J. London Math. Soc. Page 1 of 21 © 2016 London Mathematical Society doi:10.1112/jlms/jdw054

From isolated subgroups to generic permutation representations

Y. Glasner, D. Kitroser and J. Melleray

Abstract

Let G be a countable group, $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$ be the (compact, metric) space of all subgroups of G with the Chabauty topology and $\operatorname{Is}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ be the collection of isolated points. We denote by X! the (Polish) group of all permutations of a countable set X. Then the following properties are equivalent: (i) $\operatorname{Is}(G)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$; (ii) G admits a 'generic permutation representation'. Namely, there exists some $\tau^* \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ such that the collection of permutation representations { $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) | \varphi$ is permutation isomorphic to τ^* } is co-meager in $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$. We call groups satisfying these properties *solitary*. Examples of solitary groups include finitely generated locally extended residually finite groups and groups with countably many subgroups.

1. Introduction

Let G be a countable group and $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$ be the space of all subgroups of G endowed with the Chabauty topology, which makes it into a compact metrizable totally disconnected space. The easiest way to define this topology is to embed $\operatorname{Sub}(G) \subseteq \{0,1\}^G$ as a closed subset and induce the Tychonoff topology on $\{0,1\}^G$. The group G acts on $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$ continuously by conjugation $g \cdot \Delta = g\Delta g^{-1}$. One is naturally led to the question of how the structure of the topological space $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$, or more generally the topological dynamical system $(G, \operatorname{Sub}(G))$, is reflected in the algebraic structure of G.

The Cantor–Bendixson structure theory of compact spaces leads us to consider the decomposition $\operatorname{Sub}(G) = \operatorname{Is}(G) \sqcup \operatorname{Sub}(G)'$. Here $\operatorname{Is}(G)$ is the collection of isolated points, or isolated subgroups as we shall refer to them, and Sub(G)' is its complement. Isolated subgroups are special from the algebraic point of view! Clearly, there are only countably many of them. One can think of isolated subgroups in algorithmic terms as subgroups that are detectable or recognizable via a finite algorithmic procedure. A subgroup $\Delta \in \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ is isolated if and only if it can be identified by making a finite number of membership and non-membership tests for specific elements. It is sometimes convenient to think of this in terms of Schreier graphs. Let Sbe a symmetric generating set for G. A subgroup $\Delta \in \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ is isolated if one can find a finite algorithm that would recognize the Schreier graph $Sch(G, \Delta, S)$ out of all Schreier graphs of the group G. Note that S might very well be infinite, and consequently the Schreier graphs in question may fail to be locally finite. Still the algorithm is allowed to look only at finitely many edges. From these characterizations, it is easy to see that isolated groups are always finitely generated. In the special case where G itself is finitely generated, every finite index subgroup is isolated and we obtain inclusions $\mathrm{Sub}^{\mathrm{fg}}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Is}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Sub}^{\mathrm{fg}}(G)$, where $\mathrm{Sub}^{\mathrm{fg}}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Sub}^{\mathrm{fg}}(G)$ stand for finite index and finitely generated subgroups, respectively. It is clear that Is(G) is always a discrete countable open subset of Sub(G). Our main new definition is the following.

Received 16 February 2016; revised 11 July 2016.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification 20E26 (primary), 0B07, 03E15 (secondary).

This work was written while the first author was on sabbatical at the University of Utah. He is grateful to the math department there for their hospitality, and acknowledges support from U.S. National Science Foundation grants DMS 1107452, 1107263, 1107367 'RNMS: Geometric structures And Representation varieties' (the GEAR Network) that enabled this visit. The first and second authors were both partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant ISF 2095/15, and the third author was partially supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche grant Grupoloco (ANR-11-JS01-0008).

DEFINITION 1. A group G is called *solitary* if the isolated points Is(G) are dense in Sub(G).

Let X be a countable set and X! be the group of all permutations of X. The topology of pointwise convergence makes X! into a Polish group: separable, metrizable and complete. The space Hom(G, X!) is the space of all permutation representations of G and is clearly also a Polish space. There is a natural action

$$X! \times \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!),$$

$$(\alpha, \varphi) \longmapsto \alpha \cdot \varphi : g \longmapsto \alpha \varphi(g) \alpha^{-1}.$$
(1.1)

Two permutation representations that are in the same orbit are said to be *isomorphic* as *permutation representations*. We will be interested in Baire generic properties of permutation representations, and in particular in the existence of a generic permutation representation in the sense of the following.

DEFINITION 2. The group G is said to have a generic permutation representation if there is a permutation representation $\tau^* \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ whose orbit

$$\begin{aligned} X!(\tau^*) &= \{ \alpha \cdot \tau^* \mid \alpha \in X! \} \\ &= \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \mid \varphi \text{ is a permutation isomorphic to } \tau^* \} \end{aligned}$$

is co-meager in $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$.

It turns out that the existence of a generic permutation representation is captured by the structure of the topological space Sub(G).

THEOREM 1.1 (Main theorem). A countable group G admits a generic permutation representation if and only if it is solitary.

When it exists, one can give a precise description of the generic permutation representation; in particular, the stabilizers of elements of X for this representation are exactly the isolated subgroups.

DEFINITION 3. A group G is called subgroup separable or locally extended residually finite (LERF for short) if every finitely generated subgroup of G is the intersection of finite index subgroups. Or equivalently if any finitely generated subgroup is closed in the profinite topology on G.

Examples of LERF groups include finitely generated abelian groups, free groups [10], surface groups [21, 22] and, more generally, limit groups [23], the Grigorchuk group [9] and many lamplighter groups [8]. Recently, the LERF property attracted a lot of attention, as Agol's proof of the LERF property for the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold [1] was a central ingredient in his solution to Thruston's virtual Haken conjecture.

The following theorem is analogous to our main theorem above. It shows in particular that finitely generated LERF groups are solitary. THEOREM 1.2. Let G be a finitely generated group; then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) G is LERF;
- (ii) the collection $\operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{fi}}(G)$ of finite index subgroups is dense in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$;
- (iii) G has a generic permutation representation, all of whose orbits are finite.

REMARK 1. The fact that the first and third condition above are equivalent also follows from earlier work of Rosendal: Proposition 8(B) in [19] proves that G is LERF if and only if a generic permutation representation has only finite orbits, while Theorem 11 of [20] shows that a finitely generated LERF group admits a generic permutation representation. Note that Theorem 11 of [20] is only formulated for groups acting by isometries on the rational Urysohn space, but see the remark in the last paragraph of [20], where it is pointed out that the proofs adapt to other metric spaces, notably the Urysohn space with distances $\{0, 1\}$, that is, an infinite countable set.

In the paper, we state and prove a more detailed version of this theorem that holds also for countable groups. Once we leave the realm of finitely generated groups, isolated subgroups and solitary groups no longer generalize finite index subgroups and LERF groups, respectively. Each of these theories goes in its own way. Our impression is that in some settings the choice of isolated subgroups and solitary groups is the more natural one. The following theorem summarizes some examples and structural results we have about solitary groups.

THEOREM 1.3. The following are some properties of solitary groups.

- (i) Finitely generated LERF groups are solitary.
- (ii) If Sub(G) is countable, then G is solitary.

(iii) Let $1 \to N \to H \to G \to 1$ be a short exact sequence of countable groups such that N is finitely generated, as an abstract group. If H is solitary, then so is G.

(iv) The free product of two countable groups G * H is solitary if and only if one of the following two options hold:

- (1) both G and H are LERF and finitely generated;
- (2) G is solitary and H is trivial, or vice versa.

The situation considered in condition (iii) above is identical to the one appearing in the famous Rips construction. This naturally leads to the following.

QUESTION 1. Is it true that every finitely generated solitary group G can be placed in a short exact sequence $1 \to N \to H \to G \to 1$, where H is solitary and hyperbolic and N is finitely generated as an abstract group.

Theorem 1.1 is tightly connected to the notion of ample generics in Polish groups. We follow the notation of the papers [16, 20] who were the first paper to express this property in terms of generic orbits on 'presentation varieties'.

DEFINITION 4. We say that a Polish group P has ample generics if $\text{Hom}(F_n, P)$ admits a generic P orbit for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The notion of ample generics was first introduced in [11] in order to study the small index property in Polish groups, namely, that every subgroup of index less than 2^{\aleph_0} is open. Indeed, this and additional consequences such as automatic continuity of abstract homomorphisms into any separable group were subsequently established for all groups with ample generics in [12]. We refer the readers to all of the above-mentioned papers (see also the survey [7]) and the references therein. With this terminology in place, Theorem 1.2 shows that the following two well-known facts:

- (1) X! has ample generics,
- (2) finitely generated free groups F_n are LERF,

are in fact two different realizations of the same phenomenon. In both cases one seeks a generic P-orbit in Hom(G, P). But in the study of groups with ample generics, one fixes G (or more precisely lets G range over all finitely generated free groups) and lets the Polish group P vary; whereas, in the study of solitary groups, we fix the Polish group P = X! and consider the class of all the countable groups G that give rise to a generic orbit. In view of the very natural characterization that arises from Theorem 1.2 the following question seems natural.

