Lifting in Sobolev spaces of manifold-valued maps International Conference on PDEs and Applications In Honor of Prof. Haim Brezis Beijing Normal University

Petru Mironescu

Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1

July 3rd, 2019

Petru Mironescu (Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1)

July 3rd, 2019 1 / 13

H N

- $\cdot \pi : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{N}$ a Riemannian covering
- $\cdot \,\, \mathscr{E}$, $\, \mathscr{N} \,$ connected Riemannian manifolds
- $\cdot \ \mathcal{N} \ \text{compact}$
- · Ω an *N*-dimensional simply connected smooth domain (or compact manifold)
- $s > 0, 1 \le p < \infty$
- Lifting problem: given any $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{N})$, is it always possible to write $u = \pi \circ \varphi$, with $\varphi \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathscr{E})$?
- Subsequent questions: if yes, estimates? Uniqueness? If no, "optimal" smoothness of φ ? How to detect the *u*'s that lift?
- \cdot The compactness of ${\mathscr E}$ plays a crucial role
- · Compact case: & is compact/Non-compact case: & is non-compact

▲日▼ ▲冊▼ ▲目▼ ▲目▼ 目 ろの⊙

- $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{RP}^2$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{S}^2$, $\pi(x) = \{x, -x\}$ Given a Sobolev non oriented vector field $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{RP}^2$, find an orientation of $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2$ of u, as smooth as u
- An application. If h lifts g, then

$$\min\left\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2}; u: \Omega \to \mathbb{RP}^{2}, u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega\right\} = \\\min\left\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^{2}; \varphi: \Omega \to \mathbb{S}^{2}, \varphi = h \text{ on } \partial\Omega\right\}$$
(1)

- $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{S}^1$, $\mathscr{E} = \mathbb{S}^1$, $\pi(z) = z^d$, $d \ge 2$. Given $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^1)$, is it possible to write $u = \varphi^d$ with $\varphi \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^1)$ (*d*th root problem, Bethuel and Chiron)?
- $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{S}^1$, $\mathscr{E} = \mathbb{R}$, $\pi(t) = e^{it}$. Given $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^1)$, is it possible to write $u = e^{i\varphi}$, with $\varphi \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$? + analogue of (1)

・ロト ・ 一日 ・ ・ ヨ ト ・ 日 ・ うのつ

- The definition of $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{N})$ and $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{E})$?
- We embed \mathcal{N} into some \mathbb{R}^{ν} and then

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{N}) = \{ u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{\nu}); u(x) \in \mathcal{N} \text{ a.e.} \}$$

- Definition independent of the embedding
- · \mathscr{E} need not be compact: obvious definition of $W^{s,p}(\Omega;\mathscr{E})$ only when $s \leq 1$. E.g., when s < 1

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega;\mathscr{E}) = \left\{ \varphi: \Omega \to \mathscr{E}; \left| \varphi \right|_{W^{s,p}}^p = \iint \frac{d_{\mathscr{E}}(\varphi(x),\varphi(y))^p}{|x-y|^{m+sp}} \, dxdy < \infty \right\}$$

(日) (周) (日) (日)

Theorem (Bourgain, Brezis, M 00) For the universal covering of S^1 , the lifting property holds except when

- $1 \le sp < 2 \le N = \dim \Omega$
- 0 < s < 1, $1 \le sp < N = \dim \Omega$
- Topological obstruction to lifting: $u(z) = z/|z| \ (z \in \mathbb{C})$
- Analytical obstruction to lifting: $\varphi \in W^{s,p}_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \{a\}) \setminus W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ such that $e^{i\varphi} \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$
- It is possible to have the lifting property without estimates: in $W^{1/p,p}((0,1); \mathbb{S}^1), 1 , every map lifts, but no norm control$

$$\begin{array}{l} & \text{The kink: } \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq 1/2 \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } x \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon \\ \text{affine, } & \text{if } 1/2 \leq x \leq 1/2 + \varepsilon \\ |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|_{W^{1/p,p}} \to \infty, \ |e^{i\varphi_{\varepsilon}}|_{W^{1/p,p}} \lesssim 1 \end{cases} \text{ satisfies, } \forall 1$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Theorem (Bethuel, Chiron 07) Let $\pi : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{N}$ be the universal covering of \mathscr{N} , with $\pi_1(\mathscr{N})$ infinite. Then the lifting property holds except when

- $\cdot 1 \le sp < 2 \le N = \dim \Omega$
- $0 < s < 1, 1 \le sp < N = \dim \Omega$
- · The topological obstruction and the kink exist without any assumption on $\pi_1(\mathcal{N})$
- The analytical obstruction is obtained from the existence of a ray in \mathscr{E} (isometrically embedded real line in \mathscr{E}), which requires \mathscr{E} non-compact
- The same result holds (essentially with the same proof) for any covering provided & is non-compact
- · The space $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathscr{E})$ is defined by embedding \mathscr{E}
- When \mathscr{E} is compact, open case: the one connected to the analytical obstruction: 0 < s < 1, $2 \le sp < N = \dim \Omega$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Theorem (M, Van Schaftingen 19) Assume \mathscr{E} compact and π non-trivial. Then the lifting property holds except when $1 \le sp < 2 \le N = \dim \Omega$

