GENERIC PROPERTIES OF HOMEOMORPHISMS PRESERVING A GIVEN DYNAMICAL SIMPLEX

JULIEN MELLERAY

ABSTRACT. Given a dynamical simplex K on a Cantor space X, we consider the set G_K^* of all homeomorphisms of X which preserve all elements of K and have no nontrivial clopen invariant subset. Generalising a theorem of Yingst, we prove that for a generic element g of G_K^* the set of invariant measures of g is equal to K. We also investigate when there exists a generic conjugacy class in G_K^* and prove that this happens exactly when K has only one element, which is the unique invariant measure associated to some odometer; and that in that case the conjugacy class of this odometer is generic in G_K^* .

1. Introduction

This work is motivated by a recent article of Yingst [Y], concerning the generic behavior (in the sense of Baire category) of some homeomorphisms of the Cantor space, which here and throughout this paper we denote by *X*. We extend some results of [Y] to the setting of dynamical simplices; before explaining what those are, let us give some context.

Yingst considers some Bernoulli measures μ_r , i.e. measures on $\{0,1\}^N$ which are a countable product of measures $r\delta_0+(1-r)\delta_1$ for some $r\in]0,1[$. Say that such a measure is *refinable* if for any two disjoint clopen subsets A_1,A_2 and any clopen subset B such that $\mu(A_1)+\mu(A_2)=\mu(B)$, there exist disjoint clopen subsets \tilde{A}_1,\tilde{A}_2 of B such that $\mu(A_1)=\mu(\tilde{A}_1)$ and $\mu(A_2)=\mu(\tilde{A}_2)$. Refinability of a full atomless measure is a weakening of the notion of *good measure*, considered by Akin [A]: a Borel probability measure μ on X is *good* if it is full, atomless and, for any clopen A,B such that $\mu(A)\leq \mu(B)$ there exists a clopen $\tilde{A}\subseteq B$ such that $\mu(\tilde{A})=\mu(A)$. Glasner and Weiss [GW] proved that, whenever g is a strictly ergodic homeomorphism of X (i.e. g is minimal and with a unique invariant Borel probability measure), the unique g-invariant measure is good. Akin [A] established the converse implication: for any good measure μ on X, there exists a strictly ergodic homeomorphism g of X whose unique invariant Borel probability measure is μ .

Dougherty, Mauldin and Yingst [DMY] showed that μ_r is refinable if and only if r is a root of an integer polynomial P with $P(0) = \pm 1$, $P(1) = \pm 1$; μ_r is good if and only if it is refinable and r has no algebraic conjugate in]0,1[. An interesting phenomenon is that when μ_r is refinable, $s \in]0,1[$ is an algebraic conjugate of r and g is a homeomorphism of X such that $g_*\mu_r = \mu_r$, then also $g_*\mu_s = \mu_s$. Given Akin's theorem, one wonders whether, for any refinable Bernoulli measure μ_r , there exists a minimal homeomorphism g of X whose set of ergodic invariant Borel probability measures is equal to $\{\mu_s : s \text{ is an algebraic conjugate of s in }]0,1[\}$; this holds true, and that is one of the main results of [Y].

1

To prove this result, Yingst uses Baire category techniques. Given a compact, convex subset *K* of the set of all Borel probability measures on *X*, denote

$$G_K = \{g \in \text{Homeo}(X) : \forall \mu \in K \ g_*\mu = \mu\}$$

and let G_K^* be the set of all $g \in G_K$ such that $g(U) \neq U$ for any nontrivial clopen set U. As pointed out in [Y], G_K^* consists of the chain-transitive elements of G_K .

Then G_K is a closed subgroup of the homeomorphism group of X, endowed with its unique Polish group topology; a neighborhood basis of the identity in G_K is given by the subgroups

$$G_{\mathcal{A}} = \{ g \in G_{\mathcal{K}} \colon \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \ g(A) = A \}$$

where \mathcal{A} ranges over all clopen partitions of X. Mapping a given clopen set to itself is an open condition in G_K , so G_K^* is a closed subset of G_K ; Yingst points out that $G_{\{\mu\}}^*$ is nonempty whenever μ is a refinable Bernoulli measure (this is true in greater generality, as we will see below).

Theorem ([Y, Theorem 1.1]). Let P be an irreducible integer polynomial with $P(0) \pm 1$ and $P(1) = \pm 1$. let R be the set of roots of P which lie in]0,1[, and let $r \in R$.

Then $G^*_{\{\mu_r\}}$ is nonempty and for a generic element g of $G^*_{\{\mu_r\}}$ the set of all g-invariant probability measures is equal to the closed convex hull of $\{\mu_s \colon s \in R\}$.

Similarly, assume that μ is a good measure on X. Then $G_{\{\mu\}}^*$ is nonempty and for a generic element g of $G_{\{\mu\}}^*$, the set of all g-invariant probability measures is equal to $\{\mu\}$.

The results above, for refinable Bernoulli measures and for good measures, have a common generalization. Before stating it, we need to introduce some more background.

Definition (see [IM] and [M]). A compact, convex set *K* of Borel probability measures on *X* is a *dynamical simplex* if:

- All elements of *K* are full and atomless.
- For any two $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ such that $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, there exists a clopen $\tilde{A} \subset B$ such that $\mu(A) = \mu(\tilde{A})$ for all $\mu \in K$.

It follows from a theorem of Glasner and Weiss [GW, Lemma 2.5] that the set of invariant measures of any minimal homeomorphism of X is a dynamical simplex. When K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, K is a dynamical simplex if, and only if, μ is a good measure.

Assuming that K has finitely many extreme points which are mutually singular, and K is a dynamical simplex, Dahl [D] proved that there exists a minimal homeomorphism g of X whose invariant measures are exactly the elements of K (the term dynamical simplex was also introduced by Dahl, though the definition was not quite formulated as above). This extends Akin's theorem about good measures. It turns out that the assumption that extreme points of K are mutually singular is redundant with the other assumptions, and that finite dimensionality may also be dispensed with. Indeed, it was proved by Ibarlucía and the author in [IM] (with the additional assumption of $approximate\ divisibility$, which was later shown to be superflous in [M] but will play a part in our arguments) that for any dynamical simplex K there exists a minimal homeomorphism whose invariant measures are exactly the elements of K. This converse of the general result of Glasner and

Weiss is thus an extension of Akin's converse which applies in the special case of uniquely ergodic systems.

Theorem 5.4 of [Y] (closely related to earlier work of Dougherty, Mauldin and Yingst [DMY]) shows that, whenever P is an irreducible integer polynomial with $P(0) = \pm 1$, $P(1) = \pm 1$, R is the set of roots of P contained in]0,1[and $r \in R$, the closed convex hull K_r of all μ_s , for $s \in R$, is a dynamical simplex; further, $G_{\{\mu_r\}} = G_{K_r}$ for all $r \in R$. Thus Yingst's theorem may be seen as asserting that, for certain dynamical simplices K, for a generic element $g \in G_K^*$ the set of all g-invariant Borel probability measures coincides with K. As an aside, note that any $g \in G_K \setminus G_K^*$, or more generally any $g \in G_K$ which is not minimal, must preserve some measure which does not have a full support, so the set of g-invariant Borel probability measures cannot be equal to K.