QUESTION 2. Given a Polish group P, describe the class of all finitely generated groups G for which Hom(G, P) has a generic P-orbit.

In particular, the answer should contain all finitely generated free groups whenever P has ample generics. The group X! is probably the simplest example of a Polish group with ample generics, but there are many others.

We now turn to generalizing Theorem 1.2, and the notion of LERF groups, in a different direction. A subgroup $H \leq G$ is called *co-amenable* if there is a *G*-invariant mean on G/H (see also Definitions 8 and 9). Co-amenable subgroups generalize finite index subgroups in much the same way that amenable groups generalize finite groups. In view of that and of Theorems 1.2 and 1.1, we can generalize the notion of LERF groups as follows.

DEFINITION 5. A group G is amenably separable, or A-separable for short, if the set of co-amenable subgroups of G is dense in Sub(G).

In view of the Theorem 1.2, every LERF group is A-separable. Another obvious source, for example, is the class of all amenable groups. These are A-separable since all of their subgroups are co-amenable. In Section 6 of this work, we initiate the study of A-separable groups. Our hope is that the notion of A-separability will prove to be a useful generalization of the *a priori* very different, properties of LERF and amenability. This situation is perhaps reminiscent of the way in which sofic groups simultaneously generalize the notions of residual finiteness and amenability. In these terms the analogue of Theorem 1.1 is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.4. A countable group G is A-separable if and only if, for a generic action of G on a countable set, the action on every orbit is amenable.

Here are some properties of A-separable groups.

THEOREM 1.5. The following properties hold for the class of A-separable groups.

- (1) LERF groups and amenable groups are A-separable.
- (2) The class of A-separable groups is closed under free products.
- (3) There exist A-separable groups that are neither LERF nor amenable.
- (4) A group with property (T) is A-separable if and only if it is LERF.

(5) Higher-rank lattices in non-compact simple Lie groups that satisfy the congruence subgroup property are never A-separable.

The paper is arranged as follows. Section 2 is dedicated to a systematic investigation of the topological spaces Sub(G), Hom(G, X!) and the standard stabilizer map $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \to \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ between them. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. Section 4 is dedicated to solitary groups and there we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. Finally, in Section 6 we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 and give examples of non-amenable, non-LERF groups that are A-separable. The results in this work are also to appear as part of the Ph.D. dissertation of the second author [13].

2. Dense and generic properties of actions and subgroups

2.1. The space of permutation representations $Hom(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}!)$

Let X be a countable set and X! be the full symmetric group of all bijections of X onto itself. We endow X! with the topology of pointwise convergence which makes it into a Polish topological group. In other words a topological group that is separable, and admits a complete metric. The latter fact is important for us because it shows that X! is a Baire space; though we will never consider any specific metric. An explicit basis for the topology can be given by the sets

$$\mathcal{U}(\alpha, A) := \{ \beta \in X! \mid \beta|_A = \alpha|_A \} \quad (\alpha \in X!, \ A \subseteq X \text{ finite}).$$

On $X!^n$ we will always put the product topology, which is still Polish for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Let G be a countable group with a given presentation $G = \langle S | R \rangle$, where $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots\}$. Then, we can identify Hom(G, X!) with a closed subset of $X!^S$ via the following embedding:

$$\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \longrightarrow \{ \alpha \in X!^S \mid \forall w \in R : w(\alpha) = 1_X \} \subseteq X!^S, \\ \rho \longmapsto \{ \rho(s_i) \}_{s_i \in S}.$$

Thus $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ is a closed subspace of $X!^S$ and the induced topology makes it into a Polish space (note that this topology does not depend on the choice of presentation). A basis for the topology on $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{O}(\rho, T, A) := \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \mid \forall t \in T : \sigma(t)|_A = \rho(t)|_A \}, \\ (\rho \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!), \ T \subseteq G \text{ and } A \subseteq X \text{ both finite}).$$

If S itself happens to be finite, then the sets $\mathcal{O}(\rho, S, A)$ form a basis for $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$.

As mentioned in the introduction (see equation (1.1)), the group X! acts, from the left, on Hom(G, X!), and the orbits of this action are exactly the standard isomorphism classes of permutation representations. It is well known that two permutation representations are isomorphic if and only if they contain the same transitive components, appearing with the same multiplicity. The transitive components, in turn, are isomorphic to quasi-regular actions of the form $G \curvearrowright G/H$ for some $H \in \text{Sub}(G)$. If $\{H_i\} \subseteq \text{Sub}(G)$ is a countable or finite collection of subgroups and if $d_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, then we will denote the (isomorphism class of) the permutation representation that has exactly d_i transitive components isomorphic to $G \stackrel{\eta_i}{\sim} G/H_i$ by

$$\bigsqcup_{i} d_i \cdot (G/H_i) = \bigsqcup_{i} d_i \cdot \eta_i.$$

Some care is due with this notation. It is not always possible to identify such an action with an element of Hom(G, X!) because, if the sum is finite and H_i are all of finite index, then the underlying set is finite. When this is not the case, we can identify such an action with an element of Hom(G, X!) via an arbitrary bijection between $\sqcup_i G/H_i \cong X$. Different choices of this bijection will yield all the different points in the corresponding X! orbit. We will make frequent use of the following definition. DEFINITION 6. Let $G \curvearrowright X, x \in X, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ and let $w = w_k w_{k-1} \cdots w_1$ be a word over $\{g_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, g_n^{\pm 1}\}$. The trace of x under w is the set

$$trace_w(x) = \{x, w_1 x, \dots, w_{k-1} \cdots w_1 x, wx\}.$$

2.2. The space of subgroups

Let G be a countable group and consider the space $\{0,1\}^G$ of subsets of G, equipped with the product topology. This is a compact, metrizable space. Let $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$ denote the set of all subgroups of G. It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$ is closed in $\{0,1\}^G$ and so it is a compact, metrizable space. The induced topology on $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$ is called the Chabauty topology, and a basis for this topology can be given by the sets

$$\mathcal{W}(H,\Omega) = \{ K \in \mathrm{Sub}(G) \mid K \cap \Omega = H \cap \Omega \} \quad (H \in \mathrm{Sub}(G), \ \Omega \subseteq G \text{ finite}).$$

If $H \in \text{Sub}(G)$, then we denote by

$$\operatorname{Env}(H) = \{ K \in \operatorname{Sub}(G) \mid K \ge H \}$$

the envelope of H. Both subsets $\operatorname{Sub}(H)$ and $\operatorname{Env}(H)$ are closed in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$. If H is finitely generated, then $\operatorname{Env}(H)$ is also open. Denoting by $\operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{fg}}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ the collection of finitely generated subgroups, it is easy to check that the collection

$${\operatorname{Env}}(H) \cap (\operatorname{Sub}(G) \setminus \operatorname{Env}(H')) \mid H, H' \in \operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{tg}}(G)$$

forms a basis for the topology of Sub(G).

2.3. Isolated subgroups

Let Is(G) and Occ(G) denote the isolated points of Sub(G) and the subgroups of G with open conjugacy classes, respectively. Note that a subgroup H is in Occ(G) if and only if there is an open neighborhood of H, consisting of only conjugates of H. Both subsets are open and conjugation-invariant.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Here are some basic properties of these subgroups.

(i) Isolated subgroups are the same as these with open conjugacy classes (in a countable group). Namely Is(G) = OCC(G).

(ii) Every $H \in Is(G)$ is finitely generated.

(iii) If $H \in \text{Sub}^{\text{fg}}(G)$, then Env(H) is an open neighborhood of H.

(iv) When G itself is finitely generated, then every finite index subgroup is isolated.

(v) If $H \in \text{Sub}^{\text{fg}}(G)$ and $|\text{Env}(H)| < \infty$, then H is isolated. In particular, every finitely generated maximal subgroup is isolated.

Proof. It is clear that $Is(G) \subseteq Occ(G)$ and that both sets are open. The opposite inclusion follows from Baire's theorem: Let $K \in Occ(G)$ and let $[K] = \{gKg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$ be its conjugacy class, which is open by definition. Since G is countable, [K] is a countable (or finite) union of closed points and hence, by Baire's theorem, one of them has to be open. Since G is transitive on [K], all of these points are open and in particular $K \in Is(G)$. This proves (i). If H is not finitely generated, then we can find a sequence of finitely generated subgroups $H_1 < H_2 < H_3 < \cdots$ with $\cup_i H_i = H$. Clearly, $H_i \to H$ in the topology of Sub(G), but none of these subgroups is equal to H because H is not finitely generated. (iii) is clear and (v) follows directly from (iii). Finally, if G itself is finitely generated, then so is every finite index subgroup, and (iv) follows directly from (v). Thus, for a finitely generated group G, isolated subgroups form a class of subgroups that sits between the finitely generated subgroups and the subgroups of finite index, namely:

$$\operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{fg}}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Is}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{fg}}(G).$$

We find it very useful to think of isolated subgroups as generalizations of finite index subgroups.

