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## Memoryless GEO-P Model

## Review of GEO-P

The geometric-protean model (GEO-P) model is a model for online social networks which incorporates geometric and ranking information into an evolving network structure. More specifically, the GEO-P model, as defined by Bonato, Janssen, and Prałat [3], defines a sequence of graphs $\left\{G_{t}: t \geq 0\right\}$ on $n$ nodes where $G_{t}=\left(V_{t}, E_{t}\right)$, based on four parameters: the attachment strength $\alpha \in(0,1)$, the density parameter $\beta \in(0,1-\alpha)$, the dimension $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and the link probability $p \in(0,1]$. Each node $v \in V_{t}$ has a unique rank $r(v, t) \in[n]$ where $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$; we explicitly list $r(v, t)$ to emphasize that the rank may change with time. In order to stay consistent with the standard usage, the highest rank is 1 and the lowest rank is $n$. Additionally, each node has a geometric location in $[0,1]^{m}$ under the torus metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. That is, for any two points $x, y \in[0,1]^{m}, d(x, y)$ is defined to be $\min \left\{\|x-y-u\|_{\infty}: u \in\{-1,0,1\}^{m}\right\}$. We note that this implies that the geometric space is symmetric in any point as the metric "wraps" around. For any node $v$, we define its influence region at time $t \geq 0$, written $R(v, t)$, to be the ball of Euclidean volume $r(v, t)^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}$ centered at $v$. Notice that, since the we are in the torus metric, this is a cube measuring $r(v, t)^{-\alpha / m} n^{-\beta / m}$ on a side.

Note. All asymptotic results in this paper are with respect to $n$. We say that a statement holds with extremely high probability, if it holds with probability at least

$$
1-\exp (-\omega(n) \log n)
$$

for some function $\omega(n)$ with $\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, if there are a polynomial number of events, each of which holds with extremely high probability, then all of them hold with extremely high probability.

Let $G_{0}$ be any graph. In order to form $G_{t}$ from $G_{t-1}$, first choose a node $w$ uniformly at random from $V_{t-1}$ and remove it. The remaining nodes are re-ranked, that is, all nodes with lower ranks than $w$ decrease their ranks by 1 . Then place a node $v$ uniformly at random in $[0,1]^{m}$, generate uniformly at random a rank for $v$, and re-rank the remaining nodes again. Finally, for every node $u$ which is such that $v$ is in the influence region of $u$, add the edge $\{u, v\}$ with probability $p$. It is clear that this process depends only on the current state of $G_{t}$, and so forms a ergodic Markov chain with a limiting distribution $\pi$. A random instance of GEO-P is then defined to be a sample from this limiting distribution.

It is clear that the distributions of edges of $G_{t}$ are determined by the relative rank histories of all the nodes at the time the other nodes entered. More specifically, if we order the nodes of $G_{t}$ according to their age with node 1 being the oldest, then for any $i>j$ the probability of the edge $\{i, j\}$ being present is determined by their respective geometric locations and the rank of node $j$ when node $i$ arrives. Thus, in order to sample from the limiting distribution $\pi$ it suffices to sample from the distributions of node histories, then randomly assign locations to the nodes, and determine if the edges are present. We note that according to the distribution $\pi$ the final permutation between ages and ranks is uniformly distributed over all permutations. Since there are $n$ ! permutations of nodes and at most $n^{2}$ different permutations reachable from a given state, it takes at least $\log _{n^{2}}(n!)=\frac{n}{2}(1-o(1))$ iterations to reach the stationary distribution. Standard results in the mixing rate of random graphs suggest that in order to assure that a sample is close to the stationary distribution at least $\Omega\left(\log \left(\frac{n!}{n^{2}}\right)\right)=\Omega(n \log (n))$ iterations are required. In fact, it is easy to see that the stationary distribution is reached at the time when the last node from the initial graph $G_{0}$ is removed, which happens with probability $1+o(1)$ after $(1+o(1)) n \log n$ steps, by the coupon collector problem.

## Introducing MGEO-P

For large $n$ this number of iterations is a significant computational roadblock, so we introduce here a variant of the GEO-P model which we call a memoryless geometric-protean graph (MGEO-P). In essence this model is the GEO-P model where the each node has forgotten its history of ranks. More specifically, a permutation $\sigma$ on $[n]$ is chosen uniformly at random and $\sigma(i)$ represents the rank of the $i^{\text {th }}$ oldest node. Thus, for each pair $i>j$ the edge $\{i, j\}$ is potentially present if and only if the node $j$ is in the ball of volume $\sigma(i)^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}$ centered around node $i$. It is worth noting that, as shown in Bonato et al. Lemma $5.2[3]$, if a node in the GEO-P model receives an initial rank $R \geq \sqrt{n} \log ^{2} n$, then its rank is $R\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\log ^{-1 / 2}(n)\right)\right)=R(1+o(1))$ for its entire lifetime with extremely high probability. Thus, if we imagine coupling the MGEO-P model in the natural way to GEO-P, and assuming that ranks do not change much as mentioned above, we have that for all but a vanishing fraction of the edges, the probability that a given edge is present in one model but not the other is $\mathcal{O}\left(p n^{-\alpha+2 \beta / 2} \log (n)^{1-4 \alpha / 2}\right)$. Hence, we would intuitively expect that the MGEO-P model would not differ too much from GEO-P model. In order to confirm this we prove that the parameters we are interested in do not differ by much from the proven parameters of the GEO-P model. Specifically, we look at the average degree, the degree distribution, and the diameter.

## An equivalent description of the MGEO-P model

We now describe a model that is equivalent to the MGEO-P model just introduced, but that we found useful for our analysis. It has a different interpretation. The key change is that we reverse the way links are formed: when a node $i$ arrives in the network, then all existing nodes $j$ form links to $i$ if $i$ is within the influence regions of $j$. Intuitively, this models how links may arise in a citation network - a new paper links to those that are topically related (that is, nearby in the metric space) or highly influential. In the language we used above, this process is: fix a permutation $\sigma$ on $[n]$ chosen uniformly at random and $\sigma(i)$ represents the rank of the $i^{\text {th }}$ oldest node. Thus, for each pair $i>j$ the edge $\{i, j\}$ is potentially present if and only if the node $i$ is in the ball of volume $\sigma(j)^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}$ centered around node $j$. The two descriptions are equivalent as we can simply reverse the order of vertex arrivals. Thus, they induce the same distribution over graphs because the order is a uniform random choice.

## The average degree

In order to consider the degrees, we first need the following standard result on the tails of the hypergeometric distribution, see for instance Jansen et al.[4]

Lemma 1. Let $X$ be the number of red balls in a set of $t$ balls chosen at random from a set of $n$ balls containing $m$ red balls. Then, $\mathbb{E}[X]=\frac{t m}{n}$, and for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X \geq(1+\epsilon) \frac{t m}{n}\right) \leq e^{-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2+\frac{2 \epsilon}{3}} \frac{t m}{n}}
$$

Further, for any $\epsilon \in(0,1)$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X \leq(1-\epsilon) \frac{t m}{n}\right)=e^{-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \frac{t m}{n}}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), \beta \in(0,1-\alpha), n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in(0,1]$. Let $v$ be a node of MGEO-P $(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ with rank $R$ and age $i$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}(v)=\left(\frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+(n-i) p R^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)
$$

with extremely high probability.
Proof. Let $\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v)$ denote the number of older neighbors of $v$ and let $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)$ denote the younger neighbors of $v$. In order to determine $\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v)$ we consider connecting $v$ to nodes of all ranks other than $R$ and keeping $i-1$ of those uniformly at random. The expected degree of $v$ before the edge deletion is

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} p r^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}-p R^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}=p n^{-\beta} \int_{1}^{n} x^{-\alpha} d x+\mathcal{O}(1)=\frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+\mathcal{O}(1)
$$

Thus, $\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v)\right]=\frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+\mathcal{O}(1)$. Furthermore, by Chernoff bounds the initial degree of $v$ is $\frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log (n)}{\sqrt{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)$ with extremely high probability, and thus, Lemma 1 gives that

$$
\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v) \leq \frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n) n^{\alpha+\beta}}{i}}\right)\right)
$$

with extremely high probability as well. Additionally, if $i \geq \log ^{3}(n) n^{\alpha+\beta}$, then equality holds.
Since the edge probability between $v$ and the younger nodes does not depend on the rank of the younger neighbors, $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)$ can be expressed as a sum of independent random variables which has expectation $(n-i) p R^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}$. Hence, by Chernoff bounds it follows that with extremely high probability

$$
\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v) \leq(n-i) p R^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n) R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}{n-i}}\right)\right)
$$

Now if $n-i \geq \log ^{3}(n) R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}$, then equality holds. Combining $\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v)$ and $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)$ we have that with extremely high probability

$$
\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq \frac{i-1}{n} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+(n-i) p R^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n) i}{n^{\alpha+\beta}}}+\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)(n-i)}{R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}}\right)
$$

