# 1-dependent first passage percolation

#### Júlia Komjáthy

joint w: John Lapinskas, Johannes Lengler, Ulysse Shaller, Zsolt Bartha, Rick Reubsaet.

Workshop on geometric random graph models and percolation

#### October 18, 2021



# First passage percolation

### FPP: (Hammersley and Welsh, 1965).

- At time *t* = 0 the source node is infected, all other nodes are susceptible.
- if, on an edge {u, v}, u is infected and v is not, then v becomes infected after a random transmission delay σ<sub>(u,v)</sub>.

### The epidemic curve\*

The set of infected nodes before time *t*:

 $\mathcal{I}(t) = \{ \text{ infected nodes before time } t \}$ 

and

$$I(t) \coloneqq |\mathcal{I}(t)|$$

\*: The first phase of the epidemic, before herd immunity/saturation is reached.



### Question: What shapes of the epidemic curves are possible?

# On the lattice



FPP on lattice-like  
graphs:  
$$I(t) = \Theta(t^d)$$

# FPP on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

### Shape theorem; Cox Durrett, 1981

When  $\sigma_{(u,v)}$  is iid,  $\mathbb{P}(\sigma = 0) < p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $\sigma$  has sufficiently high moments:

$$\frac{l(t)}{t} \to \mathcal{E}$$

for some compact set  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  depends on the distribution of  $\tau$ .

### Interesting results & questions

- the limiting shape (convex, differentiable boundary, etc)
- geodesics, their deviation from straight line
- 50 years of FPP (Auffinger, Damron, Hanson '16)



### Model by Sh. Chatterjee and Dey

- edge set is  $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,
- transmission time:  $\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} Exp(1) \cdot ||u v||^{\alpha d}$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ .
- small  $\alpha$ : quick transmission to far away

### Model by Sh. Chatterjee and Dey

- edge set is  $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,
- transmission time:  $\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} Exp(1) \cdot ||u v||^{\alpha d}$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ .
- small  $\alpha$ : quick transmission to far away

### Growth of $\mathcal{I}(t)$ (Chatterjee and Dey, '16)

<i>α</i> < 1	$\alpha$ = 1	$\alpha \in (1, 2)$	$\alpha \in \left(2, 2 + \frac{1}{d}\right)$	$\alpha > 2 + \frac{1}{d}$
$\mathcal{I}(t) = \mathbb{Z}^d \ \forall t > 0$	$I(t) = e^{\Theta(t)}$	$I(t) = e^{\Theta(t^{\Delta})}$	$I(t) = t^{\zeta + o(1)}$	$I(t) = \Theta(t^d)$
instantaneous	exponential*	stretched exp.	polynomial	lattice-like

### Model by Sh. Chatterjee and Dey

- edge set is  $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,
- transmission time:  $\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} Exp(1) \cdot ||u v||^{\alpha d}$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ .
- small  $\alpha$ : quick transmission to far away

### Growth of $\mathcal{I}(t)$ (Chatterjee and Dey, '16)

α < <b>1</b>	$\alpha$ = 1	$\alpha \in (1, 2)$	$\alpha \in \left(2, 2 + \frac{1}{d}\right)$	$\alpha > 2 + \frac{1}{d}$
$\mathcal{I}(t) = \mathbb{Z}^d \ \forall t > 0$	$I(t) = e^{\Theta(t)}$	$I(t) = e^{\Theta(t^{\Delta})}$	$I(t) = t^{\zeta + o(1)}$	$I(t) = \Theta(t^d)$
instantaneous	exponential*	stretched exp.	polynomial	lattice-like

#### Comments:

\*: slowly varying correction terms are added/needed in the transmission delay.  $\Delta = \log 2/\log(2d/\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ , similar to long range percolation (Biskup '04)  $\zeta = (\alpha - 2)d$ 



Figure: Long-range FPP, in d = 2,  $\alpha = 1.75$  (left), 2 (middle) and 2.5 (right) by Chatterjee and Dey.