We prove here (Theorem 3.1 below) that the conclusion of Yingst's theorem holds for all dynamical simplices: whenever K is a dynamical simplex, a generic element of G_K^* has a set of invariant measures equal to K. We actually establish a more precise result, using the notion of a *saturated* homeomorphism.

The strategy of [IM] is based on the notion of a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition; we recall that, if *g* is a homeomorphism of *X*, a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition for *g* is a clopen partition $(A_{i,j})_{0 \le i \le n, 0 \le j \le n_i}$ such that for all i and all $0 \le j < n_i$ one has $g(A_{i,i}) = A_{i,i+1}$. When g is minimal, one can build a refining sequence of such partitions whose atoms generate the clopen Boolean algebra (see for instance [IM] for more details and further references), and then we can think of these partitions as giving better and better approximations of g. The proof given in [IM] that any dynamical simplex can be realized as the set of invariant measures of some minimal homeomorphism works by building a refining sequence of clopen partitions, which turn out to be Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions of the desired minimal homeomorphism g. These partitions, which we call K-partitions, also play a major part in our approach here (see the next section for their definition). To ensure that g preserves K, we ask that $\mu(A_{i,j}) = \mu(A_{i,j+1})$ for any $\mu \in K$ whenever $A_{i,j}$ is an element of one of the Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions for g and $j < n_i$. One also needs to ensure that *g* does not preserve measures which are not in *K*; to that end, one works with a stronger notion than minimality, namely with *saturated* homeomorphisms. We skip the formal definition for now, see the next section for details. What matters here is that, whenever K is a dynamical simplex and g is K-saturated, g is minimal and the set of invariant measures of *g* is equal to *K*; and the construction of [IM] produces a K-saturated element of G_K .

One sees from their definition that K-saturated homeomorphisms form a G_{δ} subset of G_K^* . It is asked in [IM, Remark 2] whether one can determine the closure in G_K of the set of K-saturated homeomorphisms, and suggested that it might be equal to G_K^* , by analogy with [BDK, Theorem 5.9] which proves a similar result in Homeo(X). Our main result (proved in Section 3) confirms this suspicion.

Theorem 3.1. Let K be a dynamical simplex. Then a generic element of G_K^* is K-saturated. In particular, for a generic element g of G_K^* the set of g-invariant Borel probability measures is equal to K.

This generalises Yingst's result for good measures and refinable Bernoulli measures to all dynamical simplices; the possibility that such a generalization may be true is mentioned at the end of [Y].

Yingst mentions after the statement of his theorem that it "demonstrates a large class of uniquely or finitely ergodic homeomorphisms"; one then wonders how large that class is. Certainly, different dynamical simplices will yield non-conjugate uniquely or finitely ergodic homeomorphisms (when there is just one, or finitely many, extreme points), but it is not clear a priori whether two generic elements of G_K^* are conjugate. Thus we investigate when there exist comeager conjugacy classes in G_K^* and establish the following result.

Theorem 4.5. There exists a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* if, and only if K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, and μ is the unique invariant probability measure for some odometer g. In that case, the conjugacy class of g is comeager in G_K^* .

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to A. Yingst for a careful reading of an earlier version of this article, as well as many valuable suggestions which helped improve the exposition. Thanks are also due to the anonymous referee for several useful corrections and suggestions.

2. SOME BACKGROUND ON DYNAMICAL SIMPLICES

Our argument is based on some terminology and results from [IM] and [M], which we recall now (what we call "K-partition" here is called "KR-partition" there). It probably helps to have some familiarity with Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions and the methods used in the aforementioned papers to follow the arguments, but we recall everything we need from these articles in order to establish our results.

We recall that a dynamical simplex is a compact, convex set K of probability measures on X such that all elements of K are atomless and have full support, and whenever $A, B \in \operatorname{Clopen}(X)$ are such that $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$ there exists a clopen $\tilde{A} \subset B$ such that $\mu(A) = \mu(\tilde{A})$ for all $\mu \in K$.

We fix a dynamical simplex *K*. Let

$$G_K = \{g \in \text{Homeo}(X) : \forall \mu \in K \ g_*\mu = \mu\}$$

where as usual $g_*\mu(A) = \mu(g^{-1}A)$ for any Borel A. We endow G_K with the topology whose base of open neighborhhods of 1 is given by sets of the form

$$\{g \in G_K \colon \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \ g(A) = A\}$$

where A ranges over all clopen partitions of X. This turns G_K into a Polish group.

Lemma 2.1 ([IM, Proposition 2.7]). Assume that A, B are clopen and $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$. Then there exists $g \in G_K$ such that g(A) = B.

This property is key to our constructions. If $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, then it follows from this fact and the definition of a dynamical simplex that there exists $g \in G_K$ such that $g(A) \subset B$.

Basic compactness arguments enable one to prove the following facts.

Lemma 2.2 ([IM, Proposition 2.5]).

- For any nonempty $A \in \text{Clopen}(X)$, $\inf\{\mu(A) : \mu \in K\} > 0$.
- If *d* is any compatible metric, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that whenever *B* has diameter less than δ , one has $\mu(B) \le \varepsilon$ for all $\mu \in K$.

Below, we will make constant use of the homogeneity properties of dynamical simplices; the following lemma will be used in the proof of our main result (Theorem 3.1). We prove it now in the hope of helping the reader get acquainted with dynamical simplices.

Lemma 2.3. Let U, V be clopen and such that $\mu(U) = \mu(V)$ for all $\mu \in K$. Let \mathcal{A} be a clopen partition of U, and \mathcal{B} be a clopen partition of V. Let also C_1, \ldots, C_n , D_1, \ldots, D_m be nonempty clopen subsets of U, V respectively.

There exist clopen subsets Y_1, \ldots, Y_q of U, and clopen subsets Z_1, \ldots, Z_q of V, such that:

- $\forall i \, \forall \mu \in K \quad \mu(Y_i) = \mu(Z_i).$
- $Y_1, ..., Y_q$ form a partition of U which refines A, and $Z_1, ..., Z_q$ form a partition of V which refines B.
- For all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and all $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ there exists $k \in \{1, ..., q\}$ such that $Y_k \subseteq C_i$ and $Z_k \subseteq D_j$.

Proof. Fix a compatible distance on X. Reducing C_1, \ldots, C_n if necessary, we may assume that they are pairwise disjoint, and that each C_i is contained in some element of A; and similarly, mutatis mutandis, for D_1, \ldots, D_m and B.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ for which any clopen subset U of X of diameter less than ε is such that $n\mu(U) < \mu(D_j)$ for all j. Inside each C_i , we may find m nonempty, disjoint clopen subsets $(A_{i,j})_{1 \le j \le m}$ of diameter less than ε . For every $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and every $\mu \in K$, we then have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_{i,j}) < \mu(D_j)$$

Since *K* is a dynamical simplex, we may then find disjoint clopen subsets $B_{i,j}$ inside each D_i such that $\mu(B_{i,j}) = \mu(A_{i,j})$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$.

