  $K$ , we investigate which subgroups of the group  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^2$  of polynomial automorphisms of the plane are linear or not.

The results are contrasted. The group  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^2$  itself is nonlinear, except if  $K$  is finite, but it contains some large subgroups, of “codimension-five” or more, which are linear. This phenomenon is specific to dimension two: it is easy to prove that any natural “finite-codimensional” subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^3$  is nonlinear, even for a finite field  $K$ .

When  $\text{ch } K = 0$ , we also look at a similar questions for f.g. subgroups, and the results are again disparate. The group  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^2$  has a one-related f.g. subgroup which is not linear. However, there is a large subgroup, of “codimension-three”, which is locally linear but not linear.

*This paper is respectfully dedicated to the memory of Jacques Tits.*

---

\*Research supported by the UMR 5208 du CNRS and the International Center for Mathematics at SUSTech

## Summary

### Introduction

1. Main Definitions and Conventions
2. Mixed Products
3. Linearity over Rings vs. over Fields
4. A Nonlinear f.g. Subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$
5. The Linear Representation of  $\text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$
6. The Linear Representation of  $\text{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$
7. Semi-algebraic Characters
8. A Nonlinearity Criterion for  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$
9. Two Linearity Criteria for  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$
10. Examples of Linear or Nonlinear  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$
11. Nonlinearity of Finite-Codimensional Subgroups of  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^3$

## Introduction

Let  $K$  be a field given once and for all, and let  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  be the group of polynomial automorphisms of the affine plane  $\mathbb{A}_K^2$  over  $K$ .

### 0.1 General Introduction

A group  $\Gamma$  is called *linear over a ring*, respectively *linear over a field*, if there is an embedding  $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}(n, R)$ , resp.  $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}(n, L)$ , for some positive integer  $n$  and some commutative ring  $R$ , resp. some field  $L$ .

Various authors have shown that the automorphism groups of algebraic varieties share many properties with the linear groups, e.g. the Tits alternative holds in  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  [16], see also [2][23][3]. However, these groups are not always linear [13][21]. In this paper, we will investigate the following related

*Question: which subgroups of  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  are indeed linear or not?*

*Answer:* roughly speaking,  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  contains large linear subgroups and small ones which are not.

In order to be more specific, let us consider the following subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 &= \{\phi \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \mid \phi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}\}, \\ \text{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 &= \{\phi \in \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \mid \text{Jac}(\phi) = 1\}, \text{ and} \\ \text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 &= \{\phi \in \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \mid d\phi|_{\mathbf{0}} \in S\},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Jac}(\phi) := \det d\phi$  is the jacobian of  $\phi$  and  $S$  is a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(2, K)$ . Since  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 / \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_K^2$ , *informally speaking*  $\text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is a subgroup of codimension two. Similarly, since  $\text{Jac}(\phi)$  is a constant polynomial,  $\text{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  has codimension three. Let  $U(K)$  be the

group of linear transformations  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + ax)$  for some  $a \in K$ . Similarly, the group  $\text{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  has codimension five, and the group  $\text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 := \text{Aut}_{\{1\}} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  has codimension six. Anyhow, they are viewed as large subgroups.

It was known that the Cremona group  $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  are not linear over a field, see [8][9]. For the large subgroups of  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ , the linearity results are much more contrasted, as it is shown by the following

**Theorem A.** (A.1) *Whenever  $K$  is infinite, the group  $\text{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

(A.2) *However, there is an embedding  $\text{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \text{SL}(2, K(t))$ .*

For a finite field  $K$ , the index  $[\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 : \text{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2]$  is finite. Therefore Theorem A.1 admits the following converse

**Corollary.** *If  $K$  is finite, the group  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over the field  $K(t)$ .*

The existence of large linear subgroups in  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^n$  is specific to the dimension 2. The case  $n = 3$  is enough to show this. For a finite-codimensional ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $K[x, y, z]$ , let  $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  be the group of all automorphisms

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x + f, y + g, z + h),$$

where  $f, g$  and  $h$  belong to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Equivalently,  $\phi$  fixes some infinitesimal neighborhood of a finite subset in  $\mathbb{A}_K^3$ . E.g. for  $\mathfrak{n} = (x, y, z)^2$ , we have

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathbb{A}_K^3 = \{\phi \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^3 \mid \phi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } d\phi|_{\mathbf{0}} = \text{id}\}.$$

However the groups  $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  are not linear, even if  $K$  is finite, as shown by

**Theorem B.** *The group  $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

We will now turn our attention to the small subgroups, namely the finitely generated (f.g. in the sequel) subgroups of  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  be

$$\Gamma = \langle S, T \rangle, \text{ where } S(x, y) = (y, 2x) \text{ and } T(x, y) = (x, y + x^2).$$

In [9], Y. Cornulier asked about the existence of nonlinear f.g. subgroups in  $\text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ . An answer is provided by the Assertion C.1 of the next

**Theorem C.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero.*

(C.1) *The subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

(C.2) *Any f.g. subgroup of  $\text{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over  $K(t)$ .*

It turns out that the group  $\Gamma$ , which is presented by

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^2 \tau \sigma^{-2} = \tau^2 \rangle,$$

appears in [11] as the first example of a one-related group which is residually finite but not linear over a field.

By Theorem C.1,  $\Gamma$  is also the first example of a 1-related group which is not linear (even over a ring) but which is embeddable in the automorphism group of an algebraic variety. Residual finiteness of  $\Gamma$  follows from general principles [2], but the observation that  $\Gamma$  acts on the finite sets  $\mathbb{F}_p^2$ , for any odd  $p$ , and faithfully on their product, provides a concrete proof.

For an infinite field  $K$ , Theorem A.2 suggests to ask which groups  $G \supset \text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  are linear or not. Indeed, either this group contains

$$\text{SAut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 := \{\phi \in \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \mid \text{Jac}(\phi) = 1\},$$

which is not linear, or it is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ , for some subgroup  $S$  of  $\text{GL}(2, K)$ . Therefore we ask

*For a given subgroup  $S \subset \text{GL}(2, K)$ , is the group  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  linear?*

For the subgroups  $S$  of  $\text{SL}(2, K)$ , three criteria provide an almost complete answer, see Sections 8 and 9. Some examples of application are

**Example A.** *Let  $q$  be a quadratic form on  $K^2$  and  $S = \text{SO}(q)$ .*

*If  $q$  is anisotropic,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over a field extension of  $K$ .*

*Otherwise  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

**Example B.** *For some cocompact lattices  $S \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is linear over  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*For any lattice  $S \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

**Example C.** *Let  $d$  be a squarefree integer, let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers of  $k := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Set  $K = k(x)((t))$  and  $S = \text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}[x, x^{-1}, t])$ .*

*If  $d > 0$ , the group  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

*Otherwise,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over some field of characteristic zero.*

## 0.2 About the main points of the paper

Since the topics are not ordered as in the general introduction, a summary has been provided. Also note that the statements in the introduction are often weaker than those in the main text.

For a group  $S$ , we define in Section 2 the notion of a *mixed product* of  $S$  as a semi-direct product  $S \ltimes \ast_{p \in P} E_p$ , where  $(E_p)_{p \in P}$  is a family of groups and  $S$  acts by permuting the factors of the free product. Hence  $P$  is an  $S$ -set such that  $E_p^s = E_{s.p}$  for any  $s \in S$  and  $p \in P$ .

In section 3, it is shown that, under a mild assumption, a mixed product (or an amalgamated product) which is linear over a ring is automatically linear over a field. This explains the dichotomy *linear over a field/not linear*,

even over a ring in our statements. Then, we can use the theory of algebraic groups to show that some mixed products are not linear, even over a ring.

Let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$ . The main question of the paper is to decide if  $\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear or not. Indeed, this group is a mixed product  $S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K)$ . Hence, there are two obstructions for the linearity.

First, the groups  $S_\delta \ltimes E_\delta(K)$  have to be linear with a uniform bound on the degree. This problem is solved by using the notion of *semi-algebraic characters* for subgroups  $\Lambda \subset K^*$ . It was inspired by the famous paper of Borel and Tits [4], proving that the abstract isomorphisms of simple algebraic groups are semi-algebraic. Strictly speaking, our paper only provides a partial answer, because otherwise it would had been too long.

The second obstruction is the possibility, or not, to glue together some representations of the groups  $S$  and  $S_\delta \ltimes E_\delta(K)$  to get a representation of  $\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ . Our linearity criterion is stronger in characteristic zero than in finite characteristics. In characteristic zero, we can use for the gluing process the following stronger version of

**Theorem C.2.** *Assume  $K$  of characteristic 0. There is an embedding  $\mathrm{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \mathrm{SL}(d, K(t))$ , where  $d = 1 + \mathrm{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ .*

Here  $\mathrm{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  denotes the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  generated by the automorphisms of degree  $< n$ , for some  $n \geq 3$ .

The proof of the strong version of Theorem C.2 uses one trick, based on the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2)$  and one idea, the ping-pong lemma. This idea was originally invented by Fricke and Klein for the dynamic of groups with respect to the metric topologies, see [12]. Later, Tits used it in the context of the ultrametric topologies [25], and we follow the Tits idea. Here, the ping-pong setting requires a representation of very large dimension.

As a brief conclusion

- if  $\mathrm{ch} K = 0$ , then  $\mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not even locally linear,  $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is locally linear but not linear, and  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear. Therefore, the main questions arise for the groups  $G$  with  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset G \subset \mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ .
- if  $\mathrm{ch} K = p$ ,  $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear iff  $K$  is finite, while  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is always linear. In particular,  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^2$  is locally linear but not linear. The existence of nonlinear f.g. subgroups is an open question.
- These phenomena are specific to dimension two.

# 1 Main Definitions and Conventions

Throughout the paper,  $K$  will denote a given field. Its *ground field* is  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$  if  $\text{ch } K = p$  or  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  otherwise.

## 1.1 Group theoretical notation

Let  $S$  be a group and let  $x, y \in S$ . The symbols  $y^x$  and  $(x, y)$  are defined by

$$y^x := xyx^{-1} \text{ and } (x, y) := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}.$$

By definition, an  $S$ -set is a set  $P$  endowed with an action of  $S$ . The *stabilizer* of a point  $p \in P$  is the subgroup  $S_p := \{s \in S \mid s.p = p\}$ . The *core*  $\text{Core}_S(A)$  of a subgroup  $A$  of  $S$  is the kernel of the action of  $S$  on  $S/A$ .

Similarly, an  $S$ -group is a group  $E$  endowed with a homomorphism  $S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ . The corresponding semi-direct product of  $S$  by  $E$  is denoted by  $S \ltimes E$ . Given another  $S$ -group  $E'$ , a homomorphism, respectively an isomorphism,  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  is called an  $S$ -homomorphism, resp. an  $S$ -isomorphism if it commutes with the  $S$ -action.

## 1.2 Commutative rings and group functors

Throughout the whole paper, a *commutative ring* means an associative commutative unital ring.

A *group functor* is a functor  $G : R \mapsto G(R)$  from the category of commutative rings  $R$  to the category of groups ( see e.g. [10] and [28] for the functorial approach to group theory). The standard example of a group functor is  $R \mapsto \text{GL}(n, R)$ , where  $n$  is a given positive integer.

Given an ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$ , we denote by  $G(I)$  the kernel of the homomorphism  $G(R) \rightarrow G(R/I)$ . It is called the *congruence subgroup* associated to the ideal  $I$ . For example,  $\text{GL}(n, I)$  is the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(n, R)$  of all matrices of the form  $\text{id} + A$ , where all entries of  $A$  are in  $I$ .

In most cases, *we will only define the group  $G(K)$  when  $K$  is a field* and the reader should understand that the definition over a ring is similar.

For the group functor  $K \mapsto \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^2$  and its consorts, our notation is not consistent, since  $K$  is an index.

## 1.3 The group functors $\text{Elem}_*(K)$ , $\text{Aff}(2, K)$ , and their subgroups

By definition, an *elementary automorphism* of  $\mathbb{A}_K^2$  is an automorphism

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (z_1x + t, z_2y + f(x))$$

for some  $z_1, z_2 \in K^*$ , some  $t \in K$  and some  $f \in K[x]$ . The group of elementary automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_K^2$  is denoted  $\text{Elem}(K)$ . Set

$$\text{Elem}_0(K) = \text{Elem}(K) \cap \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{SElem}_0(K) &= \mathrm{Elem}(K) \cap \mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2, \text{ and} \\ \mathrm{Elem}_1(K) &= \mathrm{Elem}(K) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2.\end{aligned}$$

However, we will use the simplified notation  $E(K)$  for  $\mathrm{Elem}_1(K)$ .

Let  $\mathrm{Aff}(2, K)$  be the subgroup of affine automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_K^2$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) &:= \mathrm{Aff}(2, K) \cap \mathrm{Elem}(K), \\ B_{\mathrm{GL}}(K) &:= B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) \cap \mathrm{GL}(2, K), \text{ and} \\ B(K) &:= B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) \cap \mathrm{SL}(2, K).\end{aligned}$$

Indeed  $B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K)$ ,  $B_{\mathrm{GL}}(K)$  and  $B(K)$  are the standard Borel subgroups of  $\mathrm{Aff}(2, K)$ ,  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Aff}(2, K)/B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) &= \mathrm{GL}(2, K)/B_{\mathrm{GL}}(K) = \mathrm{SL}(2, K)/B(K) \simeq \mathbb{P}_K^1, \text{ and} \\ \mathrm{Elem}_0(K) &= B_{\mathrm{GL}}(K) \ltimes E(K) \text{ and } \mathrm{SElem}_0(K) = B(K) \ltimes E(K).\end{aligned}$$

#### 1.4 An informal definition of finite-codimensional group subfunctors

Informally speaking, a group subfunctor  $H \subset G$  has *finite codimension* if the functor  $R \mapsto G(R)/H(R)$  is “represented” by a scheme  $X$  of finite type. In particular, it means that there is a natural transform  $G/R \rightarrow X$  which induces a bijection  $G(K)/H(K) \rightarrow X(K)$  whenever  $K$  is an algebraically closed field. Since this notion is used only for presentation purpose, we will not provide a formal definition.

For example, the subgroup  $\mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  has codimension 2 in  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ , since the quotient  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 / \mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is naturally  $\mathbb{A}_K^2$ . This fact does not require to involve the elusive theory of ind-algebraic groups.

## 2 Mixed Products

Let  $S$  be a group and let  $(E_p)_{p \in P}$  be a collection of groups indexed by some  $S$ -set  $P$ . Since we did not find a name in the literature, we will call *mixed product of  $S$*  any semi-direct product  $S \ltimes *_P E_p$  where  $S$  acts on the free product  $*_P E_p$  by permuting its factors, i.e. we have  $E_p^s = E_{s \cdot p}$  for any  $s \in S$  and  $p \in P$  (see [17] ch.4 for the definition of free products).

The connections between mixed products, amalgamated products and free products are investigated. As a consequence of van der Kulk’s Theorem, we show that the groups  $\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  are mixed products and that the groups  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  are free products.

