# ON THE SEMI-SIMPLE CASE OF THE GALOIS BRUMER-STARK CONJECTURE FOR MONOMIAL GROUPS

#### X.-F. ROBLOT

ABSTRACT. In a previous work, we stated a conjecture, called the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture, that generalizes the (abelian) Brumer-Stark conjecture to Galois extensions. Other generalizations of the Brumer-Stark conjecture to non-abelian Galois extensions are due to Nickel. Nomura proved that the Brumer-Stark conjecture implies the weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture of Nickel when the group is monomial. In this paper, we use the methods of Nomura to prove that the Brumer-Stark conjecture implies the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture for monomial groups in the semi-simple case.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let K/k be an abelian extension of number fields. The Brumer-Stark conjecture [14] predicts that a group ring element, called the Brumer-Stickelberger element, constructed from special values of L-functions associated to K/k, annihilates (after multiplication by a suitable factor) the ideal class group of K and specifies special properties for the generators obtained. In [5], we introduced a generalization of the conjecture to Galois extensions, called the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture. Later, in [6], we introduced a refined version of the conjecture that focused on the contribution of the non-linear irreducible characters. Since the new version in [6] supersedes the version in [5], we will from now on refer to it as the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture (and not call it anymore the refined Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture). Also, to avoid confusion, we call the original conjecture the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture.

In [10], Nickel introduced another generalization of the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture to Galois extensions and in [12], Nomura proved, among other things, that the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture implies the (weak) non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture of Nickel when the Galois group of K/k is monomial. In this paper, we adapt the method used by Nomura to prove that the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture implies the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture in the semi-simple case when the Galois group of K/k is monomial (see Theorem 3.1). Furthermore, using the fact that the local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture is known to hold in several cases, we prove unconditionally some cases of the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture (see Corollary 3.3).

### 2. The Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture

Before stating the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture, we recall the statement of the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture, see [15, IV.§6] or [14]. Let K/k be an abelian extension of number fields. Denote by G its Galois group. Let S be a finite set of places of k containing the infinite places and the finite places ramified in K. To

#### X.-F. ROBLOT

simplify matters, we assume that the cardinality of S is at least 2. The interested reader can refer to [15, Sec. IV.§6] for the statement of the conjecture when |S| = 1. For  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ , where  $\hat{G}$  denotes the group of irreducible characters of G, denote by  $L_{K/k,S}(s,\chi)$  the Hecke *L*-function of the character  $\chi$  with Euler factors associated to prime ideals in S deleted. The Brumer-Stickelberger element associated to the extension K/k and the set S is defined by

$$\theta_{K/k,S} := \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L_{K/k,S}(0,\chi) \, e_{\bar{\chi}}$$

where  $e_{\chi} := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) \sigma^{-1}$  is the idempotent of  $\chi$ . It follows from [7] (see also [4]) that

(2.1) 
$$\xi \theta_{K/k,S} \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$$

for any  $\xi \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mu_K)$ , the annihilator in  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  of the group  $\mu_K$  of roots of unity in K. In particular, we have  $w_K \theta_{K/k,S} \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$  where  $w_K$  denotes the cardinality of  $\mu_K$ . We need one last notation before stating the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture. We say that a non-zero element  $\alpha \in K$  is an anti-unit if all its conjugates have absolute value equal to 1. The group of anti-units of K is denoted by  $K^{\circ}$ .

**Conjecture 2.1** (The abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture  $\mathbf{BS}(K/k, S)$ ). For any fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of K, the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}^{w_K \theta_{K/k,S}}$  is principal and admits a generator  $\alpha \in K^\circ$  such that  $K(\alpha^{1/w_K})/k$  is abelian.

We refer to [5, §2] for a review of the current state of the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture. The following consequence of the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture will be useful later on. (The conclusion of the proposition is known as the Brumer conjecture; thus, the proposition just states the well-known fact that the Brumer-Stark conjecture implies the Brumer conjecture.)

**Proposition 2.2.** Assume that the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture  $\mathbf{BS}(K/k, S)$  holds. Let  $\xi \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mu_K)$ . Then,  $\xi \theta_{K/k,S}$  annihilates the class group  $\operatorname{Cl}_K$  of K.