Using a bijection from $\{1, ..., n\} \times \{1, ..., m\}$ to $\{1, ..., nm\}$, we enumerate $A_{i,j}$ under the form $Y_1, ..., Y_{nm}$, and $B_{i,j}$ as $Z_1, ..., Z_{nm}$. Then $\mu(Y_k) = \mu(Z_k)$ for all k, and for all i, j there exists k such that $Y_k \subseteq C_i$ and $Z_k \subseteq D_j$.

Let $\tilde{U} = U \setminus \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{nm} Y_k$, $\tilde{V} = V \setminus \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{nm} Z_k$. Then $\mu(\tilde{U}) = \mu(\tilde{V})$ for all $\mu \in K$, so there exists choose $g \in G_K$ mapping \tilde{U} to \tilde{V} . Note that \mathcal{A} induces a clopen partition \tilde{A} of \tilde{U} (obtained by intersecting elements of \mathcal{A} with \tilde{U}), and \mathcal{B} similarly induces a clopen partition $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of \tilde{V} . Consider the coarsest partition of \tilde{U} refining both $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $g^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$, and list its elements as Y_{nm+1}, \ldots, Y_q . Then set $Z_k = g(Y_k)$ for all $k \in \{nm+1, \ldots, q\}$ to complete the construction.

We need an additional property of dynamical simplices.

Theorem 2.4 ([M, Corollary 2.6]). *K* is approximately divisible, i.e. for any clopen A, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any positive integer n there exists a clopen $B \subseteq A$ such that

$$\forall \mu \in K \quad \mu(A) - \varepsilon \le n\mu(B) \le \mu(A)$$

The way we are going to use approximate divisibility is (using the same notations as above) by applying the defining property of a dynamical simplex to find disjoint clopen $B_i \subseteq A$ such that

$$\forall \mu \in K \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \mu(B_i) = \mu(B_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\left(A \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n B_i\right) \le \varepsilon$$

We recall the following standard definition.

Definition 2.5. For $g \in \text{Homeo}(X)$, a *Kakutani-Rokhlin partition* for g is a clopen partition $\mathcal{A} = (A_{i,j})_{i \in I_A, 0 \le j \le n_i}$ such that $A_{i,j+1} = g(A_{i,j})$ whenever $0 \le j < n_i$.

We will need an abstract version of these partitions (intuitively, we manipulate clopen partitions that could be Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions for some homeomorphism, and use those to build homeomorphisms).

Definition 2.6. A *K-partition* is a clopen partition $\mathcal{A} = (A_{i,i})_{i \in I_A, 0 < i < n_i}$ such that

$$\forall i \in I_{\mathcal{A}} \ \forall j, k \le n_i \ \forall \mu \in K \quad \mu(A_{i,j}) = \mu(A_{i,k})$$

We use the notation F_A to denote $\{(i,j): i \in I_A, 0 \le j \le n_i\}$.

We call a set $(A_{i,j})_{0 \le j \le n_i}$ a *column* of the partition; we say that $n_i + 1$ is the *height* of this column, $A_{i,0}$ is its *base* and A_{i,n_i} is its *top*. Similarly, the union of all $A_{i,0}$ is the *base* of the partition, and the union of all A_{i,n_i} is its *top*. We sometimes call $A_{i,j+1}$ the *successor* of $A_{i,j}$ in \mathcal{A} (for $j < n_i$).

We say that a homeomorphism g is *compatible* with A if $g(A_{i,j}) = A_{i,j+1}$ for all i and all $j < n_i(A)$.

Note that if g is compatible with \mathcal{A} then $g(\mathsf{top}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathsf{base}(\mathcal{A})$; also, given \mathcal{A} , the set of all $g \in G_K$ which are compatible with \mathcal{A} is clopen. When g is a homeomorphism such that $g_*\mu = \mu$ for all $\mu \in K$, any Kakutani-Rokhlin partition for g is a K-partition with which g is compatible.

Definition 2.7. A K-partition \mathcal{B} refines another K-partition \mathcal{A} if:

- (1) For all $(i, j) \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$ there exists $(k, l) \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $B_{i,j} \subseteq A_{k,l}$. (i.e. every element of \mathcal{A} is a union of elements of \mathcal{B})
- (2) For all $i \in I_{\mathcal{B}}$, there exists $k \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $B_{i,0} \subseteq A_{k,0}$. (i.e. the base of \mathcal{B} is contained in the base of \mathcal{A})
- (3) For all $((i,j),(k,l)) \in F_{\mathcal{B}} \times F_{\mathcal{A}}$, if $B_{i,j} \subseteq A_{k,l}$ and $l < n_k(\mathcal{A})$, then $j < n_i(\mathcal{B})$ and $B_{i,j+1} \subseteq A_{k,l+1}$.
- (i.e. the successor of $B_{i,j}$ is contained in the successor of $A_{k,l}$) (4) For all $(i,j) \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$, if $B_{i,j} \subseteq A_{k,n_k(\mathcal{A})}$ for some k and $j < n_i(\mathcal{B})$, then $B_{i,j+1}$ is contained in some $A_{p,0}$.

(i.e. if $B_{i,j}$ is contained in the top of A and $j < n_i$ then the successor of $B_{i,j}$ is contained in the base of A)

Informally, the columns of \mathcal{B} have been obtained by cutting the columns of \mathcal{A} vertically, and stacking these fragments of columns on top of each other.

Whenever $B_{i,j}$ is contained in $A_{k,0}$ we say that $(B_{i,j}, \ldots, B_{i,j+n_i(A)})$ is a *copy* of the column $(A_{k,0}, \ldots, A_{k,n_k(A)})$ contained in $(B_{i,0}, \ldots, B_{i,n_k(B)})$.

Definition 2.8. Let K be a dynamical simplex, and $g \in G_K$. We say that g is K-saturated if, whenever $A, B \in \operatorname{Clopen}(X)$ are such that $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, there exists a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition $(A_{i,j})_{i \in I, 0 \le j \le n_i}$ for g such that A, B are unions of atoms of this partition and for all i

$$|\{j\colon A_{i,j}\subseteq A\}|=|\{j\colon A_{i,j}\subseteq B\}|$$

(here and throughout the article |F| denote the cardinality of a finite set F).

We note that, for a given $A, B \in \operatorname{Clopen}(X)$ such that $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, the set of all g admitting a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition with the above property is clopen subset in G_K : if A is such a partition for g, any $h \in G_k$ which is compatible with A will also have the desired property. Since there are countably many clopen subsets of X, it follows that K-saturated homeomorphisms form a G_δ subset of G_K .

The following result explains why saturated homeomorphisms play such an important role in our arguments.

Proposition 2.9. [IM, Corollary 4.3] *Assume that* $g \in G_K$ *is saturated. Then the set of* g-invariant Borel probability measures is equal to K.

Combined with the above fact, the following proposition is the heart of the proof of the main result of [IM].

Proposition 2.10. *Let* A *be a K-partition; there exists a K-saturated* $g \in G_K$ *which is compatible with* A.