In order to express the error in a multiplicative faction, we note that

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\log ^{2}(n) i}{n^{\alpha+\beta}}\left(\frac{n^{\alpha+\beta}}{i-1}\right)^{2} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}\right) & i \geq \frac{n}{2} \\
\frac{\log ^{2}(n) i}{n^{\alpha+\beta}}\left(\frac{R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}{n-i}\right)^{2} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}\right) & i \leq \frac{n}{2} \\
\frac{\log ^{2}(n)(n-i)}{R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}\left(\frac{R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}{n-i}\right)^{2} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}\right) & i \leq n-n\left(\frac{R}{2 n}\right)^{\alpha}, \\
\frac{\log ^{2}(n)(n-i)}{R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}\left(\frac{n^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}{i-1}\right)^{2} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}\right) & i \geq n-n\left(\frac{R}{2 n}\right)^{\alpha}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, for the entire range of $i$ both of the error terms are individually dominated by one of the primary terms and hence, we have that with extremely high probability

$$
\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq\left(\frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+(n-i) p R^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)
$$

and furthermore if $\log ^{3}(n) n^{\alpha+\beta} \leq i \leq n-\log ^{3}(n) R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}$, then equality holds.
Noting that

$$
\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)\right]}=\frac{n}{n-1} \frac{i-1}{n-i} \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{R}{n}\right)^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log ^{3}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}\right) \subset o\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)
$$

where $i \leq \log ^{3}(n) n^{\alpha+\beta}$, and

$$
\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v)\right]}=\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n-i}{i-1}(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{n}{R}\right)^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log ^{3}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}\right) \subset o\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)
$$

where $n-i \geq \log ^{3}(n) R^{\alpha} n^{\beta}$ completes the proof.
Theorem 2. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), \beta \in(0,1-\alpha), n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p \in(0,1]$, then with extremely high probability the average degree of node of $\operatorname{MGEO}-\mathrm{P}(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ is

$$
d=\frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 1 we have that with extremely high probability for a node $v$ with age i,

$$
\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v) \leq \frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)
$$

with equality if $i \geq \log ^{3}(n) n^{\alpha+\beta}$. Now since every edge is counted exactly once in $\operatorname{deg}^{+}(u)$ for some node
$u$, the average degree is with extremely high probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2|E|}{n} & =\frac{2}{n} \sum_{v} \operatorname{deg}^{+}(v) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right) \frac{2 p n^{-\alpha-\beta}}{(n-1)(1-\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(i-1) \\
& =\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right) \frac{2 p n^{-\alpha-\beta}}{(n-1)(1-\alpha)}\binom{n}{2} \\
& =\frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar manner, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2|E|}{n} & \geq\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)\left(\frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}-\frac{2 p n^{-\alpha-\beta}}{(n-1)(1-\alpha)}\binom{\log ^{3}(n) n^{\alpha+\beta}}{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log ^{6}(n)}{n^{2-2 \alpha-2 \beta}}\right)\right) \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \\
& =\frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof.

## The degree distribution

Let $N_{j}$ be the number of nodes in $\operatorname{MGEO}-\mathrm{P}(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ with degree precisely $j$ and let $N_{\geq k}=$ $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} N_{j}$ be the number of nodes in degree $\operatorname{MGEO}-\mathrm{P}(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ with degree at least $k$. We will show that similarly to the geometric protean graphs, $N_{\geq k} \propto k^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ for a significant range of $k$, and thus, MGEO-P $(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ exhibits a power-law degree distribution over that range with power-law exponent $1+\frac{1}{\alpha}$. Following prior work[3] we will characterize the pairs $(i, R)$ of ages and ranks which will assure that the degree of a node is at least $k$ and show that this value concentrates about its expectation using the following specialization of the Azuma-Hoeffding inequality.

Theorem 3 (McDiarmid's Inequality). If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent random variables and $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a function such that for every $i \in[n]$

$$
\left|f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leq c_{i}
$$

then for any $\epsilon>0$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right]\right|>\epsilon\right)<2 e^{-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\sum_{i} c_{i}^{2}}}
$$

We will use the notation $f(n) \gg g(n)$ if $f(n) / g(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $f(n) \ll g(n)$ if $g(n) / f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, it will be convenient not to worry about less significant factors, so we will use $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(f(n))$ to denote any function which is at most $f(n) \log ^{O(1)} n$.

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), \beta \in(0,1-\alpha), n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in(0,1]$, and let $k$ and $\epsilon$ be such that $n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \ll k \ll \frac{n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\beta}}{\log ^{\alpha}(n)}, \sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}, \frac{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}{k} \ll \epsilon$, and $\epsilon \geq c \log (n) k^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}}$ for some $c>0$, then with extremely high probability $\operatorname{MGEO}-\mathrm{P}(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ satisfies that

$$
N_{\geq k}=(1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} p^{1 / \alpha} n^{(1-\beta) / \alpha} k^{-1 / \alpha}
$$

Proof. We first note that if the age rank pair $(i, R)$ for a node $v$ satisfies that

$$
\frac{R}{n} \leq(1-\epsilon)\left(p n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{n-i}{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

then by Theorem 1 with extremely high probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}(v) & =\left(\frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+(n-i) p R^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+\frac{n-i}{n} p\left(\frac{R}{n}\right)^{-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{i-1}{n-1} \frac{p}{1-\alpha} n^{1-\alpha-\beta}+\frac{k}{(1-\epsilon)^{\alpha}}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{k}{(1-\epsilon)^{\alpha}}(1+o(\epsilon)) \\
& =\frac{k}{(1-\epsilon)^{\alpha}}+o(\epsilon k) \\
& =k+\alpha \epsilon k+o(\epsilon k) \\
& >k
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if

$$
\frac{R}{n} \geq(1+\epsilon)\left(p n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{n-i}{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

then with extremely high probability $\operatorname{deg}(v)<k$.
Let $X_{i}$ be the event that the node with age $i$ has rank $R$ satisfying

$$
R \leq(1-\epsilon) n\left(p n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{n-i}{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

and let $Y_{i}$ be the event that the node with age $i$ has rank $R$ satisfying

$$
(1-\epsilon) n\left(p n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{n-i}{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq R \leq(1+\epsilon) n\left(p n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{n-i}{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

Letting $X=\sum_{i} X_{i}$ and $Y=\sum_{i} Y_{i}$ we have that $X \leq N_{\geq k} \leq X+Y$. Thus, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X] & =\sum_{i} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i}(1-\epsilon)\left(p n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{n-i}{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
& =(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{p n^{-\alpha-\beta}}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sum_{i}(n-i)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
& =(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{p n^{-\alpha-\beta}}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} n^{\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}}+\mathcal{O}(1)\right) \\
& =(1-\epsilon) \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} n\left(\frac{p n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}+o\left(\left(\frac{\epsilon}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note as well that $\mathbb{E}[Y]=\frac{2 \epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \mathbb{E}[X]$.
We recall that the age-rank pairs can be represented by a permutation $\sigma$ chosen uniformly at random from the symmetric group, and thus, it can be generated by a sequence of transpositions $\left(1, a_{1}\right)\left(2, a_{2}\right)$. $\left(n, a_{n}\right)$ where each $a_{i}$ is chosen independently and uniformly at random from $\{i, i+1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus, $X$ (and $Y$ ) may be viewed as a function of independent random variables and so Theorem 3 applies. Furthermore, the change of any particular variable impacts the value of $X$ by at most 2 . Hence, we note that,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \epsilon^{2} \mathbb{E}[X]^{2} \geq \epsilon^{2}(1-\epsilon)^{2} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} n\left(\frac{p n^{1-\alpha-\beta}}{k}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \in \Omega\left(\log ^{2}(n)\right)
$$

and thus, with extremely high probability $|X-\mathbb{E}[X]| \leq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[X]$ and $|Y-\mathbb{E}[Y]| \leq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[X]$. Hence, we have that

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[X] \leq N_{\geq k} \leq \mathbb{E}[X]+3 \epsilon \mathbb{E}[X]
$$

with extremely high probability and the desired result follows.
We note that by choosing $\epsilon=\log ^{-1 / 3}(n)$ we can easily obtain the same type of degree distribution result for MGEO-P that exists for the original GEO-P[3].

Theorem 5. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), \beta \in(0,1-\alpha), n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in(0,1]$, and

$$
n^{1-\alpha-\beta} \log ^{1 / 2}(n) \leq k \leq n^{1-\alpha / 2-\beta} \log ^{-2 \alpha-1}(n)
$$

then with extremely high probability MGEO-P $(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ satisfies

$$
N_{\geq k}=\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\log ^{-1 / 3}(n)\right)\right) \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} p^{1 / \alpha} n^{(1-\beta) / \alpha} k^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

where $N_{\geq k}$ is the number of nodes of degree at least $k$.

## The diameter

Theorem 6. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), \beta \in(0,1-\alpha), n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in(0,1]$. The diameter of $\operatorname{MGEO}-\mathrm{P}(n, m, \alpha, \beta, p)$ is $n^{\Theta\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}$ with extremely high probability.