2.4. Generic properties

A subset $A \subseteq Y$ in a Polish space is called generic (or alternatively residual or co-meager) if it contains a countable intersection of dense open sets. By Baire's category theorem generic sets are always dense. We say that the property (P) is generic in Y, or that a generic element of Y has the property (P) if the set $\{y \in Y \mid y \text{ has the property } (P)\}$ is generic in Y.

In this paper, we will be interested in generic properties of permutation representations $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$. The simplest example is $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, X!) \cong X!$. The following, well-known proposition summarizes the generic properties of this space. Its proof is an exercise in Baire's category theorem, which we leave to the readers. We chose to mention it here because our main theorem, and its proof, are basically far-reaching generalizations of this fact.

PROPOSITION 2.2. The Polish Symmetric group X! has a residual conjugacy class. This conjugacy class can be described explicitly:

$$\tau^* = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \infty \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

In terms of the definition below, the above proposition just says that $G = \mathbb{Z}$ admits a generic permutation representation.

DEFINITION 7. We say that G admits a generic permutation representation if there exists a permutation representation $\tau^* \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ whose orbit under the action $X! \curvearrowright \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ is residual in Hom(G, X!).

2.5. Properties of the stabilizer map

Given a permutation representation $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ and a point $x \in X$, we denote by $G_x(\sigma) = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g)x = x\}$ the stabilizer of this point. Fixing x, this gives rise to a stabilizer map

$$G_x : \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sub}(G),$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto G_x(\sigma). \tag{2.1}$$

LEMMA 2.3 (Main lemma). For every $x \in X$, the stabilizer map $G_x : \text{Hom}(G, X!) \to \text{Sub}(G)$ is continuous, surjective and open.

Proof. It is clear that this map is surjective. Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ and let $\Omega \subseteq G$ be finite. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}(\varphi, \Omega, \{x\})$, then

$$\forall g \in \Omega : g \in G_x(\sigma) \Leftrightarrow x = \sigma(g)x = \varphi(g)x \Leftrightarrow g \in G_x(\varphi),$$

that is, $G_x(\sigma) \in \mathcal{W}(G_x(\varphi), \Omega)$. This proves that the map G_x is continuous.

To prove that this map is open, let $\tau \in \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A) \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ be a basic open neighborhood in Hom(G, X!) and a point therein. By extending S, we may assume that this set contains the identity and is symmetric, that is, that $S = S^{-1}$. We have to exhibit an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \text{Sub}(G)$ such that $G_x(\tau) \in \mathcal{W} \subseteq G_x(\mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A))$.

Let $Y = \tau(G)x \subseteq X$ be the orbit of x under τ and $L = G_x(\tau)$ be the stabilizer. We can identify Y = G/L under the orbit map $gL \mapsto \tau(g)x$. Set $A_Y := A \cap Y$. Let $\Omega \subseteq G$ be a finite Page 8 of 21

symmetric set such that

$$\bigcup_{s \in S} \tau(s)\overline{A_Y} \subseteq \tau(\Omega)x = \{\tau(\omega)x \mid \omega \in \Omega\}.$$

We claim that the basic open set $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(L, \Omega S\Omega)$ satisfies all our requirements, where $\Omega S\Omega = \{\omega_1 s\omega_2 \mid \omega_i \in \Omega, s \in S\}$ is the set product. Fixing a group $K \in \mathcal{W}$, we will complete our proof by finding $\eta \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $K = G_x(\eta)$.

Consider the finite subset $\Omega K = \{\omega K \in G/K \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ and define a partial map:

$$\begin{split} f: \Omega K &\longrightarrow Y, \\ \omega K &\longmapsto \tau(\omega) x \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega. \end{split}$$

This map is well defined and injective on its domain, since by the definition of the open set \mathcal{W} we have $\omega_1 K = \omega_2 K \iff \omega_1 L_1 = \omega_2 L_1 \iff \omega_1 L = \omega_2 L \iff \tau(\omega_1) x = \tau(\omega_2) x$ for every $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$. For a similar reason this map partially respects the *G*-action on both sides in the sense that

$$sf(\omega K) = f(s\omega K) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, \ s \in S.$$

Define a bijection $\tilde{f}: G/K \sqcup X \to X$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) \tilde{f} extends f, namely, $\tilde{f}(\omega K) = f(\omega K), \forall \omega \in \Omega;$
- (2) \tilde{f} is the identity on $\bigcup_{s \in S} \tau(s)(A) \setminus Y$.

Now let us define an action σ_1 of G on $G/K \sqcup X$, where G acts on G/K by the quasi-regular action on X by τ and let $\sigma = \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ be defined by $\sigma(g)x = \tilde{f}\sigma_1(g)\tilde{f}^{-1}(x)$. It is easy to verify that $K = G_x(\sigma)$. Also, for every $s \in S$ and $y \in A$ we have $\sigma(s)y = \tau(s)y$. Indeed, if $y \in A_Y$, then by our choice of Ω , we have $y = \tau \omega x$ for some $\omega \in \Omega$, and hence

$$\sigma(s)y = \begin{cases} \widetilde{f}\sigma_1(s)\widetilde{f}^{-1}y = fsf^{-1}\tau(\omega)x = fs\omega K = \tau(s\omega)x = \tau(s)y, & y \in A_Y, \\ \widetilde{f}\sigma_1(s)\widetilde{f}^{-1}y = \widetilde{f}\tau(s)\widetilde{f}^{-1}y = \tau(s)y & y \notin A_Y. \end{cases}$$

This concludes the proof that G_x is open.

COROLLARY 2.4. For a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} := \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \mid G_x(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D} \ \forall x \} = \bigcap_{x \in X} (G_x)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Then the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) If \mathcal{D} is conjugation-invariant and dense in $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$, then \mathcal{D} is dense in $\mathrm{Hom}(G, X!)$.
- (ii) If \mathcal{D} is G_{δ} in Sub(G), then \mathcal{D} is G_{δ} in Hom(G, X!).

In particular, $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is generic whenever \mathcal{D} is.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is conjugation-invariant and dense in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$, let $\rho \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ and let $S \subseteq G$, $A \subseteq X$ be finite. Let $x \in A$. By Lemma 2.3, the set $G_x^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is dense in $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ and so there exists $\varphi_1 \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ such that $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\rho, S, A)$ and $G_x(\varphi_1) \in \mathcal{D}$. Define $Y_1 = \varphi_1(G)x$ and note that since \mathcal{D} is conjugation-invariant, we have $G_y(\varphi_1) \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $y \in Y_1$. Now, if $z \in A \setminus Y_1$, then we can apply the same argument and get a permutation representation φ_2 of G on $X \setminus Y_1$, such that $\varphi_2(s)$ agrees with $\varphi_1(s)$ on $A \cap (X \setminus Y_1)$ for all $s \in S$ and such that all the stabilizers of points belonging to $Y_2 = \varphi_2(G)z$ are in \mathcal{D} . We get that the action $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$, defined by

$$\forall g \in G, x \in X : \varphi(g)x = \begin{cases} \varphi_1(g)x, & x \in Y_1, \\ \varphi_2(g)x, & x \in X \smallsetminus Y_1, \end{cases}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{O}(\rho, S, A)$ and every stabilizer of a point belonging to the φ -invariant set $Y_1 \cup Y_2$ is in \mathcal{D} . By repeating the process described above, we get after finitely many steps an action $\psi \in \mathcal{O}(\rho, S, A)$ and a ψ -invariant set $Y \subseteq X$, such that $A \subseteq Y$ and such that $G_y(\psi) \in \mathcal{D}$ for every $y \in Y$. Finally, extend the action $G \stackrel{\psi}{\curvearrowright} Y$ to an action $\widetilde{\psi} \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ in such a way that all stabilizers of points in $X \smallsetminus Y$ belong to \mathcal{D} . Thus, $\widetilde{\psi} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and since $A \subseteq Y$, we have $\widetilde{\psi}(s)|_A = \psi(s)|_A = \rho(s)|_A$ for all $s \in S$, that is, $\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{O}(\rho, S, A)$. This proves part 1.

Now, assume that \mathcal{D} is G_{δ} , so we can write $\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{n} \mathcal{D}_{n}$, where \mathcal{D}_{n} is open for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $x \in X$ we have $G_{x}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) = \bigcap_{n} G_{x}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{n})$. Since \mathcal{D}_{n} is open, we get from Lemma 2.3 that $G_{x}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{n})$ is open for every $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $G_{x}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is G_{δ} . Since X is countable, this means that $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} = \bigcap_{x \in X} (G_{x})^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is also G_{δ} and part 2 is proved.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $U \subseteq \text{Sub}(G)$ be open and non-empty. Then, for a generic permutation representation $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ we have

$$|\{x \in X \mid G_x(\varphi) \in U\}| = \infty.$$

Proof. Let

$$\Lambda_m = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \mid | \{ x \in X \mid G_x(\varphi) \in U \} | > m \},$$

$$\Lambda = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_m.$$

We claim that Λ is generic, and by Baire's theorem it would be enough to show that Λ_m is open and dense for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The fact that Λ_m is open follows directly from the continuity of the map G_x . To prove density, we just add m new orbits with a stabilizer from U far away. This is made explicit in the following way. Given a basic open set $\mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A) \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, X!)$, we want to find an element $\eta \in \mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A) \cap \Lambda_m$. Fix any subgroup $H \in U$ and consider the set $Y = X \sqcup (G/H)^m$ endowed with the diagonal G-action $\eta' \in \text{Hom}(G, Y!)$ given by $\eta'(g)(x, g_1H, \ldots, g_mH) = (\varphi(g)x, gg_1H, \ldots, gg_mH)$. Now, let $\iota : X \to Y$ be the identity map from X to the copy of X contained in Y, $\iota|_{\overline{A}} : \overline{A} \to Y$ be its restriction to the finite set $\overline{A} = A \cup (\bigcup_{s \in S \cup S^{-1}} \varphi(s)A)$, and let $I : X \to Y$ be an extension of $\iota|_{\overline{A}}$ to a bijection between X and Y. One easily checks that

$$\eta = I^{-1} \circ \eta' \circ I \in \mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A) \cap \Lambda_m$$

is as required.