This proposition is not formally stated in [IM] (though it is implicit in Remark 2 of that paper) but is established as in the proof of [IM, Proposition 3.6] by an inductive argument based on the following lemma.

Lemma 2.11 (combination of [IM, Propositions 2.5 and 3.5]). Let \mathcal{A} be a K-partition. Assume that U, V are clopen and $\mu(U) = \mu(V)$ for all $\mu \in K$. Let W be a nonempty clopen subset of $top(\mathcal{A})$.

Then there exists a K-partition \mathcal{B} refining \mathcal{A} , whose top is contained in W, such that U, V are both unions of atoms of \mathcal{B} and for all k one has

$$|\{l \in \{0,\ldots,n_k(\mathcal{B})\}: B_{k,l} \subseteq U\}| = |\{l \in \{0,\ldots,n_k(\mathcal{B})\}: B_{k,l} \subseteq V\}|$$

When U, V satisfy the conditions above, we say that (U, V) are \mathcal{B} -equivalent.

3. Proof that a generic element of G_K^* is K-saturated

Throughout this section we fix a dynamical simplex K. We recall that G_K is the group of all homeomorphisms which preserve K, and G_K^* is the set of all $g \in G_K$ such that, for any nontrivial clopen set U, one has $g(U) \neq U$. Our aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* K *be a dynamical simplex. Then a generic element of* G_K^* *is* K-saturated.

As pointed out above, K-saturated homeomorphisms form a G_{δ} subset of G_K^* (and we know that there exist K-saturated homeomorphisms by the main result of [IM], so G_K^* is also nonempty). So our aim really is to prove that the set of K-saturated homeomorphisms is dense in G_K^* ; the remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of that fact.

We fix $\varphi \in G_K^*$, and a clopen partition \mathcal{A} of X. Our goal is to prove that there exists a K-saturated element $\psi \in G_K$ such that $\psi(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

The proof is going to proceed by building certain K-partitions, and we think of A as a K-partition whose columns all have height equal to 1.

Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{B} be a K-partition which refines \mathcal{A} . For every atom $B_{i,j}$ of \mathcal{B} there exists an atom $\alpha(i,j)$ of \mathcal{A} such that $B_{i,j} \subseteq \alpha(i,j)$; we say that \mathcal{B} respects φ if $B_{i,j+1} \subseteq \varphi(\alpha(i,j))$ whenever $j < n_i$.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} and respects φ , and let $g \in G_K$ be compatible with \mathcal{B} . Then

- (1) For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $g(\alpha \setminus \text{top}(B)) = \varphi(\alpha) \setminus \text{base}(\mathcal{B})$.
- (2) For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, and every $\mu \in K$, $\mu(\alpha \cap \text{top}(B)) = \mu(\varphi(\alpha) \cap \text{base}(\mathcal{B}))$.
- (3) For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ which does not intersect top(\mathcal{B}) we have $g(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha)$.
- (4) base(\mathcal{B}) $\subseteq \bigcup \{ \varphi(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \alpha \cap \text{top}(\mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset \}.$

Proof. We begin by proving (1). Let α be an atom of \mathcal{A} . Since \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} , respects φ and g is compatible with \mathcal{B} , we have $g(\alpha \setminus \text{top}(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \varphi(\alpha)$; further, $g(\alpha \setminus \text{top}(\mathcal{B}))$ is disjoint from base(\mathcal{B}). This establishes one inclusion. Also, every atom of \mathcal{B} which is not contained in base(\mathcal{B}) is contained in $\varphi(\beta)$ for some atom β of \mathcal{A} . Thus, $\varphi(\alpha) \setminus \text{base}(\mathcal{B})$ is a union of atoms of \mathcal{B} ; these atoms may be listed as $(B_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in F}$, and for all $(i,j) \in F$ we have $j \geq 1$. Using again the fact that \mathcal{B} respects φ , each $B_{i,j-1}$ is contained in $\alpha \setminus \text{top}(\mathcal{B})$, and $B_{i,j} = g(B_{i,j-1})$, so $\varphi(\alpha) \setminus \text{base}(\mathcal{B})$ is contained in $g(\alpha \setminus \text{top}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Now that (1) is proved, fix $\mu \in K$ and α an atom of A. We have both

$$\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\alpha \setminus \mathsf{top}(B)) + \mu(\alpha \cap \mathsf{top}(\mathcal{B})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\varphi(\alpha)) = \mu(\varphi(\alpha) \setminus \mathsf{base}(\mathcal{B})) + \mu(\varphi(\alpha) \cap \mathsf{base}(\mathcal{B}))$$

Since *g* and φ preserve μ , (1) implies that $\mu(\alpha \cap \text{top}(\mathcal{B})) = \mu(\varphi(\alpha) \cap \text{base}(\mathcal{B}))$.

The third condition follows immediately: if $\alpha \cap \text{top}(\mathcal{B}) = \emptyset$, then $\varphi(\alpha) \cap \text{base}(\mathcal{B})$ must also be empty by the second condition, and then (1) gives $g(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha)$.

Since $\varphi(\alpha)$ does not intersect base(\mathcal{B}) if α does not intersect top(\mathcal{B}), we also obtain that base(\mathcal{B}) is contained in the union of all $\varphi(\alpha)$ such that $\alpha \cap \text{top}(\mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$, and that proves (4).

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{B} be a K-partition which refines \mathcal{A} and respects φ . We denote by $N(\mathcal{B})$ the number of atoms of \mathcal{A} which intersect top(\mathcal{B}).

Our goal is to prove that there exists \mathcal{B} which refines \mathcal{A} , respects φ and such that $N(\mathcal{B})=1$. Indeed, we note the following fact.

Lemma 3.5. Assume that there exists a *K*-partition \mathcal{B} which refines \mathcal{A} , respects φ , and is such that $N(\mathcal{B})=1$. Then there exists a *K*-saturated $\psi \in G_K$ such that $\psi(\alpha)=\varphi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be such a K-partition. By Proposition 2.10 there exists a saturated ψ which is compatible with \mathcal{B} .

For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ which does not intersect top(\mathcal{B}), we have $\varphi(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)$ by Lemma 3.3(3). Since φ and ψ are bijections, and there exists a unique atom β of \mathcal{A} which intersects top(\mathcal{B}), this atom must also satisfy $\varphi(\beta) = \psi(\beta)$, so we obtain as promised that $\varphi(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Assume that \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} and respects φ . Let C be a column of \mathcal{B} ; top(C) is contained in some atom of \mathcal{A} , which we denote by $\tau(C)$.

We endow the set of columns $Col(\mathcal{B})$ with a directed graph structure $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ (possibly, with loops), by declaring that

$$((C, D) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}) \Leftrightarrow (\varphi(\tau(C)) \cap \text{base}(D) \neq \emptyset)$$

Note that above we are manipulating $\varphi(\tau(C))$ and not $\varphi(C)$; that is because we are looking for a K-saturated element which coincides with φ on \mathcal{A} , so the conditions we have to satisfy are imposed by the behaviour of φ on atoms of \mathcal{A} , not on smaller clopen sets (all the information we can use is what φ does to atoms of \mathcal{A} , and the fact that this behavior on \mathcal{A} is compatible with belonging to G_K^*).

