

# Presentation of the Kervaire Conjecture

**Abderezak OULD HOUCINE**

Institut Camille Jordan

Université Claude Bernard Lyon-1

Bâtiment Braconnier, 21 Avenue Claude Bernard,  
69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.

ould@math.univ-lyon1.fr

## Abstract

The goal of this preprint is to present the Kervaire Conjecture.

The Kervaire conjecture can be stated as follows : *If  $G$  is a non trivial group then the group  $(G * \mathbb{Z})/(r)$  is non trivial where  $r \in G * \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(r)$  is the normal closure of  $r$  in  $G * \mathbb{Z}$ .*

By imposing some restrictions on the group  $G$ , the problem became more flexible and some works goes in this direction. For example the Kervaire Conjecture is true for the following classes of groups:

- Locally residually finite groups. (O.S. Rothaus, 1977 [1]).
- Locally indecable groups. (J. Howie, 1981 [2]). Recall that a group  $G$  is said locally indecable if every finitely generated subgroup has an infinite cyclic quotient. In fact in this case we have a more general result which can be stated as follows: *if  $(G_i)_{i \in \lambda}$  is a sequence of non trivial locally indecable groups and  $r \in F = *_i G_i$ ,  $r \notin \bigcup G_i$ , then for every  $i$  the natural homomorphism  $\pi_i : G_i \rightarrow (F/(r))$  is an embedding, where  $(r)$  is the normal closure of  $r$  in  $F$ .*
- Torsion-free groups. (A. Klyachko, 1993 [3]).

Another approach is to impose restrictions on the relator  $r$ . The most common condition come from small cancellation theory. For example if  $r = s^m$  with  $m \geq 7$  then the symmetrized set generated by  $r$  satisfies the condition  $C'(1/6)$  and the Kervaire conjecture can be deduced from small cancellation theory. Gonzalez-Acuna and Short [4] proved the case  $m = 6$  and Howie proved the case  $m = 4, m = 5$  and with Brodskii and Duncan some part of the case  $m = 3$ . In general the case  $m = 2$  is remains open.

We denote by  $G(r)$  the group  $(G * \mathbb{Z})/(r)$ , and by  $G^*$  the group  $G * \mathbb{Z}$ . For any group  $G$  and for any element  $g$  in  $G$  we denote by  $(g)_G$  the normal closure of  $g$  in  $G$ . In the rest of this presentation we write  $\mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ . If  $r \in G * \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $r = g_0.t^{\varepsilon_1}g_1 \cdots t^{\varepsilon_n}g_n$ , put  $\exp(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \varepsilon_i$ . We call the elements  $g_i$  the **coefficient** of  $r$ .

We see that if  $\exp(r) \neq \pm 1$  then  $G(r)$  has a non trivial quotient. Therefore the only case which present difficulty is the case  $\exp(r) = \pm 1$ , and by taking  $r^{-1}$  in place of  $r$ , we can treat only the case  $\exp(r) = 1$ . We denote by  $\pi_1$  the natural homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G(r)$ , and by  $\pi_2$  the natural homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $G(r)$ .

We have the following simple proposition:

**Proposition 0.1** *The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *The Kervaire conjecture is true.*
- (2) *If  $G$  is non trivial then  $\pi_1$  is an embedding or  $\pi_2(t) \neq 1$ .*
- (3) *If  $G$  is non trivial and  $\exp(r) = 1$  then  $\pi_1$  is an embedding.*
- (4) *If  $G$  is non trivial and  $\exp(r) = 1$  then the natural homomorphism  $\varphi_1 : G \rightarrow F(r)$  is an embedding, where  $F(r) = \langle G * \mathbb{Z} \mid [r, G] = [r, \mathbb{Z}] = 1 \rangle$ .*

**Proof**

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that the Kervaire Conjecture is true. Let  $G$  be a non trivial group.  $G$  is embeddable in a simple group say  $H$ . Let  $r \in G * \mathbb{Z}$ , and suppose that there is an non trivial element  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $g_0 \in (r)_{G^*}$ . Then  $g_0 \in (r)_{H^*}$ ; thus  $g_0 \in H \cap (r)_{H^*}$ . Since  $H$  is simple we have  $H \cap (r)_{H^*} = H$ . Therefore we have  $H \subseteq (r)_{H^*}$ .

