World Scientific
www.worldscientific.com

SPECTRAL AND SCATTERING THEORY
FOR THE AHARONOV–BOHM OPERATORS

KONSTANTIN PANKRASHKIN*,‡ and SERGE RICHARD†,§

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, CNRS UMR 8628,
Université Paris-Sud XI, Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

†Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,
Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge,
Cambridge, CB3 0WB, UK
‡konstantin.pankrashkin@math.u-psud.fr
§richard@math.univ-lyon1.fr

We review the spectral and the scattering theory for the Aharonov–Bohm model on $\mathbb{R}^2$. New formulae for the wave operators and for the scattering operator are presented. The asymptotics at high energy and at low energy of the scattering operator are computed.

Keywords: Spectral and scattering theory; Aharonov–Bohm model; wave and scattering operators; boundary triple.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 81U15, 47A40

1. Introduction

The Aharonov–Bohm (A–B) model describing the motion of a charged particle in a magnetic field concentrated at a single point is one of the few systems in mathematical physics for which the spectral and the scattering properties can be completely computed. It has been introduced in [3] and the first rigorous treatment appeared in [26]. A more general class of models involving boundary conditions at the singularity point has then been developed in [2, 13] and further extensions or refinements appeared since these simultaneous works. Being unable to list all these subsequent papers, let us simply mention few of them: [28] in which it is proved that the A–B models can be obtained as limits in a suitable sense of systems with less singular magnetic fields, [27] in which it is shown that the low energy behavior of the scattering amplitude for two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators is similar to the scattering amplitude of the A–B models, and the series [7, 8, 30] in which, among other results, high energy estimates are obtained for the scattering

---

†On leave from Université de Lyon, Université Lyon I, CNRS UMR5208, Institut Camille Jordan, 43 blvd du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.

operator. Concerning the extensions we mention the papers [15] which considers the A–B operators with an additional uniform magnetic field and [21] which studies the A–B operators on the hyperbolic plane.

The aim of the present paper is to provide the spectral and the scattering analysis of the A–B operators on $\mathbb{R}^2$ for all possible values of the parameters (boundary conditions). The work is motivated by the recent result of one of the authors ([25]) showing that the A–B wave operators can be rewritten in terms of explicit functions of the generator of dilations and of the Laplacian. However, the proof of this result used certain complicated expressions for the scattering operator borrowed from [2] and we have in the meanwhile found a simpler approach. For those reasons, we have decided to start again the analysis from scratch using the modern operator-theoretical machinery. For example, in contrast to [2, 13], our computations do not involve an explicit parametrization of $U(2)$. Simultaneously, we recast this analysis in the up-to-date theory of self-adjoint extensions ([12]) and derive rigorously the expressions for the wave operators and the scattering operator from the stationary approach of scattering theory as presented in [31].

So let us now describe the content of this review paper. In Sec. 2, we introduce the operator H_α which corresponds to a Schrödinger operator in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a δ-type magnetic field at the origin. The index α corresponds to the total flux of the magnetic field, and on a natural domain this operator has deficiency indices $(2, 2)$. The description of this natural domain is recalled and some of its properties are exhibited.

Section 3 is devoted to the description of all self-adjoint extensions of the operator H_α. More precisely, a boundary triple for the operator H_α is constructed in Proposition 4. It essentially consists in the definition of two linear maps Γ_1, Γ_2 from the domain $\mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*)$ of the adjoint of H_α to $\mathbb{C}^2$ which have some specific properties with respect to H_α, as recalled at the beginning of this section. Once these maps are exhibited, all self-adjoint extensions of H_α can be labeled by two 2×2-matrices C and D satisfying two simple conditions presented in (7). These self-adjoint extensions are denoted by H_α^{CD}. The γ-field and the Weyl function corresponding to the boundary triple are then constructed. By taking advantage of some general results related to the boundary triple's approach, they allow us to explicitly mention the spectral properties of H_α^{CD} in very simple terms. At the end of the section, we add some comments about the role of the parameters C and D and discuss some of their properties.

The short Sec. 4 contains formulae on the Fourier transform and on the dilation group that are going to be used subsequently. Section 5 is the main section on scattering theory. It contains the time dependent approach as well as the stationary approach of the scattering theory for the A–B models. Some calculations involving Bessel functions or hypergeometric $_2F_1$-functions look rather tricky but they are necessary for a rigorous derivation of the stationary expressions. Fortunately, the final expressions are much more easily understandable. For example, it is proved in Proposition 11 that the channel wave operators for the original A–B operator H_α^{AB} are equal to very explicit functions of the generator of dilations. These functions are

continuous on $[-\infty, \infty]$ and take values in the set of complex numbers of modulus 1. Theorem 12 contains a similar explicit description of the wave operators for the general operator H_α^{CD}.

In Sec. 6, we study the scattering operator and in particular its asymptotics at small and large energies. These properties highly depend on the parameters C and D but also on the flux α of the singular magnetic field. All the various possibilities are explicitly analyzed. The statement looks rather messy, but this simply reflects the richness of the model.

The parametrization of the self-adjoint extensions of H_α with the pair (C, D) is highly non unique. For convenience, we introduce in the last section a one-to-one parametrization of all self-adjoint extensions and explicit some of the previous results in this framework. For further investigations in the structure of the set of all self-adjoint extensions, this unique parametrization has many advantages.

Finally, let us mention that this paper is essentially self-contained. Furthermore, despite the rather long and rich history of the Aharonov–Bohm model most of the our results are new or exhibited in the present form for the first time.

Remark 1. After the completion of this paper, the authors were informed about the closely related work [10]. In this paper, the differential expression $-\partial_x^2 + (m^2 - 1/4)x^{-2}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is considered and a holomorphic family of extensions for $\Re(m) > -1$ is studied. Formulae for the wave operators similar to our formula (14) were independently obtained by its authors.

Remark 2. In December 2009, a two-day meeting celebrated the 50th anniversary of the Aharonov–Bohm effect, and 25th anniversary since the discovery of the related geometric, or Berry phase. It was pointed out to us by the referee that an interesting discussion took place in the physics literature on this occasion. We refer to the letter [9] for more information on the subject and thank the referee for drawing our attention to this reference.

2. General Setting

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with its scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and its norm $\|\cdot\|$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $A_\alpha \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$A_\alpha(x, y) = -\alpha \left(\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right),$$

corresponding formally to the magnetic field $B = \alpha\delta$ (δ is the Dirac delta function), and consider the operator

$$H_\alpha := (-i\nabla - A_\alpha)^2, \quad \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}).$$

Here $C_c^\infty(\Xi)$ denotes the set of smooth functions on Ξ with compact support. The closure of this operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which is denoted by the same symbol, is symmetric and has deficiency indices $(2, 2)$ ([2, 13]). For further investigation we need some more information on this closure.

So let us first decompose the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to polar coordinates: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let ϕ_m be the complex function defined by $[0, 2\pi) \ni \theta \mapsto \phi_m(\theta) := \frac{e^{im\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$. Then, by taking the completeness of the family $\{\phi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ into account, one has the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_r \otimes [\phi_m], \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_r := L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r\,dr)$ and $[\phi_m]$ denotes the one-dimensional space spanned by ϕ_m. For shortness, we write $\mathcal{H}_m$ for $\mathcal{H}_r \otimes [\phi_m]$, and often consider it as a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Clearly, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_m$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_r$, for any m.

In this representation the operator H_α is equal to [13, Sec. 2]

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\alpha,m} \otimes 1, \tag{2}$$

with

$$H_{\alpha,m} = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{(m+\alpha)^2}{r^2},$$

and with a domain which depends on $m + \alpha$. It clearly follows from this representation that replacing α by $\alpha + n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, corresponds to a unitary transformation of H_α. In particular, the case $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to the magnetic field-free case $\alpha = 0$, i.e. the Laplacian and its zero-range perturbations, see [4, Chap. 1.5]. Hence throughout the paper, we restrict our attention to the values $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

So, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $m \notin \{0, -1\}$, the domain $\mathcal{D}(H_{\alpha,m})$ is given by

$$\{f \in \mathcal{H}_r \cap \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+) \mid -f'' - r^{-1}f' + (m+\alpha)^2 r^{-2}f \in \mathcal{H}_r\}.$$

For $m \in \{0, -1\}$, let $H_\nu^{(1)}$ denote the Hankel function of the first kind and of order ν, and for $f, h \in \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{2,2}$ let $W(g, h)$ stand for the Wronskian

$$W(f, h) := \overline{f}h' - \overline{f'}h.$$

One then has

$$\mathcal{D}(H_{\alpha,m}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}_r \cap \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+) \mid -f'' - r^{-1}f' + (m+\alpha)^2 r^{-2}f \in \mathcal{H}_r \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. \lim_{r \to 0+} r[W(f, h_{\pm i,m})](r) = 0 \right\},$$

where $h_{+i,m}(r) = H_{|m+\alpha|}^{(1)}(e^{i\pi/4}r)$ and $h_{-i,m}(r) = H_{|m+\alpha|}^{(1)}(e^{i3\pi/4}r)$. It is known that the operators $H_{\alpha,m}$ for $m \notin \{0, -1\}$ are self-adjoint on the mentioned domain, while $H_{\alpha,0}$ and $H_{\alpha,-1}$ have deficiency indices $(1, 1)$. This explains the deficiency indices $(2, 2)$ for the operator H_α.

The problem of the description of all self-adjoint extensions of the operator H_α can be approached by two methods. On the one hand, there exists the classical description of von Neumann based on unitary operators between the deficiency subspaces. On the other hand, there exists the theory of boundary triples which

has been widely developed for the last twenty years ([12,14]). Since our construction is based only on the latter approach, we shall recall it briefly in the sequel.