Definition 3.6. Let \mathcal{B} be a K-partition. We say that \mathcal{B} is *admissible* if:

- \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} and respects φ .
- For every atom α of \mathcal{A} , $\varphi(\alpha)$ is a union of atoms of \mathcal{B} .

For any C, D ∈ Col(B) there exists a path in Γ_B starting at C and ending at D.

The second condition above mildy simplifies the argument (it helps minimize the number of cuts we have to do during the construction); the third condition is key to our construction.

Lemma 3.7. There exists an admissible *K*-partition.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}' be the coarsest partition which refines both \mathcal{A} and $\{\varphi(\alpha) \colon \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We view \mathcal{A}' as a K-partition where each column has height 1. It satisfies the first two conditions of the definition of an admissible K-partition.

Fix an atom β of \mathcal{A}' . Let U be the union of atoms of \mathcal{A}' which one can reach from β by following a path in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}'}$. Then U is clopen and $\varphi(U) \subseteq U$, whence U = X since $\varphi \in G_K^*$. This proves that \mathcal{A}' is admissible.

Given Lemma 3.5, our proof will be complete as soon as we manage to establish the validity of the following lemma; the argument is based on repeated applications of the cutting and stacking procedure.

Lemma 3.8. Assume that \mathcal{B} is an admissible K-partition, and $N(\mathcal{B}) > 1$. Then there exists an admissible K-partition C such that $N(\mathcal{C}) < N(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. We fix \mathcal{B} , and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N$ denote the atoms of \mathcal{A} which intersect top(\mathcal{B}). Recall that, for every column C of \mathcal{B} , there exists an atom $\tau(C)$ of \mathcal{A} such that top(C) $\subseteq \tau(C)$; and an atom $\beta(C)$ of \mathcal{A} such that base(C) $\subseteq \varphi(\beta(C))$. By definition, $(C, D) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ if and only if $\tau(C) = \beta(D)$.

Pick a column C such that $\beta(C) \neq \alpha_1$ (the existence of such a column follows from Lemma 3.3(2)). Since \mathcal{B} is admissible, there exists a path $C = C_0, \ldots, C_p$ such that $\tau(C_p) = \alpha_1$; fix such a path with p minimal. If $\beta(C_p) = \alpha_1$ then either p = 0 or $\tau(C_{p-1}) = \alpha_1$ by definition of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$; the second possibility contradicts the minimality of p. So either C_0 or C_p gives us a column C such that $\beta(C) \neq \alpha_1$ and $\tau(C) = \alpha_1$.

We write base(C) = $U \sqcup V$, where U, V are nonempty clopen, and cut C into two finer columns with base U, V. Explicitly, assume that C is of the form $(B_{i,0},\ldots,B_{i,n_i})$; for each $j \in \{1,\ldots,n_i\}$ we choose a clopen subset U_j of $B_{i,j}$ such that $\mu(U_j) = \mu(U)$ for all $\mu \in K$, and let $V_j = B_{i,j} \setminus U_j$. Then we form a new partition, where C is replaced by two finer columns, one being (U,U_1,\ldots,U_{n_i}) and the other being (V,V_1,\ldots,V_{n_i}) . The partition we obtain refines $\mathcal B$ and respects φ ; below, whenever we mention cutting, we apply a similar procedure.

We set aside one of the two columns we just built and name it \tilde{C} .

Considering a path (D_0, \ldots, D_q) of minimal length such that $\beta(D_0) = \alpha_1$ and $\tau(D_q) \neq \alpha_1$, we similarly obtain the existence of a column D such that $\beta(D) = \alpha_1$ and $\tau(D) \neq \alpha_1$. As above, we cut D into two nontrivial subcolumns and call one of those \tilde{D} . We let \mathcal{B}' denote the partition that we produced by cutting C,D into two subcolumns each.

There may exist columns in \mathcal{B}' such that $\beta(E) = \alpha(E) = \alpha_1$; we wish to reduce to the case where there are no such columns. In case no such column exists, we may directly skip to the next step of the construction. Otherwise, let $k \geq 1$ denote the number of these columns. For every such E, we choose a large integer l_E and

use approximate divisibility to write

$$base(E) = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{l_E} E_i\right) \sqcup F$$

where for all $\mu \in K$ one has

$$(3.1) \forall \mu \in K \ \forall i, j \quad \mu(E_i) = \mu(E_i) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.2) \forall \mu \in K \quad \mu(E_1) + \mu(F) < \frac{1}{k} \min(\mu(\mathsf{base}(\tilde{C})), \mu(\mathsf{base}(\tilde{D})))$$

We then form a new partition refining \mathcal{B}' , in which each column E with $\beta(E) = \tau(E) = \alpha_1$ is replaced by two new columns \tilde{E}_1 and \tilde{E}_2 , with \tilde{E}_1 being obtained by stacking l_E copies of E with base E_i on top of each other, and \tilde{E}_2 being the remainder (namely, a copy of E with base E). Since each of the columns we stacked on top of each other has a base contained in $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and a top contained in α_1 , the partition we obtain respects φ .

The sum of measures of the bases of all columns \tilde{E}_1 , \tilde{E}_2 is strictly less than $\mu(\text{base}(\tilde{C}))$ as well as $\mu(\text{base}(\tilde{D}))$, for all $\mu \in K$; this is what we gained by our use of approximate divisibility in (3.2). Using the fact that K is a dynamical simplex, and cutting \tilde{C} , \tilde{D} as necessary, we may then form new columns by stacking each \tilde{E}_1 on top of a copy of \tilde{C} , and then a copy of \tilde{D} on top of that; and similarly for each \tilde{E}_2 .

Intuitively, we have first used approximate divisibility to make the columns with $\beta(E) = \tau(E) = \alpha_1$ very thin, and then we have stacked them in the middle of some new columns. We have thus formed a new *K*-partition \mathcal{B}'' which refines \mathcal{B}' and respects φ , since $\tau(\tilde{C}) = \beta(\tilde{D}) = \alpha_1$.

Every column F of \mathcal{B}'' is of one of the following three types:

- $\beta(F) = \alpha_1$ and $\tau(F) \neq \alpha_1$ (type 1);
- $\beta(F) \neq \alpha_1$ and $\tau(F) = \alpha_1$ (type 2);
- $\beta(F) \neq \alpha_1$ and $\tau(F) \neq \alpha_1$ (type 3).

Let $U_1, ..., U_n$ denote the tops of the columns of type 1, and $V_1, ..., V_m$ denote the bases of the columns of type 2. Lemma 3.3(2) implies that

$$\forall \mu \in K \quad \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{m} V_{j}\right)$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we may find clopen subsets $Y_1, \ldots, Y_q, Z_1, \ldots, Z_q$ such that

- For all $\mu \in K$ and for all i one has $\mu(Y_i) = \mu(Z_i)$;
- Y_1, \ldots, Y_q partition $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n U_i$, and Z_1, \ldots, Z_q partition $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^m V_j$;
- For all *i* there exists *j*, *k* such that $Y_i \subseteq U_j$ and $Z_i \subseteq V_k$;
- For all j,k, if there exists a column G of type 1 such that $\tau(G) = \alpha_j$ and a column H of type 2 such that $\beta(H) = \alpha_k$, then there exists i such that $Y_i \subseteq \alpha_j$ and $Z_i \subseteq \varphi(\alpha_k)$.