#### 2.1 Amalgamated products

Let  $A$ ,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be groups and let  $f_1 : A \rightarrow G_1$  and  $f_2 : A \rightarrow G_2$  be group homomorphisms. Let  $G_1 *_A G_2$  be the *amalgamated product* of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$

over  $A$ , see e.g. [22], ch. I. Since this product satisfies a universal property, it is often called a *free* amalgamated product, see [17] ch.8.

In what follows, we will *always assume that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are injective*. Hence the homomorphisms  $G_1 \rightarrow G_1 *_A G_2$  and  $G_2 \rightarrow G_1 *_A G_2$  are injective, by the Theorem 1 of ch. 1 in [22]. Therefore, we will use a less formal terminology. The groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are viewed as subgroups of  $G_1 *_A G_2$  and we will say that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  *share  $A$  as a common subgroup*.

## 2.2 Reduced words

The usual definition [22] of reduced words is based on the right  $A$ -cosets. In order to avoid a confusion between the set difference notation  $A \setminus X$  and the  $A$ -orbits notation  $A \backslash X$ , we will use a definition based on the left  $A$ -cosets.

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two groups sharing a common subgroup  $A$ , and let  $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ . Set  $G_1^* = G_1 \setminus A$ ,  $G_2^* = G_2 \setminus A$  and let  $T_1^* \subset G_1^*$  (respectively  $T_2^* \subset G_2^*$ ) be a set of representatives of  $G_1^*/A$  (resp. of  $G_2^*/A$ ).

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all finite alternating sequences  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  of ones and twos. A *reduced word* of *type*  $\epsilon$  is a word  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0)$  where  $x_0$  is in  $A$ , and  $x_i \in T_{\epsilon_i}^*$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of all reduced words. The next Lemma is well-known, see e.g. [22], Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.** *The map*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0) \in \mathcal{R} \mapsto x_1 \dots x_n x_0 \in G_1 *_A G_2$$

*is bijective.*

Set  $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus A$  there is some integer  $n \geq 1$ , some  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma$  and some  $g_i \in G_{\epsilon_i}^*$  such that  $\gamma = g_1 \dots g_n$ . It follows from loc. cit. that  $\gamma = x_1 \dots x_n x_0$  for some reduced word  $(x_1 \dots x_n x_0)$  of type  $\epsilon$ . Since it is determined by  $\gamma$ , the sequence  $\epsilon$  is called *the type* of  $\gamma$ .

## 2.3 Amalgamated product of subgroups

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two groups sharing a common subgroup  $A$  and set  $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ . Let  $G'_1 \subset G_1$ ,  $G'_2 \subset G_2$  and  $A' \subset A$  be subgroups such that

$$G'_1 \cap A = G'_2 \cap A = A'.$$

**Lemma 2.** (i) *The natural map  $G'_1 *_A G'_2 \rightarrow \Gamma$  is injective.*

(ii) *Let  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$  be a subgroup such that  $\Gamma'.A = \Gamma$ . Then we have*

$$\Gamma' = G'_1 *_A G'_2,$$

*where  $G'_1 = G_1 \cap \Gamma'$ ,  $G'_2 = G_2 \cap \Gamma'$  and  $A' = A \cap \Gamma'$ .*



*Proof. Proof of Assertion (i).* For  $i = 1, 2$  set  $G_i^* = G_i \setminus A$ ,  $G_i'^* = G_i' \setminus A'$ . Let  $T_i^* \subset G_i^*$  and  $T_i'^* \subset G_i'^*$  be a set of representatives of  $G_i^*/A$  and  $G_i'^*/A'$ .

Since the maps  $G_i'/A' \rightarrow G_i/A$  are injective, it can be assumed that  $T_i'^* \subset T_i^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$  be the set of reduced words of  $G_1 *_A G_2$ , and respectively of  $G_1' *_A G_2'$ . By definition, we have  $\mathcal{R}' \subset \mathcal{R}$ , thus by Lemma 1 the map  $G_1' *_A G_2' \mapsto G_1 *_A G_2$  is injective.

*Proof of Assertion (ii).* We will use the notations of the previous proof. Since  $\Gamma'.A = \Gamma$ , it follows that the maps  $G_1'/A' \rightarrow G/A$  and  $G_2'/A' \rightarrow G_2/A$  are bijective. Therefore  $\mathcal{R}'$  is the set of all reduced words  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $x_0 \in A'$ . It follows easily that

$$G_1 *_A G_2 / G_1' *_A G_2' \simeq A/A' = \Gamma/\Gamma',$$

and therefore we have  $\Gamma' = G_1' *_A G_2'$ .  $\square$

#### 2.4 The group $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is an amalgamated product

Indeed, it is the classical

**van der Kulk's Theorem.** [29] *We have*

$$\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \text{Aff}(2, K) *_B \text{Elem}(K).$$

#### 2.5 Mixed products

Let  $S$  be a group, let  $P$  be an  $S$ -set and let  $Q \subset P$  be a set of representatives of  $P/S$ . A mixed product  $S \ltimes_{*_{p \in P}} E_p$  satisfies the following universal property.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\Gamma \supset S$  be a group. Assume given, for any  $q \in Q$ , a  $S_q$ -homomorphism  $\phi_q : E_q \rightarrow \Gamma$ . Then there is a unique group homomorphism*

$$\phi : S \ltimes_{*_{p \in P}} E_p \rightarrow \Gamma$$

*such that  $\phi|_S = \text{id}$  and  $\phi|_{E_q} = \phi_q$  for any  $q \in Q$ .*

*Proof.* Let us define, for any  $p \in P$ , a  $S_p$ -homomorphism  $\phi_p : E_p \rightarrow \Gamma$  as follows. Let  $s \in S$  such that  $q := s.p$  belongs to  $Q$ . Set

$$\phi_p(u) = s^{-1} \phi_q(sus^{-1})s,$$

for any  $u \in E_p$ . Since  $\phi_q$  is a  $S_q$ -homomorphism, the defined homomorphism  $\phi_p$  only depends on  $s$  modulo  $S_p$ . Moreover the collection of homomorphisms  $(\phi_p)_{p \in P}$  induces an  $S$ -homomorphism from  $*_{p \in P} E_p$  to  $\Gamma$ , which extends to the required homomorphism  $\phi : S \ltimes_{*_{p \in P}} E_p \rightarrow \Gamma$ .  $\square$

It follows that a mixed product  $S \ltimes_{*_{p \in P}} E_p$  is entirely determined by  $S$  and the  $S_q$ -groups  $E_q$  for  $q \in Q$ . For the record, let us state

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\Gamma = S \ltimes_{*_{p \in P}} E_p$  and  $\Gamma' = S \ltimes_{*_{p \in P}} E'_p$  be two mixed groups. If for any  $q \in Q$ , the groups  $E_q$  and  $E'_q$  are  $S_q$ -isomorphic, then the groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are isomorphic.*

### 2.6 Mixed products with a transitive action on $P$

In this subsection, we show that the mixed products with a transitive action of  $S$  on  $P$  are the amalgamated products  $S *_A G$  where  $A$  is a retract in  $G$ .

First, let  $S, G$  be two groups sharing a common subgroup  $A$  with the additional assumption that  $A$  is a retract in  $G$ . Therefore, we have  $G = A \ltimes E$ , for some normal subgroup  $E$  of  $G$ . Set  $\Gamma = S *_A G$  and let  $\Gamma_1$  be the kernel of the map  $\Gamma \rightarrow S$  induced by the retraction  $G \rightarrow A \simeq G/E$ . It is clear that

$$\Gamma = S \ltimes \Gamma_1.$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $P$  be a set of representatives of  $S/A$ . We have*

$$\Gamma_1 \simeq *_{\gamma \in P} E^\gamma.$$

*In particular  $S *_A G$  is isomorphic to the mixed product  $S \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $1 \in P$ . By Lemma 3, there is a unique homomorphism  $\phi : S \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^\gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that its restriction to  $E^1 = E$  and to  $S$  is the identity. Conversely, the group  $S \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^\gamma$  contains the subgroups  $S$  and  $G \simeq A \ltimes E^1$  whose intersection is  $A$ . Hence, the universal property of amalgamated products provides a natural homomorphism  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow S \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^\gamma$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are inverses of each other, what shows the lemma.  $\square$

Conversely, let  $\Gamma = S \ltimes_{*_{p \in P}} E_p$  be a mixed product.

**Lemma 6.** *Assume that  $S$  acts transitively on  $P$ . Then we have*

$$S \ltimes (*_{p \in P} E_p) \simeq S *_A (S_q \ltimes E_q),$$

*where  $q$  is any chosen point in  $P$ .*

The proof of the Lemma 6 will be skipped. Indeed it is based on universal properties, as the previous proof.

### 2.7 The group $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is a mixed product

For a subgroup  $S$  of  $\text{GL}(2, K)$ , recall that

$$\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 := \{\phi \in \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \mid d\phi_0 \in S\}.$$

As usual, a line  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  has *projective coordinates*  $(a; b)$  if  $\delta = K.(a, b)$ . For such a  $\delta$ , let  $E_\delta(K) \subset \text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  be the subgroup

$$E_\delta(K) := \{(x, y) \mapsto (x, y) + f(bx - ay)(a, b) \mid f \in t^2 K[t]\}.$$

Let  $\gamma \in \text{GL}(2, K)$  such that  $\gamma.\delta_0 = \delta$  where  $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  has coordinates  $(0; 1)$ . Then we have  $E_{\delta_0}(K) = E(K)$  and  $E_\delta(K) = E(K)^\gamma$ .

**Lemma 7.** *We have*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K).$$

*Proof.* Clearly, it is enough to prove the statement for  $S = \mathrm{GL}(2, K)$ . Since  $B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K)$  contains the translations, we have  $B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) \cdot \mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 = \mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ . Therefore by van der Kulk's Theorem and Lemma 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}(2, K) *_{B_{\mathrm{GL}}(K)} \mathrm{Elem}_0(K).$$

Since  $\mathrm{Elem}_0(K) = B_{\mathrm{GL}}(K) \ltimes E(K)$ , it follows from Lemma 5 that

$$\mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \mathrm{GL}(2, K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K). \quad \square$$

### 2.8 Mixed product with an almost free transitive action on $P$

The action of  $S$  on a set  $P$  is called *almost free transitive* if  $P$  consists of a fixed point and a free orbit under  $S$ . (It will be tacitly assumed that  $S \neq 1$ , so the fixed point and the free orbit are well defined.) In this subsection we show that the mixed products with an almost free transitive action of  $S$  on  $P$  are the free products  $G * G'$ , where  $S$  is a retract in  $G$ .

First let  $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$  be a mixed product.

**Lemma 8.** *Assume that the action of  $S$  on  $P$  is almost free transitive. Then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the free product*

$$(S \ltimes E_{p_0}) * E_{p_\infty},$$

where  $p_0 \in P$  is the fixed point and  $p_\infty \in P$  is any point of the free orbit.

Conversely let  $\Gamma = (S \ltimes E) * F$  be a free product, where  $E$  is an  $S$ -group and  $F$  is another group.

**Lemma 9.** *The group  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the mixed product*

$$S \ltimes (E * (*_{s \in S} F_s)),$$

where  $F_s$  denotes a copy of  $F$ , for any  $s \in S$ .

The easy proofs of the previous two lemmas, which follow the same pattern as Lemma 4, will be skipped.

### 2.9 The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is a free product

Recall that  $U(K)$  is the group of linear transforms  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + ax)$ , for some  $a \in K$ . Let  $\delta_0, \delta_\infty \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  be the points with projective coordinates  $(0; 1)$  and  $(1; 0)$ . The group  $E_{\delta_0}(K) = E(K)$  commutes with  $U(K)$ .

**Lemma 10.** *We have*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq (U(K) \times E_{\delta_0}(K)) * E_{\delta_\infty}(K).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 7, we have  $\text{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq U(K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K)$ . Since the action of  $U(K)$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$  is almost free transitive, the assertion follows from Lemma 8.  $\square$

### 2.10 A corollary

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $K, L$  be fields such that  $\text{Card } K = \text{Card } L$  and  $\text{ch } K = \text{ch } L$ . We have*

$$\text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_L^2 \text{ and } \text{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \text{Aut}_{U(L)} \mathbb{A}_L^2.$$

*Proof.* It can be assumed that  $K$  is infinite. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be its prime subfield and let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space with  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} E = \aleph_0 [K : \mathbb{F}] = \text{Card } K$ .

By Lemma 7,  $\text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is a free product of  $\text{Card } K$  copies of  $E$ , from which it follows that  $\text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  only depends on the cardinality and the characteristic of the field  $K$ , hence we have  $\text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \text{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_L^2$ .

The proof that  $\text{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \text{Aut}_{U(L)} \mathbb{A}_L^2$  is identical.  $\square$

## 3 Linearity over Rings vs. over Fields

In this section, we show Corollaries 2 and 3. They state that, under a mild assumption, a mixed product, or an amalgamated product, which is linear over a ring, is automatically linear over a field.

### 3.1 Linearity Properties

For a group, the linearity over a field is the strongest linearity property. Besides the case of *prime* rings, i.e. the subrings of a field, a group which is linear over a ring  $R$  is not necessarily linear over a field. Two relevant examples are provided in the subsection 8.6.

On the opposite, there are groups containing a f.g. subgroup which is not linear, even over a ring. These groups are nonlinear in the strongest sense.

### 3.2 Minimal embeddings

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(n, R)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . The embedding  $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}(n, R)$  is called *minimal* if for any ideal  $J \neq \{0\}$  we have  $\Gamma \cap \text{GL}(n, J) \neq \{1\}$ .

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(n, R)$ . For some ideal  $J$ , the induced homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, R/J)$  is a minimal embedding.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  could be non-noetherian, the proof requires Zorn's Lemma.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all ideals  $J$  of  $R$  such that  $\Gamma \cap \mathrm{GL}(n, J) = \{1\}$ . With respect to the inclusion,  $\mathcal{S} \ni \{0\}$  is a nonempty poset. For any chain  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , the ideal  $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{C}} I$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Therefore Zorn's Lemma implies that  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a maximal element  $J$ . It follows that the induced homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, R/J)$  is a minimal embedding.  $\square$

### 3.3 Groups with trivial normal centralizers

By definition, a group  $\Gamma$  has the *trivial normal centralizers property* if, for any subset  $S \not\subseteq \{1\}$ , its centralizer  $C_\Gamma(S)$  is not normal, except if  $C_\Gamma(S)$  is the trivial group. Equivalently, if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are commuting normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , then one of them is trivial.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a group with the trivial normal centralizers property.*

*If  $\Gamma$  is linear over a ring, then  $\Gamma$  is also linear over a field.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis and Lemma 11, there exists a minimal embedding  $\rho : \Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, R)$  for some commutative ring  $R$ . The case  $\Gamma = \{1\}$  can be excluded, so we will assume that  $R \neq \{0\}$ .