LEMMA 2.6. To any given $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ there is an arbitrarily close action, with infinitely many fixed points.

Proof. Given finite sets $S \subseteq G, A \subseteq X$, we seek an action $\rho' \in \mathcal{O}(\rho, S, A)$ with infinitely many fixed points. Consider the action $G \curvearrowright^{(\rho,1)} X \sqcup \mathbb{N}$, obtained from ρ by adding countably many fixed points. The desired action $\rho' = \varphi^{-1}(\rho, 1)\varphi$ is obtained by intertwining this action via any bijection $\varphi : X \to X \sqcup \mathbb{N}$ with the property that φ is the identity when restricted to $A \cup (\bigcup_{s \in S} \rho(s)A)$.

3. The LERF property

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. In fact, as promised in the introduction, we will prove the following slightly more general version of the theorem for arbitrary countable groups (not necessarily finitely generated).

THEOREM 3.1. Let G be a finitely generated group; then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) G is LERF;
- (ii) the collection of finite index subgroups of G is dense in Sub(G);
- (iii) the collection of permutation representations, all of whose orbits are finite is dense in Hom(G, X!);
- (iv) the collection of permutation representations, all of whose orbits are finite is generic in Hom(G, X!);
- (v) G has a generic permutation representation, all of whose orbits are finite.

When G is countable, but not necessarily finitely generated, then only the first three conditions are equivalent.

The apparent complications when G fails to be finitely generated, and lack thereof in the proof of Theorem 1.1, emphasize one of our main points: Isolated subgroups are more natural than finite index subgroups in this setting. We mention again that the equivalence of the first, third and fourth conditions is already present in Rosendal's works [19, 20] (see the discussion in the introduction).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In a countable group G, every subgroup is an ascending union of finitely generated subgroups. Hence $\operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{fg}}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$. The LERF property implies that $\operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{fg}} \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}^{\operatorname{fi}}$, as every finitely generated subgroup, is a descending intersection of finite index subgroups. This shows $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$. Now $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$ follows directly from Corollary (2.4). To prove $(3) \Longrightarrow (1)$, assume that we are given an infinite index subgroup L generated by a finite set S, and $g \in G \setminus L$. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ be any permutation representation that is isomorphic to the quasi-regular action $G \curvearrowright (G/L)$ in such a way that $x \in X$ is identified with the trivial coset eL. If $\psi \in \mathcal{O}(\varphi, S \cup \{g\}, \{x\})$ is an action with finite orbits, then $[L:G_x(\psi)] < \infty, L < G_x(\psi)$ but $g \notin G_x(\psi)$, proving the LERF property.

Assume now that G is finitely generated. The implications $(5) \implies (4) \implies (3)$ are obvious, so it is enough to prove the implication $(2) \implies (5)$. We start by describing the generic permutation representation $\tau^* \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$. Let $\text{Sub}^{\text{fg}}(G) = \{H_1, H_2, \ldots\}$ be an enumeration of the finite index subgroups of G, let φ_n be the quasi-regular representation of G on G/H_n and define

$$\tau^* = \sqcup \infty \cdot \varphi_n.$$

Namely, take countably many copies of each representation in the list and let G act naturally on the disjoint union of the corresponding sets. It follows from Corollary 2.4, applied to the open dense set $\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{Sub}^{\mathrm{fi}}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}(G)$, that the collection of permutation representations, all of whose orbits are finite is generic. It follows from Lemma 2.5 applied to the open set $\{H_i\} \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ that the collection of permutation representations in which ρ_i appears countably many times as a transitive component is also generic. By Baire's category theorem a generic permutation representation has only finite orbits, and each ρ_i appears in it countably many times. But such a permutation representation must be permutation isomorphic to τ^* .

REMARK 2. As the examples below demonstrate, if G is an infinitely generated LERF group, it is no longer true that a generic permutation representation has only finite orbits. It is still true, however, that the restriction of such a generic action to every finitely generated subgroup H < G has only finite orbits. It is even true that the restriction of a generic permutation to H admits a well-defined isomorphism type (up to isomorphism of permutation)

representations of H). The details of the proof are quite similar to our proof above and we leave them to the reader.

In order to demonstrate the use of Theorem 1.2 and give some basic examples, we analyze the situation in free groups, providing a short proof to Hall's theorem that free groups are LERF.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let F_n , $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ be a free group. The following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) F_n is LERF;
- (ii) a generic permutation representation $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F_{\infty}, X!)$ is transitive;
- (iii) if Γ is a countable LERF group that is not finitely generated, then Sub(Γ) is perfect.

Proof. First note that $\operatorname{Hom}(F_n, X!) = X!^n$. Let $X!^{(f)} < X!$ be the dense subgroup of finitely supported permutations. Clearly, $\operatorname{Hom}(F_n, X!^{(f)}) = (X!^{(f)})^n \subseteq X!^n = \operatorname{Hom}(F_n, X!)$ is a dense set of permutation representations all of whose orbits are finite. This proves (i) by establishing Theorem 1.2(iii).

To prove (ii) it is enough, by Baire's theorem, to show that the set $\Theta(x, y) = \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F_{\infty}, X!) \mid y \in \varphi(F_{\infty})x\}$ is open and dense. Openness is obvious. For the density, fix a free generating set $F_{\infty} = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots \rangle$. Given a basic open set $\mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A) \subseteq \text{Hom}(F_{\infty}, X!)$, the finite set $S \subseteq F_{\infty}$ contains words that involve only finitely many of the generators, say $S \subseteq \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r \rangle$. We can find $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A) \cap \Theta(x, y)$ by setting $\sigma(x_i) = \varphi(x_i)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$ and then defining $\sigma(x_{r+1})$ in such a way that $\sigma(x_{r+1})x = y$.

Finally, if Γ fails to be finitely generated, then so do its finite index subgroups. So by Proposition 2.1(ii), none of these are isolated. If Γ is also LERF, then the finite index subgroups are dense, and in particular there can be no isolated subgroups at all. This proves (iii).

4. Solitary groups

This section is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Assume that Is(G) is dense in Sub(G). Let $Is(G) = \{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \ldots, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \ldots\}$ be representatives for the conjugacy classes of these isolated subgroups of G; where we made a distinction between the groups Δ_i that are of finite index in their normalizer and the groups Σ_i that are not. We denote by $G \stackrel{\delta_i}{\frown} G/\Delta_i, G \stackrel{\sigma_i}{\frown} G/\Sigma_i$ the corresponding quasi-regular actions. It is important to note that, in G/Δ_i , there are finitely many points whose stabilizer is Δ_i under the δ_i -action. In G/Σ_i there are infinitely many similar points. With this terminology in place, we can describe the generic permutation representation. It will have countably many orbits isomorphic to each δ_i and one orbit isomorphic to each σ_i :

$$\tau^* = \left(\bigsqcup_i \aleph_0 \delta_i\right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_j \sigma_j\right).$$

Applying Corollary 2.4 to the open dense subset $Is(G) \subseteq Sub(G)$, we conclude that a generic permutation representation has all of its stabilizers in Is(G). In other words, a generic representation is isomorphic to $(\bigsqcup_i d_i \delta_i) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_i s_i \sigma_i)$ for some $d_i, s_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. By Lemma 2.5, applied to the open set $\{\Delta_i\}$, we know that a generic representation has infinitely many points whose stabilizer is Δ_i , which immediately implies that $d_i = \infty$, $\forall i$. Note that an identical argument tells us that a generic representation has countably many points whose stabilizer is

 Σ_i , but even one orbit isomorphic to σ_i is enough to ensure that, so this does not add any information about the coefficients s_i .