We then cut some columns of \mathcal{B}'' so as to form, for each $i \in \{1, ..., q\}$, a column with top Y_i and another with base Z_i ; and finally form a K-partition \mathcal{C} refining \mathcal{B}'' by stacking each new column with top equal to Y_i on top of the new column with base equal to Z_i .

By construction \mathcal{C} refines \mathcal{B} ; \mathcal{C} is compatible with φ ; for every atom α of \mathcal{A} $\varphi(\alpha)$ is a union of atoms of \mathcal{C} ; and $top(\mathcal{C}) \cap \alpha_1 = \emptyset$ so $N(\mathcal{C}) \leq N(\mathcal{B}) - 1$. It

remains to prove that C is admissible (note that the admissibility of \mathcal{B} was key to our construction, since it enabled us to produce the columns \tilde{C} , \tilde{D} , which in turn allowed us to get rid of all the columns whose top is contained in α_1).

During the construction, we ensured that the following properties hold:

- Any column A of \mathcal{B} such that $\tau(A) \neq \alpha_1$ and $\beta(A) \neq \alpha_1$ is also a column of \mathcal{C} (these columns have not been modified).
- Given any $j, k \ge 2$ and columns A, B of \mathcal{B} such that $\beta(A) = \alpha_j$, $\tau(A) = \alpha_1$, $\beta(B) = \alpha_1$, $\tau(B) = \alpha_k$, there exists a column E of \mathcal{C} such that $\beta(E) = \alpha_j$ and $\tau(E) = \alpha_k$. We guaranteed this by our use of Lemma 2.3, and by cutting C, D in two pieces at the beginning so that nothing had been lost before applying Lemma 2.3.

Now, let A, B be two columns of C. Since B is admissible, there exist columns C_0, \ldots, C_n of B such that

- $\tau(C_0) = \tau(A)$ and $\beta(C_n) = \beta(B)$.
- For all $i \le n 1$, $\tau(C_i) = \beta(C_{i+1})$.

If $\tau(C_i) \neq \alpha_1$ for each i, then $A, C_1, \ldots, C_{n-1}, B$ form a path joining A and B in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$. Else, there exists some i such that $\tau(C_i) = \alpha_1 = \beta(C_{i+1})$; and (considering the shortest possible path) we may as well assume that there is a unique such i. By construction, there exists a column D of \mathcal{C} such that $\beta(D) = \beta(C_i)$ and $\tau(D) = \tau(C_{i+1})$. Then the path $A, C_1, \ldots, C_{i-1}, D, C_{i+2}, \ldots, B$ joins A and B in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$.

4. Comeager conjugacy classes and odometers

We again fix a dynamical simplex K; given a K-partition A, we consider

$$O_{\mathcal{A}} = \{g \in G_K^* : g \text{ is compatible with } \mathcal{A}\}$$

 $G_{\mathcal{A}} = \{g \in G_K : \forall A \in \mathcal{A} g(A) = A\}$

The sets O_A form a basis of the topology of G_K^* ; the subgroups G_A form a basis of neighborhoods of the identity in G_K .

In our context, we may formulate Rosendal's criterion for the existence of comeager conjugacy classes for a Polish group action as follows (for a proof, see [BYMT, Proposition 3.2]).

Proposition 4.1. There exists a comeager conjucacy class in G_K^* if, and only if

- (1) The action of G_K on G_K^* by conjugation is topologically transitive .
- (2) For any K-partition A, there exists a K-partition B refining A such that, for any K-partitions C, D refining B there exists $g \in G_A$ such that $gO_C g^{-1} \cap O_D \neq \emptyset$.

We recall that a continuous action of a Polish group G on a Polish space X is *topologically transitive* if it admits a dense orbit or, equivalently in this context, if for any two nonempty open subsets U, V of X there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

The first part of the above criterion turns out to be always satisfied.

Proposition 4.2. The conjugacy class of any K-saturated element is dense in G_K^* .

Proof. Fix a K-saturated g and a K-partition \mathcal{A} . By saturation of g, there exists a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition $\mathcal{B} = (B_{i,j})$ for g such that any two atoms of \mathcal{A} belonging to the same column of \mathcal{A} are \mathcal{B} -equivalent (see Lemma 2.11 for the definition).

Thus, up to a reordering of the atoms within each of its columns, \mathcal{B} is a refinement of \mathcal{A} ; in other words, there exists for all i a bijection σ_i of $\{0, \ldots, n_i(\mathcal{B})\}$ such that $(B_{i,\sigma_i(j)})$ refines \mathcal{A} .

We may find $h \in G_K$ such that for all i and all $j \in \{0, ..., n_i(\mathcal{B})\}$ one has $h(B_{i,j}) = B_{i,\sigma_i(j)}$. Then $(B_{i,\sigma_i(j)})$ is a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition for hgh^{-1} , which proves that hgh^{-1} belongs to O_A .

Our aim is now to prove that the second condition of Proposition 4.1 is satisfied exactly when K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, and μ is the unique invariant measure of an odometer.

Given integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k \ge 0$, with at least one being different from 0, we set

$$r(n_1,...,n_k) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} (n_1,...,n_k)$$

Let $d = \gcd(n_1, ..., n_k)$ and $n'_i = \frac{n_i}{d}$; observe that $r(n_1, ..., n_k) = r(n'_1, ..., n'_k)$ and $r(n_1, ..., n_k) = r(m_1, ..., m_k) \Leftrightarrow (n'_1, ..., n'_k) = (m'_1, ..., m'_k)$

From now until the end of the proof of Lemma 4.4, we fix a K-partition A, with columns C_1, \ldots, C_k . For any K-partition B refining A, and any column D of B, we let $n_i(D)$ denote the number of copies of C_i contained in D.

We define $r(D) = r(n_1(D), ..., n_k(D))$ and call it the *repartition* of D; as above set $n_i'(D) = \frac{n_i(D)}{\gcd(n_1(D), ..., n_k(D))}$

Lemma 4.3. Let \mathcal{B} be a K-partition refining \mathcal{A} ; assume that for all columns D_1, D_2 of \mathcal{B} one has $r(D_1) = r(D_2)$. Then there exists a K-partition \mathcal{C} refining \mathcal{A} and which has a single column.

Proof. By assumption, $n'_k(D)$ does not depend on the column D of \mathcal{B} , so we let $n'_k = n'_k(D)$ for some (any) column D of \mathcal{B} .

For any column D of \mathcal{B} , there exists some integer M such that D is made up of Mn'_1 copies of C_1, \ldots, Mn'_k copies of C_k stacked on top of each other. Up to reordering, we see that there exists a K-partition refining A such that each column is obtained by stacking n'_1 copies of C_1 on top of each other, then n'_2 copies of C_2, \ldots , then n'_k copies of C_k ; and repeating this pattern some number M of times (where M depends on the column).