Let  $I_1, I_2$  be ideals of  $R$  with  $I_1 I_2 = 0$ . Since  $H_1 := \Gamma \cap \mathrm{GL}(n, I_1)$  and  $H_2 := \Gamma \cap \mathrm{GL}(n, I_2)$  are commuting normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , one of them is trivial. Since  $\rho$  is minimal,  $I_1$  or  $I_2$  is the zero ideal. Thus  $R$  is prime.

It follows that  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ , where  $K$  is the fraction field of  $R$ .  $\square$

### 3.4 Amalgamated products with a trivial core

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two groups sharing a common subgroup  $A$  and set  $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all finite alternating sequences  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  of ones and twos. For  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $\Sigma_{i,j}$  be the subset of all  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma$  starting with  $i$  and ending with  $j$  and let  $\Gamma_{i,j}$  be the set of all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  of type  $\epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon \in \Sigma_{i,j}$ . Therefore we have

$$\Gamma = A \sqcup \Gamma_{1,1} \sqcup \Gamma_{2,2} \sqcup \Gamma_{1,2} \sqcup \Gamma_{2,1}.$$

By definition, the amalgamated product  $G_1 *_A G_2$  is called *nondegenerate* if  $G_1 \neq A$  and  $G_2 \neq A$ . It is called *dihedral* if  $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $A = \{1\}$ , and *nondihedral* otherwise.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$  be a nondegenerate and nondihedral amalgamated product such that  $\mathrm{Core}_\Gamma(A)$  is trivial.*

*For any element  $g \neq 1$  of  $\Gamma$ , there are  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  such that*

$$g^{\gamma_1} \in \Gamma_{1,1} \text{ and } g^{\gamma_2} \in \Gamma_{2,2}.$$

In particular  $\Gamma$  has the trivial normal centralizers property.

*Proof.* First it should be noted that  $A$  cannot be simultaneously a subgroup of index 2 in  $G_1$  and in  $G_2$ . Otherwise the core hypothesis implies that  $A = \{1\}$  and  $\Gamma$  would be the dihedral group. Hence we can assume that  $G_2/A$  contains at least 3 elements.

Next it is clear that  $G_i^* \cdot \Gamma_{j,k} \subset \Gamma_{i,k}$  and  $\Gamma_{k,j} \cdot G_i^* \subset \Gamma_{k,i}$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof that the conjugacy class of any  $g \neq 1$  intersects both  $\Gamma_{1,1}$  and  $\Gamma_{2,2}$ .* Let  $\gamma_1 \in G_1^*$  and  $\gamma_2 \in G_2^*$ . We have  $\Gamma_{2,2}^{\gamma_1} \subset \Gamma_{1,1}$  and  $\Gamma_{1,1}^{\gamma_2} \subset \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Therefore the claim is proved for any  $g \in \Gamma_{1,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Moreover it is now enough to prove that the conjugacy class of any  $g \neq 1$  intersects  $\Gamma_{2,2}$ .

Assume now  $g \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . We have  $g = u.v$  for some  $u \in G_2^*$  and  $v \in \Gamma_{1,1}$ . Since  $[G_2 : A] \geq 3$ , there is  $\gamma \in G_2^*$  such that  $\gamma.u \notin A$ . It follows that  $\gamma.g$  belongs to  $\Gamma_{2,1}$ , and therefore  $g^\gamma$  belongs to  $\Gamma_{2,2}$ .

For  $g \in \Gamma_{1,2}$ , the claim follows from the fact that  $g^{-1}$  belongs to  $\Gamma_{2,1}$ .

Last, let  $g \in A \setminus \{1\}$ . Since  $\text{Core}_A(\Gamma)$  is trivial, there is  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $g^\gamma$  is not in  $A$ . Thus  $g^\gamma$  belongs to  $\Gamma_{i,j}$  for some  $i, j$ . So  $g$  is conjugate to some element in  $\Gamma_{2,2}$  by the previous considerations.

*Proof that  $\Gamma$  has the trivial normal centralizers property.* Let  $H_1, H_2$  be nontrivial normal subgroups. By the previous point, there are elements  $g_1, g_2$  with

$$g_1 \in H_1 \cap \Gamma_{1,1} \text{ and } g_2 \in H_2 \cap \Gamma_{2,2}.$$

Since we have  $g_1 g_2 \in \Gamma_{1,2}$  and  $g_2 g_1 \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ , it follows that  $g_1 g_2 \neq g_2 g_1$ . Therefore  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  do not commute.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$  be a nondegenerate amalgamated product such that  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(A)$  is trivial<sup>1</sup>.*

*If  $\Gamma$  is linear over a ring, then  $\Gamma$  is linear over a field.*

*Proof.* Since the infinite dihedral group is linear over a field, we will assume that the amalgamated product  $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$  is also nondihedral. Thus the result is an obvious corollary of Lemmas 12 and 13.  $\square$

### 3.5 Mixed products with trivial core

Let  $S$  be a group, and let  $S \rtimes_{p \in P} E_p$  be a mixed product of  $S$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>As noticed by the referee, this is equivalent to the faithfulness of the action of  $\Gamma$  on the associated Bass-Serre tree.

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all finite sequences  $\pi = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$  of elements of  $P$  with  $p_i \neq p_{i+1}$ , for any  $i < m$ . Set  $\Gamma_1 = \ast_{p \in P} E_p$  and  $E_p^* = E_p \setminus \{1\}$ . Any element  $u \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \{1\}$  is uniquely written as  $u = u_1 \dots u_m$ , where  $u_i \in E_{p_i}^*$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and some sequence  $\pi = (p_1, \dots, p_m) \in \Sigma$ . The decomposition  $u = u_1 \dots u_m$  is called the *reduced decomposition* of  $u$ ,  $\pi$  is called its *type* and  $m$  is called its *length*. For  $p, p' \in P$ , let  $E_{p,p'}$  be the set of all elements  $u \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \{1\}$  whose type is a sequence  $\pi$  starting with  $p$  and ending with  $p'$ .

By definition, the free product  $\ast_{p \in P} E_p$ , or, by extension, the mixed product  $S \rtimes \ast_{p \in P} E_p$ , is called *nondegenerate* if  $\text{Card } P \geq 2$  and  $E_p \neq \{1\}$  for any  $p \in P$ . For a nondegenerate mixed product  $S \rtimes \ast_{p \in P} E_p$ , we have

$$\text{Core}_\Gamma(S) = \text{Core}_\Gamma(\cap_P S_p).$$

The mixed product  $S \rtimes \ast_{p \in P} E_p$  is called *dihedral* if  $\text{Card } P = 2$ , if  $E_p = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $p \in P$  and if

$$S \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \text{ permutes the two factors, or } S = \{1\}.$$

It is called *nondihedral* otherwise.

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $\Gamma = S \rtimes \ast_{p \in P} E_p$  be a nondegenerate and nondihedral mixed product such that  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(\cap_P S_p) = \{1\}$ . Let  $p \in P$ .*

- (i) *For any element  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \{1\}$ , there is  $v \in \Gamma_1$  such that  $\gamma^v$  belongs to  $E_{p,p}$ .*
- (ii) *For any element  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$ , there is  $v \in \Gamma_1$  such that  $(\gamma, v) \neq 1$ .*

*In particular  $\Gamma$  has the trivial normal centralizers property.*

*Proof. Proof of Assertion(i).* For  $\text{Card } P = 2$ , the group  $\Gamma_1$  is not the infinite dihedral group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ast \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and the assertion follows from Lemma 13. Therefore, we will assume that  $\text{Card } P \geq 3$ .

The element  $\gamma$  belongs to  $E_{p_1, p_2}$  for some  $p_1, p_2 \in P$ . Let  $p_3 \in P \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$  and let  $v \in E_{p, p_3}$ . Thus the element  $\gamma^v$  belongs to  $E_{p, p}$ .

*Proof of Assertion (ii).* Let  $\gamma = su$ , where  $s \in S \setminus \{1\}$  and  $u \in \Gamma_1$ .

Obviously  $\Gamma/S$  and  $\Gamma_1$  are isomorphic  $S$ -sets. Since  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(S)$  is trivial, there is  $t \in \Gamma_1$  such that  $(s, t) \neq 1$ . Thus, we can assume that  $u \neq 1$ .

Let  $u = u_1 \dots u_m$  be its reduced decomposition, let  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  be its type. Let  $v \in E_{p'}^*$  with  $p' \neq p_m$ . By definition,  $u_1 \dots u_m.v.u_m^{-1} \dots u_1^{-1}$  is a reduced decomposition of the element  $w := uvu^{-1}$ . Hence  $w$  and  $w^s$  have length  $2m + 1 > 1$ . Thus we have  $v^\gamma = w^s \neq v$ , or equivalently  $(\gamma, v) \neq 1$ .

*Proof that  $\Gamma$  has the trivial normal centralizers property.* Let  $H, H'$  be two nontrivial normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $p \neq p'$  be elements of  $P$ . By Assertions (i) and (ii), there are elements  $g, g'$  with

$$g \in H \cap E_{p,p} \text{ and } g' \in H' \cap E_{p',p'}.$$

Since we have  $gg' \in E_{p,p'}$  and  $g'g \in E_{p',p}$ , it follows that  $gg' \neq g'g$ . Therefore  $H$  and  $H'$  do not commute.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\Gamma = S \rtimes *_{p \in P} E_p$  be a nondegenerate mixed product such that  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(\cap_P S_p) = \{1\}$ .*

*If  $\Gamma$  is linear over a ring, then  $\Gamma$  is linear over a field.*

*Proof.* Since the infinite dihedral group is linear over a field, we can assume that the mixed product  $\Gamma = S \rtimes *_{p \in P} E_p$  is also nondihedral. Then the result is an obvious corollary of Lemmas 12 and 14.  $\square$

## 4 A Nonlinear f.g. Subgroup of $\text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the group with presentation

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^2 \tau \sigma^{-2} = \tau^2 \rangle.$$

In [11], C. Drutu and M. Sapir showed that  $\Gamma$  is not linear over a field<sup>2</sup>. We show that  $\Gamma$  is not linear either over a ring, and that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to an explicit subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ , which proves Theorem A.2.

### 4.1 The amalgamated decomposition $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$

Let us consider the following subgroups of  $\Gamma$

$$G_1 = \langle \sigma \rangle, G_2 = \langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle \text{ and } A = \langle \sigma^2 \rangle.$$

The group  $G_2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$  where any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  acts over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$  by multiplication by  $2^n$ . The group  $\Gamma$  is the amalgamated product

$$\Gamma \simeq G_1 *_A G_2.$$

**Lemma 15.** *The group  $\Gamma$  has the trivial normal centralizers property.*

*Proof.* Set  $H = \mathbb{Z}[1/2].\tau$ . The  $A$ -sets  $G_2/A$  and  $H$  are isomorphic, hence  $A$  acts faithfully on  $G_2/A$ . Therefore  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(A) \subset \text{Core}_{G_2}(A)$  is trivial, and the assertion follows from Lemma 13.  $\square$

### 4.2 Quasi-unipotent endomorphisms

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field  $K$ . An element  $u \in \text{GL}(V)$  is called *quasi-unipotent* if all its eigenvalues are

---

<sup>2</sup>In the first version of this paper that appeared in the arXiv, I was unaware of [11]. I'm grateful to T. Delzant for providing this reference.



roots of unity. The *quasi-order* of a quasi-unipotent endomorphism  $u$  is the smallest positive integer  $m$  such that  $u^m$  is unipotent.

If  $u$  is unipotent and  $\text{ch } K = 0$ , set

$$\log u = \log(1 - (1 - u)) := \sum_{k \geq 1} (1 - u)^k / k,$$

which is well-defined since  $1 - u$  is nilpotent.

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $h, u \in \text{GL}(V)$ . Assume that  $u$  has infinite order and  $huh^{-1} = u^2$ . Then  $u$  is quasi-unipotent of quasi-order  $m$  for some odd integer  $m$ . Moreover  $K$  has characteristic zero, and*

$$heh^{-1} = 2e,$$

where  $e := \log u^m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Spec } u$  be the spectrum of  $u$ . By hypothesis the map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$  is bijective on  $\text{Spec } u$ . Hence all eigenvalues of  $u$  are odd roots of unity, what proves that  $u$  is quasi-unipotent of odd quasi-order  $m$ .

Over any field of finite characteristic, the unipotent endomorphisms have finite order. Hence we have  $\text{ch } K = 0$ . Moreover, we have

$$heh^{-1} = h(\log u^m)h^{-1} = \log(hu^mh^{-1}) = \log(u^{2m}) = 2e. \quad \square$$

#### 4.3 Nonlinearity of $\Gamma$

**Drutu-Sapir's Lemma.** *The group  $\Gamma$  is not linear over a field.*

The result is a particular case of Corollary 4 in [11]. Since their proof is based on an earlier result of [30], we shall provide a direct proof.

*Proof.* Assume otherwise and let  $\rho' : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  be an embedding, where  $V$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field  $K$ . Since  $\tau$  has infinite order and  $\sigma^2\tau\sigma^{-2} = \tau^2$ , it follows from Lemma 16 that  $K$  has characteristic zero,  $\rho'(\tau)$  is quasi-unipotent of odd quasi-order  $m$ .

*Step 1: there is another embedding  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  such that  $\rho(\tau)$  is unipotent.* Let  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be the group homomorphism defined by  $\psi(\sigma) = \sigma$ , and  $\psi(\tau) = \tau^m$ . Since  $\psi(G_1) \cap \psi(G_2) = A$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that the natural homomorphism  $\psi(G_1) *_A \psi(G_2) \rightarrow \Gamma$  is injective. Hence  $\psi$  is injective and  $\rho := \rho' \circ \psi$  is an embedding such that  $\rho(\tau) = \rho'(\tau)^m$  is unipotent.

*Step 2: the unipotent subgroup  $U \subset \text{GL}(V)$ .* Set  $h = \rho(\sigma^2)$ , let  $\Pi = \text{Spec } h$  be its spectrum, and for each  $\lambda \in \Pi$ , let  $V_{(\lambda)}$  be the corresponding generalized eigenspace. For any  $k \geq 0$ , set

$$\Pi_{\geq k} = \{\lambda \in \Pi \mid \lambda \in 2^l \Pi \text{ for some } l \geq k\},$$

The filtration  $\Pi = \Pi_{\geq 0} \supset \Pi_{\geq 1} \supset \dots$  of the set  $\Pi$  induces a filtration of  $V$

$$V = V_{\geq 0} \supset V_{\geq 1} \supset \dots,$$

where  $V_{\geq k} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Pi_{\geq k}} V_{(\lambda)}$ . Let  $U$  be the group of all  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$  such that  $(g - \mathrm{id})V_{\geq k} \subset V_{\geq k+1}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . For some suitable basis,  $U$  is a group of upper triangular matrices. Therefore  $U$  is nilpotent.

*Step 3:  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is nilpotent by commutative.* Since  $\rho(G_1)$  commutes with  $h$ , we have  $\rho(G_1).V_{\geq k} = V_{\geq k}$  for any integer  $k$ . Therefore  $\rho(G_1)$  normalizes  $U$ .