Proof. We first show that the diameter is $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha) m}}\right) \in n^{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}$. To this end, let

$$
t=10\left[\left(n^{\frac{\beta}{(1-\alpha)}} \ln ^{\frac{2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}}(n)\right)^{1 / m}\right\rfloor
$$

and divide $[0,1)^{m}$ into $t^{m}$ uniform subcubes with side-lengths $\frac{1}{t}$ in the natural way. Now, as $\frac{\beta}{(1-\alpha)}<1$, by Chernoff bounds there are $\tilde{\Theta}\left(n^{1-\frac{\beta}{(1-\alpha)}}\right)$ nodes in each of the subcubes with extremely high probability. Thus, in order to show diameter $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^{\frac{\beta}{(1-\alpha) m}}\right)$ it suffices to show that for any two nodes $u$ and $v$ at $\ell_{\infty}$-distance at most $2 / t$ the graph distance between the two nodes is at most some fixed constant.

Now consider an arbitrary node $v$. By Chernoff bounds, with extremely high probability there are $\Omega\left(n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right)$ nodes at $\ell_{\infty}$ distance at most $\frac{1}{2} n^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{m}}$ from $v$ and age rank at least $\frac{n}{2}$ (that is, young nodes). As the radius of influence of every node is at least $\frac{1}{2} n^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{m}}$, this implies that with extremely high probability every node has $\Omega\left(n^{1-\alpha-\beta}\right)$ neighbors at $\ell_{\infty^{\prime}}$-distance at most $\frac{1}{2} n^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{m}}$ with age rank at least $\frac{n}{2}$.

In a similar manner, by combining Lemma 1 and Chernoff bounds, with extremely high probability every node $v$ with age rank at least $\frac{n}{2}$ has

$$
\Omega\left((1 / t)^{m} n^{\beta /(1-\alpha)} \ln ^{2 /(1-\alpha)} n\right)=\Omega\left(\ln ^{-2 \alpha /(1-\alpha)+2 /(1-\alpha)} n\right)=\Omega\left(\ln ^{2} n\right)
$$

neighbors at $\ell_{\infty}$-distance at most $\frac{1}{2 t}$, with rank at most $n^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} \ln ^{2 /(1-\alpha)} n$, and age rank at most $n / 2$ (that is, old nodes). Note that each node with rank at most $n^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} \ln ^{2 /(1-\alpha)} n$ has radius of influence at least

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{\frac{-\alpha \beta}{1-\alpha}-\beta} \ln ^{-2 \alpha /(1-\alpha)} n\right)^{1 / m}=(1+o(1)) \frac{5}{t}
$$

Combining these two observations we have that, with extremely high probability, every node $v$ is within graph-distance two and $\ell_{\infty}$-distance $n^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{m}}+\frac{1}{2 t}$ of a set of $\Theta\left(\ln ^{2}(n)\right)$ nodes, $X_{v}$, with rank at most $n^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} \ln ^{2 /(1-\alpha)} n$. Thus, if $u$ and $v$ are at $\ell_{\infty}$-distance at most $\frac{2}{t}$, the distance between elements of $X_{u}$ and $X_{v}$ is at most $\frac{2}{t}+2 n^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{m}}+\frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{4}{t}$. On the other hand, as we already mentioned, the radius of influence of each node in $X_{u}$ or $X_{v}$ is at least $4 / t$. Thus, with extremely high probability, some member of $X_{u}$ and $X_{v}$ are adjacent and hence $u$ and $v$ are within graph distance 5 , completing the proof of the upper bound.

For the lower bound, let us take some node $v$ and consider distances to other nodes. With probability $1-2^{-m}$ some other node is at $\ell_{\infty}$-distance at least $1 / 4$. Hence, by Chernoff bounds, with extremely high probability there exist two nodes at $\ell_{\infty}$-distance at least $\frac{1}{4}$. As the diameter of every influence region is at most $n^{-\frac{\beta}{m}}$, this gives that the diameter of the graph is $\Omega\left(n^{\frac{\beta}{m}}\right) \in n^{\Omega(1 / m)}$.

## Experimental design

Given a graph $G=(V, E)$, we employ the following methods to determine the dimension $m$ of the MGEO-P models:

## Experiment 1

1. Set $n$ to the number of nodes. Determine values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ independently of $m$ (see the appendix of the original paper).
2. Simulate 50 samples of an MGEO-P network with $m$ varying between 1 and 12 .
3. Compute the graphlet counts for each sample of MGEO-P and train a SVM classifier to predict the dimension of the network given the samples.
4. Compute the graphlet counts for the graph $G$ and use the output from the classifier as the dimension $m$ of the network.

## Experiment 2

$1 \& 2$. As in experiment 1.
3. Compute the spectral density for one sample of MGEO-P for each $m$ between 1 and 12 (only one MGEO-P sample is used to get the density). ${ }^{1}$
4. Compute the spectral density of the graph $G$ and find the value of $m$ that minimizes the KL-divergence between the density from the graph and the MGEO-P samples.

The first approach employs a complex statistical technique - the support vector machine classifier-to determine nonlinear predictive correlations among the graphlet counts and the dimension. This sophistication renders the method opaque and difficult to interpret the precise similarity mechanism. The second approach is simple and still illustrates the dimensional scaling, although the precise dimensions differ, which indicates that it is matching the network in a different way.

## Estimating dimensions using graphlets and support vector machines

The relationship between the dimension of a graph and its graphlets is highly nonlinear and so we used a multi-class support-vector machine (SVM) based classification tool from WEKA to predict this relationship. In this case, each dimension is a class, but as an SVM can only make a binary decision we train the SVM using a dimension-vs-dimension classification. That is, we build a classifer to predict dimension 5 -vs-dimension 3 , dimension 5 -vs-dimension 4, etc. so there are $66=$ " 12 -choose- 2 " SVMs trained. The dimension picked most often among these classifiers is the predicted class; this is the standard behavior of the sequential minimal optimization classifier (SMO) used in Weka. The dimension of a real-world network is then predicted by running this classifier on the graphlet counts of the networks. An alternative methodology (which has had some previous success) would be to to train the classifier using alternating decision trees; however this training methodology significantly restricts the behavior of the classifier and produces inconsistent results.

## Comparing spectral densities

Given the eigenvalues of the normalized Laplacian, we compute a spectral density by taking a 201-bin histogram of these eigenvalues. We then use the KL-divergence between these histograms as used in Banerjee and Jost (2009) as a measure of similarity. If $P^{A}$ and $P^{B}$ are the histograms of networks $A$ and $B$ normalized to probabilities, then for our 201-bin histograms we have that:

$$
K L(A, B)=\sum_{i=1}^{201} \log \left(P_{i}^{A} / P_{i}^{B}\right) P_{i}^{A}
$$

We select the single best dimension based on the value of $m$ that minimizes the KL divergence $K L\left(S, G_{m}\right)$ where $S$ is the sample of either Facebook or LinkedIn and $G_{m}$ is a sample of a MGEO-P network with dimension $m$. We add 1 to all of the eigenvalue counts in the histogram as a form of smoothing for the probabilities. We define a dimension interval by looking at the maximum interval such that the extreme points are within $105 \%$ of the true minimum.

[^0]
## Sensitivity studies

In the following sections, we study how the predicted dimension changes due to large scale structural changes in the graph. We focus our efforts on studying the Facebook samples as the LinkedIn samples are highly correlated due to the temporal nature of their construction. Our results show that

1. Erdős Rényi random graphs have no apparent dimension.
2. The graphlet fitting methodology is influenced by the degree distribution in a way that generates high variance in the predicted dimension but where a logarithmic trend may still exist. This effect is not present in the spectral histograms.
3. The graphlet fitting methodology is robust to changing $10 \%$ of the edges of the network via a random percolation process.

## Dimensions of Erdős Rényi random graphs

In our first experiment to verify the relevance of our dimensionality fits, we attempt to fit the dimension of an Erdős Rényi random graph with the same number of expected edges. That is, for each of the samples of the Facebook network, we run the SVM dimension classifier we constructed on the graphlet counts of 50 separate Erdős Rényi random graph samples where the probability is designed to yield the number of edges of the original network in expectation. In all but 3 of the 5000 examples ( 50 samples for each of the 100 graphs), the predicted dimension is the maximum 12 . When the dimension was not the maximum in those three cases, it was 11 . When we tried this with dimensions up to 10 , then the Erdős Rényi random graphs fit to the dimension 10, thus, we expect these graphs to be predicted at the highest dimension of the training set. We see this as evidence that our graphlet methodology is sensitive to clearly erroneous graphs.