By separating at the beginning of each of these patterns, and putting the obtained subcolumns next to each other, we see that A has a refinement where all columns are made up of exactly n'_1 copies of C_1, \ldots, n'_k copies of C_k stacked on top of each other. We denote by N the common height of these columns. We have also ensured that the n'_1 copies of C_1 are at the bottom, followed by n'_2 copies of C_2 , etc.

Denote this refinement $\mathcal{B} = (B_{i,j})_{0 \le i \le m, 0 \le j \le N}$, and set for all $j \in \{0, ..., N-1\}$

$$C_j = \bigsqcup_{0 \le i \le m} B_{i,j}$$

Then $(C_0, ..., C_{N-1})$ is a K-partition which refines A and has a single column (which consists of n'_1 copies of C_1 followed by n'_2 copies of C_2 , and so on).

Lemma 4.4. Assume that \mathcal{B} is a K-partition refining \mathcal{A} , and that there exist two columns D_1 , D_2 of \mathcal{B} with $r(D_1) \neq r(D_2)$. Then there exist K-partitions \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} refining \mathcal{B} such that for any $f \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$ one has $fO_{\mathcal{C}}f^{-1} \cap O_{\mathcal{D}} = \emptyset$.

Proof. To simplify notations below, we note that one can further refine \mathcal{B} in such a way that there exist columns with distinct repartitions but the same height: if D_1 has height a and D_2 has height b, form a new partition with one column obtained by stacking b copies of D_1 on top of each other, and another by stacking a copies of D_2 on top of each other; this is possible as long as one chooses a small enough base for these columns. These new columns have the same repartitions as D_1 and D_2 , and both have height equal to ab. So we assume below that height(D_1) = height(D_2) = H.

We use the fact that D_1 , D_2 have different repartitions to build incompatible \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} refining \mathcal{B} . The intuition is as follows: first choose \mathcal{C} so that every column of \mathcal{C} begins with many copies of D_1 ; then every K-partition \mathcal{E} refining \mathcal{C} will be such that every column contains many consecutive copies of D_1 , and to move from an atom of \mathcal{E} to an atom at the bottom of these many successive copies of D_1 one only needs to move by at most the largest height of any column of \mathcal{C} . So, if every column of a K-partition \mathcal{D} begins with many copies of D_2 , we expect \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} to be incompatible. To turn this intuition into a proof, we use a counting argument.

We now turn to the details of this argument. Denote by m_i the number of copies of C_i occurring in D_2 and set $S = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$.

Consider some $g \in O_A$, $x \in X$ and some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ bigger than the height of any column in A. Let $i_1 < \ldots < i_p$ be the indices in $\{0,\ldots,N\}$ for which $g^i(x)$ belongs to the base of A, and note that $x,\ldots,g^{i_1-1}(x)$ all belong to the same column of A, as do $g^{i_j}(x),\ldots,g^{i_{j+1}-1}(x)$ for all $j \in \{1,\ldots,p-1\}$ and $g^{i_p}(x),\ldots,g^N(x)$. For $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$, we let $n_{i,N}(g;x)$ denote the number of times C_i has occured in this sequence, and let

$$s_N(g;x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_{i,N}(x)} (n_{1,N}(x), \dots, n_{k,N}(x))$$

Fix an integer $s \ge 2$ such that $\frac{kH}{s-1} < \|r(D_1) - r(D_2)\|_{\infty}$. Using the fact that K is a dynamical simplex, we may build a K-partition $\mathcal C$ refining $\mathcal B$ and such that all the columns of $\mathcal C$ begin with s copies of D_1 . Set N = sH - 1, and fix an integer M which is larger than the height of any column of $\mathcal C$ (hence also $M \ge N$).

Note that for any $g \in O_{\mathcal{C}}$ and any $x \in X$ there exists some $i \in \{0, ..., M-1\}$ such that $s_N(g; g^i(x)) = r(D_1)$. Indeed, there exists some $i \in \{0, ..., M-1\}$ such that $g^i(x)$ belongs to the base of \mathcal{C} , and then $g^i(x), ..., g^{N+i}(x)$ are going through s copies of D_1 .

Thus we have the following:

$$(4.1) \quad \forall f \in G_{\mathcal{A}} \ \forall h \in fO_{\mathcal{C}} f^{-1} \ \forall x \in X \ \exists i \in \{0, \dots, M-1\} \quad s_N(h; h^i(x)) = r(D_1)$$

Indeed, this follows from the observation in the previous paragraph and the fact that C refines A and every $f \in G_A$ maps every atom of A to itself.

Let \mathcal{D} be a K-partition refining \mathcal{B} and such that all columns of \mathcal{D} begin with at least 3M copies of D_2 ; denote by U the base of \mathcal{D} . Consider $g \in O_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $x \in g^j(U)$ for some $j \in \{0, \ldots, M-1\}$. There exists $j_1 \in \{0, \ldots, H-1\}$ such that $g^{j_1}(x)$ belongs to the base of D_2 . Since the points $g^{j_1}(x), \ldots, g^{j_1-1+(s-1)H}(x)$ visit (s-1) copies of D_2 and make up all but H of the elements of $g(x), \ldots, g^N(x)$, we see that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ one has

$$(s-1)m_i < n_{i,N}(g;x) < (s-1)m_i + H$$

Denote $T = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i,N}(g;x)$; by summing these inequalities (which is overkill, but does the job) we get

$$(s-1)S \le T \le (s-1)S + kH$$

Thus

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad \frac{(s-1)m_i}{(s-1)S + kH} - \frac{m_i}{S} \le \frac{n_{i,N}(g;x)}{T} - \frac{m_i}{S} \le \frac{(s-1)m_i + H}{(s-1)S} - \frac{m_i}{S}$$

The right-hand side of this inequality is smaller than $\frac{H}{s-1}$. Also

$$0 \le \frac{m_i}{S} - \frac{(s-1)m_i}{(s-1)S + kH} = \frac{kHm_i}{S((s-1)S + kH)} \le \frac{kH}{(s-1)}$$

This shows that $||r(D_2) - s_N(g;x)||_{\infty} \le \frac{kH}{s-1}$, thus $s_N(g;x) \ne r(D_1)$.

It follows that $s_N(g; g^i(x)) \neq r(D_1)$ for all $i \in \{0, ..., M-1\}$ and all $x \in U$. Using (4.1), we conclude that $g \notin fO_{\mathcal{C}}f^{-1}$ for any $f \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$; since g was an arbitrary element of $O_{\mathcal{D}}$, we obtain as promised that $fO_{\mathcal{C}}f^{-1} \cap O_{\mathcal{D}} = \emptyset$ for any $f \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$. \square

We briefly recall the definition of an odometer: fix a sequence $\bar{k}=(k_i)$ of integers \geq 2, and let

$$Y_{\bar{k}} = \prod_{i=0}^{+\infty} \{0, \dots, k_i - 1\}$$

Then $Y_{\bar{k}}$ is a Cantor space; the corresponding odometer is the map $T_{\bar{k}} \colon Y \to Y$ defined by "adding 1 with right-carry". Formally, if $y \in Y$ is such that $y(i) < k_i - 1$ for some i, then one finds the smallest such i and sets

$$T_{ar{k}}(y) = (\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{ ext{a string of }i ext{ zeroes}}, y(i) + 1, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}, \ldots)$$

If there is no such *i*, then we set $T_{\bar{k}}(y)(i) = 0$ for all *i*.