# Interpolation between lattice and complete graph

original FPP nearest neighbor graph of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ 

Long-range FPP

Complete graph on the vertex set  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ 

# Interpolation between lattice and complete graph

original FPP nearest neighbor graph of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ 

Long-range FPP

Complete graph on the vertex set  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ 

### Geometric inhomogeneous random graph

Changing the vertex set to a Poisson PP on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ Trimming edges in 'complete graph' inhomogeneously

Ingredient 1: Poisson point process for the location of vertices



Figure: GIRG simulation by Joost Jorritsma

i.i.d. fitnesses for vertices.

(e.g.) fat tailed,  $\mathbb{P}(W > x) \asymp 1/x^{\tau-1}$ 



Ingredient 3: random edges

probability increasing with fitness, decaying with distance.

Ingredient 3: random edges

probability increasing with fitness, decaying with distance.

Connection probability:

$$p(u,v) = \Theta\left(\min\left\{1, \left(\frac{W_u W_v}{\|u-v\|^d}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}\right),$$



Ingredient 3: random edges

probability increasing with fitness, decaying with distance.

Connection probability:

$$p(u, v) = \Theta\left(\min\left\{1, \left(\frac{W_u W_v}{\|u-v\|^d}\right)^\alpha\right\}\right),$$
  
Threshold case:

$$p(u,v) = \mathbb{1}\{\|u-v\|^d \leq \Theta(W_u W_v)\}.$$



### Some properties of Infinite GIRGs

### Theorem (DHH'13)

If  $\alpha \leq 1$  or  $\tau < 2$ , each vertex has infinite degree. (NOT locally finite)

### Theorem (BKL'17, BKL'16)

Let  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\tau > 2$ : model locally finite and:

Fitness distribution W power law with  $\tau > 2 \Rightarrow$ degree distribution power law with  $\tau > 2$ .

Transmission delays  $\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} Exp(1)$  on existing edges

Fitnesses		
α	fat-tailed	light-tailed
weak decay		
strong decay		
	K-Lodewijks '20	open* (Chatterjee-Dey)



Transmission delays  $\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} Exp(1)$  on existing edges

Fitnesses		
α	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$	light-tailed $\tau > 3$
weak decay $\alpha \in [1, 2)$		
strong decay $\alpha \in [2, \infty]$	( )	
	K-Lodewijks '20	open* (Chatterjee-Dey)



Transmission delays  $\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} Exp(1)$  on existing edges

Fitnesses		
α	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$	light-tailed $\tau > 3$
weak decay $\alpha \in [1, 2)$		(stretched) exponential
strong decay $\alpha \in [2, \infty]$		linear
	K-Lodewijks '20	open* (Chatterjee-Dey)



Transmission delays  $\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Exp}(1)$  on existing edges

Fitnesses		
α	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$	light-tailed $\tau > 3$
weak decay $\alpha \in [1, 2)$	explosive	(stretched) exponential
strong decay $\alpha \in [2, \infty]$	explosive	linear
	K-Lodewijks '20	open* (Chatterjee-Dey)



NOT instantaneous.

### On infinite networks

A spreading process is explosive on an infinite, locally finite network if  $I(t) = \infty$  for some  $t < \infty$ .





NOT instantaneous.

### On infinite networks

A spreading process is explosive on an infinite, locally finite network if  $I(t) = \infty$  for some  $t < \infty$ .





NOT instantaneous.

### On infinite networks

A spreading process is explosive on an infinite, locally finite network if  $I(t) = \infty$  for some  $t < \infty$ .

• 1970s: Grey, Harris, Sevastanov: explosion in Branching processes





#### NOT instantaneous.

### On infinite networks

A spreading process is explosive on an infinite, locally finite network if  $I(t) = \infty$  for some  $t < \infty$ .

- **1970s:** Grey, Harris, Sevastanov: explosion in Branching processes
- 2010s: Amini, Devroye, Griffith, Olver: explosion in Branching random walks





#### NOT instantaneous.

### On infinite networks

A spreading process is explosive on an infinite, locally finite network if  $I(t) = \infty$  for some  $t < \infty$ .