## Dimensions of random graphs with the same degree distribution

In our second experiment to verify the relevance of our dimension fits, we attempt to fit the dimension of a graph with the same degree distribution as one of the Facebook networks but with edges randomly drawn. To generate these graphs, we use the Bayati-Saberi-Kim procedure[1] as implemented in the bisquik library[2]. This method terminated for 92 of the 100 graphs. (The process did not terminate in the other 8 cases, which is a limitation of this particular sampling scheme.) The dimensional fits for these 92 resampled networks are shown in Figure S1. The eigenvalue fits show no logarithmic scaling in the dimension whereas the graphlet fits do. However, the variance in the predicted dimensions based on graphlets is substantially higher for these random samples compared to the original networks (see Figure 4 in the main text). The evidence from graphlets alone, is then, possibly biased due to the degree distribution. However, the results from the spectral histograms, the graphlets, and the prediction dimension from the model itself encourage us to be more optimistic.

## Dimension variance with random percolation

In our final experiment, we study random percolation of the predicted dimension of the Facebook networks. In a random percolation process, we randomly sample an edge from the network, delete it, replace it with an edge between two randomly drawn nodes, and continue until we have done this procedure $k$ times. We study how the predicted dimension varies as we change $1 \%, 5 \%, 10 \%, 15 \%, 20 \%, 25 \%, 30 \%$, $35 \%, 40 \%, 45 \%, 50 \%$ of the total edges of a network. For each of the 100 Facebook networks, and each


Figure S1: Predicted dimensions of random graphs with the same degree distribution. At left, the predicted dimension using our SVM-Graphlet methodology and at right, the prediction dimension using our spectral histogram methodology
percentage of total edges, we repeat the percolation process 10 times. This generates 110 total networks for each Facebook network. Figure S 2 shows a box-plot of how the predicted dimension varies for each perturbation level over all 1100 total graphs. This plot suggests that the dimension is unchanged until more than $15 \%$ of the edges have been percolated. This figure further illustrates that the predicted dimension is a stable quantity for a network that is not overly sensitive to small perturbations.

## Online codes

Our experimental codes and data for the graphlet and spectral densities are available from
https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/dgleich/codes/geop-dim/


Figure S2: The change in the predicted dimension based on the graphlets methodology as we randomly percolate small or large fractions of the total edges in the network. Each box-plot represents the results over all 100 Facebook networks. The label 0.05 corresponds to randomly altering $5 \%$ of the total edges in a network. The line tracks the mean over all the samples.

## Full statistics of Facebook data

Table of properties of the Facebook networks.

| Name | Nodes | Edges | PL Exp | Eff. Diam | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{G}$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caltech36 | 769 | 16656 | 7.00 | 3.81 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 4 | 5 |
| Reed98 | 962 | 18812 | 4.38 | 3.88 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 3 | 5 |
| Haverford76 | 1446 | 59589 | 7.00 | 3.63 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 4 | 5 |
| Simmons81 | 1518 | 32988 | 4.74 | 3.92 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 4 | 5 |
| Swarthmore42 | 1659 | 61050 | 5.60 | 3.77 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 3 | 6 |
| Amherst41 | 2235 | 90954 | 5.64 | 3.81 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 3 | 6 |
| Bowdoin47 | 2252 | 84387 | 5.80 | 3.81 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 4 | 6 |
| Hamilton46 | 2314 | 96394 | 4.63 | 3.79 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 4 | 6 |
| Trinity100 | 2613 | 111996 | 5.83 | 3.84 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 4 | 6 |
| USFCA72 | 2682 | 65252 | 4.13 | 3.97 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 4 | 5 |
| Williams40 | 2790 | 112986 | 5.17 | 3.82 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 4 | 6 |
| Oberlin44 | 2920 | 89912 | 4.83 | 3.96 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 4 | 6 |
| Smith60 | 2970 | 97133 | 5.78 | 3.84 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 4 | 6 |
| Wellesley22 | 2970 | 94899 | 4.64 | 3.92 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 4 | 5 |
| Vassar85 | 3068 | 119161 | 5.93 | 3.82 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 4 | 6 |
| Middlebury45 | 3075 | 124610 | 6.59 | 3.92 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 4 | 7 |
| Pepperdine86 | 3445 | 152007 | 5.27 | 3.88 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 4 | 6 |
| Colgate88 | 3482 | 155043 | 6.07 | 3.81 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 4 | 6 |
| Santa74 | 3578 | 151747 | 5.74 | 3.90 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 4 | 6 |
| Wesleyan43 | 3593 | 138035 | 4.72 | 3.92 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 4 | 6 |
| Mich67 | 3748 | 81903 | 5.03 | 4.26 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 5 | 6 |
| Bucknell39 | 3826 | 158864 | 5.70 | 3.85 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 4 | 6 |
| Brandeis99 | 3898 | 137567 | 4.98 | 3.85 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 5 | 6 |
| Howard90 | 4047 | 204850 | 6.48 | 3.81 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 4 | 8 |
| Rice31 | 4087 | 184828 | 6.30 | 3.82 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 4 | 6 |
| Rochester38 | 4563 | 161404 | 5.34 | 3.96 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 5 | 6 |
| Lehigh96 | 5075 | 198347 | 6.40 | 3.90 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 4 | 6 |
| Johns-Hopkins55 | 5180 | 186586 | 5.57 | 4.07 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 5 | 6 |
| Wake73 | 5372 | 279191 | 5.71 | 3.86 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 4 | 6 |
| American75 | 6386 | 217662 | 4.85 | 4.07 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 5 | 6 |
| MIT8 | 6440 | 251252 | 5.24 | 4.02 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 5 | 6 |
| William77 | 6472 | 266378 | 5.19 | 3.90 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 5 | 6 |
| UChicago30 | 6591 | 208103 | 4.80 | 4.27 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 5 | 6 |
| Princeton12 | 6596 | 293320 | 5.35 | 4.00 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 5 | 6 |
| Carnegie49 | 6637 | 249967 | 4.98 | 4.04 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 5 | 6 |
| Tufts18 | 6682 | 249728 | 5.48 | 4.22 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 5 | 6 |
| UC64 | 6833 | 155332 | 5.71 | 4.58 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 5 | 6 |
| Vermont70 | 7324 | 191221 | 4.72 | 4.24 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 5 | 6 |
| Emory27 | 7460 | 330014 | 6.18 | 4.02 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 5 | 6 |
| Dartmouth6 | 7694 | 304076 | 5.45 | 4.11 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 5 | 6 |
| Tulane29 | 7752 | 283918 | 6.64 | 4.10 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 5 | 6 |
| WashU32 | 7755 | 367541 | 5.23 | 3.94 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 5 | 6 |
| Villanova62 | 7772 | 314989 | 5.58 | 4.09 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 5 | 6 |
| Vanderbilt48 | 8069 | 427832 | 5.61 | 3.91 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 5 | 6 |
| Yale4 | 8578 | 405450 | 5.79 | 4.02 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 5 | 6 |
| Brown11 | 8600 | 384526 | 4.85 | 4.01 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 5 | 6 |
| UCSC68 | 8991 | 224584 | 5.11 | 4.56 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 5 | 7 |
| Maine59 | 9069 | 243247 | 5.25 | 4.29 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 6 | 7 |
| Georgetown15 | 9414 | 425638 | 4.91 | 4.13 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 5 | 6 |
| Duke14 | 9895 | 506442 | 5.52 | 4.02 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 5 | 6 |
| Bingham82 | 10004 | 362894 | 5.96 | 4.08 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 5 | 7 |
| Mississippi66 | 10521 | 610911 | 5.46 | 3.90 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 5 | 6 |
| Northwestern25 | 10567 | 488337 | 5.65 | 3.98 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 5 | 6 |