- Thus the difference between the compact and the non-compact case occurs exactly in the range 0 < s < 1, $2 \le sp < N = \dim \Omega$: existence in the compact case, non-existence in the non-compact case
- · The result applies in particular to the $d{\rm th}$ root problem and to the orientation problem in \mathbb{RP}^k

Sketch of proof

- We consider only the new the case 0 < s < 1, $2 \le sp < N = \dim \Omega$
- Strategy: establish an a priori estimate for u in a dense subset of $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{N})$, having the lifting property: linear estimate
- The maps continuous outside some (N − [sp] − 1)-skeleton are dense (Brezis, M 15)
- · Such maps do lift
- The a priori estimate relies on a one-dimensional reverse oscillation inequality for $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, 0 < s < 1, sp > 1:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left[\operatorname{osc}_{[x,y]} f\right]^{p}}{|y-x|^{1+sp}} \, dx dy \leq C_{s,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(y)-f(x)|^{p}}{|y-x|^{1+sp}} \, dx dy$$

(日) (周) (日) (日)

- When $N = \dim \Omega \ge 2$ and π is non-trivial, topological obstructions exist when $1 \le sp < 2$
- Maps including such obstructions do not belong to the closure of smooth maps and, in general, they do not lift
- What happens in absence of topological obstructions? Other types of obstructions? Yes, in the non-compact case. In the compact case:

Theorem (M, Van Schaftingen 19) Let 0 < s < 1, 1 < sp < 2, $N = \dim \Omega \ge 2$. In the case of the universal covering with compact \mathscr{E} , the following are equivalent for $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathscr{N})$: (a) u is in the strong closure of $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathscr{N})$ (b) u is in the weak closure of $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathscr{N})$ (c) u lifts

• Assuming only \mathscr{E} compact, we have (b) \Longrightarrow (c)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Theorem (M, Van Schaftingen 19) Let $1 , <math>N = \dim \Omega \ge 2$. Assume π non-trivial. Then there exists some $u \in W^{1/p,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{N})$ such that:

- *u* is in the strong closure of $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathscr{N})$
- u does not lift
- · Thus an analytical obstruction persists in the limiting case sp = 1
- \cdot In this case, the compactness of ${\mathscr E}$ plays no role
- · *u* can be chosen to be smooth in $\overline{\Omega}$ except at some point $a \in \overline{\Omega}$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

- $\cdot \text{ The kink satisfies, } \forall 1$
- An analogue on \mathbb{S}^1 : $M_a(z) = \frac{z-a}{\overline{a}z-1}$, |a| < 1: $|M_a|_{W^{1/p,p}} \lesssim 1$ and the phase of M_a blows up in $W^{1/p,p}$ near a as $|a| \to 1$

Theorem (M 15) Let 1 . Given <math>M > 0, each $u \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ with $|u|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \le M$ can be written as

$$u=\prod_{k=1}^{K}(M_{a_k})^{\pm 1}e^{i\psi},$$

with $K \leq F(M)$, $|\psi|_{W^{1/p,p}} \leq G(M)$

- · Proof "by induction" on M, via a bubbling analysis
- Proof by contradiction, giving a (linear) control on F(M), but not on G(M)

▲日▼ ▲冊▼ ▲目▼ ▲目▼ 目 ろの⊙

Theorem (Bourgain, Brezis 03) Let $1 . Each <math>u \in W^{1/p,p}((0,1);\mathbb{S}^1)$ can be written as $u = e^{i(\varphi + \psi)}$,(1) $|\varphi|_{W^{1/p,p}} \lesssim |u|_{W^{1/p,p}}, |\psi|_{W^{1,1}} \lesssim |u|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p$ (2)Theorem (Nguyen 08) The above holds in the full range 1

- Bourgain, Brezis: proof by explicit decomposition (works in any dimension)
- Uses only $u \in L^{\infty} \cap W^{1/p,p}$, not the full strength of |u| = 1
- · Nguyen: Proof by duality (works only in dimension one)
- Uses |u| = 1

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Theorem (M 08–...) Let $1 \le p < \infty$, s > 0. Each $u \in W^{s,p}((0,1); \mathbb{S}^1)$ can be written as

$$u = v e^{\iota \varphi},$$

$$|\varphi|_{W^{s,p}} \lesssim |u|_{W^{s,p}}, |v|_{B^{sp}_{1,1}} \lesssim |u|_{W^{s,p}}^p$$

- $B_{1,1}^{sp}$ is a Besov space. It coincides with the Sobolev space $W^{sp,1}$ for non integer sp. Is strictly smaller than $W^{sp,1}$ for integer sp
- Proof by explicit decomposition. Works in any dimension, with the estimate $|v|_{B_{1,1}^{sp}} \lesssim |u|_{W^{s,p}}^{p} + |u|_{W^{s,p}}^{p}$
- Uses |u| = 1
- "Converse" is true: if 0 < s < 1,

$$[v \in B^{sp}_{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^1), \varphi \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})] \Longrightarrow v e^{i\varphi} \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^1)$$

· (But $v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^1)$ does not imply $v \in W^{1/p,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^1)$, 1)

(3) (4)