- **1970s:** Grey, Harris, Sevastanov: explosion in Branching processes
- 2010s: Amini, Devroye, Griffith, Olver: explosion in Branching random walks
- 2017+: Me: explosion on networks





### 1-dependent FPP

#### **Observation**

# Disease spreading, real-world communication: Large-degree nodes have a limited "time-budget" to meet and infect.

Miritello et. al. '13, Feldman Janssen '17, Giuraniuc et al. '16, Karsai et. al. '11

# 1-dependent FPP

### Observation

Disease spreading, real-world communication: Large-degree nodes have a limited "time-budget" to meet and infect.

Miritello et. al. '13, Feldman Janssen '17, Giuraniuc et al. '16, Karsai et. al. '11

#### **1-FPP:**

Transmission delay through an edge:

$$\sigma_{(u,v)} \stackrel{d}{=} \exp(\mathbf{1}) \cdot f(W_u, W_v, \|u - v\|)$$

- Rate:  $f(W_u, W_v, ||u v||)$  depends on the spatial distance and fitnesses
- (Our result is more general, Exp(1) can be replaced).

Is explosion still possible with these penalty factors?

Theorem (K-Lapinskas-Lengler (2021), Bartha-K-Reubsaet) Take 1-FPP on infinite GIRG, with

$$\sigma_{(u,v)} \coloneqq Exp(1) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(W_u, W_v, \|u - v\|).$$

*Explosive if and only if*  $\deg_d(f) < (3 - \tau)$ 

Is explosion still possible with these penalty factors?

Theorem (K-Lapinskas-Lengler (2021), Bartha-K-Reubsaet) Take 1-FPP on infinite GIRG, with

$$\sigma_{(u,v)} \coloneqq Exp(1) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(W_u, W_v, ||u - v||).$$

• Explosion not possible when  $\tau > 3$ .

*Explosive if and only if*  $\deg_d(f) < (3 - \tau)$ 

Is explosion still possible with these penalty factors?

Theorem (K-Lapinskas-Lengler (2021), Bartha-K-Reubsaet) Take 1-FPP on infinite GIRG, with

$$\sigma_{(u,v)} \coloneqq Exp(1) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(W_u, W_v, ||u - v||).$$

- Explosion not possible when  $\tau > 3$ .
- τ ∈ (2,3):

define for monomials  $g = W_u^{\mu} \cdot W_v^{\nu} \cdot \|u - v\|^{\zeta}$ ,

$$\deg_d(g) = \mu + \nu + \zeta \cdot \frac{2}{d}.$$

Explosive if and only if  $\deg_d(f) < (3 - \tau)$ 

Is explosion still possible with these penalty factors?

Theorem (K-Lapinskas-Lengler (2021), Bartha-K-Reubsaet) Take 1-FPP on infinite GIRG, with

$$\sigma_{(u,v)} \coloneqq Exp(1) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(W_u, W_v, ||u - v||).$$

- Explosion not possible when  $\tau > 3$ .
- τ ∈ (2,3):

define for monomials  $g = W_u^{\mu} \cdot W_v^{\nu} \cdot \|u - v\|^{\zeta}$ ,

$$\deg_d(g) = \mu + \nu + \zeta \cdot \frac{2}{d}.$$

Explosive if and only if  $\deg_d(f) < (3 - \tau)/\beta$ 

Generally,  $Exp(1) \rightarrow L$  arbitrary nonnegative distribution:  $3 - \tau$  is replaced by  $(3 - \tau)/\beta$  when  $\mathbb{P}(L \leq t) \approx t^{\beta}$  close to 0.

Fitnesses	
Penalty & $\alpha$	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$
small	explosive
medium	
high	
very high	

Fitnesses	
Penalty & $\alpha$	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$
small	explosive
medium	stretched exponential
high	
very high	

Fitnesses	
Penalty & $\alpha$	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$
small	explosive
medium	stretched exponential
high	polynomial (faster than grid-like)
very high	

Fitnesses	
Penalty & $\alpha$	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$
small	explosive
medium	stretched exponential
high	polynomial (faster than grid-like)
very high	linear (grid-like)