| Name | Nodes | Edges | PL Exp | Eff. Diam | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{G}$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cal65 | 11247 | 351358 | 7.00 | 4.33 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 5 | 7 |
| BC17 | 11509 | 486967 | 5.41 | 4.13 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 5 | 7 |
| Stanford3 | 11621 | 568330 | 5.76 | 4.31 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 5 | 6 |
| Columbia2 | 11770 | 444333 | 4.29 | 4.37 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 6 | 6 |
| Notre-Dame57 | 12155 | 541339 | 4.83 | 4.01 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 6 | 7 |
| GWU54 | 12193 | 469528 | 4.76 | 4.14 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 6 | 7 |
| Baylor93 | 12803 | 679817 | 5.81 | 3.90 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 5 | 7 |
| USF51 | 13377 | 321214 | 4.93 | 4.65 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 5 | 7 |
| Syracuse56 | 13653 | 543982 | 5.93 | 4.08 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 5 | 7 |
| Temple83 | 13686 | 360795 | 4.35 | 4.52 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 6 | 7 |
| UC61 | 13746 | 442174 | 5.33 | 4.47 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 5 | 7 |
| Northeastern19 | 13882 | 381934 | 4.39 | 4.54 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 6 | 7 |
| JMU79 | 14070 | 485564 | 5.20 | 3.98 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 6 | 7 |
| UPenn7 | 14916 | 686501 | 5.89 | 4.15 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 5 | 7 |
| UCSB37 | 14935 | 482224 | 5.54 | 4.49 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 5 | 7 |
| UCF52 | 14940 | 428989 | 5.51 | 4.28 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 6 | 7 |
| UCSD34 | 14948 | 443221 | 5.01 | 4.46 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 6 | 7 |
| Harvard1 | 15126 | 824617 | 5.69 | 4.41 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 5 | 7 |
| MU78 | 15436 | 649449 | 6.21 | 4.08 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 6 | 7 |
| UMass92 | 16516 | 519385 | 4.54 | 4.15 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 6 | 7 |
| UC33 | 16808 | 522147 | 4.79 | 4.46 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 6 | 7 |
| Tennessee95 | 16979 | 770659 | 4.83 | 4.05 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 6 | 7 |
| UVA16 | 17196 | 789321 | 5.45 | 4.00 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 6 | 7 |
| UConn91 | 17212 | 604870 | 5.15 | 4.09 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 6 | 7 |
| Oklahoma97 | 17425 | 892528 | 5.26 | 3.97 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 5 | 7 |
| USC35 | 17444 | 801853 | 6.31 | 4.09 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 6 | 7 |
| UNC28 | 18163 | 766800 | 4.45 | 3.99 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 7 | 7 |
| Auburn71 | 18448 | 973918 | 4.99 | 3.92 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 6 | 7 |
| Cornell5 | 18660 | 790777 | 5.10 | 4.34 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 6 | 7 |
| BU10 | 19700 | 637528 | 5.32 | 4.49 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 6 | 7 |
| UCLA26 | 20467 | 747613 | 5.67 | 4.51 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 6 | 7 |
| Maryland58 | 20871 | 744862 | 5.41 | 4.19 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 6 | 7 |
| Virginia63 | 21325 | 698178 | 4.54 | 4.14 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 6 | 7 |
| NYU9 | 21679 | 715715 | 4.15 | 4.42 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 6 | 7 |
| Berkeley13 | 22937 | 852444 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 6 | 7 |
| Wisconsin87 | 23842 | 835952 | 4.74 | 4.30 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 6 | 7 |
| UGA50 | 24389 | 1174057 | 5.77 | 3.99 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 6 | 7 |
| Rutgers89 | 24580 | 784602 | 5.33 | 4.60 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 6 | 7 |
| FSU53 | 27737 | 1034802 | 5.79 | 4.45 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 6 | 7 |
| Indiana69 | 29747 | 1305765 | 5.40 | 4.22 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 6 | 7 |
| Michigan23 | 30147 | 1176516 | 5.12 | 4.53 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 6 | 7 |
| UIllinois20 | 30809 | 1264428 | 5.56 | 4.35 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 6 | 7 |
| Texas80 | 31560 | 1219650 | 5.65 | 4.44 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 6 | 6 |
| MSU24 | 32375 | 1118774 | 5.11 | 4.38 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 6 | 6 |
| UF21 | 35123 | 1465660 | 4.92 | 4.17 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 6 | 7 |
| Texas84 | 36371 | 1590655 | 4.79 | 4.08 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 6 | 8 |
| Penn94 | 41554 | 1362229 | 4.16 | 4.56 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 7 | 6 |

Table of log-graphlets counts of the Facebook networks

| Name | $\tilde{G}_{1}$ | $\tilde{G}_{2}$ | $\tilde{G}_{3}$ | $\tilde{G}_{4}$ | $\tilde{G}_{5}$ | $\tilde{G}_{6}$ | $\tilde{G}_{7}$ | $\tilde{G}_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caltech36 | 13.718 | 11.731 | 17.388 | 17.766 | 16.802 | 13.808 | 14.806 | 13.148 |
| Reed98 | 13.902 | 11.560 | 17.884 | 18.200 | 17.004 | 14.272 | 14.729 | 12.498 |
| Haverford76 | 15.514 | 13.307 | 19.375 | 20.127 | 18.868 | 16.273 | 16.775 | 14.728 |


| Name | $\tilde{G}_{1}$ | $\tilde{G}_{2}$ | $\tilde{G}_{3}$ | $\tilde{G}_{4}$ | $\tilde{G}_{5}$ | $\tilde{G}_{6}$ | $\tilde{G}_{7}$ | $\tilde{G}_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simmons81 | 14.421 | 12.015 | 18.476 | 18.950 | 17.604 | 14.759 | 15.341 | 13.296 |
| Swarthmore42 | 15.558 | 13.229 | 19.853 | 20.360 | 19.099 | 16.372 | 16.884 | 14.733 |
| Amherst41 | 15.964 | 13.672 | 20.383 | 20.974 | 19.725 | 16.908 | 17.565 | 15.582 |
| Bowdoin47 | 15.868 | 13.491 | 20.066 | 20.694 | 19.302 | 16.519 | 17.053 | 15.091 |
| Hamilton46 | 16.075 | 13.724 | 20.384 | 20.950 | 19.552 | 16.808 | 17.239 | 15.129 |
| Trinity100 | 16.201 | 13.887 | 20.534 | 21.243 | 19.833 | 17.065 | 17.596 | 15.634 |
| USFCA72 | 15.404 | 12.859 | 19.837 | 20.414 | 18.884 | 16.018 | 16.458 | 14.442 |
| Williams40 | 16.270 | 13.833 | 20.883 | 21.393 | 20.029 | 17.129 | 17.696 | 15.621 |
| Oberlin44 | 15.860 | 13.215 | 20.484 | 20.975 | 19.351 | 16.442 | 16.813 | 14.691 |
| Smith60 | 15.860 | 13.325 | 20.315 | 20.981 | 19.411 | 16.281 | 16.912 | 15.261 |
| Wellesley22 | 15.993 | 13.350 | 20.594 | 21.118 | 19.533 | 16.633 | 16.993 | 14.840 |
| Vassar85 | 16.294 | 13.655 | 20.725 | 21.409 | 19.774 | 17.012 | 17.262 | 15.029 |
| Middlebury45 | 16.338 | 13.914 | 20.785 | 21.454 | 19.995 | 17.117 | 17.672 | 15.709 |
| Pepperdine86 | 16.763 | 14.319 | 21.290 | 21.864 | 20.403 | 17.543 | 18.043 | 16.114 |
| Colgate88 | 16.570 | 14.120 | 20.940 | 21.675 | 20.159 | 17.317 | 17.827 | 15.916 |
| Santa74 | 16.642 | 14.183 | 21.265 | 21.854 | 20.362 | 17.468 | 17.996 | 16.035 |
| Wesleyan43 | 16.523 | 14.002 | 20.967 | 21.619 | 20.035 | 17.142 | 17.618 | 15.653 |
| Mich67 | 15.523 | 12.990 | 20.435 | 20.894 | 19.409 | 16.214 | 16.944 | 15.171 |
| Bucknell39 | 16.604 | 14.135 | 21.011 | 21.762 | 20.236 | 17.303 | 17.879 | 16.079 |
| Brandeis99 | 16.584 | 13.875 | 23.371 | 21.924 | 21.023 | 17.326 | 18.008 | 15.722 |
| Howard90 | 17.223 | 14.542 | 22.062 | 22.623 | 20.957 | 18.341 | 18.425 | 16.117 |
| Rice31 | 16.950 | 14.489 | 21.533 | 22.186 | 20.657 | 17.556 | 18.237 | 16.396 |
| Rochester38 | 16.592 | 14.103 | 21.867 | 21.935 | 20.429 | 17.335 | 17.966 | 16.241 |
| Lehigh96 | 16.849 | 14.300 | 21.824 | 22.269 | 20.725 | 17.709 | 18.273 | 16.449 |
| Johns-Hopkins55 | 16.825 | 14.287 | 22.032 | 22.336 | 20.841 | 17.745 | 18.391 | 16.594 |
| Wake73 | 17.496 | 15.025 | 22.285 | 22.886 | 21.320 | 18.248 | 18.895 | 17.249 |
| American75 | 16.981 | 14.238 | 21.921 | 22.521 | 20.751 | 17.591 | 18.095 | 16.398 |
| MIT8 | 17.263 | 14.629 | 22.254 | 22.856 | 21.200 | 18.098 | 18.656 | 16.864 |
| William77 | 17.297 | 14.587 | 23.076 | 23.038 | 21.438 | 18.222 | 18.679 | 16.888 |
| UChicago30 | 16.832 | 14.068 | 22.312 | 22.533 | 20.798 | 17.599 | 18.102 | 16.351 |
| Princeton12 | 17.450 | 14.720 | 22.371 | 23.056 | 21.296 | 18.367 | 18.714 | 16.637 |
| Carnegie49 | 17.195 | 14.619 | 22.400 | 22.890 | 21.264 | 18.152 | 18.713 | 16.857 |
| Tufts18 | 17.178 | 14.458 | 22.155 | 22.758 | 20.978 | 17.908 | 18.353 | 16.427 |
| UC64 | 16.320 | 13.797 | 21.212 | 21.792 | 20.205 | 16.810 | 17.797 | 16.375 |
| Vermont70 | 16.550 | 13.750 | 21.667 | 22.193 | 20.403 | 17.205 | 17.741 | 15.907 |
| Emory27 | 17.508 | 14.937 | 22.487 | 23.133 | 21.521 | 18.507 | 19.140 | 17.365 |
| Dartmouth6 | 17.437 | 14.626 | 22.655 | 23.152 | 21.351 | 18.337 | 18.757 | 16.699 |
| Tulane29 | 17.287 | 14.752 | 22.379 | 22.950 | 21.338 | 18.099 | 18.891 | 17.347 |
| WashU32 | 17.751 | 15.070 | 24.290 | 23.744 | 22.343 | 18.961 | 19.492 | 17.472 |
| Villanova62 | 17.474 | 14.767 | 22.473 | 23.104 | 21.376 | 18.316 | 18.806 | 16.948 |
| Vanderbilt48 | 18.003 | 15.401 | 23.641 | 23.678 | 22.035 | 18.877 | 19.431 | 17.748 |
| Yale4 | 17.867 | 15.043 | 23.511 | 23.710 | 21.951 | 18.763 | 19.200 | 17.250 |
| Brown11 | 17.739 | 14.846 | 23.016 | 23.580 | 21.716 | 18.701 | 19.047 | 17.014 |
| UCSC68 | 16.615 | 13.980 | 21.546 | 22.232 | 20.499 | 17.054 | 17.956 | 16.316 |
| Maine59 | 16.843 | 13.954 | 22.256 | 22.519 | 20.624 | 17.278 | 17.875 | 16.161 |
| Georgetown15 | 17.875 | 15.029 | 23.093 | 23.689 | 21.824 | 18.778 | 19.132 | 17.152 |
| Duke14 | 18.194 | 15.468 | 23.419 | 24.008 | 22.262 | 19.163 | 19.713 | 17.913 |
| Bingham82 | 17.416 | 14.647 | 22.543 | 23.260 | 21.441 | 18.197 | 18.915 | 17.258 |
| Mississippi66 | 18.525 | 15.924 | 24.072 | 24.494 | 22.847 | 19.665 | 20.335 | 18.567 |
| Northwestern25 | 18.054 | 15.302 | 24.403 | 24.064 | 22.399 | 19.015 | 19.570 | 17.727 |
| Cal65 | 17.326 | 14.567 | 22.509 | 23.209 | 21.433 | 17.982 | 18.757 | 17.390 |
| BC17 | 17.905 | 14.992 | 23.342 | 23.902 | 22.019 | 18.969 | 19.366 | 17.386 |
| Stanford3 | 18.314 | 15.527 | 23.744 | 24.356 | 22.520 | 19.306 | 19.783 | 18.121 |
| Columbia2 | 18.027 | 15.042 | 24.979 | 24.149 | 22.584 | 19.149 | 19.705 | 17.484 |
| Notre-Dame57 | 18.120 | 15.088 | 23.689 | 24.111 | 22.105 | 18.935 | 19.317 | 17.287 |
| GWU54 | 17.944 | 15.032 | 24.613 | 24.060 | 22.284 | 18.838 | 19.363 | 17.599 |
| Baylor93 | 18.529 | 15.734 | 24.456 | 24.655 | 22.822 | 19.610 | 20.119 | 18.376 |
| USF51 | 17.259 | 14.443 | 22.687 | 23.190 | 21.281 | 18.062 | 18.569 | 16.929 |