Before stating a simple result on $\mathcal{D}(H_{\alpha,m})$ for $m \in \{0, -1\}$ let us set some conventions. For a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$, the branch of the square root $z \mapsto \sqrt{z}$ is fixed by the condition $\Im\sqrt{z} > 0$. In other words, for $z = re^{i\varphi}$ with $r > 0$ and $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$ one has $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\varphi/2}$. On the other hand, for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we always take the principal branch of the power $z \mapsto z^\beta$ by taking the principal branch of the argument $\arg z \in (-\pi, \pi)$. This means that for $z = re^{i\varphi}$ with $r > 0$ and $\varphi \in (-\pi, \pi)$ we have $z^\beta = r^\beta e^{i\beta\varphi}$. Let us also recall the asymptotic behavior of $H_\nu^{(1)}(w)$ as $w \to 0$ in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_-$ and for $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$:

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(w) = -\frac{2^\nu i}{\sin(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1-\nu)}w^{-\nu} + \frac{2^{-\nu}ie^{-i\pi\nu}}{\sin(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)}w^\nu + O(w^{2-\nu}). \qquad (3)$$

Proposition 3. *For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(H_{\alpha,m})$ with $m \in \{0, -1\}$, the following asymptotic behavior holds*:

$$\lim_{r\to0+}\frac{f(r)}{r^{|m+\alpha|}} = 0.$$

Proof. Let us set $\nu := |m + \alpha| \in (0, 1)$, and recall that $f \in \mathcal{D}(H_{\alpha,m})$ implies $f \in C^1((0, +\infty))$ and that the Hankel function satisfies $(H_\nu^{(1)}(z))' = H_{\nu-1}^{(1)}(z) - \frac{\nu}{z}H_\nu^{(1)}(z)$. By taking this and the asymptotics (3) into account, the condition $\lim_{r\to0+} r[W(h_{\pm i,m}, f)](r) = 0$ implies that

$$\lim_{r\to0+}\left\{r^{\nu+1}f'(r) - \nu r^\nu f(r)\right\} = 0 \qquad (4)$$

and that

$$\lim_{r\to0+}\left\{r^{1-\nu}f'(r) + \nu r^{-\nu}f(r)\right\} = 0. \qquad (5)$$

Multiplying both terms of (5) by $r^{2\nu}$ and subtracting it from (4) one obtains that

$$\lim_{r\to0+} r^\nu f(r) = 0. \qquad (6)$$

On the other hand, considering (5) as a linear differential equation for f: $r^{1-\nu}f'(r) + \nu r^{-\nu}f(r) = b(r)$, and using the variation of constant one gets for some $C \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$f(r) = \frac{C}{r^\nu} + \frac{1}{r^\nu}\int_0^r t^{2\nu-1}b(t)\mathrm{d}t.$$

Now Eq. (6) implies that $C = 0$, and by using l'Hôpital's rule, one finally obtains:

$$\lim_{r\to0+}\frac{f(r)}{r^\nu} = \lim_{r\to0+}\frac{\int_0^r t^{2\nu-1}b(t)\mathrm{d}t}{r^{2\nu}} = \lim_{r\to0+}\frac{r^{2\nu-1}b(r)}{2\nu r^{2\nu-1}} = \frac{1}{2\nu}\lim_{r\to0+}b(r) = 0. \qquad \square$$

3. Boundary Conditions and Spectral Theory

In this section, we explicitly construct a boundary triple for the operator H_α and we briefly exhibit some spectral results in that setting. Clearly, our construction is very closed to the one in [13], but this paper does not contain any reference to the boundary triple machinery. Our aim is thus to recast the construction in an up-to-date theory. The following presentation is strictly adapted to our setting, and as a general rule we omit to write the dependence on α on each of the objects. We refer to [12, 14] for more information on boundary triples.

Let H_α be the densely defined closed and symmetric operator in $\mathcal{H}$ previously introduced. The adjoint of H_α is denoted by H_α^* and is defined on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*) = \{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{H} \cap H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}) \mid H_\alpha \mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two linear maps from $\mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*)$ to $\mathbb{C}^2$. The triple $(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ is called a *boundary triple for H_α* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\langle \mathfrak{f}, H_\alpha^* \mathfrak{g} \rangle - \langle H_\alpha^* \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \rangle = \langle \Gamma_1 \mathfrak{f}, \Gamma_2 \mathfrak{g} \rangle - \langle \Gamma_2 \mathfrak{f}, \Gamma_1 \mathfrak{g} \rangle$ for any $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*)$,
(2) the map $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \colon \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*) \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ is surjective.

It is proved in the references mentioned above that such a boundary triple exists, and that all self-adjoint extensions of H_α can be described in this framework. More precisely, let $C, D \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be 2×2 matrices, and let us denote by H_α^{CD} the restriction of H_α^* on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^{CD}) := \{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*) \mid C\Gamma_1 \mathfrak{f} = D\Gamma_2 \mathfrak{f}\}.$$

Then, the operator H_α^{CD} is self-adjoint if and only if the matrices C and D satisfy the following conditions:

$$\text{(i) } CD^* \text{ is self-adjoint,} \qquad \text{(ii) } \det(CC^* + DD^*) \neq 0. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, any self-adjoint extension of H_α in $\mathcal{H}$ is equal to one of the operator H_α^{CD}.

We shall now construct explicitly a boundary triple for the operator H_α. For that purpose, let us consider $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}_+$ and choose $k = \sqrt{z}$ with $\Im(k) > 0$. It is easily proved that the following two functions $\mathfrak{f}_{z,0}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{z,-1}$ define an orthonormal basis in $\ker(H_\alpha^* - z)$, namely in polar coordinates:

$$\mathfrak{f}_{z,0}(r,\theta) = N_{z,0} H_\alpha^{(1)}(kr)\phi_0(\theta), \quad \mathfrak{f}_{z,-1}(r,\theta) = N_{z,-1} H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(kr)\phi_{-1}(\theta),$$

where $N_{z,m}$ is the normalization such that $\|f_{z,0}\| = \|f_{z,-1}\| = 1$. In particular, by making use of the equality

$$\int_0^\infty r|H_\nu^{(1)}(kr)|^2 \mathrm{d}r = (\pi \cos(\pi\nu/2))^{-1}$$

valid for $k \in \{e^{i\pi/4}, e^{i3\pi/4}\}$, one has

$$N_{\pm i,0} = (\pi \cos(\pi\alpha/2))^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\pm i,-1} = (\pi \cos(\pi(1-\alpha)/2))^{1/2} = (\pi \sin(\pi\alpha/2))^{1/2}.$$

Let us also introduce the averaging operator $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to the polar angle acting on any $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ and for almost every $r > 0$ by

$$[\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{f})](r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathfrak{f}(r, \theta) \mathrm{d}\theta.$$

Following [13, Sec. 3], we can then define the following four linear functionals on suitable $\mathfrak{f}$:

$$\Phi_0(\mathfrak{f}) = \lim_{r \to 0+} r^\alpha [\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{f}\overline{\phi_0})](r), \qquad \Psi_0(\mathfrak{f}) = \lim_{r \to 0+} r^{-\alpha}([\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{f}\overline{\phi_0})](r) - r^{-\alpha}\Phi_0(\mathfrak{f})),$$

$$\Phi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}) = \lim_{r \to 0+} r^{1-\alpha}[\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{f}\overline{\phi_{-1}})](r), \quad \Psi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}) = \lim_{r \to 0+} r^{\alpha-1}([\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{f}\overline{\phi_{-1}})](r) - r^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f})).$$

For example, by taking the asymptotic behavior (3) into account one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0(\mathfrak{f}_{z,0}) &= N_{z,0}\, a_\alpha(z), & \Phi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_{z,0}) &= 0, \\
\Psi_0(\mathfrak{f}_{z,0}) &= N_{z,0}\, b_\alpha(z), & \Psi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_{z,0}) &= 0, \\
\Phi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_{z,-1}) &= N_{z,-1}\, a_{1-\alpha}(z), & \Phi_0(\mathfrak{f}_{z,-1}) &= 0, \\
\Psi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_{z,-1}) &= N_{z,-1}\, b_{1-\alpha}(z), & \Psi_0(\mathfrak{f}_{z,-1}) &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

with

$$a_\nu(z) = -\frac{2^\nu i}{\sin(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1-\nu)} k^{-\nu}, \quad b_\nu(z) = \frac{2^{-\nu} i e^{-i\pi\nu}}{\sin(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)} k^\nu. \tag{9}$$

The main result of this section is:

Proposition 4. *The triple* $(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, *with* Γ_1, Γ_2 *defined on* $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*)$ *by*

$$\Gamma_1 \mathfrak{f} := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_0(\mathfrak{f}) \\ \Phi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 \mathfrak{f} := 2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \Psi_0(\mathfrak{f}) \\ (1-\alpha)\Psi_{-1}(\mathfrak{f}) \end{pmatrix},$$

is a boundary triple for H_α.

Proof. We use the schema from [11, Lemma 5]. For any $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*)$ let us define the sesquilinear forms

$$B_1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) := \langle \mathfrak{f}, H_\alpha^* \mathfrak{g} \rangle - \langle H_\alpha^* \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \rangle$$

and

$$B_2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) := \langle \Gamma_1 \mathfrak{f}, \Gamma_2 \mathfrak{g} \rangle - \langle \Gamma_2 \mathfrak{f}, \Gamma_1 \mathfrak{g} \rangle.$$

We are going to show that these expressions are well defined and that $B_1 = B_2$.

(i) Clearly, B_1 is well defined. For B_2, let us first recall that $\mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*) = \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha) + \ker(H_\alpha^* - i) + \ker(H_\alpha^* + i)$. It has already been proved above that the four maps $\Phi_0, \Phi_{-1}, \Psi_0$ and Ψ_{-1} are well defined on the elements of $\ker(H_\alpha^* - i)$ and $\ker(H_\alpha^* + i)$. We shall now prove that $\Gamma_1 \mathfrak{f} = \Gamma_2 \mathfrak{f} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha)$, which shows that B_2 is also well defined on $\mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*)$. In view of the decomposition (2)

it is sufficient to consider functions $\mathfrak{f}$ of the form $\mathfrak{f}(r,\theta) = f_m(r)\phi_m(\theta)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and with $f_m \in \mathcal{D}(H_{\alpha,m})$. Obviously, for such a function $\mathfrak{f}$ with $m \notin \{0,-1\}$ one has $[\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{f})](r) = 0$ for almost every r, and thus $\Gamma_1\mathfrak{f} = \Gamma_2\mathfrak{f} = 0$. For $m \in \{0,1\}$ the equalities $\Gamma_1\mathfrak{f} = \Gamma_2\mathfrak{f} = 0$ follow directly from Proposition 3.