We say that a map $g: X \to X$ is an odometer if there exists a sequence \bar{k} and a homeomorphism $h: Y_{\bar{k}} \to X$ such that $g = hT_{\bar{k}}h^{-1}$.

An alternative (and equivalent) description of odometers, up to isomorphism, is as follows: let n_i be an increasing sequence of integers, with n_i dividing n_{i+1} for all i. Let G_i denote the cyclic group $\mathbf{Z}/n_i\mathbf{Z}$, and $\pi_{i,j}$ the natural projection from G_i to G_j for $i \geq j$. The inverse limit G of the family $(G_i, (\pi_{i,j}))$ is a compact group. Let $u = (1, 1, \ldots) \in G$; the subgroup generated by u is dense in G. Topologically, G is a Cantor space, and the associated odometer is the map $x \mapsto x + u$. The unique invariant measure associated to this odometer is the Haar measure on G.

Note that for any odometer there is a natural sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions (A_n) which have exactly one column each, obtained by taking as base of A_n the set $\{y \in Y_{\bar{k}} : \forall i \leq n \ y(i) = 0\}$. It is easily seen that the existence of such a sequence actually characterizes odometers ([BDK, Theorem 4.6], a fact we will use below). This property also implies that odometers are strictly ergodic and saturated.

Theorem 4.5. There exists a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* if, and only if K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, and μ is the unique invariant probability measure for some odometer g. In that case, the conjugacy class of g is comeager in G_K^* .

Proof. Assume that there exists a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* . Since (2) of Proposition 4.1 is satisfied, any K-partition $\mathcal A$ admits a refinement $\mathcal B$ with a unique column. Indeed, for $\mathcal B$ to witness that this condition holds, Lemma 4.4 shows that it is necessary that all columns of $\mathcal B$ have the same repartition, and we saw in Lemma 4.3 that if $\mathcal A$ admits such a refinement then it admits one with a single column.

This allows us to build a K-saturated $g \in G_K$ with a sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions which each have exactly one column, following the same construction as in [IM]. Such a g is an odometer, hence $K = \{\mu\}$ where μ is the unique Borel invariant probability measure for g.

Conversely, let g be an odometer, and let μ be the unique g-invariant Borel probability measure. Since g is $\{\mu\}$ -saturated, we know from Proposition 4.2 that g has a dense conjugacy class in G_{μ}^* . Denote this conjugacy class by $\Omega(g)$.

Given a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition A for g with one column, we claim that

$$\left\{hgh^{-1}\colon h\in G_{\mathcal{A}}\right\}=\Omega(g)\cap O_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Indeed, inclusion from left-to-right is immediate. To see the converse inclusion, pick $f \in \Omega(g) \cap O_{\mathcal{A}}$; then \mathcal{A} is a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition for both f and g. Using the fact that f,g are conjugate odometers, there exist sequences $(\mathcal{B}_n), (\mathcal{C}_n)$ of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions for f,g respectively, which each generate Clopen(X) and are such that for all n \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{C}_n each have exactly one column for all n, and the height of \mathcal{B}_n is equal to the height of \mathcal{C}_n . We may additionally ensure that the bases of \mathcal{B}_n , \mathcal{C}_n shrink to the same point which belongs to base (\mathcal{A}) . There exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ every atom of \mathcal{A} is a union of atoms of both \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{C}_n ; it follows that both \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{C}_n refine \mathcal{A} as K-partitions. One can then build a sequence (starting at n_0) of refining partial isomorphisms $h_n \colon \mathcal{B}_n \to \mathcal{C}_n$ such that $h_n(\alpha) = \alpha$ for every atom of \mathcal{A} , and for every atom β of \mathcal{C}_n one has $h_n f h_n^{-1}(\beta) = g(\beta)$. The union of the sequence $(h_n)_{n \geq n_0}$ defines an automorphism h of Clopen(X) such that $hfh^{-1}(U) = g(U)$ for every clopen U, and $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ for every atom α of \mathcal{A} . Hence there exists $h \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $hfh^{-1} = g$.

We just proved that the map $G_K \to \Omega(g)$, $h \mapsto hgh^{-1}$ is open, and then Effros' theorem (see e.g. [G, Theorem 3.2.4]) yields that $\Omega(g)$ is comeager in $\overline{\Omega(g)} = G^*_{\{\mu\}}$.

The following consequence of Theorem 4.5 was pointed out by A. Yingst.

Corollary 4.6 (Yingst). Let K be a dynamical simplex. There does not exist a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* exactly when some measure in K gives some clopen set an irrational measure.

Proof. A measure μ is the unique invariant measure associated to an odometer iff μ is good and assigns a rational measure to every clopen set (see [A, Theorem 2.16]). This proves one implication above, as well as the converse implication in the particular case when K is a singleton.

Assume now that K is not a singleton, and fix $A \in \text{Clopen}(X)$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in K$ such that $\mu_1(A) \neq \mu_2(A)$. Since K is connected and $A \mapsto \mu(A)$ is continuous, there exists $\mu \in K$ such that $\mu(A)$ is irrational.

REFERENCES

- [A] E. Akin, Good measures on Cantor space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), no. 7, 2681–2722 (electronic). MR2139523 (2006e:37003)
- [BDK] S. Bezuglyi, A. H. Dooley, and J. Kwiatkowski, *Topologies on the group of homeomorphisms of a Cantor set*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **27** (2006), no. 2, 299–331. MR2237457
- [BYMT] I. Ben Yaacov, J. Melleray, and T. Tsankov, Metrizable universal minimal flows of Polish groups have a comeagre orbit, Geom. Funct. Anal. 27 (2017), no. 1, 67–77. MR3613453
 - [D] H. Dahl, Cantor minimal systems and af equivalence relations, Ph.D. Thesis, 2008.
- [DMY] R. Dougherty, R. D. Mauldin, and A. Yingst, On homeomorphic Bernoulli measures on the Cantor space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 12, 6155–6166. MR2336321
 - [G] S. Gao, Invariant descriptive set theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), vol. 293, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009. MR2455198 (2011b:03001)
- [GW] E. Glasner and B. Weiss, Weak orbit equivalence of Cantor minimal systems, Internat. J. Math. 6 (1995), no. 4, 559–579. MR1339645 (96g:46054)
- [IM] T. Ibarlucía and J. Melleray, Dynamical simplices and minimal homeomorphisms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), no. 11, 4981–4994. MR3692011
- [M] J. Melleray, Dynamical simplices and Fraïssé theory, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 39 (2019), no. 11, 3111–3126. MR4015144
- [Y] A. Q. Yingst, A context in which finite or unique ergodicity is generic, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems (2020), 1–23.

Université Claude Bernard – Lyon 1, Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, 43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France