Set  $u = \rho(\tau)$  and  $e = \log u$ . By Lemma 16, we have  $heh^{-1} = 2e$  and therefore we have  $e.V_{\geq k} \subset V_{\geq k+1}$ . It follows that  $u = \exp e$  belongs to  $U$ . Since  $\rho(\Gamma) = \langle \rho(G_1), u \rangle$  we have

$$\rho(\Gamma) \subset \rho(G_1) \ltimes U,$$

and therefore  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is nilpotent by commutative. Hence  $\rho(\Gamma)$  contains a non-trivial normal abelian subgroup. This contradicts Lemma 15, which states that  $\Gamma$  has the trivial normal centralizers property.  $\square$

**Lemma 17.** *The group  $\Gamma$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 15 the group  $\Gamma$  has the trivial normal centralizers property. It follows from Lemmas 12 and 4 that  $\Gamma$  is not linear, even over a ring.  $\square$

#### 4.4 Proof of Theorem C.1

**Theorem C.1.** *The subgroup  $\langle S, T \rangle$  of  $\mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  is not linear, even over a ring, where*

$$S(x, y) = (y, 2x) \text{ and } T(x, y) = (x, y + x^2).$$

*Proof.* Set  $H_1 = \langle S \rangle$ ,  $H_2 = \langle S^2, T \rangle$ ,  $C = \langle S^2 \rangle$ .

We have  $S^2 = 2.\mathrm{id}$ , therefore we have  $H_1 \cap B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) = C$ . Moreover  $H_2$  is the group of automorphisms of the form

$$(x, y) \mapsto (2^k x, 2^k y + r x^2),$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ , therefore  $H_2 \cap B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) = C$ . It follows from Lemma 2 and van der Kulk's Theorem that the natural homomorphism  $H_1 *_C H_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  is injective.

There is a group isomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow H_1 *_C H_2$  sending  $\sigma$  to  $S^{-1}$  and  $\tau$  to  $T$ . Thus, by Lemma 17, the subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  generated by  $S$  and  $T$  is not linear, even over a ring.  $\square$

## 5 The Linear Representation of $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$

We will prove Theorem A.2, in a way which is useful for Section 9.

### 5.1 Nagao's Theorem

For a subgroup  $S$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$ , set

$$\mathrm{GL}_S(2, K[t]) = \{G(t) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, K[t]) \mid G(0) \in S\}.$$

**Nagao's Theorem [18].** *We have*

$$\mathrm{GL}(2, K[t]) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(2, K) *_{B_{\mathrm{GL}(K)}} \mathrm{GL}_{B_{\mathrm{GL}(K)}}(2, K[t]).$$

### 5.2 The group $\mathrm{GL}_S(2, K[t])$ is a mixed product

For any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , let  $e_\delta \in \mathrm{End}(K^2)$  be a nilpotent element with  $\mathrm{Im} e_\delta = \delta$ .

For any commutative  $K$ -algebra  $R$ , set

$$U_\delta(R) := \{\mathrm{id} + re_\delta \mid r \in R\}.$$

Obviously,  $U_\delta(R)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, R)$ . Let  $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}(2, K)$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \delta_0 = \delta$  where  $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  has coordinates  $(0; 1)$ . We have

$$U_{\delta_0}(R) = U(R) \text{ and } U_\delta(R) = U(R)^\gamma.$$

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K)$ . We have*

$$\mathrm{GL}_S(2, K[t]) \simeq S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} U_\delta(tK[t]).$$

*Proof.* Clearly, it is enough to prove the lemma for  $S = \mathrm{GL}(2, K)$ . Since

$$\mathrm{GL}_{B_{\mathrm{GL}(K)}(K)}(2, K[t]) = B_{\mathrm{GL}(K)}(K) \ltimes U(tK[t])$$

the lemma follows from Nagao's Theorem and Lemma 5.  $\square$

### 5.3 The groups $\mathrm{SL}(2, tK[t])$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$ are free products

For  $S \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, K)$ , the group  $\mathrm{GL}_S(2, K[t])$  lies in  $\mathrm{SL}_S(2, K[t])$ . Thus set

$$\mathrm{SL}_S(2, K[t]) := \mathrm{GL}_S(2, K[t]).$$

Let  $\delta_0, \delta_\infty$  be the points in  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$  with coordinates  $(0; 1)$  and  $(1; 0)$ .

**Lemma 19.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SL}(2, tK[t]) &= *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} U_\delta(tK[t]), \text{ and} \\ \mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t]) &= U([K[t]) * U_{\delta_\infty}(tK[t])). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Lemma 18. Since the action of  $U(K)$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$  is almost free transitive, the second point follows from Lemma 8.  $\square$

*Remark.* The group  $\mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$  is the “lower nilradical” of the affine Kac-Moody group  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K[t, t^{-1}])$ . In [26], Tits defined the “lower nilradical” of any Kac-Moody group in term of an inductive limit, which is essentially equivalent to the previous lemma for  $\mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$ .

Since the notes [26] are not widely distributed, let us mention that an equivalent result is stated in [28], Section 3.2 and 3.2, see also [27].

#### 5.4 Proof of Theorem A.2

**Lemma 20.** *There are isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, tK[t]) \text{ and } \mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t]).$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 7 and 19,  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(2, tK[t])$  are free products of  $\mathrm{Card} \mathbb{P}_K^1$  copies of a  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $\aleph_0$ . Therefore these two groups are isomorphic.

The proof of the isomorphism  $\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$  follows similarly from Lemmas 10 and 19.  $\square$

**Theorem A.2.** *The groups  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  embed in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K(t))$ .*

*Moreover if  $K \supset k(t)$  for some infinite field  $k$ , then there exists an embedding  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, K)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 20 that  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  are subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K(t))$ , and therefore they are linear over  $K(t)$ .

Assume now that  $K \supset k(t)$  for some infinite field  $k$ . We claim that there exists a field  $L$  with  $L(t) \subset K$  and  $\mathrm{Card} L = \mathrm{Card} K$ . If  $\mathrm{Card} K = \aleph_0$ , then the subfield  $k$  satisfies the claim. Otherwise, we have  $\mathrm{trdeg} K > \aleph_0$  and there is an embedding  $L(t) \subset K$  for some subfield  $L$  with  $\mathrm{trdeg} L = \mathrm{trdeg} K$ . Since  $\mathrm{trdeg} L = \mathrm{Card} L = \mathrm{Card} K$ , the claim is proved.

It follows from Corollary 1 that

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_L^2 \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, L(t)) \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, K),$$

therefore  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  are subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$ .  $\square$

#### 5.5 A Corollary

For a finite field  $K$ ,  $\mathrm{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  has finite index in  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ , hence

**Corollary 4.** *For a finite field  $K$ , the group  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over  $K(t)$ .*

## 6 The Linear Representation of $\mathrm{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$

For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $\mathrm{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  generated by all automorphisms  $\phi \in \mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  of degree  $< n$ . In this section, we will assume that  $K$  has characteristic zero, in order to show that the group  $\mathrm{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$

is linear, what proves Theorem C.2. Unfortunately, our approach does not extend to fields of finite characteristic.

For a nonzero vector-valued polynomial  $v(t) = \sum v_i t^i$ , let  $\deg v$  be its degree and let  $\text{hdc}(v) := v_{\deg v}$  be its *highest degree component*.

### 6.1 A ping-pong lemma

Let  $S$  be a group and let  $\Gamma = S \ltimes \ast_{p \in P} F_p$  be a mixed product of  $S$ .

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a  $\Gamma$ -set, and let  $(\Omega_p)_{p \in P}$  be a collection of subsets in  $\Omega$ . Set  $F_p^* = F_p \setminus \{1\}$  and assume*

- (i) *the free product  $\ast_{p \in P} F_p$  is nondegenerate and nondihedral,*
- (ii)  *$\text{Core}_\Gamma(\cap_P S_p)$  is trivial,*
- (iii) *the subsets  $\Omega_p$  are nonempty and disjoint, and*
- (iv) *we have  $F_p^* \cdot \Omega_q \subset \Omega_p$  whenever  $p \neq q$ .*

*Then  $\Gamma$  acts faithfully on  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \neq p'$  be two elements in  $P$ . By Lemma 14, Assertion (i) any nontrivial normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  contains some  $\gamma \in E_{p,p}$ . We have  $\gamma \cdot \Omega_{p'} \subset \Omega_p$ , therefore  $\gamma$  acts nontrivially on  $\Omega$ . Since any nontrivial normal subgroup acts nontrivially, the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega$  is faithful.  $\square$

### 6.2 The group $\text{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is a mixed product with trivial core

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1$  with coordinates  $(a; b)$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $E_\delta^{<n}(K) \subset E_\delta(K)$  be the subgroup of all automorphisms of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y) + f(bx - ay)(a, b)$  where  $f(t) \in t^2 K[t]$  and  $\deg f(t) < n$ .

**Lemma 22.** *For any  $n \geq 3$ , the group  $\text{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is isomorphic to the nondegenerate mixed product*

$$\Gamma := \text{SL}(2, K) \ltimes \ast_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta^{<n}(K).$$

*Moreover  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(\text{SL}(2, K))$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \ast_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K)$  with reduced decomposition  $u_1 \dots u_m$ , where  $u_i \in E_{\delta_i}(K)$ . By induction over  $n$ , it is easy to prove simultaneously that  $\deg u = \prod \deg u_i$  and that  $\text{hdc}(u)$  is of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (bx - ay)^{\deg u}(c, d)$ , where  $(c; d)$  and  $(a; b)$  are some coordinates of  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_n$ .

By Lemma 7,  $\text{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is isomorphic to the mixed product

$$\text{SL}(2, K) \ltimes \ast_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K).$$

Any  $\phi \in \text{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  decomposes uniquely as  $\phi = s u_1 \dots u_m$ , where  $s \in \text{SL}(2, K)$  and  $u_1 \dots u_m$  is a reduced decomposition in  $\ast_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K)$ . Since  $\deg \phi = \prod \deg u_i$ , we have  $\text{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2 = \langle \text{SL}(2, K), E_\delta^{<n}(K) \rangle$ . Thus

$$\mathrm{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta^{<n}(K).$$

It has been noticed that  $\mathrm{Core}_\Gamma(\mathrm{SL}(2, K))$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$ , hence it is included in  $\{1, \sigma\}$ , where  $\sigma(x, y) = (-x, -y)$ . Set  $\tau(x, y) := (x, y + x^2)$ . Since  $\tau^\sigma(x, y) = (x, y - x^2)$ , it follows that  $\mathrm{Core}_\Gamma(\mathrm{SL}(2, K))$  is trivial.  $\square$

### 6.3 The square root $\eta$ of $e$

Let  $\epsilon$  be an odd variable. For an integer  $N \geq 1$ , let  $L(N) \subset K[x, y]$  and  $\hat{L}(N) \subset K[x, y, \epsilon]$  be the subspaces of homogenous polynomials of degree  $N$ . Let  $(e, h, f)$  be the usual basis of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, K)$ . As an  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$ -module, we have  $\hat{L}(N) = L(N) \oplus L(N-1)$  and  $e$  acts as the derivation  $x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ . Set

$$\eta = x \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

It is clear that  $\eta^2 = e$ . Indeed  $\hat{L}(N)$  is a representation of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2)$ , and  $\eta \in \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2)$  is an odd element such that  $\eta^2 = e$ .

For any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  with projective coordinates  $(a; b)$ , set  $L_\delta := K \cdot (ax + by)^N$  and  $L_\delta^* = L_\delta \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\delta_0, \delta_\infty \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  be the points with projective coordinates  $(0; 1)$  and  $(1; 0)$ . Since  $\eta^{2N} \cdot y^N = (x \frac{\partial}{\partial y})^N y^N = N! x^N$ , it follows that

**Lemma 23.** *We have  $\eta^{2N} \cdot L_{\delta_\infty}^* \subset L_{\delta_0}^*$ .*

### 6.4 The representation $\rho_N$ of $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ on $\hat{L}(N) \otimes K[t]$

We will extend the natural representation of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$  on  $\hat{L}(N) \otimes K[t]$  to  $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  as follows. For any automorphism  $\tau \in E(K)$ , set

$$\rho_N(\tau) = \exp(t\eta f(\eta)),$$

if  $\tau(x, y) = (x, y + f(x))$ , where  $f(x) \in x^2 K[x]$ . Since  $[e, \eta] = 0$  and  $[h, \eta] = \eta$ , the homomorphism  $\rho_N$  is  $B(K)$ -equivariant. By Lemma 3,  $\rho_N$  extends to a  $K[t]$ -linear action of  $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ .

**Lemma 24.** *Assume that  $2N$  is divisible by  $\mathrm{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n)$ .*

*Then the restriction of  $\rho_N$  to  $\mathrm{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* For any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , set  $F_\delta^* = E_\delta^{<n}(K) \setminus \{1\}$  and

$$\Omega_\delta = \{v(t) \in \hat{L}(N) \otimes K[t] \setminus \{0\} \mid \mathrm{hdc}(v(t)) \in L_\delta^*\}.$$

*First step.* Let  $\delta_0, \delta_\infty \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  be as in Lemma 23. We claim that

$$F_{\delta_0}^* \cdot \Omega_{\delta_\infty} \subset \Omega_{\delta_0}.$$

Let  $\tau(x, y) = (x, y + f(x))$  be in  $F_{\delta_0}^*$ . We have  $f(x) = ax^k + \text{higher terms}$ , for some  $a \in K^*$  and some  $k$  with  $2 \leq k < n$ . By definition, we have

$$\rho_N(\tau) = \exp t\eta f(\eta) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\eta^m f(\eta)^m}{m!} t^m.$$

Since  $\eta f(\eta)$  is divisible by  $\eta^{k+1}$  and  $\eta^{2N+1} = 0$ , it follows that  $\eta^m f(\eta)^m = 0$  for  $m > 2N/(k+1)$ . Since  $k+1$  divides  $2N$ ,  $\rho_N(\tau)$  is a polynomial of degree  $d := 2N/(k+1)$  and we have

$$\text{hdc}(\rho_N(\tau)) = \frac{a^d}{d!} \eta^{2N}.$$

Let  $v(t) \in \Omega_{\delta_\infty}$ . By Lemma 23,  $\text{hdc}(\rho_N(\tau)) \cdot \text{hdc}(v(t))$  is nonzero and belongs to  $L_{\delta_0}^*$ . It follows that  $\rho_N(\tau) \cdot \Omega_{\delta_\infty} \subset \Omega_{\delta_0}$ , what proves the claim.

*Second step: use of the ping-pong lemma.* Let  $\delta \neq \delta'$  in  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$ . Since  $F_\delta^* \times \Omega_{\delta'}$  is conjugate under  $\text{SL}(2, K)$  to  $F_{\delta_0}^* \times \Omega_{\delta_\infty}$ , the previous result implies that  $F_\delta^* \cdot \Omega_{\delta'} \subset \Omega_\delta$ .