(ii) Now, since $\Gamma_1\mathfrak{f} = \Gamma_2\mathfrak{g} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha)$, the only non trivial contributions to the sesquilinear form B_2 come from $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \ker(H_\alpha^* - i) + \ker(H_\alpha^* + i)$. On the other hand one also has $B_1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ for $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha)$. Thus, we are reduced in proving the equalities

$$B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',n}) = B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',n})$$

for any $z, z' \in \{-i, i\}$ and $m, n \in \{0, -1\}$.

Observe first that for $z \neq z'$ and arbitrary m, n one has

$$B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',n}) = \langle \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, z'\mathfrak{f}_{z',n} \rangle - \langle z\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',n} \rangle = 0$$

since $z' = \bar{z}$. Now, for $m \neq n$ one has $\Gamma_1\mathfrak{f}_{z,m} \perp \Gamma_2\mathfrak{f}_{z',n}$, and hence $B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',n}) = 0 = B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',n})$. For $m = n$ one easily calculates with $\nu := |m - \alpha|$ that

$$B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',m}) = 2\nu\overline{N_{z,m}}N_{z',m}(\overline{a_\nu(z)}b_\nu(z') - \overline{b_\nu(z)}a_\nu(z')) = 0,$$

and then $B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',m}) = 0 = B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z',m})$.

We now consider $z = z'$ and $m \neq n$. One has

$$B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,n}) = \langle \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, z\mathfrak{f}_{z,n} \rangle - \langle z\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,n} \rangle = 2z\langle \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,n} \rangle = 0$$

and again $\Gamma_1\mathfrak{f}_{z,m} \perp \Gamma_2\mathfrak{f}_{z,n}$. It then follows that $B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,n}) = 0 = B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,n})$.

So it only remains to show that $B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}) = B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m})$. For that purpose, observe first that

$$B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}) = 2z\langle \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m} \rangle = 2z.$$

On the other hand, one has

$$B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}) = 2i\Im(\langle \Gamma_1\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \Gamma_2\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}) = 2i\Im(2\nu|N_{z,m}|^2\overline{a_\nu(z)}b_\nu(z))$$

with $\nu = |m - \alpha|$. By inserting (9) into this expression, one obtains (with $k = \sqrt{z}$ and $\Im(k) > 0$)

$$B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}) = 4i\nu|N_{z,m}|^2\Im\left(\frac{-(k^\nu)^2 e^{-i\pi\nu}}{\sin^2(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)}\right)$$

$$= 4z\nu|N_{z,m}|^2\frac{\sin(\pi\nu/2)}{\sin^2(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu)}.$$

Finally, by taking the equality

$$\Gamma(1-\nu)\Gamma(1+\nu) = \frac{\pi\nu}{\sin(\pi\nu)}$$

into account, one obtains

$$B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}) = 4z|N_{z,m}|^2 \frac{\sin(\pi\nu/2)}{\sin(\pi\nu)\pi} = 4z\pi \cos(\pi\nu/2)\frac{\sin(\pi\nu/2)}{\sin(\pi\nu)\pi} = 2z,$$

which implies $B_2(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m}) = 2z = B_1(\mathfrak{f}_{z,m}, \mathfrak{f}_{z,m})$.

(iii) The surjectivity of the map $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)\colon \mathcal{D}(H_\alpha^*) \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ follows from the equalities (8). $\qquad\square$

Let us now construct *the Weyl function* corresponding to the above boundary triple. The presentation is again adapted to our setting, and we refer to [12] for general definitions.

As already mentioned, all self-adjoint extensions of H_α can be characterized by the 2×2-matrices C and D satisfying two simple conditions, and these extensions are denoted by H_α^{CD}. In the special case $(C, D) = (1, 0)$, the operator H_α^{10} is equal to the original Aharonov–Bohm operator H_α^{AB}. Recall that this operator corresponds to the Friedrichs extension of H_α and that its spectrum is equal to $\mathbb{R}_+$. This operator is going to play a special role in the sequel.

Let us consider $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$. It is proved in [12] that there exists a unique $\mathfrak{f} \in \ker(H_\alpha^* - z)$ with $\Gamma_1\mathfrak{f} = \xi$. This solution is explicitly given by the formula: $\mathfrak{f} := \gamma(z)\xi$ with

$$\gamma(z)\xi = \frac{\xi_0}{N_{z,0}a_\alpha(z)}\mathfrak{f}_{z,0} + \frac{\xi_{-1}}{N_{z,-1}a_{1-\alpha}(z)}\mathfrak{f}_{z,-1}$$

The Weyl function $M(z)$ is then defined by the relation $M(z) := \Gamma_2\gamma(z)$. In view of the previous calculations one has

$$M(z) = 2\begin{pmatrix} \alpha\, b_\alpha(z)/a_\alpha(z) & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\alpha)\, b_{1-\alpha}(z)/a_{1-\alpha}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha)\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)^2 e^{-i\pi\alpha}}{4^\alpha}(k^\alpha)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2 e^{-i\pi(1-\alpha)}}{4^{1-\alpha}}(k^{1-\alpha})^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, one observes that for $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$ one has $M(0) := \lim_{z \to 0} M(z) = 0$.

In terms of the Weyl function and of the γ-*field* γ the Krein resolvent formula has the simple form:

$$(H_\alpha^{CD} - z)^{-1} - (H_\alpha^{AB} - z)^{-1} = -\gamma(z)(DM(z) - C)^{-1}D\gamma(\bar{z})^*$$
$$= -\gamma(z)D^*(M(z)D^* - C^*)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{z})^* \qquad (10)$$

for $z \in \rho(H_\alpha^{AB}) \cap \rho(H_\alpha^{CD})$. The following result is also derived within this formalism, see [5] for (i), [14, Theorem 5] and the matrix reformulation [16, Theorem 3] for (ii). In the statement, the equality $M(0) = 0$ has already been taken into account.

Lemma 5. (i) *The value $z \in \mathbb{R}_-$ is an eigenvalue of H_α^{CD} if and only if* $\det(DM(z) - C) = 0$, *and in that case one has*

$$\ker(H_\alpha^{CD} - z) = \gamma(z) \ker(DM(z) - C).$$

(ii) *The number of negative eigenvalues of H_α^{CD} coincides with the number of negative eigenvalues of the matrix CD^*.*

We stress that the number of eigenvalues does not depend on $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, but only on the choice of C and D.

Let us now add some comments about the role of the parameters C and D and discuss some of their properties. Two pairs of matrices (C, D) and (C', D') satisfying (7) define the same boundary condition (i.e. the same self-adjoint extension) if and only if there exists some invertible matrix $L \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $C' = LC$ and $D' = LD$ ([23, Proposition 3]). In particular, if (C, D) satisfies (7) and if $\det(D) \neq 0$, then the pair $(D^{-1}C, 1)$ defines the same boundary condition (and $D^{-1}C$ is self-adjoint). Hence there is an arbitrariness in the choice of these parameters. This can avoided in several ways.

First, one can establish a bijection between all boundary conditions and the set $U(2)$ of the unitary 2×2-matrices U by setting

$$C = C(U) := \frac{1}{2}(1 - U) \quad \text{and} \quad D = D(U) = \frac{i}{2}(1 + U), \tag{11}$$

see a detailed discussion in [17]. We shall comment more on this in the last section.

Another possibility is as follows (cf. [24] for details): There is a bijection between the set of all boundary conditions and the set of triples $(\mathcal{L}, I, L)$, where $\mathcal{L} \in \{\{0\}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^2\}$, $I \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is an identification map (identification of $\mathcal{L}$ as a linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^2$) and L is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{L}$. For example, given such a triple $(\mathcal{L}, I, L)$ the corresponding boundary condition is obtained by setting

$$C = C(\mathcal{L}, I, L) := L \oplus 1 \quad \text{and} \quad D = D(\mathcal{L}, I, L) := 1 \oplus 0$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^2 = [I\mathcal{L}] \oplus [I\mathcal{L}]^\perp$. On the other hand, for a pair (C, D) satisfying (7), one can set $\mathcal{L} := \mathbb{C}^d$ with $d := 2 - \dim[\ker(D)]$, $I \colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the identification map of $\mathcal{L}$ with $\ker(D)^\perp$ and $L := (DI)^{-1}CI$. In this framework, one can check by a direct calculation that for any $K \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $DK - C$ is invertible, one has

$$(DK - C)^{-1}D = I(PKI - L)^{-1}P, \tag{12}$$

where $P \colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathcal{L}$ is the adjoint of I, i.e. the composition of the orthogonal projection onto $I\mathcal{L}$ together with the identification of $I\mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{L}$.

Let us finally note that the conditions (7) imply some specific properties related to commutativity and adjointness. We shall need in particular:

Lemma 6. *Let* (C, D) *satisfies* (7) *and* $K \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ *with* $\Im K > 0$. *Then*

(i) *The matrices* $DK - C$ *and* $DK^* - C$ *are invertible,*
(ii) *The equality* $[(DK - C)^{-1}D]^* = (DK^* - C)^{-1}D$ *holds.*

Proof. (i) By contraposition, let us assume that $\det(DK - C) = 0$. Passing to the adjoint, one also has $\det(K^*D^* - C^*) = 0$, i.e. there exists $f \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $K^*D^*f = C^*f$. By taking the scalar product with D^*f, one obtains that $\langle D^*f, KD^*f \rangle = \langle f, CD^*f \rangle$. The right-hand side is real due to (i) in (7). But since $\Im K > 0$, the equality is possible if and only if $D^*f = 0$. It then follows that $C^*f = K^*D^*f = 0$, which contradicts (ii) in (7). The invertibility of $DK^* - C$ can be proved similarly.