Fitnesses	
Penalty & $\alpha$	fat-tailed $ au \in (2,3)$
small $\deg_d(f) < (3 - \tau)$	explosive
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{medium} \\ \deg_d(f) < 2(3 - \tau) \\ \text{or } \alpha \in (1, 2) \end{array}$	stretched exponential
high $\deg_d(f) < \frac{2}{d} + 2(3 - \tau) \vee 2\frac{\alpha - \tau + 1}{d(\alpha - 2)}$ and $\alpha > 2$	polynomial (faster than grid-like)
very high $deg_d(f) > \frac{2}{d} + 2(3 - \tau) \vee 2\frac{\alpha - \tau + 1}{d(\alpha - 2)}$ and $\alpha > 2$	linear (grid-like)

### Proof ideas

Proof of explosion when  $\deg_d(f) < (3 - \tau)$ 



• Let M, A, B > 1, Annulus $(k)_{k>1}$  be consecutive annuli of volume

 $\operatorname{Vol}_k := M^{AB^k}$ 

• Let M, A, B > 1, Annulus $(k)_{k>1}$  be consecutive annuli of volume

$$\operatorname{Vol}_k \coloneqq M^{AB^k}$$

• Tile each annulus with disjoint subboxes of volume  $vol_k := M^{B^k}$ 

#{subboxes in Annulus(k)}  $\geq cM^{(A-1)B^{k}}$ 

• Let M, A, B > 1, Annulus $(k)_{k>1}$  be consecutive annuli of volume

$$\operatorname{Vol}_k \coloneqq M^{AB^k}$$

• Tile each annulus with disjoint subboxes of volume  $vol_k := M^{B^k}$ 

#{subboxes in Annulus(k)}  $\geq cM^{(A-1)B^{k}}$ 

• 'Leader' of a subbox := maximal weight vertex inside it

$$W_{\text{leader}(k)} = CM^{B^k \frac{1 \pm \delta}{\tau - 1}}$$

• Let M, A, B > 1, Annulus $(k)_{k>1}$  be consecutive annuli of volume

$$\operatorname{Vol}_k \coloneqq M^{AB^k}$$

• Tile each annulus with disjoint subboxes of volume  $vol_k := M^{B^k}$ 

#{subboxes in Annulus(k)}  $\geq cM^{(A-1)B^{k}}$ 

• 'Leader' of a subbox := maximal weight vertex inside it

$$W_{\text{leader}(k)} = cM^{B^k \frac{1\pm\delta}{\tau-1}}$$

#{leader neighbors in Annulus(k + 1) of a leader(k)}

LeaderDeg(k) = 
$$cM^{(A-1)B^{k+1}(1-\varepsilon)}$$

with summable error probability as long as  $\frac{1-\delta}{\tau-1}(1+B) \ge AB$ .

### Greedy path

- Assume  $0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty}$
- From 0, follow a path to leader(0) (its length is some finite random variable)
- Take the edge with minimal Exp<sub>e</sub> between leader(0) and its leader(1) neighbors.
- continue with this rule

# Cost of the greedy path

Cost of  $\pi_{\text{greedy}} \leq \text{Cost to go to leader of Annulus(0)}$ 

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{\text{leader}(k)}^{\mu} W_{\text{leader}(k+1)}^{\nu} M^{AB^{k+1}\zeta/d} \cdot \min_{j \leq \text{LeaderDeg}(k)} \exp_{kj}$$

Estimate the minimum, and plug everything in, we need that the sum is finite:

# Cost of the greedy path

Cost of  $\pi_{\text{greedy}} \leq \text{Cost}$  to go to leader of Annulus(0)

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{\text{leader}(k)}^{\mu} W_{\text{leader}(k+1)}^{\nu} M^{AB^{k+1}\zeta/d} \cdot \min_{j \leq \text{LeaderDeg}(k)} \frac{\text{Exp}_{kj}}{k}$$

Estimate the minimum, and plug everything in, we need that the sum is finite:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M^{B^k \left( (\mu+\nu B) \frac{1+\delta}{\tau-1} + \zeta B/d - (A-1)B(1-\varepsilon) \right)} < \infty$$

# Cost of the greedy path

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M^{B^k\left((\mu+\nu B)\frac{1+\delta}{\tau-1}+\zeta B/d-(A-1)B(1-\varepsilon)\right)} < \infty$$

Path is present:

$$\frac{1-\delta}{\tau-1}(1+B) \ge AB$$

Finite-cost:

$$(\mu + \nu B)\frac{1+\delta}{\tau-1} + B\zeta/d - (A-1)B(1-\varepsilon) < 0$$

This system of inequalities have a solution for A, B > 1 and  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$  > 0 if  $\tau \in (1,3)$  and

 $\mu + \nu + 2\zeta/d < 3 - \tau.$ 

Greedy path has finite cost.