All that is left to prove is that a generic representation has only one orbit isomorphic to σ_i for every *i*. In order to simplify the notation, we will hence fix the index *i* and define $\sigma = \sigma_i$, $\Sigma = \Sigma_i$. Let us denote by $[\Sigma] = \{g\Sigma g^{-1} | g \in G\} \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}(G)$ the conjugacy class of Σ . The bad event is the existence of two different orbits with stabilizers in $[\Sigma]$:

$$\Theta = \bigcup_{x,y \in X} \Theta_{x,y},$$

$$\Theta_{x,y} = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \, | \, G_x(\varphi) = G_y(\varphi) = \Sigma; \text{ but } \varphi(G)x \neq \varphi(G)y \}$$

By continuity of the stabilizer map (Lemma 2.3), the sets $\mathcal{G}_x^{-1}(\{\Sigma\}), \mathcal{G}_y^{-1}(\{\Sigma\})$, and hence also $\Theta_{x,y}$ are closed. So, by Baire's theorem, it suffices to prove that $\Theta_{x,y}$ is nowhere dense. Assume to the contrary that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\varphi, S, A) \subseteq \Theta_{x,y}$ for some basic open set. Replacing if necessary \mathcal{O} by a smaller basic open set, we may assume that $S = S^{-1}$ and that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_x^{-1}(\{\Sigma\}) \cap \mathcal{G}_y^{-1}(\{\Sigma\})$. Let $A_x = \varphi(G)x \cap A$ and $\Omega_x = \bigcup_{s \in S} \varphi(s)A_x$. We define A_y, Ω_y similarly.

Consider the quasi-regular action $\sigma: G \curvearrowright G/\Sigma$; if $g \in N_G(\Sigma)$, then there is a unique G invariant isomorphism

$$\eta_{g\Sigma}: \varphi(G)x \longrightarrow G/\Sigma,$$
$$\varphi(h)x \longmapsto hg\Sigma.$$

Since $[N_G(\Sigma) : \Sigma] = \infty$, by assumption, there are infinitely many possible choices of points $g \Sigma$ that would work for x and similarly for y. Let $g_x \Sigma, g_y \Sigma$ be two such choices satisfying the additional property

$$\eta_{q_x}(\Omega_x) \cap \eta_{q_y}(\Omega_y) = \emptyset.$$

Let $\alpha : \varphi(G)x \sqcup \varphi(G)y \to G/\Sigma$ be any bijection such that $\alpha(z) = \eta_{g_x}(z), \forall z \in \Omega_x$ and $\alpha(z) = \eta_{g_y}(z), \forall z \in \Omega_y$. We define a new action $\psi \in \mathcal{O}$ by the following formula:

$$\psi(g)(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1}(g\alpha(x)) & \text{if } x \in \varphi(G)x \sqcup \varphi(G)y, \\ \varphi(g)x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that $\psi \in \mathcal{O}$, that $G_x(\psi), G_y(\psi) = \Sigma$ and that x, y are in the same $\psi(G)$ -orbit, contradicting the assumption that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \Theta(x, y)$, which completes the proof of the first implication.

Assume now that there exists a generic permutation representation $\tau^* \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$; by assumption its isomorphism class $\Phi = \{a\tau^*a^{-1} \mid a \in X!\}$ is co-meager in Hom(G, X!). The collection of subgroups appearing as point stabilizers of τ^* are given by $O = \{G_x(\tau^*) \mid x \in X\} = G_{x_0}(\Phi) \subseteq \text{Sub}(G)$, where x_0 is an arbitrary basepoint. Since, by Lemma 2.3, the map G_{x_0} is surjective and continuous, O is dense in Sub(G). In particular, $O \supset \text{Is}(G)$.

We will show that $O \subseteq Is(G)$, thus showing that the latter is dense and completing the proof. Let $\Sigma \in O$ and $[\Sigma] = \{g\Sigma g^{-1} | g \in G\}$ be its conjugacy class. By Proposition 2.1(1), it is enough to show that $[\Sigma]$ is open. If $[\Sigma]$ fails to be open, then it must have an empty interior, because G acts transitively on $[\Sigma]$. Since $[\Sigma]$ is countable, it follows from Baire's theorem that $Sub(G) \setminus [\Sigma]$ is a dense G_{δ} set. By Corollary 2.4

$$\operatorname{Sub}(G) \setminus [\Sigma] = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \, | \, G_x(\sigma) \notin [\Sigma], \, \forall x \in X \}$$

is also a dense G_{δ} set. But this contradicts the fact that Φ is dense G_{δ} as the intersection of these two sets is empty.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. In view of the fact that, in a finitely generated group G, finite index subgroups are isolated, (i) follows directly from the comparison of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Density of isolated points (statement ii) is a general fact about countable Baire spaces. Indeed, the set $\operatorname{Sub}(G) \setminus \operatorname{Is}(G)$ is nowhere dense since it is a countable union of closed, nowhere dense points. Consider a short exact sequence as in statement (iii). Since N is finitely generated, $\operatorname{Env}(N)$ is clopen. It is easy to verify that the correspondence principle, between subgroups of G and subgroups of H containing N, gives rise to a homeomorphism

$$\operatorname{Env}(N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sub}(G), \\
 \Theta \longmapsto \varphi(\Theta).$$

Claim (iii) follows immediately.

It is well known that the free product of two LERF groups is LERF [3, 18]. If one of the groups, say H, is trivial, then $G * \langle e \rangle \cong G$ and the situation is clear. Thus to establish (iv), we have to show that if neither group is trivial and G fails to be LERF, then G * Hcannot be solitary. Let $\Sigma \in \operatorname{Sub}^{\mathrm{fg}}(G) \setminus \operatorname{Sub}^{\mathrm{fi}}$ be some finitely generated subgroup that cannot be approximated by finite index subgroups. Let $\eta : G * H \to G$ be the map that is the identity on G and trivial on H. We will show that $\Xi := \eta^{-1}(\Sigma) = \Sigma \ker(\eta) \in \operatorname{Sub}(G * H)$ is a subgroup that cannot be approximated by isolated subgroups. Indeed, let $\Omega \subseteq G$ be some finite set such that the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_{\operatorname{Sub}(G)}(\Sigma, \Omega)$ does not contain any finite index subgroup. We can use the same Ω to define an open neighborhood in G * H and it is clear that $[G : \Delta \cap G] = \infty$ for every $\Delta \in \operatorname{Sub}_{\operatorname{Sub}(G*H)}(\Xi, \Omega)$. Thus our theorem is proved in view of the following lemma, which seems very useful in its own right.

LEMMA 4.1. Let G, H be two countable groups with G infinite and H non-trivial. If $\Delta \in Is(G * H)$, then $[G : G \cap \Delta] < \infty$.

Proof. It will be more convenient to argue at the level of actions and Schreier graphs. Note that $\operatorname{Hom}(G * H, X!) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \times \operatorname{Hom}(H, X!)$. We will denote this isomorphism by $\varphi * \psi \mapsto (\varphi, \psi)$; namely, $\varphi * \psi$ is the unique action of G * H whose restriction to G is φ and to H is ψ .

Let $\Delta \in \operatorname{Sub}(G * H)$ and assume that $[G : G \cap \Delta] = \infty$. Let $\varphi * \psi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G * H, X!)$ be any action that is isomorphic to the quasi-regular action $G * H \cap (G * H)/\Delta$ with $x \in X$ identified with the trivial coset $e\Delta$. Note that, while the action of G * H is transitive, φ, ψ themselves need not be transitive. Still, by our assumption we know that the orbit $Y := \varphi(G)x \subseteq X$ is infinite. The argument now is simple enough: we obtain approximating actions of the form $\varphi * \psi_n \xrightarrow{n} \varphi * \psi$ by carrying out small perturbations on the action of H. Since the orbit Y is infinite, we can do arbitrarily small such perturbations on the action while still affecting the stabilizer of the point x. We elaborate below, but this is basically a complete proof.

Let $x \in A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_2 \cdots$ be finite sets ascending to the whole of X and $T_n \subseteq H$ be finite sets ascending to a generating set of H. We can assume $1 \in T_n = T_n^{-1}$. Of course, if H is finitely generated, then we can just take $T_n = T$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be some fixed symmetric set of generators. Set $B_n = \bigcup_{t \in T_n} \psi(t)(A_n)$ and $\xi_n \in Y \setminus B_n$. Since X is infinite, we can find bijections $f_n : X \to X \times \{0, 1\}$, with the additional properties that $f_n(x) = (x, 0), \forall x \in A_n \text{ and } f_n(\xi_n) = (\xi_n, 1)$. Let $\eta \in \hom(H, X!)$ be any fixed action of H on X. Using all these data, we construct a sequence of actions $\overline{\psi^{\eta}} \in \operatorname{Hom}(H, (X \times \{0, 1\})!)$ as follows:

$$\overline{\psi^{\eta}}(h)(x,i) = \begin{cases} (\psi(h)x,0), & i = 0, \\ (\eta(h)x,1), & i = 1, \end{cases}$$

and let $\psi_n^{\eta}(h) = (f_n)^{-1} \circ \overline{\psi^{\eta}} \circ f_n$. It is clear from the definitions that $\psi_n^{\eta} \in \mathcal{O}(\psi, T_n, A_n)$, and in particular $\psi_n^{\eta} \to \psi$ as $n \to \infty$. Consequently, of course $\varphi * \Psi_n^{\eta} \to \varphi * \psi$ in Hom(G * H, X!). Let $1, \lambda \in \text{Hom}(H, X!)$ be the trivial and the regular left action of H on X. The latter is defined via an arbitrarily chosen identification of X with H which will not play a role in the discussion. If H is finite, then we replace the regular left action by countably many copies of the same action, just to make sure that λ is an action on an infinite set. We use these to obtain two convergent sequences of actions, and hence two convergent sequences of subgroups

$$\begin{split} \varphi * \psi_n^1 & \longrightarrow \varphi * \psi \quad \varphi * \psi_n^\lambda & \longrightarrow \varphi * \psi, \\ (G * H)_x (\varphi * \psi_n^1) & \longrightarrow \Delta \quad (G * H)_x (\varphi * \psi_n^\lambda) & \longrightarrow \Delta. \end{split}$$

These sequences are different because if $g_n \in G_n$ is any element such that $\varphi(g_n)x = \xi_n$, then, for any $1 \neq h \in H$, we have

$$g_n^{-1}hg_n \in (G * H)_x(\varphi * \psi_n^1) \setminus (G * H)_x(\varphi * \psi_n^\lambda).$$

Thus, at least one of these sequences is not eventually constant, proving that the limit point Δ is not isolated.