(ii) If $\det(D) \neq 0$, then the matrix $A := D^{-1}C$ is self-adjoint and it follows that

$$[(DK - C)^{-1}D]^* = [(K - A)^{-1}]^* = (K^* - A)^{-1} = (DK^* - C)^{-1}D.$$

If $D = 0$, then the equality is trivially satisfied. Finally, if $\det(D) = 0$ but $D \neq 0$ one has $\mathcal{L} := \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, let us define $I : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ by $I\mathcal{L} := \ker(D)^\perp$ and let $P : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be its adjoint map. Then, by the above construction there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(DK - C)^{-1}D = I(PKI - \ell)^{-1}P$. It is also easily observed that PKI is just the multiplication by some $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im k > 0$, and hence $(DK - C)^{-1}D = I(k - \ell)^{-1}P$. Similarly one has $(DK^* - C)^{-1}D = I(\bar{k} - \ell)^{-1}P$. Taking the adjoint of the first expression leads directly to the expected equality. □

4. Fourier Transform and the Dilation Group

Before starting with the scattering theory, we recall some properties of the Fourier transform and of the dilation group in relation with the decomposition (1). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the usual Fourier transform, explicitly given on any $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$[\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{f}](y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{l.i.m.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{f}(x) e^{-ix \cdot y} \mathrm{d}x$$

where l.i.m. denotes the convergence in the mean. Its inverse is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^*$. Since the Fourier transform maps the subspace $\mathcal{H}_m$ of $\mathcal{H}$ onto itself, we naturally set $\mathcal{F}_m : \mathcal{H}_r \to \mathcal{H}_r$ by the relation $\mathcal{F}(f\phi_m) = \mathcal{F}_m(f)\phi_m$ for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_r$. More explicitly, the application $\mathcal{F}_m$ is the unitary map from $\mathcal{H}_r$ to $\mathcal{H}_r$ given on any $f \in \mathcal{H}_r$ and almost every $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\hat{f}(\kappa) := [\mathcal{F}_m f](\kappa) = (-i)^{|m|} \text{ l.i.m.} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} r J_{|m|}(r\kappa) f(r) \mathrm{d}r,$$

where $J_{|m|}$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind and of order $|m|$. The inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_m^*$ is given by the same formula, with $(-i)^{|m|}$ replaced by $i^{|m|}$.

Now, let us recall that the unitary dilation group $\{U_\tau\}_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}$ is defined on any $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$[U_\tau \mathfrak{f}](x) = e^\tau \mathfrak{f}(e^\tau x).$$

Its self-adjoint generator A is formally given by $\frac{1}{2}(X \cdot (-i\nabla) + (-i\nabla) \cdot X)$, where X is the position operator and $-i\nabla$ is its conjugate operator. All these operators are essentially self-adjoint on the Schwartz space on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

An important property of the operator A is that it leaves each subspace $\mathcal{H}_m$ invariant. For simplicity, we shall keep the same notation for the restriction of A to each subspace $\mathcal{H}_m$. So, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let φ_m be an essentially bounded function on $\mathbb{R}$. Assume furthermore that the family $\{\varphi_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded. Then the operator $\varphi(A)\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ defined on $\mathcal{H}_m$ by $\varphi_m(A)$ is a bounded operator in $\mathcal{H}$.

Let us finally recall a general formula about the Mellin transform.

Lemma 7. *Let φ be an essentially bounded function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that its inverse Fourier transform is a distribution on $\mathbb{R}$. Then, for any $\mathfrak{f} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\})$ one has*

$$[\varphi(A)\mathfrak{f}](r,\theta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \check{\varphi}(-\ln(s/r))\mathfrak{f}(s,\theta)\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{r},$$

where the right-hand side has to be understood in the sense of distributions.

Proof. The proof is a simple application for $n = 2$ of the general formulae developed in [18, p. 439]. Let us however mention that the convention of this reference on the minus sign for the operator A in its spectral representation has not been adopted. $\qquad\square$

As already mentioned $\varphi(A)$ leaves $\mathcal{H}_m$ invariant. More precisely, if $\mathfrak{f} = f\phi_m$ for some $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then $\varphi(A)\mathfrak{f} = [\varphi(A)f]\phi_m$ with

$$[\varphi(A)f](r) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \check{\varphi}(-\ln(s/r))f(s)\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{r}, \qquad (13)$$

where the right-hand side has again to be understood in the sense of distributions.

5. Scattering Theory

In this section, we briefly recall the main definitions of the scattering theory, and then give explicit formulae for the wave operators. The scattering operator will be studied in the following section.

Let H_1, H_2 be two self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$, and assume that the operator H_1 is purely absolutely continuous. Then the (time dependent) *wave operators* are defined by the strong limits

$$\Omega_\pm(H_2, H_1) := \underset{t\to\pm\infty}{\text{s-lim}} \, e^{itH_2} e^{-itH_1}$$

whenever these limits exist. In this case, these operators are isometries, and they are said *complete* if their ranges are equal to the absolutely continuous subspace

$\mathcal{H}_{ac}(H_2)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to H_2. In such a situation, the (time dependent) *scattering operator* for the system (H_2, H_1) is defined by the product

$$S(H_2, H_1) := \Omega_+^*(H_2, H_1)\Omega_-(H_2, H_1)$$

and is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, it commutes with the operator H_1, and thus is unitarily equivalent to a family of unitary operators in the spectral representation of H_1.

We shall now prove that the wave operators exist for our model and that they are complete. For that purpose, let us denote by $H_0 := -\Delta$ the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 8. *For any self-adjoint extension H_α^{CD}, the wave operators $\Omega_\pm(H_\alpha^{CD}, H_0)$ exist and are complete.*

Proof. On the one hand, the existence and the completeness of the operators $\Omega_\pm(H_\alpha^{AB}, H_0)$ has been proved in [26]. On another hand, the existence and the completeness of the operator $\Omega_\pm(H_\alpha^{CD}, H_\alpha^{AB})$ is well known since the difference of the resolvents is a finite rank operator, see for example [19, Sec. X.4.4]. The statement of the lemma follows then by taking the chain rule [31, Theorem 2.1.7] and [31, Theorem 2.3.3] on completeness into account. □

The derivation of the explicit formulae for the wave operators is based on the stationary approach, as presented in [31, Secs. 2.7 and 5.2]. For simplicity, we shall consider only $\Omega_-^{CD} := \Omega_-(H_\alpha^{CD}, H_0)$. For that purpose, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We first study the expression

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}\langle(H_0 - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1}\mathfrak{f}, (H_\alpha^{CD} - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1}\mathfrak{g}\rangle$$

and its limit as $\varepsilon \to 0+$ for suitable $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ specified later on. By taking Krein resolvent formula into account, one can consider separately the two expressions:

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}\langle(H_0 - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1}\mathfrak{f}, (H_\alpha^{AB} - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1}\mathfrak{g}\rangle$$

and

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}\langle(H_0 - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1}\mathfrak{f}, \gamma(\lambda - i\varepsilon)(DM(\lambda - i\varepsilon) - C)^{-1}D\gamma(\lambda + i\varepsilon)^*\mathfrak{g}\rangle.$$

The first term will lead to the wave operator for the original Aharonov–Bohm system, as shown below. So let us now concentrate on the second expression.

For simplicity, we set $z = \lambda + i\varepsilon$ and observe that

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}\langle(H_0 - \bar{z})^{-1}\mathfrak{f}, \gamma(\bar{z})(DM(\bar{z}) - C)^{-1}D\gamma(z)^*\mathfrak{g}\rangle$$

$$= -\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}\langle\gamma(z)[(DM(\bar{z}) - C)^{-1}D]^*\gamma(\bar{z})^*(H_0 - \bar{z})^{-1}\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}\rangle.$$

Then, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ one has

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \big[\gamma(z)[(DM(\bar{z}) - C)^{-1}D]^* \gamma(\bar{z})^* (H_0 - \bar{z})^{-1} \mathfrak{f}\big](r, \theta)$$

$$= -\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \begin{pmatrix} H_\alpha^{(1)}(\sqrt{z}r)\phi_0(\theta) \\ H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(\sqrt{z}r)\phi_{-1}(\theta) \end{pmatrix}^T \cdot A(z)[(DM(\bar{z}) - C)^{-1}D]^* A(\bar{z})^* \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0(z, \mathfrak{f}) \\ \xi_{-1}(z, \mathfrak{f}) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a_\alpha(z)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{1-\alpha}(z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_0(z, \mathfrak{f}) \\ \xi_{-1}(z, \mathfrak{f}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle H_\alpha^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot)\phi_0, (H_0 - \bar{z})^{-1}\mathfrak{f}\rangle \\ \langle H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot)\phi_{-1}, (H_0 - \bar{z})^{-1}\mathfrak{f}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{F}(H_0 - z)^{-1}H_\alpha^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot)\phi_0, \hat{\mathfrak{f}}\rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{F}(H_0 - z)^{-1}H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot)\phi_{-1}, \hat{\mathfrak{f}}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{F}_0(H_0 - z)^{-1}H_\alpha^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot), \hat{f}_0\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} \\ \langle \mathcal{F}_{-1}(H_0 - z)^{-1}H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot), \hat{f}_{-1}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \langle (X^2 - z)^{-1}\mathcal{F}_0 H_\alpha^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot), \hat{f}_0\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} \\ \langle (X^2 - z)^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{-1} H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot), \hat{f}_{-1}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ denotes the scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r\,dr)$.

We shall now calculate separately the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ of the different terms. We recall the convention that for $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}_+$ on choose $k = \sqrt{z}$ with $\Im(z) > 0$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ one sets $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \sqrt{\lambda + i\varepsilon} =: \kappa$ with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We first observe that for $\nu \in (0, 1)$ one has

$$a_\nu(\lambda_+) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} a_\nu(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = -\frac{2^\nu i}{\sin(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1-\nu)}\kappa^{-\nu}$$

but

$$a_\nu(\lambda_-) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} a_\nu(\lambda - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{2^\nu i e^{-i\pi\nu}}{\sin(\pi\nu)\Gamma(1-\nu)}\kappa^{-\nu}.$$

Similarly, one observes that

$$M(\lambda_\pm) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} M(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\pi}\sin(\pi\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)^2 e^{\mp i\pi\alpha}}{4^\alpha}\kappa^{2\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2 e^{\mp i\pi(1-\alpha)}}{4^{1-\alpha}}\kappa^{2(1-\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $M(\lambda_+) = M(\lambda_-)^*$. Finally, the most elaborated limit is calculated in the next lemma.