By Lemmas 21 and 22, the restriction of  $\rho_N$  to  $\text{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is faithful.  $\square$

### 6.5 Proof of Theorem C.2

Since  $\dim \hat{L}(N) = 2N + 1$ , Lemma 24 implies that

**Theorem C.2.** *For any  $n \geq 3$ , there is an embedding*

$$\text{SAut}_0^{<n} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \text{SL}(1 + \text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n), K(t)).$$

*In particular, any f.g. subgroup of  $\text{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over  $K(t)$ .*

## 7 Semi-algebraic Characters

Let  $\Lambda \subset K^*$  be a subgroup. For any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $K_n \subset K$  be the subfield generated by  $\Lambda^n$ . Let  $L$  be an algebraically closed field, which contains at least one subfield isomorphic to  $K_1$  and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the ground field of  $K$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , a group homomorphism  $\chi : \Lambda \rightarrow L^*$  is called a *semi-algebraic character* of degree  $n$  if  $\chi(z) = \mu(z^n)$  for some field embedding  $\mu : K_n \rightarrow L$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$  be the set of all semi-algebraic characters of  $\Lambda$  of degree  $n$ . The degree of a semi-algebraic character is *not uniquely defined*. Given  $n \neq m$ , we will show a criterion for the disjointness of  $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda)$ .

### 7.1 The invariant $I_n(\Lambda)$

Let  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$  be the group algebra of  $\Lambda$ . Given a field  $E \supset \mathbb{F}$ , any homomorphism  $\chi : \Lambda \rightarrow E^*$  extends to an algebra homomorphism  $\hat{\chi} : \mathbb{F}[\Lambda] \rightarrow E$ . Set

$$\text{Ker } \hat{\chi} := \{ \sum_\lambda a_\lambda \lambda \in \mathbb{F}[\Lambda] \mid \sum_\lambda a_\lambda \chi(\lambda) = 0 \}.$$

For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\chi_n$  be the homomorphism  $\chi_n : \lambda \in \Lambda \mapsto \lambda^n \in K_n^*$ . Set

$$I_n(\Lambda) = \text{Ker } \hat{\chi}_n.$$

**Lemma 25.** *A group homomorphism  $\chi : \Lambda \rightarrow L^*$  is a semi-algebraic character of degree  $n$  iff  $\text{Ker } \hat{\chi} = I_n(\Lambda)$ .*

*In particular, we have  $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda) = \mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda)$  or  $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda) = \emptyset$ , for any positive integers  $n \neq m$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, the fraction field of the prime ring  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$  is  $K_n$ . Hence  $\hat{\chi}$  factors through  $K_n$ , i.e.  $\hat{\chi} = \mu \circ \hat{\chi}_n$  for some field embedding  $\mu : K_n \rightarrow L$ . The first point follows, as well as the second.  $\square$

### 7.2 Minimally bad subgroups of $K^*$

Let  $\Lambda \subset K^*$  be a subgroup. By definition, the *transcendental degree* of  $\Lambda$  is  $\text{trdeg } \Lambda := \text{trdeg } K_1$  and its *rank* is  $\text{rk } \Lambda := \dim \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Both are cardinals and we have  $\text{trdeg } \Lambda \leq \text{rk } \Lambda$ . We say that  $\Lambda$  is a *good subgroup* of  $K^*$  if  $\text{trdeg } \Lambda' = \text{rk } \Lambda'$ , for any f.g. subgroup  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Lambda$  and a *bad subgroup* otherwise.

Assume now that  $\Lambda$  is a free abelian group of rank  $r < \infty$ , with basis  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . The ring  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$  is isomorphic to the ring  $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$  of Laurent polynomials. For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , set  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_r^{\alpha_r}$ . The *support* of a Laurent polynomial  $P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r} a_\alpha x^\alpha$  is the set

$$\text{Supp } P := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid a_\alpha \neq 0\}.$$

Assume now that  $\text{trdeg } \Lambda = r - 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$  is a unique factorization domain,  $I_1(\Lambda)$  is a principal ideal. If  $P$  be one of its generator, the other generators are the polynomials  $ax^\gamma P$ , for  $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ . Hence the subgroup  $X(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$  generated by  $\alpha - \beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp } P$  only depends on  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, if  $0 \in \text{Supp } P$ , then we have  $X(\Lambda) = \langle \text{Supp } P \rangle$ .

A subgroup  $\Lambda \subset K^*$  is called *minimally bad* if

- (i)  $\Lambda$  is a f.g. free abelian group, and
- (ii) we have  $\text{rk } \Lambda = 1 + \text{trdeg } \Lambda$  and  $X(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}^r$ , where  $r = \text{rk } \Lambda$ .

**Lemma 26.** *Let  $\Lambda \subset K^*$  be a bad subgroup of  $K^*$ .*

*Then  $\Lambda$  contains a minimally bad subgroup  $\Lambda'$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $\Lambda$  contains a f.g. bad subgroup  $\Lambda_0$ . Moreover, we can assume that  $\Lambda_0$  is torsion free.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all subgroups  $\Pi \subset \Lambda_0$  such that  $\text{rk } \Pi > \text{trdeg } \Pi$ . Let us pick one element  $\Pi'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  of minimal rank  $r$ . It is clear that  $\text{rk } \Pi' = 1 + \text{trdeg } \Pi'$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be a basis of  $\Pi'$  and let  $P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r} a_\alpha x^\alpha$  be a generator of the ideal  $I_1(\Pi')$  of  $\mathbb{F}[\Pi'] \simeq \mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$  such that  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

It is clear that  $\Lambda' := \langle x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{Supp } P \rangle$  is a minimally bad subgroup.  $\square$



### 7.3 The Newton polygon of $P_n$

Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $P$  be a generator of a principal ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ . The *Newton polygon*  $\text{Newton}(P)$  of  $P$  is the convex closure of  $\text{Supp } P$  in  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^r$ , and let  $\text{Ext}(P)$  be its set of extremal points. Up to translation by  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ ,  $\text{Ext}(P)$  is an invariant of  $I$ . Hence the largest integer  $e(P)$  such that  $\alpha - \beta \in e(P) \cdot \mathbb{Z}^r$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Ext}(P)$  only depends on  $I$ .

It will be convenient to choose an ordering of  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ . A Laurent polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$  is *normalized* if  $a_0 = 1$  and any  $\alpha \in \text{Supp } P$  is nonnegative. Any principal ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$  has a unique normalized generator  $P$ . Since 0 belongs to  $\text{Ext}(P)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}(P) \subset e(P) \cdot \mathbb{Z}^r$ .

Let  $\Lambda \subset K^*$  be a minimally bad subgroup and let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be a basis of  $\Lambda$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $P_n$  be the normalized generator of  $I_n(\Lambda)$ .

**Lemma 27.** *Assume that  $n \geq 1$  is not divisible by  $\text{ch } K$ . Then we have*

$$\text{Newton}(P_n) = \frac{n^{r-1}}{f_n} \text{Newton}(P_1),$$

for some integer  $f_n$  dividing  $e(P_1)$ .

*Proof.* In  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$  the polynomial  $P_1$  decomposes uniquely as

$$P_1 = Q_1 \dots Q_k$$

where  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$  are normalized irreducible polynomials in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ . Since they are permuted by  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$ , we have  $\text{Supp } Q_1 = \text{Supp } Q_2, \dots$ , hence

- (i)  $\text{Supp } Q_1$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Newton}(Q_1) = \frac{1}{k} \text{Newton}(P_1)$  and  $k$  divides  $e(P_1)$ .

Let  $\mu_n \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}^*$  be the group of all  $n$ th root of one. For various  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r) \in \mu_n^r$ , the normalized polynomials  $Q_1(\zeta_1 x_1, \dots, \zeta_r x_r)$  are pairwise distinct by the assertion (i). Thus the polynomial  $R = \prod_{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r \in \mu_n^r} Q_1(\zeta_1 x_1, \dots, \zeta_r x_r)$  is irreducible in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}[x_1^{\pm n}, \dots, x_r^{\pm n}]$ .

Set  $G_1 = \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) \mid Q_1^\sigma = Q_1\}$  and  $G = \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) \mid R^\sigma = R\}$ . Since  $R$  is normalized, the polynomials  $R^\sigma$  for  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}})/G$  are pairwise distinct, and therefore  $S = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}})/G} R^\sigma$  is a normalized irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm n}, \dots, x_r^{\pm n}]$ . It follows that

$$P_n(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n) = S(x_1, \dots, x_r).$$

Since  $[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) : G] = k$ , the integer  $f_n := [G : G_1]$  divides  $e(P_1)$ , and

$$\text{Newton}(P_n) = 1/n \text{Newton}(S) = \frac{n^{r-1}}{f_n} \text{Newton}(P_1).$$

□

### 7.4 A criterion for the disjointness of $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda)$

**Lemma 28.** *Let  $\Lambda \subset K^*$  be a bad subgroup of  $K^*$ . Then there is an integer  $e \geq 1$  such that*

$$\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda) = \emptyset,$$

*whenever the integers  $n \neq m$  are coprime to  $e$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 26,  $\Lambda$  contains a minimally bad subgroup  $\Lambda'$ . Moreover by Lemma 25, it is enough to show the lemma for  $\Lambda'$ . Therefore we can assume that  $\Lambda$  itself is minimally bad. For any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $P_n$  be the normalized generator of  $I_n(\Lambda)$ , relative to some order of  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof when  $\text{rk } \Lambda = 1$ .* Thus  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  and a generator  $\lambda$  of  $\Lambda$  is an algebraic number of infinite order. Let  $n, m \geq 1$  be two integers such that  $P_n = P_m$ . Since  $P_n(\lambda^m) = 0$ , there is  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\lambda^m = \sigma(\lambda^n)$ . Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer such that  $\sigma^k(\lambda) = \lambda$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lambda^{n^k} = \sigma^k(\lambda^{n^k}) = \lambda^{m^k}.$$

Since  $\lambda$  has infinite order, it follows that  $n = m$ . In this case, the lemma is proved for  $e = 1$ .

*Proof when  $r := \text{rk } \Lambda \geq 2$ .* Set  $e = pe(P_1)$  if  $\text{ch } K = p$  and  $e = e(P_1)$  otherwise. Let  $n, m \geq 1$  be two integers coprime to  $e$  such that  $P_n = P_m$ . By Lemma 27, we have  $\frac{n^{r-1}}{f_n} = \frac{m^{r-1}}{f_m}$  for some integers  $f_n$  and  $f_m$  dividing  $e$ . It follows that  $n = m$ .  $\square$

## 8 A Nonlinearity Criterion for $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$

Let  $S_0$  be a subgroup of  $B(K)$ . We will use Lemma 28 to give a necessary condition for the linearity over a field of  $S_0 \ltimes E(K)$ . Then we derive a nonlinearity criterion for the groups  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ .

From now on, let  $\rho : S_0 \ltimes E(K) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  be a given embedding, where  $V$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field  $L$ . Let  $W(\rho) \subset \text{End } V$  be the linear subspace generated by  $\rho(E(K))$ .

The commutative group structure on  $E(K)$  will be denoted additively. Indeed  $E(K)$  has a natural structure of a graded vector space over  $K$ , namely  $E(K) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 3} K.T_n$  where  $T_n(x, y) = (x, y + x^{n-1})$ .

For any  $g \in B(K)$ , set  $\chi_B(g) = \lambda$  if  $g(x, y) = (\lambda^{-1}x, \lambda y + tx)$ , for some  $t \in K$ . The group of all eigenvalues of elements in  $S_0$  is  $\Lambda := \chi_B(S_0) \subset K^*$ . We have  $gT_n g^{-1} = \chi_B(g)^n T_n$ . Since the action of  $S_0$  on  $E(K)$  factors through  $\Lambda$ , it follows that  $E(K)$  and  $W(\rho)$  are  $\Lambda$ -modules.

### 8.1 An obvious estimate

A integer  $n \geq 1$  is called a *divisor* of  $\Lambda$  if  $\Lambda$  contains a primitive  $n$ th root of one. Let  $d(\Lambda)$  be the number, finite or infinite, of divisors of  $\Lambda$ .

**Lemma 29.** *We have  $d(\Lambda) \leq 2 + (\dim V)^2$ .*

*Proof.* For each divisor  $n$  with  $n \geq 3$ , set  $t_n = \rho(T_n)$ . Since  $gt_n g^{-1} = t_n$  iff  $\chi(g)^n = 1$ , it follows that the elements  $t_n$  are linearly independant. Thus we have  $\dim \text{End } V \geq d(\Lambda) - 2$ , from which the assertion follows.  $\square$

## 8.2 Unipotent representations

**Lemma 30.** *Assume that  $\text{rk } \Lambda \geq 1$ .*

*Then  $\text{ch } L = \text{ch } K$  and  $\rho(E(K))$  is a unipotent group.*

*Proof.* If  $\text{ch } K = p$ , the assertions follow from the fact that  $E(K)$  is an elementary  $p$ -group of infinite rank.

We will now assume that  $\text{ch } K = 0$ . Let  $V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Omega} V_{(\chi)}$  be the generalized weight decomposition of the  $E(K)$ -module  $V$ , where  $\Omega$  is the set of group homomorphisms  $\chi : E(K) \rightarrow L^*$  such that  $V_{(\chi)} \neq 0$ .

Let  $\chi \in \Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is finite, the group  $S'_0 = \{s \in S_0 \mid \chi^s = \chi\}$  has finite index in  $S_0$ . There is some  $s \in S'_0$  such that  $\chi_B(s)$  has infinite order. It follows that the map  $e \in E(K) \mapsto e - ses^{-1} \in E(K)$  is invertible. Therefore  $\chi$  is trivial and  $\rho(E(K))$  is a unipotent group.

Since  $E(K)$  is torsion-free,  $L$  has characteristic 0.  $\square$

## 8.3 A linearity criterion for $S_0 \ltimes E(K)$

**Lemma 31.** *Assume again that  $\rho$  is a faithful representation of  $S_0 \ltimes E(K)$ .*

*Then  $\Lambda$  is a good subgroup of  $K^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since any zero-rank subgroup of  $K^*$  is good, we can assume that  $\text{rk } \Lambda \geq 1$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , set  $E_n = K.T_n$ . By Lemma 30,  $K$  and  $L$  have the same ground field  $\mathbb{F}$  and,  $\rho(E_n)$  is a unipotent group.

*We claim that, for any  $n \geq 3$ , there is a  $L[\Lambda]$ -submodule  $W' \subset W(\rho)$  such that  $W(\rho)/W'$  contains a  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -submodule  $X$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$ .*

*Proof for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ .* In that case  $W' = \{0\}$  and  $X := \log \rho(\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda].T_n)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -submodule of  $W(\rho)$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$ .