| Name | $\tilde{G}_{1}$ | $\tilde{G}_{2}$ | $\tilde{G}_{3}$ | $\tilde{G}_{4}$ | $\tilde{G}_{5}$ | $\tilde{G}_{6}$ | $\tilde{G}_{7}$ | $\tilde{G}_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Syracuse56 | 17.948 | 15.270 | 23.999 | 24.101 | 22.329 | 18.987 | 19.663 | 18.297 |
| Temple83 | 17.470 | 14.446 | 23.621 | 23.581 | 21.669 | 18.532 | 18.860 | 16.869 |
| UC61 | 17.692 | 15.061 | 23.205 | 23.766 | 22.100 | 18.442 | 19.376 | 17.989 |
| Northeastern19 | 17.324 | 14.343 | 22.931 | 23.363 | 21.360 | 18.038 | 18.618 | 16.892 |
| JMU79 | 17.826 | 14.817 | 25.055 | 23.911 | 22.157 | 18.468 | 19.027 | 17.504 |
| UPenn7 | 18.403 | 15.520 | 23.941 | 24.550 | 22.617 | 19.369 | 19.867 | 18.070 |
| UCSB37 | 17.768 | 14.983 | 23.076 | 23.754 | 21.915 | 18.352 | 19.210 | 17.784 |
| UCF52 | 17.936 | 15.121 | 25.126 | 23.785 | 22.209 | 18.435 | 19.460 | 18.270 |
| UCSD34 | 17.596 | 14.777 | 23.748 | 23.679 | 21.847 | 18.195 | 19.061 | 17.590 |
| Harvard1 | 18.857 | 15.913 | 24.389 | 25.025 | 23.095 | 20.079 | 20.333 | 18.188 |
| MU78 | 18.186 | 15.370 | 23.543 | 24.261 | 22.387 | 18.844 | 19.641 | 18.263 |
| UMass92 | 17.800 | 14.756 | 24.903 | 23.986 | 22.100 | 18.601 | 19.198 | 17.446 |
| UC33 | 17.831 | 14.971 | 23.489 | 23.945 | 22.109 | 18.467 | 19.340 | 17.816 |
| Tennessee95 | 18.822 | 15.821 | 25.122 | 24.846 | 22.964 | 19.566 | 20.153 | 18.553 |
| UVA16 | 18.681 | 15.691 | 24.568 | 24.786 | 22.854 | 19.422 | 20.060 | 18.381 |
| UConn91 | 18.012 | 15.073 | 23.583 | 24.141 | 22.113 | 18.638 | 19.347 | 17.725 |
| Oklahoma97 | 18.956 | 16.166 | 24.691 | 25.130 | 23.322 | 19.898 | 20.686 | 19.128 |
| USC35 | 18.681 | 15.760 | 24.776 | 24.863 | 22.972 | 19.538 | 20.228 | 18.667 |
| UNC28 | 18.724 | 15.544 | 24.894 | 24.532 | 22.325 | 18.877 | 19.196 | 17.272 |
| Auburn71 | 19.105 | 16.140 | 25.604 | 25.360 | 23.539 | 19.947 | 20.678 | 19.123 |
| Cornell5 | 18.598 | 15.614 | 25.378 | 24.834 | 22.939 | 19.449 | 20.112 | 18.464 |
| BU10 | 18.035 | 14.925 | 23.718 | 24.231 | 22.137 | 18.678 | 19.259 | 17.708 |
| UCLA26 | 18.331 | 15.435 | 23.907 | 24.597 | 22.657 | 19.068 | 19.866 | 18.416 |
| Maryland58 | 18.376 | 15.374 | 25.593 | 24.728 | 22.783 | 19.165 | 19.773 | 18.264 |
| Virginia63 | 18.448 | 15.322 | 26.598 | 24.835 | 23.067 | 19.158 | 19.911 | 18.418 |
| NYU9 | 18.307 | 15.117 | 24.927 | 24.656 | 22.562 | 19.064 | 19.574 | 17.772 |
| Berkeley13 | 18.637 | 15.458 | 26.726 | 25.366 | 23.590 | 19.668 | 20.406 | 18.426 |
| Wisconsin87 | 18.472 | 15.374 | 25.177 | 24.862 | 22.817 | 19.153 | 19.957 | 18.362 |
| UGA50 | 19.010 | 16.124 | 24.970 | 25.418 | 23.515 | 19.869 | 20.751 | 19.238 |
| Rutgers89 | 18.244 | 15.303 | 24.316 | 24.585 | 22.615 | 18.987 | 19.843 | 18.299 |
| FSU53 | 18.729 | 15.938 | 24.706 | 25.089 | 23.220 | 19.551 | 20.543 | 19.192 |
| Indiana69 | 18.989 | 16.028 | 24.958 | 25.537 | 23.496 | 19.971 | 20.731 | 19.257 |
| Michigan23 | 18.861 | 15.914 | 25.187 | 25.433 | 23.418 | 19.984 | 20.730 | 19.046 |
| UIllinois20 | 18.957 | 16.040 | 25.801 | 25.446 | 23.498 | 19.865 | 20.728 | 19.296 |
| Texas80 | 18.905 | 16.092 | 24.841 | 25.387 | 23.520 | 19.807 | 20.845 | 19.340 |
| MSU24 | 18.829 | 15.676 | 25.554 | 25.234 | 23.194 | 19.711 | 20.328 | 18.660 |
| UF21 | 19.324 | 16.295 | 27.899 | 26.069 | 24.224 | 20.261 | 21.154 | 19.737 |
| Texas84 | 19.574 | 16.232 | 26.785 | 26.199 | 24.047 | 20.229 | 20.911 | 19.327 |
| Penn94 | 19.106 | 15.797 | 26.853 | 25.886 | 23.738 | 19.985 | 20.750 | 18.978 |