Lemma 9. *For $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \nu \in (0,1)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ one has*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \varepsilon \langle (X^2 - z)^{-1} \mathcal{F}_m H_\nu^{(1)}(\sqrt{z}\cdot), f \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} = i e^{i\pi\nu/2} (-1)^{|m|} f(\kappa).$$

Proof. Let us start by recalling that for $w \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg(w) \leq \pi$ one has [1, Eq. (9.6.4)]:

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(w) = \frac{2}{i\pi} e^{-i\pi\nu/2} K_\nu(-iw),$$

where K_ν is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and of order ν. Then, for $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ it follows that (by using [29, Sec. 13.45] for the last equality)

$$[\mathcal{F}_m H_\nu^{(1)}(\sqrt{z}\cdot)](r)$$

$$= (-i)^{|m|} \, \text{l.i.m.} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho J_{|m|}(r\rho) H_\nu^{(1)}(\sqrt{z}\rho) \mathrm{d}\rho$$

$$= (-i)^{|m|} \frac{2}{i\pi} e^{-i\pi\nu/2} \, \text{l.i.m.} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho J_{|m|}(r\rho) K_\nu(-i\sqrt{z}\rho) \mathrm{d}\rho$$

$$= (-i)^{|m|} \frac{2}{i\pi} e^{-i\pi\nu/2} \frac{1}{r^2} \, \text{l.i.m.} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho J_{|m|}(\rho) K_\nu \left(-i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{r}\rho\right) \mathrm{d}\rho$$

$$= c \frac{1}{r^2} \left(-i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{r}\right)^{-2-|m|} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}+1, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}+1; |m|+1; -\left(-i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{r}\right)^{-2}\right)$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function [1, Chap. 15] and c is given by

$$c := (-i)^{|m|} \frac{2}{i\pi} e^{-i\pi\nu/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{|m|-\nu}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma(|m|+1)}.$$

Now, observe that $(-i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{r})^{-2-|m|} = -(-i)^{-|m|}(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{r})^{-2-|m|}$ and $-(-i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{r})^{-2} = \frac{r^2}{\bar{z}}$. Thus, one has obtained

$$[\mathcal{F}_m H_\nu^{(1)}(\sqrt{z}\cdot)](r) = d \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{r}\right)^{-2-|m|} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}+1, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}+1; |m|+1; \frac{r^2}{\bar{z}}\right)$$

with

$$d = -\frac{2}{i\pi} e^{-i\pi\nu/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{|m|-\nu}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma(|m|+1)}.$$

By taking into account [1, Equality (15.3.3)] one can isolate from the $_2F_1$-function a factor which is singular when the variable goes to 1:

$$_2F_1\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}+1, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}+1; |m|+1; \frac{r^2}{\bar{z}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-r^2\bar{z}^{-1}}\ _2F_1\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}; |m|+1; \frac{r^2}{\bar{z}}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{\bar{z}}{r^2-\bar{z}}\ _2F_1\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}; |m|+1; \frac{r^2}{\bar{z}}\right).$$

Altogether, one has thus obtained:

$$\varepsilon[(X^2-z)^{-1}\mathcal{F}_m H_\nu^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot)](r)$$

$$= -d\frac{\varepsilon}{(r^2-\bar{z})(r^2-z)}\frac{\bar{z}}{r^2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\bar{z}}}{r}\right)^{-2-|m|}\ _2F_1\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}; |m|+1; \frac{r^2}{\bar{z}}\right).$$

Now, observe that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{(r^2-\bar{z})(r^2-z)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{(r^2-\lambda+i\varepsilon)(r^2-\lambda-i\varepsilon)}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{(r^2-\lambda)^2+\varepsilon^2} =: \pi\delta_\varepsilon(r^2-\lambda)$$

which converges to $\pi\delta(r^2-\lambda)$ in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}$ as ε goes to 0. Furthermore, the map

$$\mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto\ _2F_1\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}; |m|+1; \frac{r^2}{\lambda-i\varepsilon}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is locally uniformly convergent as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to a continuous function which is equal for $r = \kappa = \sqrt{\lambda}$ to $\Gamma(|m|+1)[\Gamma(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{|m|-\nu}{2}+1)]^{-1}$. By considering trivial extensions on $\mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+}\varepsilon\langle(X^2-z)^{-1}\mathcal{F}_m H_\nu^{(1)}(\sqrt{\bar{z}}\cdot), f\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+}$$

$$= -\bar{d}\pi \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} r\delta_\varepsilon(r^2-\lambda)\overline{\frac{\bar{z}}{r^2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\bar{z}}}{r}\right)^{-2-|m|}\ _2F_1\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}, \frac{|m|-\nu}{2}; |m|+1; \frac{r^2}{\bar{z}}\right)}f(r)\mathrm{d}r$$

$$= -\frac{\bar{d}\pi}{2\kappa}\kappa(-1)^{-|m|}\frac{\Gamma(|m|+1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{|m|+\nu}{2}+1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{|m|-\nu}{2}+1\right)}f(\kappa)$$

$$= ie^{i\pi\nu/2}(-1)^{|m|}f(\kappa). \qquad \square$$

By adding these different results and by taking Lemma 6 into account, one has thus proved:

Lemma 10. *For any $\mathfrak{f}$ of the form $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m \phi_m$ with $f_m = 0$ except for a finite number of m for which $\hat{f}_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and for any $\mathfrak{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\})$, one has*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} -\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \langle (H_0 - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathfrak{f}, \gamma(\lambda - i\varepsilon)(DM(\lambda - i\varepsilon) - C)^{-1} D\gamma(\lambda + i\varepsilon)^* \mathfrak{g} \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} H_\alpha^{(1)}(\kappa \cdot)\phi_0 \\ H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(\kappa \cdot)\phi_{-1} \end{pmatrix}^T A(\lambda_+)(DM(\lambda_+) - C)^{-1} DA(\lambda_-)^* \right.$$

$$\left. \times \begin{pmatrix} i e^{i\pi\alpha/2} \hat{f}_0(\kappa) \\ -i e^{i\pi(1-\alpha)/2} \hat{f}_{-1}(\kappa) \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{g} \right\rangle.$$

Before stating the main result on Ω_-^{CD}, let us first present the explicit form of the stationary wave operator $\widetilde{\Omega}_-^{AB}$. Note that for this operator the equality between the time dependent approach and the stationary approach is known [2, 13, 26], and that a preliminary version of the following result has been given in [25]. So, let us observe that since the operator H_α^{AB} leaves each subspace $\mathcal{H}_m$ invariant [26], it gives rise to a sequence of channel operators $H_{\alpha,m}^{AB}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_m$. The Laplacian H_0 admitting a similar decomposition, the stationary wave operators $\widetilde{\Omega}_\pm^{AB}$ can be defined in each channel, i.e. separately for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us immediately observe that the angular part does not play any role for defining such operators. Therefore, we shall omit it as long as it does not lead to any confusion, and consider the channel wave operators $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\pm,m}^{AB}$ from $\mathcal{H}_r$ to $\mathcal{H}_r$.

The following notation will be useful: $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ and

$$\delta_m^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\pi(|m| - |m + \alpha|) = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{1}{2}\pi\alpha & \text{if } m \geq 0 \\[2mm] \dfrac{1}{2}\pi\alpha & \text{if } m < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 11. *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has*

$$\Omega_{\pm,m}^{AB} = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\pm,m}^{AB} = \varphi_m^\pm(A),$$

with $\varphi_m^\pm \in C([-\infty, +\infty], \mathbb{T})$ given explicitly by

$$\varphi_m^\pm(x) := e^{\mp i\delta_m^\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(|m| + 1 + ix)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(|m + \alpha| + 1 - ix)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(|m| + 1 - ix)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(|m + \alpha| + 1 + ix)\right)} \tag{14}$$

and satisfying $\varphi_m^\pm(\pm\infty) = 1$ and $\varphi_m^\pm(\mp\infty) = e^{\mp 2i\delta_m^\alpha}$.

Proof. As already mentioned, the first equality in proved in [26]. Furthermore it is also proved there that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_r$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ one has

$$[\Omega_{\pm,m}^{AB} f](r) = i^{|m|} \text{ l.i.m.} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \kappa, J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa r) e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} [\mathcal{F}_m f](\kappa) \mathrm{d}\kappa.$$

In particular, if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, this expression can be rewritten as

$$\text{s-}\lim_{N\to\infty} e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} \int_0^N \kappa J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa r) \left[\int_0^\infty s J_{|m|}(s\kappa) f(s) \mathrm{d}s \right] \mathrm{d}\kappa$$

$$= \text{s-}\lim_{N\to\infty} e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} \int_0^\infty s f(s) \left[\int_0^N \kappa J_{|m|}(s\kappa) J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa r) \mathrm{d}\kappa \right] \mathrm{d}s$$

$$= \text{s-}\lim_{N\to\infty} e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{s}{r} f(s) \left[\int_0^{Nr} \kappa J_{|m|}(\tfrac{s}{r}\kappa) J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa) \mathrm{d}\kappa \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{r}$$

$$= e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{s}{r} \left[\int_0^\infty \kappa J_{|m|}\left(\frac{s}{r}\kappa\right) J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa) \mathrm{d}\kappa \right] f(s) \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{r}, \qquad (15)$$

where the last term has to be understood in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}_+$. The distribution between square brackets has been computed in [20, Proposition 2] but we shall not use here its explicit form.