*Proof for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ .* As a substitute for the log, set  $\theta(a) = 1 - \rho(a)$  for  $a \in E_n$ . Let  $M \subset W(\rho)$  be the linear space generated by  $\theta(E_n)$ . Since

$$\theta(a)\theta(b) = \theta(a) + \theta(b) - \theta(a+b),$$

$M$  is a nonunital algebra and  $M^p = \{0\}$ . Since  $\rho$  is injective, we have  $\theta^{-1}(M^p) = \{0\}$ . Thus there exists a unique integer  $m < p$  such that

$$Y := \theta^{-1}(M^m) \neq \{0\} \text{ but } \theta^{-1}(M^{m+1}) = \{0\}.$$

Set  $W' = M^{m+1}$ . It follows from the previous formula that  $Y$  is a subgroup of  $E_n$  and the induced map  $\bar{\theta} : Y \rightarrow W(\rho)/W'$  is additive. Thus  $\bar{\theta}$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$ -modules. Since  $\text{Ker } \bar{\theta}$  is trivial,  $\bar{\theta}$  is injective. Any cyclic  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$ -submodule of  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$ , therefore  $W(\rho)/W'$  contains a  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$ -submodule  $X$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$ .

*We claim now that, for any  $n \geq 3$ ,  $W(\rho)_{(\chi)} \neq 0$  for some  $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$ .*

Let  $Z$  be the  $L$ -vector space generated by  $X$ , and let  $Z = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Omega_Z} Z_{(\chi)}$  be the decomposition of the  $L[\Lambda]$ -module  $Z$  into generalized weight spaces, where  $\Omega_Z$  is the set of group homomorphisms  $\chi : \Lambda \rightarrow L^*$  such that  $Z_{(\chi)} \neq 0$ . For each  $\chi \in \Omega_Z$ , let  $I_\chi$  be the annihilator in  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$  of  $Z_{(\chi)}$ . It follows that

$$I_n(\Lambda) = \bigcap_{\chi \in \Omega_Z} I_\chi.$$

Since  $\Omega_Z$  is finite and  $I_n(\Lambda)$  is a prime ideal, we have  $I_n(\Lambda) = I_\chi$ , for some  $\chi \in \Omega_Z$ . Moreover the radical of  $I_\chi$  is  $\text{Ker } \hat{\chi}$ , hence  $I_n(\Lambda) = \text{Ker } \hat{\chi}$ . Thus by Lemma 25,  $\chi$  is a semi-algebraic character of degree  $n$ . Moreover we have  $W(\rho)_{(\chi)} \neq 0$ , what proves the claim.

*End of the proof.* Assume otherwise, namely that  $\Lambda$  is a bad subgroup of  $K^*$ . Then by Lemma 28, there is an infinite set  $T$  of integers  $n \geq 3$  such that the family  $(\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda))_{n \in T}$  consists of mutually disjoint sets. This would contradict that the finite set of generalized weights of  $W(\rho)$  intersects each of them.  $\square$

#### 8.4 Proof of the Nonlinearity Criterion

Let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $\text{SL}(2, K)$ . For  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , let  $\Lambda_\delta \subset K^*$  be the subgroup of all eigenvalues of elements  $g \in S_\delta$ .

**Nonlinearity Criterion.** *Assume one of the following two hypotheses*

- (i)  $\Lambda_\delta$  is a bad subgroup of  $K^*$  for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , or
- (ii) the function  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1 \rightarrow d(\Lambda_\delta)$  is unbounded.

*Then the group  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

*Proof.* By contraposition, we will assume that  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over a ring, and we will show that neither Assertion (i) nor Assertion (ii) holds.

By Lemma 7,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is the mixed product  $\Gamma := S \ltimes \ast_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K)$ . Since  $\bigcap_{\delta \in \delta} S_\delta \subset \{\pm 1\}$  and  $T_3^{-\text{id}} = -T_3$ , it follows that  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(\bigcap_{\delta \in \delta} S_\delta)$  is trivial. Hence by Corollary 3,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over a field. Let  $\rho : \text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \rightarrow$

$\mathrm{GL}(n, L)$  be an injective homomorphism, for some algebraically closed field  $L$  and some positive integer  $n$ .

Since  $\rho$  provides a faithful representation of  $B_\delta \ltimes E_\delta(K)$ , it follows from Lemma 31 that  $\Lambda_\delta$  is a good subgroup of  $K^*$ . Moreover by Lemma 29, we have  $d(\Lambda_\delta) \leq 2 + n^2$ .

Therefore neither Assertion (i) nor Assertion (ii) holds.  $\square$

### 8.5 Proof of the Theorem A.1 of the introduction.

**Theorem A.1.** *For any infinite field,  $\mathrm{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a field.*

*Proof.* With the previous notations, we have  $\mathrm{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2 = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{SL}(2, K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ , and  $\Lambda_\delta = K^*$ , for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ . Therefore it is enough to check that  $K^*$  satisfies one of the two assertions of the Nonlinearity Criterion.

If  $K$  is an infinite subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , then  $d(K^*)$  is infinite. Otherwise  $K$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a transcendental element  $t$ . For the subgroup  $\Lambda := \langle 2 \rangle$  in the first case or  $\Lambda := \langle t, t+1 \rangle$  in the second case, it is clear that  $\mathrm{rk} \Lambda > \mathrm{trdeg} \Lambda$ . Hence  $K^*$  itself is a bad group.  $\square$

### 8.6 Comparison with Cornulier's Theorem

Let  $G_{\mathrm{Cor}}$  be the group of all automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  of the form

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x + u, y + f(x)), \text{ for some } u \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t].$$

The group  $G_{\mathrm{Cor}}$  is locally nilpotent but not nilpotent [9], hence

**Cornulier's Theorem.** *Neither  $G_{\mathrm{Cor}}$  nor  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is linear over a field.*

Set  $R := \mathbb{C}[[t]] \oplus I$ , where  $I := \mathbb{C}((t))/\mathbb{C}[[t]]$  is a square-zero ideal. Let  $\Gamma$  be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, R)$  generated by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+t & 0 \\ 0 & (1+t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ when } a \text{ runs over } I.$$

The group  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $G_{\mathrm{Cor}} \simeq \mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathbb{C}[x]$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  acts by translation on  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , hence  $G_{\mathrm{Cor}}$  is linear over  $R$ . Similarly, the subgroup  $\mathrm{SElem}_0(K)$  is isomorphic to  $K^* \ltimes x^2 K[x]$ , where  $K^*$  acts on  $x^2 K[x]$  by multiplication. Since  $\mathrm{SElem}_0(K)$  embeds into  $\prod_{n \geq 2} (K^* \ltimes Kx^n)$ , it is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, K^\infty)$ .

Therefore both  $G_{\mathrm{Cor}}$  and  $\mathrm{SElem}_0(K)$  (for  $K$  infinite) are linear over some rings but not over a field. This explains the motivation of Section 3.

## 9 Two Linearity Criteria for $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$

For a subgroup  $S$  of  $\text{SL}(2, K)$ , there are two linearity criteria for  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ . The second one, stronger, is only proved for a field  $K$  of characteristic zero.

Let  $\Lambda \subset K^*$  be a subgroup. For any  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -module  $M$  and any  $n \geq 1$ , set  $M^{(n)} = (\rho_n)_* M$ , where  $\rho_n$  is the group homomorphism  $x \in \Lambda \mapsto x^n \in \Lambda$ .

### 9.1 The standard module for torsion-free good subgroups of $K^*$

Assume now that  $\Lambda$  is a torsion-free good subgroup of  $K^*$ . By definition, the standard  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -module is  $K_1$ , and it is denoted by  $\text{St}(\Lambda)$ .

Given a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  and an integer  $n \geq 1$ , it is clear that  $V^{(n)}$  is a direct sum of standard modules, and its multiplicity is the cardinal

$$[V^{(n)} : \text{St}(\Lambda)] = [\Lambda : \Lambda^n] \dim_{K_1} V = \dim_{K_n} V.$$

### 9.2 The First Linearity Criterion

Let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $\text{SL}_S(2, K)$  and let  $\Lambda_\delta$  be the set of all eigenvalues of  $S_\delta$ , for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ . Set  $\text{SL}_S(2, K[t]) := \{G \in \text{SL}(2, K[t]) \mid G(1) \in S\}$ .

**Linearity Criterion 1.** *Assume that  $\Lambda_\delta$  is a torsion-free good subgroup of  $K^*$ , for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ . Then, for some field extension  $L$  of  $K$ , there is an embedding*

$$\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \text{SL}(2, L(t)).$$

Moreover if  $\text{rk } \Lambda_\delta \leq \aleph_0$  for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , then we have

$$\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \text{SL}_S(2, K[t]) \subset \text{SL}(2, K(t)).$$

*Proof.* Set  $M = \sup \text{rk } \Lambda_\delta$ , where  $\delta$  runs over  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$ . There exists a field extension  $L \supset K$ , which satisfies one of the following two hypotheses

- ( $\mathcal{I}_1$ )  $[L : K] \geq M$  if  $M > \aleph_0$ , or
- ( $\mathcal{I}_2$ )  $L = K$  if  $M \leq \aleph_0$

It follows from Lemmas 7 and Lemma 19 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 &= S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K), \text{ and} \\ \text{SL}_S(2, L[t]) &= S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} U_\delta(zL[z]) \supset S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} U_\delta(zL[z]). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ . The  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda_\delta]$ -modules  $E_\delta(K)$  and  $U_\delta(zL[z])$  are copies of standard  $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -module. Since  $E_\delta(K) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 3} K^{(n)}$ , we have

$$[E_\delta(K) : \text{St}(\Lambda)] = \sum_{n \geq 3} [\Lambda : \Lambda^n][K : K_1].$$

On the other hand,  $U_\delta(zL[z])$  is isomorphic to  $\aleph_0$  copies of  $L^{(2)}$ , therefore we have

$$[U_\delta(zL[z]) : \text{St}(\Lambda)] = \aleph_0 [\Lambda : \Lambda^2][L : K][K : K_1].$$

Hence ( $\mathcal{I}_1$ ) implies the existence of a  $S_\delta$ -equivariant embedding

$$\psi_\delta : U_\delta(zL[z]) \rightarrow E_\delta(K),$$

and  $(\mathcal{I}_2)$  implies the existence a  $S_\delta$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\psi_\delta : U_\delta(zK[z]) \rightarrow E_\delta(K).$$

Therefore by Lemma 3,  $(\mathcal{I}_1)$  implies the existence of a an embedding

$$\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} U_\delta(zL[z]) \subset \text{SL}_S(2, L[t]) \subset \text{SL}(2, L(t)),$$

and  $(\mathcal{I}_2)$  implies the existence an isomorphism

$$\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} U_\delta(zK[z]) \simeq \text{SL}_S(2, K[t]) \subset \text{SL}(2, L(t)). \quad \square$$

**Theorem D.** *Let  $R$  be a f.g. subring of  $K$  and let  $S \subset \text{SL}(2, R)$ .*

*If  $\text{rk } \Lambda_\delta = \text{trdeg } \Lambda_\delta$  for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , then  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over  $K(t)$ .*

*Otherwise,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

*Proof.* The second assertion follows from the Nonlinearity Criterion.

In order to prove the first one, assume now that  $\text{rk } \Lambda_\delta = \text{trdeg } \Lambda_\delta$  for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ . Let  $L$  be the field of fraction of  $R$  and set  $F = \overline{\mathbb{F}} \cap L$ . Since  $R$  is a f.g. ring,  $F$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Therefore the set  $\mu \subset K$  of roots of unity in  $F$  or in a quadratic extension of  $F$  is finite.

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  such that  $p := \text{ch } R/\mathfrak{m}$  is coprime to  $\text{Card } \mu$ . Indeed if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  the characteristic of  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is arbitrarily large, while in the opposite case,  $\text{ch } R/\mathfrak{m}$  is automatically coprime to  $\text{Card } \mu$ .

Set  $S' = \{g \in S \mid g \equiv \text{id} \bmod \mathfrak{m}\}$ . For any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$  let  $\Lambda'_\delta$  be the eigenvalues of  $S'_\delta$ . Since  $S'$  is residually  $p$ -group,  $\Lambda'_\delta$  is torsion-free. Since  $[S : S'] < \infty$ , we can assume that  $S = S'$ .

We claim that  $\Lambda_\delta$  is f.g. for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ . If  $\delta$  is defined over a field  $F'$ , where  $F' = F$  or  $F'$  is a quadratic extension of  $F$ , then  $\Lambda_\delta \subset \overline{R}^*$ , where  $\overline{R}$  is the integral closure of  $R$  in  $F'$ . Since  $\overline{R}^*$  is f.g., so is  $\Lambda_\delta$ . Otherwise,  $\Lambda_\delta$  is trivial. Hence  $\Lambda_\delta$  is a torsion-free good subgroup of  $K^*$  for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ .

The first Linearity Criterion implies that  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over  $K(t)$ .  $\square$

### 9.3 The standard modules for finite-torsion good subgroups of $K^*$

From now on,  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero. Let  $\Lambda$  be a good subgroup of  $K^*$ , such that  $\text{Card } \Lambda \cap \mu_\infty = n$  for some  $n < \infty$ .

The  $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -module  $\text{St}_d(\Lambda) := K_d$ , where  $d$  is a divisor of  $n$ , are called the *standard  $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -modules*. By Baer Theorem [1],  $\Lambda$  is isomorphic to  $\mu_n \times \overline{\Lambda}$ , where  $\overline{\Lambda} = \Lambda/\mu_n$  is torsion-free. It follows that  $\text{St}_d(\Lambda) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{n/d}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\overline{\Lambda}^d)$ .

Given a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  and an integer  $m \geq 1$ , it is clear that  $V^{(m)}$  is a direct sum of the standard module  $\text{St}_{\text{gcd}(n,m)}(\Lambda)$ , and its multiplicity is the cardinal

$$[V^{(m)} : \text{St}_{\gcd(n,m)}(\Lambda)] = [\bar{\Lambda} : \bar{\Lambda}^n] \phi(n)/\phi(\gcd(n,m)) \dim_{K_1} V.$$

**Lemma 32.** *Let  $S_0$  be a subgroup of  $B$  such that  $\chi_B(S_0) = \Lambda$  is a good subgroup of  $K^*$  such that  $n := \text{Card } \Lambda \cap \mu_\infty$  is finite. Let  $l > n$  be a prime number and let  $L \supset K$  be a field such that  $[L : K] \geq \aleph_0 \text{rk}(\Lambda)$ .*

*Then there is a  $S_0$ -equivariant embedding  $E(K) \subset E^{<2l}(L)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be the set of divisors of  $n$ . The  $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -module  $E(K)$  and  $E^{<2l}(L)$  are direct sums of standard modules, therefore we have

$$E(K) = \bigoplus_{d \in D} \text{St}_d(\Lambda)^{m_d}, \text{ and} \\ E^{<2l}(L) = \bigoplus_{d \in D} \text{St}_d(\Lambda)^{n_d},$$

where the multiplicities  $m_d$  and  $n_d$  are cardinals. Therefore it is enough to prove that  $n_d \geq m_d$  for any  $d \in D$ .