Suppose that  $t \in (r)_{G^*}$ , then  $t \in (r)_{H^*}$ , thus we have  $H^* \subseteq (r)_{H^*}$ . Then  $H(r)$  is trivial; this contradict the Kervaire conjecture.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Suppose that  $\exp(r) = 1$ ; then  $t \in (r)_{G^*}$  thus by (2)  $\pi_1$  is an embedding.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). It is not difficult to see that there is a natural homomorphism  $\varphi : F(r) \rightarrow G(r)$  such that  $\varphi(\varphi_1(g)) = \pi_1(g)$  for every  $g \in G$ . Since  $\pi_1(g) \neq 1$  for every  $g \in G, g \neq 1$ , we have  $\varphi_1(g) \neq 1$  for every  $g \in G, g \neq 1$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Now suppose that the enounced property is true. Let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $F(r)$  generated by  $\varphi_1(G)$  and by  $r^{-1}t$ . If  $r = g_0.t^{\varepsilon_1}g_2 \cdots t^{\varepsilon_n}g_n$  then we see that :

$$g_0.(r^{-1}t)^{\varepsilon_1}g_1 \cdots (r^{-1}t)^{\varepsilon_n}g_n = r^{-\exp(r)}g_0.t^{\varepsilon_1}g_2 \cdots t^{\varepsilon_n}g_n = 1$$

Therefore there is an homomorphism  $\varphi : \hat{G}(r) \rightarrow K$ , such that  $\varphi(\pi_1(g)) = \varphi_1(g)$  for every  $g \in G$ . Now since for every  $g \in G - \{1\}$ ,  $\varphi_1(g) \neq 1$ , we have the result.  $\square$

The following example shows that we can not have always  $\pi_1$  an embedding : let  $G$  be a group containing two non trivial elements  $a, b$  of different finite order  $m, n; m \neq n$  and let  $r = at^{-1}bt$ , then we see that  $\pi_1$  is not an embedding. But in this case we have  $\exp(r) = 0$  and the Kervaire Conjecture is obviously true. This instigate to introduce a strong version of the Kervaire Conjecture. Let say that  $r$  is nonsingular if  $\exp(r) \neq 0$ . Then the **strong Kervaire Conjecture** is : *if  $r$  is nonsingular then  $\pi_1$  is an embedding.*

The above problem was asked by R.C.Lyndon in [5].

There is another conjecture called Scott-Wiegold Conjecture which can be stated as follows : The group  $((\mathbb{Z}_n * \mathbb{Z}_m * \mathbb{Z}_s)/(r))$  is not trivial, where  $(r)$  is the

normal closure of  $r$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}_n * \mathbb{Z}_m * \mathbb{Z}_s)$ . This Conjecture was solved positively by J. Howie in [6](2002).

Now we state an topological conjecture which is equivalent to the Kervaire conjecture. Fico and Ramirez has recently proved that the following conjecture is equivalent to the Kervaire conjecture:

**Conjecture  $\mathbb{Z}$**  If  $F$  is a compact orientable non-separaing surface properly embedded in the Knot exterior  $E$  then  $\pi_1(E/F)$  ( the fundamental group of the quotient space) is infinite cyclic.

We will not discuss about the above Conjecture in this preprint. We will concentrate our exposition on brief presentation of the method used by Klyachko to prove the Kervaire Conjecture for torsion-free groups. In fact he has proved the following strong version:

**Theorem 0.2** [3] *If  $G$  is a non trivial group, and  $\exp(r) = 1$ , and every coefficient of  $r$  is of infinite order then  $\pi_1$  is an embedding.*

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and let  $g \in G$ . We say that  $g$  is **free relative** to  $H$  if the subgroup  $\langle g, H \rangle$  of  $G$  generated by  $g$  and  $H$  is naturally the free product  $\langle g \rangle * H$ .

The proof of Klyachko of the above theorem pass by the following theorem:

**Theorem 0.3** *Let  $H, H'$  be two isomorphic subgroups of a group  $\Gamma$  under the isomorphism  $\phi : H \rightarrow H'$ . Let  $(a_i)_{i=0,r}, (b_i)_{i=0,r}$  two sequences of elements of  $\Gamma$  such that :*

- (1) *For every  $i$ ,  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are of infinite order.*
  - (2) *For every  $i$ ,  $a_i$  is free relative to  $H$  and  $b_i$  is free relative to  $H'$ .*
- Let  $c$  be an arbitrary element of  $\Gamma$ . Then the system of equations :*

$$\begin{aligned} (b_0 a_0^t b_1 a_1^t \cdots b_r a_r^t) c t &= 1, \\ \phi(h) &= h^t, \quad h \in H, \end{aligned}$$

*has a solution over  $\Gamma$ .* □

Let  $G$  be a group and consider the homomorphism  $\exp : G * \langle t \mid \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $Ker$  be the kernel of  $\exp$ . Any element  $k$  of  $Ker$  has an expression  $k = g_1^{t^{\sigma_1}} \cdots g_r^{t^{\sigma_r}}$ ,  $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_{i+1}$ . Define  $\min(k) = \min\{\sigma_i\}$  and  $\max(k) = \max\{\sigma_i\}$ . For an integer  $m$  let:

$$\begin{aligned} H_m &= \langle k \in Ker ; \min(k) \geq 0, \max(k) \leq m - 2 \rangle \\ H'_m &= \langle k \in Ker ; \min(k) \geq 1, \max(k) \leq m - 1 \rangle \\ J_m &= \langle k \in Ker ; \min(k) \geq 0, \max(k) \leq m - 1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