A direct product of two solitary groups does not need to be solitary; the following example was explained to us by Y. Cornulier, and we are grateful to him for allowing us to include it here.

PROPOSITION 4.2 (Cornulier). Let F be a finitely generated non-abelian free group. Then isolated subgroups are not dense in $Sub(F \times F)$.

Proof. Note that the space of subgroups of $F \times F$ which contain the diagonal Δ_F is clopen in $\operatorname{Sub}(F \times F)$; this space is homeomorphic with the space of normal subgroups of F, via the homeomorphism $H \mapsto H \cap (F \times \{1\})$ (whose inverse is given by $K \mapsto \langle K \times \{1\}, \Delta_F \rangle$). It is proved in [4] (see Corollary 3.7) that isolated points are not dense in the space of normal subgroups of F, which gives us a clopen subset of $\operatorname{Sub}(F \times F)$ where isolated points are not dense, proving that $F \times F$ is not solitary.

The previous proposition gives, in particular, an alternative proof of the well-known fact that $F \times F$ is not LERF. We conclude this section by pointing out that a detailed study of isolated points in the space of subgroups of countable *abelian* groups was carried out by de Cornulier *et al.* [5]; in particular, they provide a characterization for when there exists isolated subgroups (see Corollary 2.1.2 of [5]: the ambient group has to be *minimax*) and show (Proposition 3.3.4 and the discussion immediately following it) that if isolated subgroups exist, then they form a dense subset.

5. Sketch of another proof of Theorem 1.1

We briefly sketch another proof of Theorem 1.1, which is more along the lines of the arguments in [12]. First, we note that, for any countable group G, the action of X! on Hom(G, X) is topologically transitive, that is, given any two non-empty open subsets U, V of Hom(G, X), there always exists $\alpha \in X$! such that $\alpha \cdot U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ (equivalently, there exist elements in Hom(G, X)) that have a dense conjugacy class). This is true simply because any two actions π_1, π_2 of G on an infinite countable set embed in a third one π_3 (for instance, obtained by considering a disjoint union of two infinite countable sets, with G acting as π_1 on the first copy, and π_2 on the second copy). Then the closure of the conjugacy class of π_3 contains both π_1 and π_2 , proving the desired result.

This brings us to the setting of the following lemma; the equivalence between (i) and (iii) below is the criterion we will be using, and is due to C. Rosendal. The equivalence of these

conditions with (ii) appears to be new, and seems potentially useful, so we are including it here even though it will not be needed.

LEMMA 5.1. Assume that P is a Polish group acting continuously and topologically transitively on a Polish space Z. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) There exists a co-meager orbit.
- (ii) For any open identity neighborhood $1 \in V \subseteq P$, the collection of points

 $\{z \in Z \mid V(z) \text{ is somewhere dense}\} = \{z \in Z \mid \operatorname{Int}(\overline{V(z)}) \neq \emptyset\}$

is dense in Z.

(iii) For any open identity neighborhood $1 \in V \subseteq P$ and any non-empty open subset U of Z, there exists a non-empty open $U' \subseteq U$ such that, for any non-empty open $W_1, W_2 \subseteq U'$, one has $VW_1 \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Fix an open identity neighborhood V and a sequence of group elements such that $\bigcup_n \alpha_n V = P$. If there exists a co-meager orbit, then, by Baire's category theorem, for every z in this orbit there exists an n such that $\alpha_n V(z)$ is somewhere dense. Translating by α_n , we deduce that V(z) itself is somewhere dense. This proves that (i) implies (ii).

Assume that (ii) holds; fix an open identity neighborhood $1 \in V \subseteq P$ and a non-empty open subset U of Z. Using our assumption, and continuity of the action, we may find a symmetric open identity neighborhood V' such that $V'V' \subseteq V$, an open $U' \subseteq U$ and $x \in U$ such that the closure of V'(x) contains U'. Then, for any W_1, W_2 non-empty open and contained in U', we have some $v_1, v_2 \in V'$ such that $v_1x \in W_1, v_2x \in W_2$. Thus $v_2v_1^{-1}W_1 \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$ and (iii) holds.

Finally, assume that (i) is false; since there exist dense orbits, any orbit must be meager or co-meager, by the 0–1 topological law [2]. So, in this case, all orbits are meager. Given $z \in Z$, we then have a family of closed subsets F_n with empty interior such that $P(z) \subseteq \cup F_n$; some $\{g \in P : gz \in F_n\}$ must have non-empty interior, proving that there exists some open neighborhood V of 1 such that V(z) is nowhere dense. Thus Z is the union of sets of the form $\{z \in Z : V(z) \text{ is nowhere dense}\}$, where V ranges over a countable basis of neighborhoods of 1; one of these sets must be non-meager, hence (since these sets are Borel) co-meager in some non-empty open U. Assume that (iii) holds, and pick $U' \subseteq U$ witnessing it. The assumption of (iii) amounts to saying that $\{z \in U' : V(z) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is dense open in U' for any non-empty open $W \subseteq U'$; this implies that $\{z \in U' : V(z) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is co-meager in U'. This is a contradiction with the fact that V(z) must be nowhere dense for a generic element of U, hence also of U'.

Now, we need to understand when the above criterion is satisfied, for G a countable group, P = X! and Z = Hom(G, X!). Given an open set $U = \mathcal{O}(\rho, S, A)$, let $i(\rho)$ denote the number of distinct G-orbits of elements of A; we may pick ρ such that $i(\rho)$ is minimal among elements of U. Then, enlarging S and shrinking A as needed, we can reduce to the situation where

$$\forall \pi \in U \quad \forall a \neq a' \in A, \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \pi(g)(a) \neq a'.$$

We are now in a situation where orbits of elements of A cannot interfere with each other; this enables us to reduce to the case where $A = \{a\}$ is a singleton and we are working inside the Polish space Z' of transitive G-actions. Consider an open set $U = \mathcal{O}(\rho, S, a) \cap Z'$; let G_a denote the stabilizer of a for this action, and let V be the group of permutations fixing a finite set F. Enlarging S if needed, we may assume that $F \subseteq Sa$. Then, it is readily checked that two elements ρ_1, ρ_2 of U belong to the same V-orbit if and only if the stabilizers of a for ρ_1 and ρ_2 are the same. Let

$$S_1 = \{g_2 g_1^{-1} : g_1, g_2 \in S \text{ and } g_1(a) = g_2(a)\}, \quad S_2 = \{g \in S : g(a) \neq a\}$$

The discussion above shows that the criterion (iii) of Lemma 5.1 is satisfied if and only if there exists an open set $W = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{S}, a) \cap Z'$ contained in U such that the stabilizer of a is the same for any two elements of W; that is, if and only if there exist finite sets $\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2 \subseteq G$, with $\tilde{S}_1 \supseteq S_1$ and $\tilde{S}_2 \supseteq S_2$, and a subgroup G'_a such that

$$\forall H \in \operatorname{Sub}(G) \quad (\forall g \in S_1 \ g \in H \text{ and } \forall g \in S_2 \ g \notin H) \Leftrightarrow (H = G'_a).$$

Thus H is an isolated point in Sub(G); since G_a was an arbitrary subgroup of G, and S_1, S_2 encode an arbitrary open neighborhood of G_a , we just established that there exists a generic action in Hom(G, X!) if and only if G is solitary.

One can try to use the same approach as above to understand when there exists generic conjugacy classes in $\operatorname{Hom}(G, P)$ for other Polish groups P. But, as the structure of P becomes more complicated, the above analysis is harder to carry out (in particular, the reduction to transitive actions no longer works). One case when one can do it is when $P = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ is the automorphism group of the random graph. Then, reasoning in much the same way as above, one obtains the following criterion, which probably can be further simplified.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Given G a countable group, there exists a generic element in $Hom(G, Aut(\mathcal{R}))$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

For any finitely-generated subgroups H_1, \ldots, H_n of G, and any finite $K_{i,j} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ with $H_i H_j \cap K_{i,j} = \emptyset$ for all $i \leq j$, there exist finitely generated subgroups H'_1, \ldots, H'_n such that:

- (i) for all $i H'_i$ contains H_i ;
- (ii) for all i, j the double coset space $H'_i \backslash G/H'_j$ is finite;
- (iii) for all $i \leq j$ one has $H'_i H'_j \cap K_{i,j} = \emptyset$.