### Proof of non-explosion when $\deg_d(f) > 3 - \tau$

### Understanding explosion to show non-explosion

Explosion time:  $Y(v) = \inf_t \{I(t) = \infty\}.$ 

Lemma (1: Excluding sideways explosion) Sideways explosion cannot happen when for all T > 0,

$$\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{v},\leq \mathsf{T})=\#\{u:(u,\mathsf{v})\in \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{G}),\sigma_{(u,\mathsf{v})}\leq \mathsf{T}\}<\infty \ a.s.$$

Lemma (2: Explosion can happen arbitrarily fast) For some t > 0,  $I(t) = \infty \Rightarrow$  for all t > 0,  $\mathbb{P}(Y(v) < t) > c_t > 0$ .

Statement is known for Branching Processes, but nontrivial for spatial random graphs

### **Corollary (Corollary to Lemmas 1 & 2)** Explosion happens $\Rightarrow \forall t > 0$ ; $\mathbb{P}(\exists infinite path \pi : ||\pi||_{\sigma} < t) > 0$

### Restricted path counting to show non-explosion

Explosion  $\Rightarrow \forall t > 0$ ;  $\mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi : ||\pi||_{\sigma} < t) > 0$ . For conservativeness, the opposite statement:

 $\exists t_0 > 0$ ;  $\mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi : \|\pi\|_{\sigma} < t_0) = 0$ .

 $\leftarrow \mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi, \forall e \in \pi : \sigma_e < t_0) = 0.$ 

Idea to show this:



### Restricted path counting to show non-explosion

Explosion  $\Rightarrow \forall t > 0$ ;  $\mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi : ||\pi||_{\sigma} < t) > 0$ . For conservativeness, the opposite statement:

 $\exists t_0 > 0$ ;  $\mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi : ||\pi||_{\sigma} < t_0) = 0.$ 

 $\leftarrow \mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi, \forall e \in \pi : \sigma_e < t_0) = 0.$ 

Idea to show this:

Lemma (Restricted Path counting)

 $\mathbb{E}[\#\{ \text{ self-avoiding paths on } k \text{ edges, with all } \sigma_e < t_0 \}],$  is exponentially decaying in k.



### Restricted path counting to show non-explosion

Explosion  $\Rightarrow \forall t > 0$ ;  $\mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi : ||\pi||_{\sigma} < t) > 0$ . For conservativeness, the opposite statement:

 $\exists t_0 > 0$ ;  $\mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi : ||\pi||_{\sigma} < t_0) = 0.$ 

 $\leftarrow \mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ infinite path } \pi, \forall e \in \pi : \sigma_e < t_0) = 0.$ 

Idea to show this:

### Lemma (Restricted Path counting)

 $\mathbb{E}[\#\{ \text{ self-avoiding paths on } k \text{ edges, with all } \sigma_e < t_0 \}],$  is exponentially decaying in k.

 $A_k := \{\exists a \text{ length}-k \text{ self-avoiding path with all } \sigma_e < t_0\}.$ Markov's inequality + Borel-Cantelli lemma:

a.s. only finitely many  $A_k$ s occur.

i.e., no such infinite path, hence no explosion.



### Non-explosive regimes

### Stretched exponential and polynomial growth

See jamboard.

- Upper bounds: Constructing bridges (ala Kleinberg or ala Biskup)
- Lower bounds: Robust renormalisation techniques (ala Berger)







 $R_1 = N^{\delta}$ WeNyz



Tw=Ng=

 $R_1 = N^{\delta}$ Wanyz



TW=N82



Tw=Noz





# Thank you for the attention!



Figure: Six instances of an infection spreading on a two-dimensional SSNM with different parameters  $\tau$  and  $\alpha.$