Now, by comparing (15) with (13), one observes that the channel wave operator $\Omega_{\pm,m}^{AB}$ is equal on a dense set in $\mathcal{H}_r$ to $\varphi_m^\pm(A)$ for a function $\varphi_m^\pm$ whose inverse Fourier transform is the distribution which satisfies for $y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\check{\varphi}_m^\pm(y) = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} e^{-y} \left[\int_0^\infty \kappa J_{|m|}(e^{-y}\kappa) J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa) \mathrm{d}\kappa \right].$$

The Fourier transform of this distribution can be computed. Explicitly one has (in the sense of distributions):

$$\varphi_m^\pm(x) = e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixy} e^{-y} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \kappa J_{|m|}(e^{-y}\kappa) J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa) \mathrm{d}\kappa \right] \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= e^{\mp i \delta_m^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \kappa^{(1-ix)-1} J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa) \mathrm{d}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} s^{(1+ix)-1} J_{|m|}(s) \mathrm{d}s$$

which is the product of two Mellin transforms. The explicit form of these transforms are presented in [22, Eq. (10.1)] and a straightforward computation leads directly to the expression (14). The second equality of the statement follows then by a density argument.

The additional properties of $\varphi_m^\pm$ can easily be obtained by taking into account the equality $\Gamma(\bar{z}) = \overline{\Gamma(z)}$ valid for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ as well as the asymptotic development of the function Γ as presented in [1, Eq. (6.1.39)]. $\qquad \square$

Since the wave operators $\Omega_\pm^{AB}$ admit a decomposition into channel wave operators, so does the scattering operator. The channel scattering operator

$S_m^{AB} := (\Omega_{+,m}^{AB})^* \, \Omega_{-,m}^{AB}$, acting from $\mathcal{H}_r$ to $\mathcal{H}_r$, is simply given by

$$S_m^{AB} = \overline{\varphi_m^+}(A)\varphi_m^-(A) = e^{2i\delta_m^\alpha}.$$

Now, let us set $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}} := \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ which is clearly isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, and consider the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}^\perp$. It follows from the considerations of Sec. 2 that for any pair (C, D) the operator $\widetilde{\Omega}_\pm^{CD}$ is reduced by this decomposition and that $\widetilde{\Omega}_-^{CD}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}^\perp} = \Omega_-^{CD}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}^\perp} = \Omega_-^{AB}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}^\perp}$. Since the form of Ω_-^{AB} has been exposed above, we shall concentrate only of the restriction of $\widetilde{\Omega}_-^{CD}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}$. For that purpose, let us define a matrix valued function which is closely related to the scattering operator. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we set

$$\widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) := 2i\sin(\pi\alpha)\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}}{2^\alpha}\kappa^\alpha & 0 \\[2ex] 0 & \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha)e^{-i\pi(1-\alpha)/2}}{2^{1-\alpha}}\kappa^{(1-\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot \left[D\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)^2 e^{-i\pi\alpha}}{4^\alpha}\kappa^{2\alpha} & 0 \\[2ex] 0 & \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2 e^{-i\pi(1-\alpha)}}{4^{1-\alpha}}\kappa^{2(1-\alpha)} \end{pmatrix} + \dfrac{\pi}{2\sin(\pi\alpha)}C \right]^{-1} D$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}}{2^\alpha}\kappa^\alpha & 0 \\[2ex] 0 & -\dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha)e^{-i\pi(1-\alpha)/2}}{2^{1-\alpha}}\kappa^{(1-\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

Theorem 12. *For any pair (C, D) satisfying (7), the restriction of the wave operator Ω_-^{CD} to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}$ satisfies the equality*

$$\Omega_-^{CD}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}} = \widetilde{\Omega}_-^{CD}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{int}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_0^-(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_{-1}^-(A) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_0(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\varphi}_{-1}(A) \end{pmatrix}\widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\sqrt{H_0}), \tag{17}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_m \in C([-\infty, +\infty], \mathbb{C})$ for $m \in \{0, -1\}$. Explicitly, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varphi}_m(x)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-i\pi|m|/2}e^{\pi x/2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\dfrac{1}{2}(|m|+1+ix)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\dfrac{1}{2}(|m|+1-ix)\right)}\Gamma\left(\dfrac{1}{2}(1+|m|+\alpha-ix)\right)$$

$$\times \Gamma\left(\dfrac{1}{2}(1-|m|+\alpha-ix)\right)$$

and satisfies $\tilde{\varphi}_m(-\infty) = 0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_m(+\infty) = 1$.

Proof. (a) The stationary representation $\widetilde{\Omega}_-^{CD}$ is defined by the formula [31, Definition 2.7.2]:

$$\langle \widetilde{\Omega}_-^{CD} \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \rangle = \int_0^\infty \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \langle (H_0 - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathfrak{f}, (H_\alpha^{CD} - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathfrak{g} \rangle d\lambda$$

for any $\mathfrak{f}$ of the form $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{f}_m \phi_m$ with $\mathfrak{f}_m = 0$ except for a finite number of m for which $\hat{f}_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$. By taking Krein resolvent formula into account, we can first consider the expression

$$\int_0^\infty \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \langle (H_0 - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathfrak{f}, (H_\alpha^{AB} - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathfrak{g} \rangle d\lambda$$

which converges to [2, 13, 26]:

$$\int_0^\infty \left\langle \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} i^{|m|} e^{i\delta_m^\alpha} J_{|m+\alpha|}(\kappa \cdot) \hat{f}_m(\kappa) \phi_m, \mathfrak{g} \right\rangle \kappa \, d\kappa.$$

This expression was the starting point for the formulae derived in Proposition 11. This leads to the first term in the right-hand side of (17).

(b) The second term to analyze is

$$-\int_0^\infty \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \langle (H_0 - \lambda + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathfrak{f}, \gamma(\lambda - i\varepsilon)(DM(\lambda - i\varepsilon) - C)^{-1} D\gamma(\lambda + i\varepsilon)^* \mathfrak{g} \rangle d\lambda. \tag{18}$$

By using Lemma 10 and by performing some simple calculations, one obtains that (18) is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} i^\alpha H_\alpha^{(1)}(\kappa \cdot) \phi_0 \\ \frac{1}{2} i^{1-\alpha} H_{1-\alpha}^{(1)}(\kappa \cdot) \phi_{-1} \end{pmatrix}^T \widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{f}_0(\kappa) \\ \hat{f}_{-1}(\kappa) \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{g} \right\rangle \kappa \, d\kappa.$$

Now, it will be proved below that the operator T_m defined for $m \in \{0, -1\}$ on $\mathcal{F}^*[C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)]$ by

$$[T_m f](r) := \frac{1}{2} i^{|m+\alpha|} \int_0^\infty H_{|m+\alpha|}^{(1)}(\kappa r)[\mathcal{F}_m f](\kappa) \kappa \, d\kappa \tag{19}$$

satisfies the equality $T_m = \tilde{\varphi}_m(A)$ with $\tilde{\varphi}_m$ given in the above statement. The stationary expression is then obtained by observing that $\mathcal{F}^* \widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) \mathcal{F} = \widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\sqrt{H_0})$, where $\widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa)$ is the operator of multiplication by the function $\widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\cdot)$. Finally, the equality between the time dependent wave operator and the stationary wave operator is a consequence of Lemma 8 and of [31, Theorem 5.2.4].

(c) By comparing (19) with (13), one observes that the operator T_m is equal on a dense set in $\mathcal{H}_r$ to $\tilde{\varphi}_m(A)$ for a function $\tilde{\varphi}_m$ whose inverse Fourier transform is the distribution which satisfies for $y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\check{\tilde{\varphi}}_m(y) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-i\delta_m^\alpha}e^y \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \kappa H^{(1)}_{|m+\alpha|}(e^y \kappa)J_{|m|}(\kappa)\mathrm{d}\kappa.$$

As before, the Fourier transform of this distribution can be computed. Explicitly one has (in the sense of distributions):

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}_m(x) &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\delta_m^\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixy}e^y \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \kappa H^{(1)}_{|m+\alpha|}(e^y \kappa)J_{|m|}(\kappa)\mathrm{d}\kappa\right]\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\delta_m^\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \kappa^{(1+ix)-1}J_{|m|}(\kappa)\mathrm{d}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} s^{(1-ix)-1}H^{(1)}_{|m+\alpha|}(s)\mathrm{d}s \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-i\pi|m|/2}(-i)^{ix}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(|m|+1+ix)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(|m|+1-ix)\right)} \\
&\quad \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+|m+\alpha|-ix)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-|m+\alpha|-ix)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is obtained by taking into account the relation between the Hankel function $H^{(1)}_\nu$ and the Bessel function K_ν of the second kind as well as the Mellin transform of the functions J_ν and the function K_ν as presented in [22, Eqs. (10.1) and (11.1)].

The additional properties of $\tilde{\varphi}_m$ can easily be obtained by using the asymptotic development of the function Γ as presented in [1, Eq. (6.1.39)]. $\qquad\square$

6. Scattering Operator

In this section, we concentrate on the scattering operator and on its asymptotic values for large and small energies.

Proposition 13. *The restriction of the scattering operator $S(H_\alpha^{CD}, H_0)$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}$ is explicitly given by*

$$S(H_\alpha^{CD}, H_0)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}} = S_\alpha^{CD}(\sqrt{H_0}) \quad \text{with} \quad S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) := \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix} + \widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa).$$

Proof. Let us first recall that the scattering operator can be obtained from Ω_-^{CD} by the formula [6, Proposition (4.2)]:

$$\operatorname*{s\text{-}lim}_{t \to +\infty} e^{itH_0}e^{-itH}\Omega_-^{CD} = S(H_\alpha^{CD}, H_0).$$

We stress that the completeness has been taken into account for this equality. Now, let us set $U(t) := e^{-it\ln(H_0)/2}$, where $\ln(H_0)$ is the self-adjoint operator obtained

by functional calculus. By the intertwining property of the wave operators and by the invariance principle, one also has

$$\operatorname*{s\text{-}lim}_{t\to+\infty} U(-t)\Omega_-^{CD} U(t) = S(H_\alpha^{CD}, H_0).$$

On the other hand, the operator $\ln(H_0)/2$ is the generator of translations in the spectrum of A, i.e. $U(-t)\varphi(A)U(t) = \varphi(A+t)$ for any $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$. Since $\{U(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is also reduced by the decomposition (1), it follows that

$$\operatorname*{s\text{-}lim}_{t\to+\infty} U(-t)\left[\Omega_-^{CD}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}}\right] U(t)$$

$$= \operatorname*{s\text{-}lim}_{t\to+\infty} U(-t)\left[\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_0^-(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_{-1}^-(A) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde\varphi_0(A) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde\varphi_{-1}(A) \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\sqrt{H_0})\right] U(t)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_0^-(+\infty) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_{-1}^-(+\infty) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde\varphi_0(+\infty) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde\varphi_{-1}(+\infty) \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(\sqrt{H_0}).$$

The initial statement is then obtained by taking the asymptotic values mentioned in Proposition 11 and Theorem 12 into account. □

Even if the unitarity of the scattering operator follows from the general theory we give below a direct verification in order to better understand its structure. In the next statement, we only give the value of the scattering matrix at energy 0 and energy equal to $+\infty$. However, more explicit expressions for $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa)$ are exhibited in the proof.