The above property is hard to grasp; it does impose some strong conditions on G, namely:

- (1) G is finitely generated;
- (2) any finitely generated subgroup of G is an intersection of finitely generated subgroups with finite bi-index (say that $H \subseteq G$ has finite bi-index if and only if the double coset space $H \setminus G/H$ is finite). In particular, G is solitary (because any subgroup with finite bi-index is isolated);
- (3) for any two subgroups H, H' of G with finite bi-index, the double coset space $H' \setminus G/H$ is finite.

QUESTION 3. Does there exist a countable group satisfying the previous conditions which is not LERF?

Note that, in a LERF group G, a subgroup H of finite bi-index must actually be of finite index (since there exist only finitely many subgroups of G that contain H). Also, one can check that if there exists a generic action of G on the random graph, then the stabilizers of points for this action are exactly the subgroups of finite bi-index; thus in a LERF group they would be exactly the subgroups of finite index, and all orbits for the generic action must then be finite. Recall that a countable group G has property (RZ_2) if, whenever H, H' are finitely generated subgroups of G, the subset HH' is closed for the profinite topology on G; taking $H' = \{e\}$, this is obviously a strengthening of the LERF property, and the two notions are not equivalent: it was pointed out to us by Y. Cornulier that, in his thesis [6], Coulbois proved that free metabelian groups have property (RZ_1) , but not (RZ_2) (see Théorème 4.4). Rosendal [20] proved that, whenever G is finitely generated and has property (RZ_2) , there exists a generic action of G on the random graph, which has all of its orbits finite; and conversely, if the set of actions of Γ on the random graph which have only finite orbits is dense, then G has property (RZ_2) . Thus any finitely generated group which is (RZ_1) , but not (RZ_2) is an example of a group admitting a generic action on the infinite countable set, but not on the random graph.

6. A-separability

DEFINITION 8. An action $G \curvearrowright X$ of a discrete, countable group G is called *amenable* if it satisfies any one of the following equivalent conditions.

(1) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\Omega \subseteq G$ finite, X admits an (ε, Ω) -Følner subset, that is, a finite set $F \subseteq X$ such that $|gF\Delta F|/|F| < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in \Omega$.

(2) There exists a finitely additive G-invariant probability measure on X.

When the action is transitive, of the form $G \curvearrowright G/K$, these conditions are further equivalent to the following:

(1) if G acts continuously on a compact space and K admits an invariant Borel measure, then so does G.

In the transitive case it is sometimes convenient to adopt group theoretic terminology as follows.

DEFINITION 9. A subgroup K of a group G is called *co-amenable* if the quasi-regular action $G \curvearrowright G/K$ is amenable.

The equivalence of these three conditions is classical. By definition, an amenable action always admits a Følner-sequence. This is a sequence of finite subsets $F_n \subseteq X$ such that, for all $g \in G$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} |gF_n \triangle F_n|/|F_n| = 0$. We recall the following remark.

REMARK 3. A Følner-sequence can be chosen to be increasing (with respect to inclusion).

As defined in the introduction, a group G is A-separable if the set of co-amenable subgroups is dense in Sub(G). We now prove Theorem 1.4, giving a characterization of A-separability in the language of generic actions.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Denote by CoAm(G) the set of all co-amenable subgroups of G. For $x \in X$ define

$$\Sigma(x) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \mid G \stackrel{\circ}{\sim} (G)x \text{ is amenable} \}$$
$$= \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!) \mid G_x(\sigma) \in \operatorname{CoAm}(G) \}$$

and $\Sigma = \bigcap_{x \in X} \Sigma(x)$. If Σ is generic, then $\Sigma(x)$ is dense in Hom(G, X!) and by Lemma 2.3 the image of this set $\{G_x(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma(x)\}$ is a dense subset of Sub(G) consisting of co-amenable subgroups.

Conversely, assume that $\operatorname{CoAm}(G)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$; we wish to prove that the set Σ is generic in $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$. It is enough to show that $\Sigma(x)$ is generic in $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ for every $x \in X$. The density of $\Sigma(x)$ is assured by the hypothesis, the fact that $\Sigma(x) = G_x^{-1}(\operatorname{CoAm}(G))$ and Lemma 2.3. To show that $\Sigma(x)$ is G_{δ} , it is enough to show that, given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F, \Omega \subseteq G$ finite, the set of all σ for which $F \subseteq \sigma(G)x$ and F is (ε, Ω) -Følner is open in $\operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$. To see this, pick, for every $f \in F$, a group element $g_f \in G$ such that $f = \sigma(g_f)x$. Let $F' = F \cup \{x\}$ and $\Omega' = \Omega \cup \{g_f \mid f \in F\}$; then $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\sigma, F', \Omega')$ is an open neighborhood of σ such that, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{O} F$, is contained in the orbit of x and (ε, Ω) -Følner.

As mentioned in the introduction, LERF groups and amenable groups are A-separable, but they are not the only examples of A-separable groups. In order to give an example of an A-separable group which is neither LERF nor amenable, we will first prove that A-separability is closed under taking free products.

THEOREM 6.1. Let G and K be countable groups. If G and K are A-separable, then so is G * K.

Proof. Every element of $\operatorname{Hom}(G * K, X!)$ is of the form $\varphi * \psi$ for $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$, $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}(K, X!)$; where $\varphi * \psi$ is defined by setting $(\varphi * \psi)(g) = \varphi(g)$ and $(\varphi * \psi)(k) = \psi(k)$, $\forall g \in G, \ k \in K$ and expanding the definition to the free product.

For every $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite subsets $S \subseteq G$, $T \subseteq K$ let

$$\Sigma(x,\varepsilon,S,T) = \{\sigma * \tau \in \operatorname{Hom}(G * K, X!) \mid \text{the } (\sigma * \tau)\text{-orbit of } x \text{ contains an } (\varepsilon, S \cup T)\text{-Følner set} \}.$$

We want to prove that $\Sigma = \cap \Sigma(x, 1/n, S, T)$ $(x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}, S \subseteq G, T \subseteq K$ finite) is generic in $\operatorname{Hom}(G * K, X!)$. Since X, G and K are countable, it is enough to show that the sets $\Sigma(x, \varepsilon, S, T)$ are open and dense for every $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite subsets $S \subseteq G, T \subseteq K$. The argument that shows that $\Sigma(x, \varepsilon, S, T)$ is open was given in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Fix x, ε, S and T as above. We prove that $\Sigma(x, \varepsilon, S, T)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Hom}(G * K, X!)$. Let $\varphi * \psi \in \operatorname{Hom}(G * K, X!)$ and let $A \subseteq X$ be finite. We will find $\varphi' \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ and $\psi' \in \operatorname{Hom}(K, X!)$ such that $\varphi'(s)a = \varphi(s)a$, $\psi'(t)a = \psi(t)a$ for all $s \in S$, $t \in T, a \in A$ and such that $\varphi' * \psi' \in \Sigma(x, \varepsilon, S, T)$. We can assume that $x \in A$. By A-separability, there exist $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}(K, X!)$ such that $\sigma(s)a = \varphi(s)a$, $\tau(t)a = \psi(t)a$ for all $s \in S$, $t \in T$, $a \in A$ and such that the actions $G \stackrel{\sigma}{\sim} X$ and $K \stackrel{\sigma}{\sim} X$ are amenable on every orbit. Let $L := \sigma * \tau(G * K) = \langle \sigma(G), \tau(K) \rangle$.

Case 1: All the σ and τ orbits which are contained in Lx are finite. Let $B \subseteq Lx$ be a finite, σ -invariant set containing $A \cap Lx$ and let $C = \bigcup_{b \in B} \tau(K)b$. We define a representation $\varphi' \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, X!)$ by declaring every $c \in C \setminus B$ and every element in the σ -orbit of c to be a fixed point for φ' and on every other element of X, $\varphi'(g)$ identifies with $\sigma(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Note that since B is σ -invariant, $\varphi'(g)$ is well defined and acts the same as $\sigma(g)$ on B for all $g \in G$. In particular, $\varphi'(g)$ agrees with $\varphi(g)$ on A. We have that C is finite, invariant under both φ' and τ and contains x. Setting $\psi' = \tau$, the $(\varphi' * \psi')$ -orbit of x is finite, so the orbit itself is an $(\varepsilon, S \cup T)$ -Følner set for $\varphi' * \psi'$.