Since  $E(K) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 3} K.T_m$ , it is clear that

$$m_d := [E(K)(d) : \text{St}_d(\Lambda)] \leq \aleph_0 \text{rk}(\Lambda)[K : K_1].$$

Similarly, we have  $E^{<2l}(L) = \bigoplus_{3 \leq m \leq 2l} L.T_m$ . Let  $d \in D$ . If  $d \geq 3$ ,  $L.T_d$  is a direct sum of standard modules  $\text{St}_d(\Lambda)$  and it is clear that  $[L.T_d : \text{St}_d(\Lambda)] \geq [L : K][K : K_1] \geq \aleph_0 \text{rk}(\Lambda)[K : K_1]$ , and therefore  $n_d \geq m_d$ . Since  $l$  is coprime to  $n$ , then  $L.T_l$  is a direct sum of standard modules  $\text{St}_1(\Lambda)$ , and we have  $n_1 \geq m_1$ .

If  $n$  is odd, the assertion is proved. Otherwise,  $L.T_{2l}$  is a direct sum of standard modules  $\text{St}_2(\Lambda)$ , and similarly we have  $n_2 \geq m_2$ .  $\square$

#### 9.4 The Second Linearity Criterion

**Linearity Criterion 2.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero. Assume that*

- (i)  $\Lambda_\delta$  is a good subgroup of  $K^*$ , for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , and
- (ii) the function  $\delta \mapsto \text{Card } \Lambda \cap \mu_\infty$  is bounded.

*Then  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is a linear group over some field extension  $L$  of  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L \supset K$  be a field such that  $[L : K] \geq \aleph_0 M$ , where  $M = \text{Sup rk } \Lambda_\delta$ , and let  $Q \subset \mathbb{P}_K^1$  be a set of representatives of  $\mathbb{P}_K^1/S_0$ . By Lemma 32 there is a  $S_\delta$ -embedding  $\psi_\delta : E_\delta(K) \rightarrow E_\delta^{<2l}(L)$  where  $l > \text{Max Card } \Lambda \cap \mu_\infty$  is a prime number. Therefore we get some embeddings

$$\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta(K) \subset S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_\delta^{<2l}(L) \subset \text{Aut}_0^{<2l} \mathbb{A}_L^2.$$

So, the strong version of Theorem C.2 implies that  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear.  $\square$



## 10 Examples of Linear or Nonlinear $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$

We provide three examples using the Linearity/Nonlinearity Criteria.

10.1 Example A, with  $S = \text{SO}(q)$  and  $K$  infinite

**Example A.** Let  $q$  be a quadratic form on  $K^2$  and  $S = \text{SO}(q)$ .

If  $q$  is anisotropic,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over a field extension of  $K$ .

Otherwise  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.

As we will see, the proofs for  $\text{ch } K = 0$  and for  $\text{ch } K \neq 0$  are very different. In particular, the group  $S = \text{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$  has no subgroups of finite index and the proof for  $K = \mathbb{R}$  cannot be reduced to the first Linearity Criterion.

*Proof.* If  $q$  is isotropic or degenerate, we have  $\Lambda_\delta = K^*$  for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ . The proof of Theorem A.1 shows that  $K^*$  itself is bad. Hence by the Nonlinearity Criterion,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.

Assume now that  $q$  is anisotropic. Let  $L \supset K$  be the quadratic extension splitting  $q$ . Then  $\text{SO}(q)$  is isomorphic to  $S := \{z \in L^* \mid N_{L/K}(z) = 1\}$ . Let  $S^\infty$  be the subgroup of all  $s \in S$  of order a power of 2.

1. *Proof for  $\text{ch } K = p$ .* We claim that  $S^\infty$  is finite. So we can assume that  $\text{Card } S^\infty \geq 4$ . Since  $\sqrt{-1} \in S$  and  $S \cap K^* = \{\pm 1\}$ , it follows that  $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$ . Therefore  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , and  $L = K.\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . Let  $s \in S^\infty$  of order  $> 2$ . There is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2n}}$  where  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset K$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \not\subset K$ , the integer  $n$  is odd. Since  $\text{Card } \mathbb{F}_{p^{2n}}^* / \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$  is odd,  $s$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ . Hence  $S^\infty \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$  is finite.

By Baer's theorem [1], we have  $S = S^\infty \times S'$  for some subgroup  $S' \subset S$ . Since  $S'_\delta = \{1\}$  for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , the group  $\text{Aut}_{S'} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  embeds into  $\text{SL}(2, K(t))$  by the first Linearity Criterion. Since

$$[\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 : \text{Aut}_{S'} \mathbb{A}_K^2] = [S : S'] < \infty,$$

the group  $\text{Aut}_{\text{SO}(q)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is also linear over  $K(t)$ .

2. *Proof for  $\text{ch } K = 0$ .* Since  $\text{SO}(q)_\delta = \{\pm 1\}$  for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ , the group  $\text{Aut}_{\text{SO}(q)}$  is linear over a field extension of  $K$  by the second Linearity Criterion.  $\square$

10.2 A preparatory lemma for the example B

We did not found a reference for the next well-known result. The given proof that  $l(\gamma_a)$  is arbitrarily large is due to Y. Benoist. Also it is implicit

in [6] that  $l(\gamma_a)$  is not constant, as pointed out by I. Irmer. A third proof is based on the fact that any loxodromic representation  $\Pi_g \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  can be deformed to the trivial representation inside the character variety, i.e, the space of semi-simple complex representations  $\Pi_g \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . All these proofs involves some geometric arguments.

**Lemma 33.** *There are cocompact lattices  $S \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\mathrm{Tr} \gamma$  is a transcendental number for any infinite order element  $\gamma \in S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \geq 2$ . Let  $\Sigma_g$  be the oriented Riemann surface of genus  $g$ , let  $T(\Sigma_g)$  be its Teichmüller space and let  $\Pi_g$  be the group presented by

$$\langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \dots \alpha_g, \beta_g \mid \prod_{1 \leq k \leq g} (\alpha_k, \beta_k) = 1 \rangle.$$

Let  $\gamma$  be a conjugacy class in  $\Pi_g$ . Any point  $a \in T(\Sigma_g)$  determines a group homomorphism  $\rho_a : \Pi_g \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  and an hyperbolic metric  $g_a$  on  $\Sigma_g$ , up to some equivalence [15], ch.5. Hence  $\rho_a(\gamma)$  is represented by a unique closed  $g_a$ -geodesic  $\gamma_a : S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ , i.e. a geodesic relative to the metric  $g_a$ .

In elementary terms,  $\gamma$  is represented, modulo  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy, by a hyperbolic element  $h_a \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . The complete geodesic  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{H}$  whose the extreme points in  $\partial \mathbb{H}$  are the fixed points of  $h_a$ , is the locus of minima for the function  $z \in \mathbb{H} \mapsto d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, h_a.z)$ . The  $g_a$ -geodesic  $\gamma_a$  is, up to reparametrization, the image in  $\Sigma_g$  of any segment  $[z, h_a.z]$  of  $\Gamma$ .

We claim that the length function  $a \mapsto l(\gamma_a)$  is not constant. Let us pick another conjugacy class  $\delta$  in  $\Pi_g$  such that  $\rho_a(\delta)$  is represented by a simple geodesic  $\delta_a$  which meets  $\gamma_a$  transversally (since  $T(\Sigma_g)$  is connected, this condition is independent of  $a$ ). By a corollary of the collar theorem,

$$\mathrm{sh} \frac{l(\gamma_a)}{2} \mathrm{sh} \frac{l(\delta_a)}{2} > 1,$$

see 4.1.2 in [7]. Since  $l(\delta_a)$  can be arbitrarily small,  $l(\gamma_a)$  is arbitrarily large.

Each  $a \in T(\Sigma_g)$  determines an homomorphism  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  up to some equivalence, which induces a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho}_a : \Pi_g \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$

defined up to conjugacy by  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

For  $g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathrm{Tr} g^2$  is well-defined. We have

$$\mathrm{Tr} \tilde{\rho}_a(\gamma)^2 = 2 \mathrm{ch} l(\gamma_a).$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ , set

$$\Omega(\gamma, P) = \{a \in T(\Sigma_g) \mid P(\mathrm{Tr} \tilde{\rho}_a(\gamma)^2) \neq 0\}.$$

Since the function  $a \mapsto P(\mathrm{Tr} \tilde{\rho}_a(\gamma)^2)$  is nonconstant and analytic,  $\Omega(\gamma, P)$  is a dense open subset of  $T(\Sigma_g)$ . By the Baire Theorem

$$\Omega := \bigcap_{\gamma \neq 1, P \in \mathcal{I}} \Omega(\gamma, P)$$

is dense. For any  $a \in \Omega$ , the lattice

$$S := \{s \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \mid s \bmod \pm 1 \text{ belongs to } \tilde{\rho}_a(\Pi_g)\}$$

satisfies the required condition. (Indeed  $S \simeq \Pi_g \times \{\pm 1\}$  by [20].)  $\square$

### 10.3 Example B, where $S$ is a lattice

**Example B.** For some cocompact lattices  $S \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , the group  $\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is linear over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For any lattice  $S \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.

*Proof.* Let  $S \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be a cocompact lattice as in Lemma 33. Then for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , it is clear that  $\Lambda_{\delta}$  has rank one and contains a transcendental element, or it is finite. Hence  $\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is linear over  $\mathbb{C}$  by Theorem D.

Let  $S$  be a lattice of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , let  $g \in S$  be of infinite order and let  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  be a fixed point of  $g$ . By the Garland-Raghunathan rigidity theorem 0.11 of [14], the eigenvalues of  $g$  are algebraic numbers. Since  $\mathrm{rk} \Lambda_{\delta} > \mathrm{trdeg} \Lambda_{\delta}$ , Theorem D implies that  $\mathrm{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.  $\square$

### 10.4 A preparatory lemma for example C

**Lemma 34.** Let  $R$  be a prime normal ring with fraction field  $k$  and let  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $R[t_1, \dots, t_m]$  in some quadratic extension  $L_1 \subset k((t_1, \dots, t_m))$  of  $k(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ .

Then  $B^*/R^*$  is isomorphic to  $\{1\}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is normal, we have  $B \cap k = R$ , hence the map  $B^*/R^* \rightarrow (k \otimes B)^*/k^*$  is one to one. So we can assume that  $R = k$ . Set  $C = \mathrm{Spec} B$ . There is a unique normal compactification  $\overline{C}$  of  $C$  such that the finite map  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^m = \mathrm{Spec} k[t_1, \dots, t_m]$  extends to a finite map  $\pi : \overline{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^m$ .

Set  $Z := \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_k^{m-1})$ , where  $\mathbb{P}_k^{m-1} := \mathbb{P}_k^m \setminus \mathbb{A}_k^m$ . For any irreducible divisor  $D$  in  $\overline{C}$ , let  $v_D$  be the corresponding valuation.

If  $Z$  is irreducible, then  $v_Z(f) \leq 0$  for any  $f \in B$ . Hence  $v_Z(f) = 0$  for any  $f \in B^*$ , and therefore  $B^* = k^*$ .

Otherwise,  $Z$  is the union of two divisors  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . For any  $f \in B^* \setminus k^*$ , either  $v_{Z_1}(f) < 0$  or  $v_{Z_2}(f) < 0$ . Hence the homomorphism  $f \in B^* \mapsto (v_{Z_1}(f), v_{Z_2}(f)) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  embeds  $B^*/k^*$  in a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $\leq 1$ .  $\square$

10.5 Example C, where  $\text{rk } \Lambda_\delta$  is not constant

Let  $A$  be a torsion-free additive group of any rank, let  $d, m > 0$  be integers with  $d$  square-free and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers of  $k := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Set  $K = k(A)((t_1, \dots, t_m))$  where  $k(A)$  is the field of fractions of  $k[A]$ , and

$$S := \text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}[A][t_1, \dots, t_m]).$$

The Example C of the introduction is the case  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m = 1$ .

**Example C.** *If  $d < 0$ , then  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over a field extension of  $K$ . Otherwise,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

*Proof.* Set  $L_0 := k(A)(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ .

*Proof if  $d > 0$ .* Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is a real field, we have  $\text{rk } \mathcal{O}^* = 1 > \text{trdeg } \mathcal{O}^* = 0$ . For  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_{L_0}^1$ , the group  $\Lambda_\delta = \mathcal{O}^* \times A$  is a bad subgroup of  $K^*$ . So, by the Nonlinearity Criterion,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is not linear, even over a ring.

*Proof if  $d < 0$ .* Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{P}_L^1$ .

If  $\delta$  belongs to  $\mathbb{P}_{L_0}^1$ , we have  $\Lambda_\delta = \mathcal{O}^* \times A$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is an imaginary field,  $\Lambda_\delta = \mathcal{O}^* \times A$  is a good subgroup of  $K^*$  and  $\text{Card } \Lambda_\delta \cap \mu_\infty \leq 6$ .

Assume now that  $\delta$  belongs to  $\mathbb{P}_{L_1}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}_{L_0}^1$ , where  $L_1$  is a quadratic extension of  $L_0$ . Let  $B$  be the algebraic closure of  $\mathcal{O}[A][t_1, \dots, t_m]$  in  $L_1$  and let  $N := \{z \in L_1 \mid N_{L_1/L_0}(z) = 1\}$  be the norm group. It is clear that

$$\Lambda_\delta = N \cap B^*.$$

By Lemma 34, we have  $\Lambda_\delta = \{\pm 1\}$  or  $\Lambda_\delta = \{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is algebraically closed in  $L$ , it should be noted that when  $\text{rk } \Lambda_\delta = 1$ , we also have  $\text{trdeg } \Lambda_\delta = 1$ . Thus  $\Lambda_\delta$  is a good subgroup of  $K^*$  and  $\text{Card } \Lambda_\delta \cap \mu_\infty = 2$ .

Otherwise, we have  $\Lambda_\delta = \{\pm 1\}$  and the same conclusion holds.

Therefore, by the second Linearity Criterion,  $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$  is linear over some field extension of  $K$ .  $\square$

## 11 Nonlinearity of Finite-Codimensional Subgroups of $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^3$

Theorem A.2 shows that  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}_K^2$  contains some finite-codimensional subgroups, which are linear as abstract groups. However, this result does not extend to  $\mathbb{A}_K^n$ , for  $n \geq 3$ , as it will be shown in this section.

For our purpose, the case  $n = 3$  is enough. Unlike in the introduction, it will be convenient to use the coordinates  $(z, x, y)$  for  $\mathbb{A}_K^3$ . Let  $\text{TAut } \mathbb{A}_K^3$  be the subgroup of tame automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_K^3$ , see 11.5 for the definition.