## Full statistics of LinkedIn data

Table of properties of the LinkedIn networks. We only compute eigenvalue dimensional fits up to 72,000 nodes.

| Nodes | Edges | PL Exp | Eff. Diam | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{G}$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 578 | 3140 | 3.01 | 4.70 | 0.50 | 0.14 | 4 | 3 |
| 650 | 3596 | 3.02 | 4.75 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 4 | 4 |
| 709 | 4032 | 2.93 | 4.68 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 3 | 3 |
| 774 | 4448 | 2.77 | 4.68 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 3 | 3 |
| 916 | 5300 | 2.74 | 4.71 | 0.57 | 0.07 | 3 | 3 |
| 1012 | 5983 | 2.82 | 4.69 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 4 | 4 |
| 1158 | 6955 | 2.77 | 4.77 | 0.56 | 0.08 | 4 | 4 |
| 1312 | 7957 | 2.75 | 4.79 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 4 | 3 |
| 1512 | 9287 | 2.80 | 4.83 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 4 | 4 |
| 1800 | 11366 | 2.73 | 4.93 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 4 | 3 |
| 2259 | 14643 | 2.66 | 5.07 | 0.60 | 0.08 | 4 | 3 |
| 2643 | 17795 | 2.74 | 5.06 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 4 | 4 |
| 2972 | 20465 | 2.61 | 5.10 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 4 | 3 |
| 3389 | 23432 | 2.76 | 5.20 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 |
| 3817 | 26656 | 2.82 | 5.28 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 5 | 4 |
| 4158 | 29086 | 2.81 | 5.23 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 4 | 4 |
| 4508 | 31557 | 2.80 | 5.30 | 0.56 | 0.13 | 4 | 4 |
| 4898 | 34273 | 2.81 | 5.37 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 5 | 4 |
| 5288 | 37173 | 2.85 | 5.30 | 0.54 | 0.15 | 5 | 4 |
| 5728 | 40550 | 2.86 | 5.36 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 5 | 4 |
| 6216 | 44091 | 2.85 | 5.40 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 4 | 4 |
| 6719 | 47813 | 2.81 | 5.47 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 5 | 4 |
| 7230 | 51600 | 2.84 | 5.50 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 5 | 4 |
| 7892 | 56238 | 2.83 | 5.47 | 0.55 | 0.16 | 5 | 4 |
| 8551 | 60985 | 2.87 | 5.56 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 5 | 4 |
| 9572 | 68113 | 2.88 | 5.54 | 0.53 | 0.18 | 5 | 4 |
| 10444 | 74215 | 2.90 | 5.55 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 5 | 4 |
| 11222 | 79805 | 2.91 | 5.58 | 0.52 | 0.19 | 5 | 4 |
| 12210 | 87129 | 2.93 | 5.57 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 5 | 5 |
| 13043 | 93158 | 2.95 | 5.59 | 0.51 | 0.21 | 5 | 5 |
| 14173 | 101279 | 3.22 | 5.59 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 6 | 5 |
| 15246 | 109186 | 2.94 | 5.59 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 5 | 4 |
| 16499 | 118284 | 3.22 | 5.62 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 6 | 5 |
| 17816 | 127765 | 3.23 | 5.65 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 5 | 5 |
| 19522 | 140191 | 3.28 | 5.67 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 6 | 5 |
| 21125 | 151651 | 2.79 | 5.68 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 6 | 4 |
| 22665 | 163457 | 2.79 | 5.66 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 5 | 4 |
| 24530 | 177418 | 3.38 | 5.69 | 0.42 | 0.32 | 7 | 5 |
| 26535 | 191947 | 2.87 | 5.72 | 0.53 | 0.21 | 5 | 4 |
| 28760 | 207848 | 3.78 | 5.72 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 7 | 5 |
| 31176 | 225897 | 2.84 | 5.77 | 0.54 | 0.20 | 6 | 4 |
| 33834 | 246160 | 2.84 | 5.79 | 0.54 | 0.20 | 5 | 4 |
| 37010 | 270718 | 3.39 | 5.78 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 6 | 5 |
| 40032 | 295219 | 3.34 | 5.80 | 0.43 | 0.32 | 6 | 5 |
| 43567 | 322710 | 2.78 | 5.81 | 0.56 | 0.19 | 5 | 4 |
| 47027 | 350726 | 2.79 | 5.83 | 0.56 | 0.20 | 5 | 4 |
| 51542 | 387570 | 2.78 | 5.81 | 0.56 | 0.19 | 5 | 4 |
| 55984 | 423919 | 3.39 | 5.82 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 6 | 5 |
| 61138 | 465192 | 3.44 | 5.83 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 6 | 5 |
| 66622 | 510201 | 3.52 | 5.85 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 6 | 5 |
| 71627 | 551151 | 3.48 | 5.85 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 5 | 5 |


| Nodes | Edges | PL Exp | Eff. Diam | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{G}$ | $\operatorname{Dim}_{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 77093 | 594813 | 3.51 | 5.85 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 7 | - |
| 82869 | 640416 | 3.48 | 5.84 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 7 | - |
| 88870 | 688431 | 3.46 | 5.84 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 7 | - |
| 95073 | 738405 | 3.44 | 5.85 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 7 | - |
| 102902 | 802009 | 3.42 | 5.86 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 7 | - |
| 111651 | 874290 | 3.33 | 5.86 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 6 | - |
| 122320 | 963418 | 3.34 | 5.85 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 6 | - |
| 132572 | 1051233 | 3.30 | 5.83 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 6 | - |
| 142230 | 1131895 | 3.28 | 5.87 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 5 | - |
| 152676 | 1219866 | 3.11 | 5.85 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 6 | - |
| 164333 | 1322238 | 3.11 | 5.86 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 6 | - |
| 177058 | 1436964 | 3.13 | 5.85 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 6 | - |
| 193345 | 1582671 | 3.11 | 5.85 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 6 | - |
| 209628 | 1732864 | 3.10 | 5.83 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 6 | - |
| 226563 | 1886060 | 3.11 | 5.85 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 6 | - |
| 245398 | 2058727 | 3.11 | 5.85 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 6 | - |
| 354977 | 3184846 | 3.06 | 5.78 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 6 | - |
| 397649 | 3675170 | 3.05 | 5.77 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 6 | - |
| 441553 | 4214245 | 3.00 | 5.75 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 5 | - |
| 489997 | 4827461 | 2.96 | 5.71 | 0.51 | 0.27 | 5 | - |