Case 2: Lx contains either an infinite σ -orbit or an infinite τ -orbit. Assume, without loss of generality, that Lx contains an infinite τ -orbit Y. Define $B = A \cup (\bigcup_{s \in S} \sigma(s)A)$ and let F_n be an increasing Følner-sequence in Y for the τ -action. Since the sets F_n are finite, none of them is τ -invariant and so the Følner-sequence does not stabilize. This implies that $|F_n| \to \infty$ and, in particular, Y contains an (ε, T) -Følner set F such that $|F| > 2(|B| + 1)/\varepsilon$. Now, let $z \in G * K$ be such that $(\sigma * \tau)(z)x \in F$ and such that z is of minimal length with respect to the canonical presentation: $z = g_n k_n g_{n-1} k_{n-1} \cdots g_1 k_1$ ($g_i \in G$, $k_j \in K$, $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, k_2, \ldots, k_n \neq 1$). Define $y = (\sigma * \tau)(z)x$. By Lemma 2.6, we can assume that σ has infinitely many fixed points. In particular, there exists a set $C \subseteq X$ on which $\sigma(G)$ acts trivially, such that $|C| = |F \setminus (B \cup \{y\})|$ and such that C does not intersect the finite set $B \cup F \cup \text{trace}_{(\sigma * \tau)(z)}(x)$, where we think of $(\sigma * \tau)(z)$ as the word over X! corresponding to the given presentation of z. Define $D = F \setminus (B \cup \{y\})$ and let $\xi \in X$! be a permutation of order 2 that takes C bijectively onto D and acts trivially on $X \setminus (C \cup D)$. We define an action $\varphi' \in \text{Hom}(G, X!)$ by $\varphi'(g) = \xi^{-1}\sigma(g)\xi$ for all $g \in G$. Since ξ acts trivially on B, we have that $\forall s \in S$, $\forall a \in A : \varphi'(s)a = \sigma(s)a = \varphi(s)a$

and that every element of D is fixed under $\varphi'(s)$ for all $s \in S$. Hence

$$\forall s \in S : \frac{|\varphi'(s)F\Delta F|}{|F|} \leqslant \frac{2|F \smallsetminus D|}{|F|} \leqslant \frac{2(|B|+1)}{|F|} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus F is (ε, S) -Følner for φ' and (ε, T) -Følner for $\psi' := \tau$, and thus F is $(\varepsilon, S \cup T)$ -Følner for $\varphi' * \psi'$. Note that, by the minimality of the length of z, we have that $\operatorname{trace}_{(\sigma * \tau)(z)}(x) \cap F = \{y\}$ and so ξ acts trivially on $\operatorname{trace}_{(\sigma * \tau)(z)}(x)$. This means that $(\varphi' * \psi')(z)x = y \in F$ and, since F is contained in a τ -orbit, this implies that F is contained in the $(\varphi' * \psi')$ -orbit of x, as required.

Recall that the (m, n) Baumslag–Solitar group is the group $BS(m, n) = \langle s, t | t^{-1}s^m t = s^n \rangle$. It is well known that BS(m, n) is solvable (hence amenable) if and only if m = 1.

PROPOSITION 6.2. For every n, the group BS(1, n) is not LERF.

Proof. Write $BS(1,n) = \langle s,t | t^{-1}st = s^n \rangle$ and note that $t^{-1}\langle s \rangle t = \langle s^n \rangle \subsetneq \langle s \rangle$. Thus, an element of $\langle s \rangle \smallsetminus t^{-1}\langle s \rangle t$ cannot be separated from $t^{-1}\langle s \rangle t$ by a homomorphism into a finite group.

COROLLARY 6.3. There exist non-LERF, non-amenable A-separable groups.

Proof. Let G = BS(1, n) for some n. We see that G is amenable, hence A-separable and so, by Proposition 6.1, G * G is A-separable. On the other hand, G * G is not LERF since G is not LERF and the LERF property passes to subgroups; G * G is also not amenable since it contains a free subgroup on two generators.

In order to complete the proofs of all the statements promised in the introduction, we prove the following.

PROPOSITION 6.4. A group G with Kazhdan property (T) is A-separable if and only if it is LERF. In particular the following groups are never A-separable.

(1) Groups with property (T) that are not residually finite, and in particular any simple group with property (T).

(2) Irreducible lattices in higher-rank semi-simple Lie groups with property (T) that satisfy the congruence subgroup property.

Proof. This follows directly from the fact that a transitive action of such a group $G \sim G/H$ is amenable if and only if G/H is finite. Indeed, if such an action is amenable and $F \subseteq G/H$ is an (K, ε) Følner set, then $1_F \in \ell^2(G/H)$ is a (K, ε) -almost invariant vector. Taking (K, ε) to be Kazhdan constants for G, we can deduce the existence of a non-zero invariant vector $f \in \ell^2(G/H)$. Since the action of G on G/H is transitive, f must be a constant function. But a non-zero constant function is in ℓ^2 if and only if G/H is finite.

Now if Γ is a lattice as in the statement, then it inherits property (T) from the ambient group. However, it cannot be LERF because, by the strong approximation theorem [15, Window 9, 17], every Zariski dense subgroup has a finite index closure in the pro-congruence topology; which coincides with the profinite topology by assumption.

Note that conjecturally the congruence subgroup property automatically holds for such higher-rank lattices, and this is indeed proved in many different cases. In particular, the groups $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $n \ge 3$ are good examples for residually finite groups that are not A-separable.

We conjecture further that the LERF property can never occur in a non-trivial way for property (T) groups; namely, we have the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1. A countable group G with Kazhdan property (T) is LERF if and only if it is finite.

It was pointed out to us by Matthew Stover that a similar question was already asked by Long and Reid [14, Question 4.5].

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to some very constructive comments on the previous version of this paper by Miklós Abért, Eli Glasner and Matthew Stover, as well as valuable comments and suggestions by Romain Tessera and Yves Cornulier about solitary groups. We also wish to thank an anonymous referee for valuable remarks and corrections.

References

- 1. T. AGOL, 'The virtual Haken conjecture', Doc. Math. 18 (2013) 1045-1087.
- M. BHASKARA RAO and K. P. S. BHASKARA RAO, 'A category analogue of the Hewitt-Savage zero-one law', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1974) 497–499.
- 3. R. G. BURNS, 'On finitely generated subgroups of free products', J. Aust. Math. Soc. 12 (1971) 358-364.
- 4. Y. DE CORNULIER, L. GUYOT and W. PITSCH, 'On the isolated points in the space of groups', J. Algebra 307 (2007) 254–277.
- 5. Y. DE CORNULIER, L. GUYOT and W. PITSCH, 'The space of subgroups of an abelian group', J. London Math. Soc. (2) 81 (2010) 727-746.
- T. COULBOIS, 'Propriété de Ribes-Zalesskii, topologie profinie, produit libre et généralisations', PhD Thesis, Université Paris 7, 2000.
- 7. E. GLASNER and B. WEISS, 'Topological groups with Rokhlin properties', Colloq. Math. 110 (2008) 51-80.
- 8. R. GRIGORCHUK and R. KRAVCHENKO, 'On the lattice of subgroups of the lamplighter group', Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 24 (2014) 837–877.
- R. I. GRIGORCHUK and J. S. WILSON, 'A structural property concerning abstract commensurability of subgroups', J. London Math. Soc. (2) 68 (2003) 671–682.
- 10. M. HALL JR., 'Subgroups of finite index in free groups', Canad. J. Math. 1 (1949) 187-190.
- W. HODGES, I. HODKINSON, D. LASCAR and S. SHELAH, 'The small index property for ω-stable ω-categorical structures and for the random graph', J. London Math. Soc. (2) 48 (1993) 204–218.
- 12. A. S. KECHRIS and C. ROSENDAL, 'Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures', Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 94 (2007) 302–350.
- 13. D. KITROSER, 'Generic actions of countable groups', PhD Thesis, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, 2015.
- 14. D. D. LONG and A. W. REID, 'Subgroup separability and virtual retractions of groups', *Topology* 47 (2008) 137–159.
- A. LUBOTZKY and D. SEGAL, 'Subgroup growth', Progress in Mathematics, 212 (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003) xxii+453 pp. ISBN: 3-7643-6989-2.
- J. MELLERAY and T. TSANKOV, 'Generic representations of abelian groups and extreme amenability', Israel J. Math. 198 (2013) 129–167.
- N. NIKOLOV, 'Strong approximation methods', Lectures on profinite topics in group theory, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 77 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011) 63–97.
- N. S. ROMANOVSKIĬ, 'On the residual finiteness of free products with respect to subgroups', Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 33 (1969) 1324–1329.
- C. ROSENDAL, 'Finitely approximable groups and actions part I: the Ribes–Zalesskiĭ property', J. Symbolic Logic 76 (2011) 1297–1306.
- C. ROSENDAL, 'Finitely approximable groups and actions part II: generic representations', J. Symbolic Logic 76 (2011) 1307–1321.
- P. SCOTT, 'Correction to: Subgroups of surface groups are almost geometric', J. London Math. Soc. (2) 17 (1978) 555–565.
- P. SCOTT, 'Correction to: Subgroups of surface groups are almost geometric', J. London Math. Soc. (2) 32 (1985) 217–220.
- 23. H. WILTON, 'Hall's theorem for limit groups', Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008) 271-303.

Y. Glasner and D. Kitroser Department of Mathematics Ben-Gurion University of the Negev P.O.B. 653 Be'er Sheva 84105 Israel

yairgl@math.bgu.ac.il kitrosar@post.bgu.ac.il J. Melleray
Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS UMR 5208
Institut Camille Jordan
43 blvd. du 11 novembre 1918
F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex
France

melleray @math.univ-lyon 1.fr