And the following subsets :

$$\begin{aligned} X_m &= \{k \in Ker ; \min(k) = 0, \max(k) \leq m - 1\} \\ Y_m &= \{k \in Ker ; \min(k) \geq 0, \max(k) = m\} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 0.4** *Let  $r \in G * \langle t \rangle$  satisfy  $\exp(r) = 1$ , and every coefficient of  $r$  is of infinite order. Then after conjugation,  $r$  can be written as a product:*

$$(b_0 a_0^t b_1 a_1^t \cdots b_r a_r^t) c t,$$

where for some  $m$  we have: for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ ,  $a_i \in Y_m$ ,  $b_i \in X_m$ ,  $a_i, b_i$  are of infinite order, and  $a_i$  is free relative to  $H_m$  and  $b_i$  is free relative to  $H'_m$ , and  $c \in J$ .  $\square$

Now we are going to deduce theorem 0.1, from theorem 0.2 and lemma 0.3. By the above lemma we can assume that  $r(t) = (b_0 a_0^t b_1 a_1^t \cdots b_r a_r^t) c t$ ,  $a_i \in Y_m$ ,  $b_i \in X_m$ ,  $a_i, b_i$  are of infinite order, and  $a_i$  is free relative to  $H_m$  and  $b_i$  is free relative to  $H'_m$ , and  $c \in J$ . We need to think of each  $a_i, b_i, c$  as functions of  $t$  and for clarity we shall introduce a new variable  $s$ , and we consider:  $w(s, t) = (b_0(t) a_0(t)^s b_1(t) a_1(t)^s \cdots b_r(t) a_r(t)^s) c s$ .

Let  $\Gamma = G * \langle t \rangle$ . Now we see that  $H_m$  and  $H'_m$  are isomorphic under the isomorphism  $\phi(x) = x^t$ . By theorem 0.2.,  $\Gamma$  embeds in

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, s \mid w(s, t) = e, h^s = h^t, h \in H \rangle.$$

Therefore we have: for every  $g \in G$ ,  $g \in H$  and we have:  $g^s = g^t$ . Therefore we have:  $a_i(t) = a_i(s)$  and finally we have :

$$w(s, s) = (b_0(s) a_0(s)^s b_1(s) a_1(s)^s \cdots b_r(s) a_r(s)^s) c s = r(s) = 1.$$

Therefore there is an extension of  $G$  in which  $r(x) = 1$  has a solution. This prove the theorem 0.1.

Klyachko has also shown others results of the same kind :

**Theorem 0.5** (Free product) *Let  $A, B$  be groups and suppose that each factor of  $u \in A * B - A$  has infinite order. Then the natural homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \langle A * B \mid [A, u] = 1 \rangle$  is an embedding.*

**Theorem 0.6** (HNN extension) *Let  $H, H'$  be two isomorphic subgroup of the group  $A$  under  $\phi : H \rightarrow H'$ . Let  $B$  a group and let  $w \in A * B - A$  have a torsion free factors. Then the natural map :  $A \rightarrow \langle A * B \mid w^{-1} h w = \phi(h), h \in H \rangle$  is an embedding.*

## References

- [1] O.S.Rothaus, *On the non triviality of some extensions given by generators and relations*, Ann.of.Math, 106 (1977), 599-612.
- [2] J.Howie, *On pairs of 2-complexes and systems of equations over groups*, J.Reine.Angew. Math, 324 (1981), 165-174.

- [3] A.Klyachko, *Funny property of sphere and equations over groups*, Comm.in Alg, 21 (7), (1993), 2555-2575.
- [4] Gonzalez-Acuna, F ; Short, H, *Knot Surgery and Primeness*, Math.Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc, 99 (1986), 89-102.
- [5] R.Lyndon, *Problems in combinatorial group theory*. Combinatorial group theory and topology (Alta, Utah, 1984), 3-33, Ann. of Math. Stud., 111, Princeton Univ. Press, 1987.
- [6] J. Howie, *A proof of the Scott-Wiegold conjecture on free products of cyclic groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 173 (2002) 167-176.
- [7] J.Howie, *How to generalize one-relator group theory*. Combinatorial group theory and topology (Alta, Utah, 1984), 53-78, Ann. of Math. Stud., 111, Princeton Univ. Press, 1987
- [8] A.Clifford, R.Z.Goldstein, *Tesselations of  $S^2$  and equations over torsion-free groups*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 38 (1995), 485-493.
- [9] Roger Fenn, Colin Rourke, *Klyachko's methods and the solution of equations over torsion-free groups*, l'Enseign.Math. 42(1996) 49-74.