Table of log-graphlets counts of the LinkedIn networks

| Nodes | Edges | $\tilde{G}_{1}$ | $\tilde{G}_{2}$ | $\tilde{G}_{3}$ | $\tilde{G}_{4}$ | $\tilde{G}_{5}$ | $\tilde{G}_{6}$ | $\tilde{G}_{7}$ | $\tilde{G}_{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 578 | 3140 | 10.678 | 8.080 | 14.270 | 14.010 | 12.623 | 9.442 | 10.268 | 8.009 |
| 650 | 3596 | 11.307 | 8.431 | 14.360 | 14.339 | 12.850 | 9.630 | 10.333 | 8.593 |
| 709 | 4032 | 10.984 | 8.191 | 14.744 | 14.447 | 12.969 | 9.860 | 10.536 | 8.466 |
| 774 | 4448 | 11.127 | 8.343 | 14.544 | 14.567 | 12.975 | 9.870 | 10.482 | 8.281 |
| 916 | 5300 | 11.545 | 8.548 | 15.146 | 15.140 | 13.552 | 10.558 | 10.973 | 8.324 |
| 1012 | 5983 | 11.679 | 8.627 | 15.359 | 15.352 | 13.729 | 10.604 | 11.147 | 9.139 |
| 1158 | 6955 | 11.975 | 8.907 | 15.813 | 15.704 | 14.067 | 11.013 | 11.402 | 8.895 |
| 1312 | 7957 | 12.037 | 8.987 | 16.088 | 15.968 | 14.128 | 11.117 | 11.306 | 8.937 |
| 1512 | 9287 | 11.981 | 8.910 | 16.181 | 16.124 | 14.272 | 11.245 | 11.480 | 9.044 |
| 1800 | 11366 | 12.312 | 9.220 | 16.612 | 16.586 | 14.704 | 11.626 | 11.908 | 9.624 |
| 2259 | 14643 | 12.829 | 9.611 | 17.055 | 16.942 | 14.908 | 11.793 | 12.065 | 9.777 |
| 2643 | 17795 | 12.950 | 9.818 | 17.430 | 17.355 | 15.410 | 12.197 | 12.603 | 10.141 |
| 2972 | 20465 | 13.370 | 10.055 | 17.753 | 17.616 | 15.654 | 12.515 | 12.816 | 10.289 |
| 3389 | 23432 | 13.400 | 10.158 | 18.100 | 17.857 | 15.849 | 12.669 | 12.935 | 10.441 |
| 3817 | 26656 | 13.637 | 10.429 | 18.340 | 18.168 | 16.176 | 12.856 | 13.198 | 10.994 |
| 4158 | 29086 | 13.756 | 10.457 | 18.462 | 18.271 | 16.198 | 12.879 | 13.258 | 10.979 |
| 4508 | 31557 | 13.595 | 10.275 | 18.466 | 18.351 | 16.277 | 13.087 | 13.277 | 10.947 |
| 4898 | 34273 | 13.938 | 10.669 | 18.724 | 18.549 | 16.476 | 13.110 | 13.424 | 11.171 |
| 5288 | 37173 | 13.934 | 10.588 | 18.907 | 18.709 | 16.554 | 13.301 | 13.486 | 11.050 |
| 5728 | 40550 | 14.019 | 10.704 | 18.863 | 18.782 | 16.633 | 13.360 | 13.633 | 11.138 |
| 6216 | 44091 | 14.151 | 10.861 | 19.020 | 18.906 | 16.768 | 13.440 | 13.950 | 11.909 |
| 6719 | 47813 | 14.166 | 10.793 | 19.205 | 19.043 | 16.876 | 13.490 | 13.894 | 11.573 |
| 7230 | 51600 | 14.211 | 10.757 | 19.294 | 19.138 | 16.985 | 13.604 | 14.056 | 11.973 |
| 7892 | 56238 | 14.425 | 11.036 | 19.416 | 19.290 | 17.054 | 13.675 | 14.063 | 11.819 |
| 8551 | 60985 | 14.603 | 11.195 | 19.590 | 19.467 | 17.200 | 13.877 | 14.183 | 12.030 |
| 9572 | 68113 | 14.601 | 11.177 | 19.731 | 19.616 | 17.340 | 14.060 | 14.324 | 12.466 |
| 10444 | 74215 | 14.714 | 11.250 | 19.884 | 19.776 | 17.488 | 14.081 | 14.538 | 12.177 |
| 11222 | 79805 | 14.846 | 11.323 | 20.062 | 19.938 | 17.660 | 14.307 | 14.618 | 12.336 |
| 12210 | 87129 | 14.859 | 11.328 | 20.262 | 20.071 | 17.785 | 14.374 | 14.815 | 12.742 |
| 13043 | 93158 | 14.902 | 11.391 | 20.347 | 20.150 | 17.842 | 14.340 | 14.886 | 12.793 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Nodes | Edges | $\tilde{G}_{1}$ | $\tilde{G}_{2}$ | $\tilde{G}_{3}$ | $\tilde{G}_{4}$ | $\tilde{G}_{5}$ | $\tilde{G}_{6}$ | $\tilde{G}_{7}$ | $\tilde{G}_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14173 | 101279 | 15.075 | 11.475 | 20.485 | 20.336 | 17.975 | 14.590 | 14.979 | 12.737 |
| 15246 | 109186 | 15.192 | 11.585 | 20.530 | 20.391 | 17.970 | 14.588 | 14.910 | 12.745 |
| 16499 | 118284 | 15.287 | 11.706 | 20.780 | 20.561 | 18.211 | 14.738 | 15.147 | 12.942 |
| 17816 | 127765 | 15.348 | 11.718 | 20.896 | 20.700 | 18.365 | 14.808 | 15.328 | 13.113 |
| 19522 | 140191 | 15.407 | 11.786 | 20.997 | 20.886 | 18.490 | 15.042 | 15.476 | 13.284 |
| 21125 | 151651 | 15.574 | 11.892 | 21.147 | 21.001 | 18.584 | 15.123 | 15.520 | 13.240 |
| 22665 | 163457 | 15.545 | 11.894 | 21.324 | 21.098 | 18.692 | 15.193 | 15.657 | 13.372 |
| 24530 | 177418 | 15.695 | 12.010 | 21.394 | 21.229 | 18.813 | 15.341 | 15.775 | 13.531 |
| 26535 | 191947 | 15.856 | 12.175 | 21.575 | 21.375 | 18.919 | 15.421 | 15.855 | 13.797 |
| 28760 | 207848 | 15.901 | 12.218 | 21.773 | 21.496 | 19.061 | 15.557 | 16.028 | 13.798 |
| 31176 | 225897 | 16.062 | 12.383 | 21.861 | 21.642 | 19.204 | 15.681 | 16.232 | 14.142 |
| 33834 | 246160 | 16.121 | 12.431 | 21.976 | 21.751 | 19.328 | 15.833 | 16.457 | 14.196 |
| 37010 | 270718 | 16.109 | 12.442 | 22.189 | 21.905 | 19.480 | 15.996 | 16.560 | 14.376 |
| 40032 | 295219 | 16.308 | 12.580 | 22.390 | 22.087 | 19.681 | 16.157 | 16.758 | 14.438 |
| 43567 | 322710 | 16.311 | 12.661 | 22.513 | 22.241 | 19.883 | 16.388 | 17.021 | 14.667 |
| 47027 | 350726 | 16.447 | 12.772 | 22.910 | 22.449 | 20.115 | 16.625 | 17.254 | 14.909 |
| 51542 | 387570 | 16.643 | 12.907 | 23.111 | 22.622 | 20.307 | 16.800 | 17.468 | 15.314 |
| 55984 | 423919 | 16.672 | 13.042 | 23.136 | 22.703 | 20.462 | 16.986 | 17.686 | 15.354 |
| 61138 | 465192 | 16.785 | 13.091 | 23.437 | 22.882 | 20.627 | 17.095 | 17.831 | 15.562 |
| 66622 | 510201 | 16.909 | 13.252 | 23.495 | 23.050 | 20.757 | 17.263 | 17.995 | 15.727 |
| 71627 | 551151 | 17.021 | 13.327 | 23.814 | 23.221 | 20.953 | 17.420 | 18.241 | 15.881 |
| 77093 | 594813 | 17.326 | 13.518 | 24.178 | 23.393 | 21.177 | 17.532 | 18.447 | 16.081 |
| 82869 | 640416 | 17.171 | 13.494 | 24.223 | 23.509 | 21.307 | 17.627 | 18.560 | 16.126 |
| 88870 | 688431 | 17.360 | 13.533 | 24.481 | 23.671 | 21.411 | 17.763 | 18.696 | 16.325 |
| 95073 | 738405 | 17.335 | 13.648 | 24.491 | 23.778 | 21.467 | 17.780 | 18.650 | 16.217 |
| 102902 | 802009 | 17.494 | 13.791 | 24.777 | 24.000 | 21.704 | 17.954 | 18.901 | 16.598 |
| 111651 | 874290 | 17.561 | 13.771 | 24.827 | 24.137 | 21.795 | 18.075 | 18.979 | 16.630 |
| 122320 | 963418 | 17.725 | 13.903 | 25.348 | 24.403 | 22.081 | 18.252 | 19.307 | 16.934 |
| 132572 | 1051233 | 17.969 | 14.055 | 25.645 | 24.607 | 22.280 | 18.363 | 19.539 | 17.232 |
| 142230 | 1131895 | 18.002 | 14.160 | 25.824 | 24.723 | 22.382 | 18.438 | 19.612 | 17.297 |
| 152676 | 1219866 | 18.035 | 14.195 | 26.271 | 24.898 | 22.631 | 18.627 | 19.866 | 17.313 |
| 164333 | 1322238 | 18.129 | 14.247 | 26.387 | 25.058 | 22.757 | 18.709 | 19.881 | 17.430 |
| 177058 | 1436964 | 18.531 | 14.481 | 26.716 | 25.250 | 22.942 | 18.814 | 20.034 | 17.458 |
| 193345 | 1582671 | 18.459 | 14.531 | 26.974 | 25.471 | 23.162 | 19.039 | 20.179 | 17.742 |
| 209628 | 1732864 | 18.681 | 14.664 | 27.251 | 25.644 | 23.265 | 19.148 | 20.219 | 17.881 |
| 226563 | 1886060 | 18.917 | 14.762 | 27.405 | 25.809 | 23.464 | 19.330 | 20.427 | 18.021 |
| 245398 | 2058727 | 18.849 | 14.867 | 27.594 | 25.941 | 23.604 | 19.391 | 20.522 | 18.135 |
| 354977 | 3184846 | 19.350 | 15.411 | 28.372 | 26.869 | 24.554 | 20.246 | 21.400 | 19.064 |
| 397649 | 3675170 | 19.705 | 15.624 | 28.791 | 27.215 | 24.904 | 20.512 | 21.733 | 19.452 |
| 441553 | 4214245 | 20.009 | 16.003 | 29.337 | 27.588 | 25.406 | 20.909 | 22.201 | 19.979 |
| 489997 | 4827461 | 20.415 | 16.301 | 29.879 | 28.002 | 25.887 | 21.298 | 22.579 | 20.384 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We only use one sample of the MGEO-P network to estimate the eigenvalue distribution as these computations are time-consuming and our preliminary studies showed that the spectral density had only small variations between repeated samples.