By the famous result of Shestakov and Urmibaev [24],  $\mathrm{TAut} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  is a *proper* subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^3$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a finite-codimensional ideal in  $K[z, x, y]$ . Let  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  be the group of all polynomial automorphisms  $\phi$  of the form

$$(z, x, y) \mapsto (z + f, x + g, y + h),$$

where  $f, h$  and  $g$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Set

$$\mathrm{TAut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3 = \mathrm{TAut} \mathbb{A}_K^3 \cap \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3.$$

The nonlinearity result for  $n = 3$ , valid even if  $K$  is finite, is unrelated with the existence of wild automorphisms in  $\mathbb{A}_K^3$ , as shown by

**Theorem B.** *For any finite-codimensional ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $K[z, x, y]$ , the groups  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  and  $\mathrm{TAut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  are not linear, even over a ring.*

The proof uses the folklore embedding  $\Phi$ , likely known by Nagata [19], and used in [24]. The simplest obstruction for the linearity is due to a nonnilpotent locally nilpotent subgroup. In characteristic zero, the proof is easy, and it follows the line of [9] together with Corollary 2. In characteristic  $p$ , the proof involves the strange formula of Lemma 37.

### 11.1 Nilpotency class of some $p$ -groups

The *nilpotency class* of a nilpotent group is the lenght of its ascending central series. Let  $p$  be a prime integer, and let  $E$  be an elementary  $p$ -group of rank  $r$ . Note that  $E$  acts by translation on  $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$  and set  $G(r) = E \ltimes \mathbb{F}_p[E]$ .

Set  $E = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_r$ , where each  $D_i$  has rank 1. For each  $i$ , the socle filtration of the  $D_i$ -module  $\mathbb{F}_p[D_i]$  has length  $p$  and we have  $\mathbb{F}_p[E] = \mathbb{F}_p[D_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[D_r]$ . Hence the socle filtration of the  $E$ -module  $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$  has lenght  $1 + (p - 1)r$ . It follows that

**Lemma 35.** *The nilpotency class of the nilpotent group  $G(r)$  is  $1 + (p - 1)r$ .*

Let  $M$  be a cyclic  $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ -module generated by some  $f \in M$ .

**Lemma 36.** *If  $\sum_{u \in E} u.f \neq 0$ , then the  $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ -module  $M$  is free of rank one.*

*Proof.* Set  $N = \sum_{u \in E} e^u$ , where  $(e^u)_{u \in E}$  is the usual basis of  $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ . Note that  $\mathbb{F}_p.N = H^0(E, \mathbb{F}_p[E])$ , hence any nonzero ideal of  $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$  contains  $N$ . Since  $N.f \neq 0$ ,  $M$  is freely generated by  $f$ .  $\square$

### 11.2 A formula

**Lemma 37.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra and let  $E \subset A$  be a linear subspace of dimension  $r$ . We have*

$$\sum_{u \in E} u^{p^r-1} = \prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the claim for  $A = \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  and  $E = \oplus_i \mathbb{F}_p \cdot x_i$ . Set  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{u \in E} u^{p^r-1}$ , set  $H = \mathbb{F}_p \cdot x_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \cdot x_3 \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \cdot x_r$  and for  $v \in H$ , set  $Q_v := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} (\lambda x_1 + v)^{p^r-1}$ . For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , we have  $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} \lambda^n = 0$  except if  $n$  is a positive multiple of  $p-1$ . Hence

$$Q_v = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n x_1^{n(p-1)} v^{p^r-1-n(p-1)},$$

for some  $c_n \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and the polynomial  $Q_v$  is divisible by  $x_1^{p-1}$ . Since

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{v \in H} Q_v,$$

the polynomial  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is divisible by  $x_1^{p-1}$ . By  $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{F}_p)$ -invariance,  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is divisible by  $u^{p-1}$  for all  $u \in E \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence it is divisible by  $\prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u$ . Since both polynomials have degree  $p^r-1$ , it follows that

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c \prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u,$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

As it is a universal constant, we can compute  $c$  for  $A = E = \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$ . Since

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^r} \lambda^{p^r-1} = -1, \text{ and } \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^r} \lambda = -1,$$

it follows that  $c = 1$ . □

### 11.3 The locally nilpotent group $G(I)$

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a prime field. For any ideal  $I$  of a commutative  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra  $A$ , let us consider the semi-direct product  $G(I) := I \ltimes I[t]$ , where  $I$  acts by translation on the space  $I[t]$  of polynomials with coefficients in  $I$ .

Let  $E \subset I$  be an additive subgroup, let  $f(t) \in I[t] \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $M$  be the additive subgroup generated by all polynomials  $f(t+u)$  when  $u$  runs over  $E$ . The group  $E \ltimes M$ , which is a subgroup of  $G(I)$ , is obviously nilpotent.

**Lemma 38.** *Assume that the algebra  $A$  is prime.*

- (i) *If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ , the nilpotency class of  $E \ltimes M$  is  $1 + \deg f$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ , that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} E = r$  and that  $f(t) = ax^{p^r-1}$  for some  $a \in I \setminus \{0\}$ . Then the group  $E \ltimes M$  has nilpotency class  $1 + r(p-1)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} I = \infty$ , the group  $G(I)$  is locally nilpotent but not nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) is obvious, and Assertion (iii) is a consequence of Assertions (i) and (ii). We will prove Assertion (ii), for which  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Set  $g(t) = \sum_{u \in E} f(t + u)$ . We have  $g(0) = \sum_{u \in E} au^{p^r-1} = a \prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u$  by Lemma 37. Since  $g(0) \neq 0$ , it follows from Lemma 36 that the  $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ -module  $M$  is free of rank one, and  $E \ltimes M$  is isomorphic to  $G(r)$ . Thus its nilpotency class is  $1 + r(p - 1)$  by Lemma 35.  $\square$

#### 11.4 The amalgamated product $\text{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{\text{Aff}}(I)} \text{Elem}(I)$

From now on, let  $I$  be a proper nonzero ideal in  $K[z]$ .

**Lemma 39.** *The group  $\text{Elem}(I)$  is not linear over a field.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is a proper ideal,  $\text{Elem}(I)$  is the group of all automorphisms

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (x + u, y + f(x)),$$

for some  $u \in I$  and  $f \in I[x]$ . It follows that  $\text{Elem}(I)$  is isomorphic to the group  $G(I)$ , and therefore  $\text{Elem}(I)$  contains subgroups of arbitrarily large nilpotency class by Lemma 38. Hence  $\text{Elem}(I)$  is not linear over a field.  $\square$

**Lemma 40.** *The group  $\text{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{\text{Aff}}(I)} \text{Elem}(I)$  is not linear, even over a ring.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 39, the group  $\text{Elem}(I)$  is not linear over a field. Therefore by Corollary 1, it is enough to show that the amalgamated product  $\Gamma := \text{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{\text{Aff}}(I)} \text{Elem}(I)$  satisfies

$$\text{Core}_\Gamma(B_{\text{Aff}}(I)) = \{1\}.$$

In order to do so, we first define two specific automorphisms  $\gamma$  and  $\phi$  as follows. Let  $r \in I \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer coprime to  $\text{ch } K$ . Let  $\gamma \in \text{Aff}(2, I)$  be the linear map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + ry, y)$  and let  $\phi \in \text{Elem}(I)$  be the polynomial automorphisms  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + rx^n)$ .

Let  $g$  be an arbitrary element of  $B_{\text{Aff}}(I) \setminus \{1\}$ . By definition,  $g$  is an affine map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + u, y + v + wx)$  for some  $u, v, w \in I$ .

If  $w \neq 0$ , the linear part of  $g^\gamma$  is not lower triangular, therefore  $g^\gamma$  is not in  $B_{\text{Aff}}(I)$ . If  $w = 0$  but  $u \neq 0$ , then the leading term  $g^\phi$ , which is  $(x, y) \mapsto (0, nrux^{n-1})$ , has degree  $\geq 2$ . Therefore  $g^\phi$  is not in  $B_{\text{Aff}}(I)$ . Last if  $u = w = 0$ , then  $v$  is not equal to zero. It follows that the leading term of  $g^{\gamma\phi}$ , which is  $(x, y) \mapsto (0, nr^2vx^{n-1})$ , has degree  $\geq 2$ . Therefore  $g^{\gamma\phi}$  is not in  $B_{\text{Aff}}(I)$ .

Hence, for any  $g \in B_{\text{Aff}}(I) \setminus \{1\}$  at least one of the three elements  $g^\gamma$ ,  $g^\phi$  or  $g^{\gamma\phi}$  is not in  $B_{\text{Aff}}(I)$ . Therefore  $\text{Core}_\Gamma(B_{\text{Aff}}(I))$  is trivial.  $\square$

### 11.5 Proof of Theorem B

The group  $\mathrm{TAut} \mathbb{A}_K^3 \subset \mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  of *tame automorphisms* of  $\mathbb{A}_K^3$  is

$$\mathrm{TAut} \mathbb{A}_K^3 = \langle \mathrm{Aff}(3, K), T(3, K) \rangle,$$

where  $\mathrm{Aff}(3, K)$  is the group of affine automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_K^3$  and  $T(3, K)$  is the group of all triangular automorphisms

$$(z, x, y) \mapsto (z, x + f(z), y + g(z, x)),$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are polynomials. Note that  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{K[z]}^2 = \mathrm{Aut}_{K[z]} K[z, x, y]$  is obviously the subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^3 = \mathrm{Aut}_K K[z, x, y]$  of all automorphisms of the form

$$(z, x, y) \mapsto (z, f(z, x, y), g(z, x, y)),$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are polynomials.

It is easy to see that the groups  $\mathrm{Elem}(K[z])$  and  $\mathrm{Aff}(2, K[z])$  are subgroups of  $\mathrm{TAut} \mathbb{A}_K^3$ . Therefore van der Kulk's Theorem for the field  $K(z)$  and Lemma 2 imply that the homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathrm{Aff}(2, K[z]) *_{B(K[z])} \mathrm{Elem}(K[z]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{K[z]}^2 \cap \mathrm{TAut} \mathbb{A}_K^3$$

is an embedding, likely known by Nagata. The hard and beautiful result of [24] states that  $\Phi$  is onto, a result which is not needed here.

Now, we prove Theorem B.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can assume that the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is also a proper ideal. Hence the ideal  $I := \mathfrak{m} \cap K[z]$  is nonzero and proper.

The previously defined morphism  $\Phi$  induces an embedding

$$\mathrm{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(I)} \mathrm{Elem}(I) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3.$$

Hence by Lemma 40, the group  $\mathrm{TAut}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$  is not linear, even over a ring.  $\square$

*Acknowledgements.* J.P. Furter and R. Boutonnet informed us that they also found a f.g. subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{A}_Q^2$  which is not linear over a field [5].

We heartily thank S. Cantat, Y. Cornulier, I. Irmer, S. Lamy, J.-C. Sikorav, I. Soroko, and the referee for interesting comments. *Special thanks are due* to Y. Benoist for his help in the proof of Lemma 33, T. Delzant for bringing my attention to [11] and E. Zelmanov for an inspiring talk.

We also thank the hospitality of the International Center for Mathematics at SUSTech, where this work was partly done.



## References

- [1] R. Baer, Erweiterung von Gruppen und ihren Isomorphismen, Mathematische Zeitschrift 38 (1934) 375-416.
- [2] H. Bass and A. Lubotzky, Automorphisms of groups and of schemes of finite type, Israel J. Math. 44 (1983) 1-22.
- [3] O. Bezushchak, V. Petravchuk and E. Zelmanov, Automorphisms and Derivations of Affine Commutative and PI-Algebras, Preprint (2022). <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2211.10781.pdf>
- [4] A. Borel and J. Tits. Homomorphismes "abstraites" de groupes algébriques simples. Ann. Math. 128 (1973) 499-571.
- [5] R. Boutonnet and J.Ph. Furter, private communication.
- [6] M. Bestvina, K. Bromberg, K. Fujiwara, J. Souto, Shearing coordinates and convexity of length functions on Teichmüller space, American Journal of Mathematics 135 (2013) 1449-1476.
- [7] P. Buser, *Geometry and Spectra of Compact Riemann Surfaces*, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math. 106 (1992).
- [8] S. Cantat, Sur les groupes de transformations birationnelles des surfaces, Ann. of Math. 174 (2011) 299-340.
- [9] Y. Cornuier, Nonlinearity of some subgroups of the planar Cremona group, Preprint (2017). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00275v1>
- [10] M. Demazure, Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum du groupe de Cremona, Ann. sc. de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 4 (1970) 507-588.
- [11] C. Drutu and M. Sapir, Non-linear residually finite groups, Journal of Algebra 284 (2005) 174-178.
- [12] W. Fenchel and J. Nielsen, *Discontinuous groups of isometries in the hyperbolic plane*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 29 (2003).
- [13] E. Formanek and C. Procesi, The automorphism group of a free group is not linear. J. Algebra 149 (1992) 494-499.

- [14] H. Garland and M.S. Raghunathan, Fundamental domains for lattices in  $(\mathbb{R})$ -rank 1 semi-simple Lie groups. *Ann. of Math.* 2 (1970) 279-326.
- [15] Y. Imagushi and M. Tanigushi, *An Introduction to Teichmüller spaces* Springer-Verlag (1992).
- [16] S. Lamy, L'alternative de Tits pour  $\text{Aut}[\mathbb{C}^2]$ , *Journal of Algebra* 239 (2001) 413-437.
- [17] W. Magnus, A. Karass and D. Solitar *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Dover (1966).
- [18] H. Nagao, On  $GL(2, K[x])$ , *J. Poly. Osaka Univ.*, 10 (1959) 117-121.
- [19] M. Nagata, *On Automorphism Group of  $k[x, y]$* . *Kyoto University Lectures in Mathematics* 5 (1972).
- [20] H. Petersson, Zur analytischen Theorie der Grenzkreisgruppen, III. *Math. Ann.* 115 (1938) 518-572.
- [21] V. Popov, Embeddings of Groups  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  into Automorphism Groups of Algebraic Varieties, Preprint (2022). <http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.02072v2>
- [22] J.P. Serre *Arbres, amalgames,  $SL_2$*  *Astérisque* 49 (1977) or its translation *Trees*, Springer-Verlag (1980).
- [23] J.P. Serre, Le groupe de Cremona et ses sous-groupes finis, *Séminaire Bourbaki* 1000, *Astérisque* 332 (2010) 75-100.
- [24] I. Shestakov and U. Urmibaev, The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 17 (2004) 197-227.
- [25] J. Tits, Free subgroups in linear groups. *J. Algebra*, 172 (1972) 250-270.
- [26] J. Tits. Résumé de cours, *Annuaire du Collège de France*, 82e année (1981-1982) 91-105.
- [27] J. Tits, Uniqueness and Presentation of Kac-Moody Groups over Fields, *J. of Algebra* 105 (1987) 542-573.
- [28] J. Tits, Groupes associés aux algèbres de Kac-Moody, *Bourbaki Seminar* 700. *Astérisque*, 177-178 (1989) 7-31.

- [29] W. van der Kulk. On polynomial rings in two variables. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd.*114 (1953) 33-41.
- [30] B.A.F. Wehrfritz, Generalized free products of linear groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (27 (1973) 402-424.

Institut Camille Jordan du CNRS, UCBL, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, FRANCE and  
Shenzhen International Center for Mathematics, SUSTech, Shenzhen, CHINA.  
Email: mathieu@math.univ-lyon1.fr