Proposition 14. *The map*

$$\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \kappa \mapsto S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \tag{20}$$

is continuous, takes values in the set $U(2)$ and has explicit asymptotic values for $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa = +\infty$. More explicitly, depending on C, D or α one has:

(i) *If $D = 0$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(ii) *If $\det(D) \neq 0$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(+\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(iii) *If $\dim[\ker(D)] = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/2$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(+\infty) = (2\mathrm{P} - 1)\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\ker(D)^\perp$,*

(iv) *If $\ker(D) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or if $\dim[\ker(D)] = 1, \alpha < 1/2$ and $\ker(D) \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(+\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(v) *If $\ker(D) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix}$ or if $\dim[\ker(D)] = 1, \alpha > 1/2$ and $\ker(D) \neq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(+\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$.*

Furthermore,

(a) *If $C = 0$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(b) *If $\det(C) \neq 0$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(c) *If $\dim[\ker(C)] = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/2$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(0) = (1 - 2\Pi)\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, where Π is the orthogonal projection on $\ker(C)^\perp$.*

(d) *If $\ker(C) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix}$ or if $\dim[\ker(C)] = 1, \alpha > 1/2$ and $\ker(C) \neq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(e) *If $\ker(C) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or if $\dim[\ker(C)] = 1, \alpha < 1/2$ and $\ker(C) \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. Let us fix $\kappa > 0$ and set $S := S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa)$. For shortness, we also set $L := \frac{\pi}{2\sin(\pi\alpha)}C$ and

$$B = B(\kappa) := \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{2^\alpha}\kappa^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{2^{1-\alpha}}\kappa^{(1-\alpha)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi := \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi(1-\alpha)/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the matrices B, Φ and J commute with each other, that the matrix B is self-adjoint and invertible, and that J and Φ are unitary.

(I) It is trivially checked that if $D = 0$ the statement (i) is satisfied.

(II) Let us assume $\det(D) \neq 0$, i.e. D is invertible. Without loss of generality and as explained at the end of Sec. 3, we assume than that $D = 1$ and that the matrix C is self-adjoint. Then one has

$$S = \Phi^2 J + 2i\sin(\pi\alpha)B\Phi(B^2\Phi^2 + L)^{-1}B\Phi J$$

$$= B\Phi(B^2\Phi^2 + L)^{-1}[B(\Phi^2 + 2i\sin(\pi\alpha)) + LB^{-1}]\Phi J.$$

By taking the equality $\Phi^2 + 2i\sin(\pi\alpha) = \Phi^{-2}$ into account, it follows that

$$S = B\Phi(B^2\Phi^2 + L)^{-1}(B\Phi^{-2} + LB^{-1})\Phi J$$

$$= \Phi(\Phi^2 + B^{-1}LB^{-1})^{-1}(\Phi^{-2} + B^{-1}LB^{-1})\Phi J$$

$$= \Phi(B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J - i\sin(\pi\alpha))^{-1}$$

$$\times (B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J + i\sin(\pi\alpha))\Phi J.$$

Since the matrix $B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J$ is self-adjoint, the above expression can be rewritten as

$$S = \Phi\frac{B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J + i\sin(\pi\alpha)}{B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J - i\sin(\pi\alpha)}\Phi J \tag{21}$$

which is clearly a unitary operator. The only dependence on κ in the terms B is continuous and one has

$$\lim_{\kappa\to+\infty} S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) = \Phi\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)J + i\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha)J - i\sin(\pi\alpha)}\Phi J = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

which proves the statement (ii)

(III) We shall now consider the situation $\det(D) = 0$ but $D \neq 0$. Obviously, $\ker(D)$ is of dimension 1. So let $p = (p_1, p_2)$ be a vector in $\ker(D)$ with $\|p\| = 1$. By (12) and by using the notation introduced in that section one has

$$S = \Phi^2 J + 2i\sin(\pi\alpha)B\Phi I(PB^2\Phi^2 I + \ell)^{-1}PB\Phi J. \tag{22}$$

Note that the matrix of $P := IP : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, i.e. the orthogonal projection onto $p^\perp$, is given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} |p_2|^2 & -p_1\bar{p}_2 \\ -\bar{p}_1 p_2 & |p_1|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that $PB^2\Phi^2 I$ is just the multiplication by the number

$$c(\kappa) = b_1^2(\kappa)|p_2|^2 e^{-i\pi\alpha} - b_2^2(\kappa)|p_1|^2 e^{i\pi\alpha}, \tag{23}$$

with $b_1(\kappa) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{2^\alpha}\kappa^\alpha$ and $b_2(\kappa) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{2^{1-\alpha}}\kappa^{(1-\alpha)}$.

In the special case $\alpha = 1/2$, the matrices B and Φ have the special form $B = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\kappa^{1/2}$ and $\phi = e^{-i\pi/4}$. Clearly, one also has $b_1 = b_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\kappa^{1/2} := b$ and $c(\kappa) = -ib^2$. In that case, the expression (22) can be rewritten as

$$S = i\left[\frac{\pi\kappa/2 - i\ell}{\pi\kappa/2 + i\ell}P + (P - 1)\right]J \tag{24}$$

which is the product of unitary operators and thus is unitary. Furthermore, the dependence in κ is continuous and the asymptotic value is easily determined. This proves statement (iii)

If $\alpha \neq 1/2$, let us rewrite S as

$$S = \Phi(c(\kappa) + \ell)^{-1}[2i\sin(\pi\alpha)BPB + c(\kappa) + \ell]\Phi J. \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, by setting $X_- := (b_1^2|p_2|^2 - b_2^2|p_1|^2)$ and $X_+ := (b_1^2|p_2|^2 + b_2^2|p_1|^2)$ one has

$$c(\kappa) + \ell = \cos(\pi\alpha)X_- + \ell - i\sin(\pi\alpha)X_+$$

and

$$M := 2i \sin(\pi\alpha)BPB + c(\kappa) + \ell$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha}X_- + \ell & -2i\sin(\pi\alpha)b_1 b_2 p_1 \bar{p}_2 \\ -2i\sin(\pi\alpha)b_1 b_2 \bar{p}_1 p_2 & e^{-i\pi\alpha}X_- + \ell \end{pmatrix}.$$

With these notations, the unitary of S easily follows from the equality $\det(M) = |c(\kappa)+\ell|^2$. The continuity in κ of all the expressions also implies the expected continuity of the map (20). Finally, by taking (23) and the explicit form of M into account, the asymptotic values of $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa)$ for the cases (iv) and (v) can readily be obtained.

(IV) Let us now consider the behavior of the scattering matrix near the zero energy. If $C = 0$, then $\det(D) \neq 0$ and one can use (21) with $L = 0$. The statement (a) follows easily.

(V) Assume that $\det(C) \neq 0$. In this case, it directly follows from (16) that $\widetilde{S}_\alpha^{CD}(0) = 0$, and then $S(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ which proves (b).

(VI) We now assume that $\dim[\ker(C)] = 1$ and consider two cases.

Firstly, if $\det(D) \neq 0$ we can assume as in (II) that $D = 1$ and that C is self-adjoint and use again (21). Introducing the entries of L,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & l_{12} \\ \overline{l_{12}} & l_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

one obtains

$$\frac{B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J + i\sin(\pi\alpha)}{B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J - i\sin(\pi\alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{b_1^2 l_{22} e^{-i\pi\alpha} - b_2^2 l_{11} e^{i\pi\alpha} - b_1^2 b_2^2}$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1^2 l_{22} e^{i\pi\alpha} - b_2^2 l_{11} e^{i\pi\alpha} - b_1^2 b_2^2 e^{2i\pi\alpha} & b_1 b_2 l_{12}(e^{-i\pi\alpha} - e^{i\pi\alpha}) \\ b_1 b_2 \overline{l_{12}}(e^{-i\pi\alpha} - e^{i\pi\alpha}) & b_1^2 l_{22} e^{-i\pi\alpha} - b_2^2 l_{11} e^{-i\pi\alpha} - b_1^2 b_2^2 e^{-2i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\alpha \neq 1/2$ one easily obtains the result stated in (d) and (e). For $\alpha = 1/2$, it follows that

$$\lim_{\kappa \to 0+} \frac{B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J + i\sin(\pi\alpha)}{B^{-1}LB^{-1} + \cos(\pi\alpha)J - i\sin(\pi\alpha)} = \frac{2}{\mathrm{tr}(L)}L - 1,$$

and it only remains to observe that $L = \mathrm{tr}(L)\Pi$, where Π is the orthogonal projection on $\ker(L)^\perp = \ker(C)^\perp$. This proves (c).

Secondly, let us assume that $\dim[\ker(D)] = 1$. By (11), there exists $U \in U(2)$ such that $\ker(C) = \ker(1 - U)$ and $\ker(D) = \ker(1 + U)$. As a consequence, one has $\ker(C) = \ker(D)^\perp$ and then $P = 1 - \Pi$. On the other hand, we can use the expressions for the scattering operator obtained in (III).

However, observe that $CI = C|_{\ker(D)^\perp} = C|_{\ker(C)} = 0$ so we only have to consider these expressions in the special case $\ell = 0$. The asymptotic at 0 energy are then easily deduced from these expressions.

By summing the results obtained for $\det(D) \neq 0$ and for $\dim[\ker(D)] = 1$, and since $D = 0$ is not allowed if $\det(C) = 0$, one proves the cases (c), (d) and (e). □

Remark 15. As can be seen from the proof, the scattering matrix is independent of the energy in the following cases only:

- $D = 0$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,
- $C = 0$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, see (21),
- $\ker(C) = \ker(D)^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, see (25),
- $\ker(C) = \ker(D)^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, see (25),
- $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\det(C) = \det(D) = 0$, then $S_\alpha^{CD}(\kappa) = (2P - 1)\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, where P is the orthogonal projection on $\ker(D)^\perp \equiv \ker(C)$, see (24).

7. Final Remarks

As mentioned before, the parametrization of the self-adjoint extensions of H_α with the pair (C, D) satisfying (7) is highly non unique. For the sake of convenience, we recall here a one-to-one parametrization of all self-adjoint extensions and reinterpret a part of the results obtained before in this framework.

So, let $U \in U(2)$ and set

$$C = C(U) := \frac{1}{2}(1 - U) \quad \text{and} \quad D = D(U) = \frac{i}{2}(1 + U). \qquad (26)$$

It is easy to check that C and D satisfy both conditions (7). In addition, two different elements U, U' of $U(2)$ lead to two different self-adjoint operators H_α^{CD} and $H_\alpha^{C'D'}$ with $C = C(U), D = D(U), C' = C(U')$ and $D' = D(U')$, cf. [17]. Thus, without ambiguity we can write H_α^U for the operator H_α^{CD} with C, D given by (26). Moreover, the set $\{H_\alpha^U \mid U \in U(2)\}$ describes all self-adjoint extensions of H_α, and, by (10), the map $U \to H_\alpha^U$ is continuous in the norm resolvent topology. Let us finally mention that the normalization of the above map has been chosen such that $H_\alpha^{-1} \equiv H_\alpha^{10} = H_\alpha^{AB}$.

Obviously, we could use various parametrizations for the set $U(2)$. For example, one could set

$$U = U(\eta, a, b) = e^{i\eta} \begin{pmatrix} a & -\overline{b} \\ b & \overline{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\eta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, which is the parametrization used in [2] (note nevertheless that the role of the unitary parameter was quite

different). We could also use the parametrization inspired by [13]:

$$U = U(\omega, a, b, q) = e^{i\omega} \begin{pmatrix} qe^{ia} & -(1-q^2)^{1/2}e^{-ib} \\ (1-q^2)^{1/2}e^{ib} & qe^{-ia} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\omega, a, b \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $q \in [0, 1]$. However, the following formulae look much simpler without such an arbitrary choice, and such a particularization can always be performed later on.

We can now rewrite part of the previous results in terms of U:

Lemma 16. *Let $U \in U(2)$. Then,*

(i) *For $z \in \rho(H_\alpha^{AB}) \cap \rho(H_\alpha^U)$ the resolvent equation holds:*

$$(H_\alpha^U - z)^{-1} - (H_\alpha^{AB} - z)^{-1} = -\gamma(z)[(1+U)M(z) + i(1-U)]^{-1}(1+U)\gamma(\bar{z})^*,$$

(ii) *The number of negative eigenvalues of H_α^U coincides with the number of negative eigenvalues of the matrix $i(U - U^*)$,*

(iii) *The value $z \in \mathbb{R}_-$ is an eigenvalue of H_α^U if and only if $\det((1+U)M(z) + i(1-U)) = 0$, and in that case one has*

$$\ker(H_\alpha^U - z) = \gamma(z)\ker((1+U)M(z) + i(1-U)).$$

The wave operators can also be rewritten in terms of the single parameter U. We shall not do it here but simply express the asymptotic values of the scattering operator $S_\alpha^U := S(H_\alpha^U, H_0)$ in terms of U. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue of U, we denote by $\mathcal{V}_\lambda$ the corresponding eigenspace.

Proposition 17. *One has:*

(i) *If $U = -1$, then $S_\alpha^U(\kappa) \equiv S_\alpha^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(ii) *If $-1 \notin \sigma(U)$, then $S_\alpha^U(+\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(iii) *If $-1 \in \sigma(U)$ with multiplicity one and $\alpha = 1/2$, then $S_\alpha^U(+\infty) = (2\mathrm{P} - 1)\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{V}_{-1}^\perp$,*

(iv) *If $\mathcal{V}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or if $-1 \in \sigma(U)$ with multiplicity one, $\alpha < 1/2$ and $\mathcal{V}_{-1} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^U(+\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(v) *If $\mathcal{V}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix}$ or if $-1 \in \sigma(U)$ with multiplicity one, $\alpha > 1/2$ and $\mathcal{V}_{-1} \neq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^U(+\infty) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$.*

Furthermore,

(a) *If $U = 1$, then $S_\alpha^U(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(b) *If $1 \notin \sigma(U)$, then $S_\alpha^U(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,*

(c) *If $1 \in \sigma(U)$ with multiplicity one and $\alpha = 1/2$, then $S_\alpha^U(0) = (1 - 2\Pi)\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, where Π is the orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{V}_1^\perp$.*

(d) *If $\mathcal{V}_1 = \binom{0}{\mathbb{C}}$ or if $1 \in \sigma(U)$ with multiplicity one, $\alpha > 1/2$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 \neq \binom{\mathbb{C}}{0}$, then*
$S_\alpha^U(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,

(e) *If $\mathcal{V}_1 = \binom{\mathbb{C}}{0}$ or if $1 \in \sigma(U)$ with multiplicity one, $\alpha < 1/2$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 \neq \binom{0}{\mathbb{C}}$, then*
$S_\alpha^U(0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 18. The scattering matrix is independent of the energy in the following cases only:

- $U = -1$, then $S_\alpha^U(\kappa) \equiv S_\alpha^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$,
- $U = 1$, then $S_\alpha^U(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, see (21),
- $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^U(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, see (25),
- $U = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $S_\alpha^U(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$, see (25),
- $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\sigma(U) = \{-1, 1\}$, then $S_\alpha^U = (2\mathrm{P} - 1)\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, where P is the orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{V}_1$, see (24).

Acknowledgment

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, Vol. 55 (U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964).

[2] R. Adami and A. Teta, On the Aharonov–Bohm Hamiltonian, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **43** (1998) 43–54.

[3] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory, *Phys. Rev.* **115** (1959) 485–491.

[4] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, with an Appendix by P. Exner, 2nd edn. (AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2005).

[5] S. Albeverio and K. Pankrashkin, A remark on Krein's resolvent formula and boundary conditions, *J. Phys. A* **38** (2005) 4859–4864.

[6] W. O. Amrein, J. M. Jauch and K. B. Sinha, *Scattering Theory in Quantum Mechanics*, Lecture Notes and Supplements in Physics, Vol. 16 (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1977).

[7] M. Ballesteros and R. Weder, High-velocity estimates for the scattering operator and Aharonov–Bohm effect in three dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **285** (2009) 345–398.

[8] M. Ballesteros and R. Weder, Aharonov–Bohm effect and Tonomura *et al.* experiments, rigorous results, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 122108, 54 pp.

[9] M. V. Berry, Aptly named Aharonov–Bohm effect has classical analogue, long history, *Phys. Today* **63** (2010) 8 pp.

[10] L. Bruneau, J. Dereziński and V. Georgescu, Homogeneous Schrödinger operators on half-line, preprint; arXiv: 0911.5569.

[11] J. Brüning and V. Geyler, Scattering on compact manifolds with infinitely thin horns, *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003) 371–405.

[12] J. Brüning, V. Geyler and K. Pankrashkin, Spectra of self-adjoint extensions and applications to solvable Schrödinger operators, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **20** (2008) 1–70.

[13] L. Dąbrowski and P. Šťovíček, Aharonov–Bohm effect with δ-type interaction, *J. Math. Phys.* **39** (1998) 47–62.

[14] V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, Generalized resolvents and the boundary value problems for Hermitian operators with gaps, *J. Funct. Anal.* **95** (1991) 1–95.

[15] P. Exner, P. Šťovíček and P. Vytřas, Generalised boundary conditions for the Aharonov–Bohm effect combined with a homogeneous magnetic field, *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 2151–2168.

[16] N. Goloshchapova and L. Oridoroga, The one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with point δ- and δ'-interactions, *Math. Notes* **84** (2008) 125–129.

[17] M. Harmer, Hermitian symplectic geometry and extension theory, *J. Phys. A* **33** (2000) 9193–9203.

[18] A. Jensen, Time-delay in potential scattering theory, some "geometric" results, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **82**(3) (1981/82) 435–456.

[19] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Classics in Mathematics, reprint of the 1980 edition (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995).

[20] J. Kellendonk and S. Richard, Weber–Schafheitlin type integrals with exponent 1, *Int. Transf. Spec. Funct.* **20** (2009) 147–153.

[21] O. Lisovyy, Aharonov–Bohm effect on the Poincaré disk, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007) 052112, 17 p.

[22] F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Mellin Transforms* (Springer-Verlag, 1974).

[23] K. Pankrashkin, Resolvents of self-adjoint extensions with mixed boundary conditions, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **58** (2006) 207–221.

[24] A. Posilicano, Self-adjoint extensions of restrictions, *Oper. Matrices* **2** (2008) 483–506.

[25] S. Richard, New formulae for the Aharonov–Bohm wave operators, in *Spectral and Scattering Theory for Quantum Magnetic Systems*, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 500 (AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2009), pp. 159–168.

[26] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, The Aharonov–Bohm effect and scattering theory, *Ann. Phys.* **146**(1) (1983) 1–34.

[27] H. Tamura, Magnetic scattering at low energy in two-dimensions, *Nagoya Math. J.* **155** (1999) 95–151.

[28] H. Tamura, Norm resolvent convergence to magnetic Schrödinger operators with point interactions, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13**(4) (2001) 465–511.

[29] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd edn. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1966).

[30] R. Weder, The Aharonov–Bohm effect and time-dependent inverse scattering theory, *Inverse Problems* **18** (2002) 1041–1056.

[31] D. R. Yafaev, *Mathematical Scattering Theory. General Theory*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 105 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992).