*Proof.* Under the assumption that  $\mathbf{BS}(K/k, S)$  holds, there exists by [14, Proposition §2] a family  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  of elements of  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mu_K)$ , generating  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mu_K)$ , and such that  $a_i \theta_{K/k,S}$  annihilates  $\operatorname{Cl}_K$  for all  $i \in I$ . The result follows directly.  $\Box$ 

We now introduce the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture (more precisely, as noted in the introduction, the refined version stated in [6]). Assume now that K/k is a Galois extension of number fields. Denote by G its Galois group. Let S be a finite set of places of k containing the infinite places and the finite places ramified in K. Assume that the cardinality of S is at least 2. Denote by  $\hat{G}^{(>1)}$  the set of non-linear irreducible characters of G and define the non-linear Brumer-Stickelberger element by

(2.2) 
$$\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} := \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}^{(>1)}} L_{K/k,S}(0,\chi) e_{\bar{\chi}}$$

where, for  $\chi \in \hat{G}^{(>1)}$ ,  $L_{K/k,S}(s,\chi)$  denotes the Artin *L*-function of  $\chi$  with Euler factors associated to prime ideals in *S* deleted, and

$$e_{\chi} := \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) \sigma^{-1}$$

is the central idempotent of  $\chi$ . It follows from the principal rank zero Stark conjecture, proved by Tate [15], that the non-linear Brumer-Stickelberger element lies in  $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ . Denote by [G,G] the commutator subgroup of G, i.e., the subgroup of G generated by the commutators  $[g_1,g_2] := g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}$  with  $g_1,g_2 \in G$ . Let  $G^{ab} := G/[G,G]$  be the maximal abelian quotient of G and let  $K^{ab} := K^{[G,G]}$  be the maximal sub-extension of K/k that is abelian over k; we have  $\operatorname{Gal}(K^{ab}/k) = G^{ab}$ . Let  $s_G$  denote the order of [G,G], let  $m_G$  be the lcm of the cardinalities of the conjugacy classes of G, and let  $d_G$  be the lcm of  $m_G$  and  $s_G$ .

**Conjecture 2.3** (The Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture  $\mathbf{BS}_{\text{Gal}}(K/k, S)$ ). Let K/k be a Galois extension of number fields and let S be a finite set of places of k that contains the infinite places and the finite places that ramify in K with  $|S| \ge 2$ . Then,  $\mathbf{BS}(K^{\text{ab}}/k, S)$  holds, we have  $d_G \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$  and, for any fractional ideal

 $\mathfrak{A}$  of K, the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}^{d_G \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}}$  is principal and admits a generator in  $K^{\circ}$ .

generator in  $K^{\circ}$ .

For p a prime, denote by  $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}\{p\}$  the p-part of  $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}$ , that is the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}$  of classes of p-power order.

**Conjecture 2.4** (The local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture  $\mathbf{BS}_{Gal}^{(p)}(K/k, S)$ ). Let K/k be a Galois extension of number fields and let S be a finite set of places of k that contains the infinite places and the finite places that ramify in K with  $|S| \ge 2$ . Then, the local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture at p for the extension  $K^{ab}/k$  and the set of places S holds, we have  $d_G \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$  and, for any fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of K whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}^{d_G} \theta_{K/k,S}^{(<)}$  is principal and admits a

The statement of the local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture at p is that, for all ideals  $\mathfrak{A}$  whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}^{w_K\theta_{K/k,S}}$  is principal and admits a generator  $\alpha \in K^\circ$  such that  $K(\alpha^{1/w_{K,p}})/k$  is abelian where  $w_{K,p}$  is the order of the p-part of the group of roots of unity in K, see [8]. One checks readily that the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture is equivalent to the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture at p for all primes p. Some evidence for these conjectures is given in [6]. Relations between the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture and the weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture of Nickel are discussed in the appendix of [5]. To conclude this section, we prove that the local versions of the weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture and of the Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture are equivalent for primes pnot dividing  $w_K|G|$ . First, we recall briefly the statement of the local weak nonabelian Brumer-Stark conjecture, see [10] for more details.

Let K/k be a Galois CM-extension with group G. Let S be a finite set of places of k such that S contains the infinite places of k and the finite places of k that ramify in K/k. Let  $\operatorname{Hyp}(S)$  denote the set of finite sets T of places of k such that: S and T are disjoint, and the group  $E_K(S,T)$  is torsion-free. Here,  $E_K(S,T)$  denotes the group of (S,T)-units of K, that is the group of elements  $u \in K^{\times}$  such that  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(u) = 0$  for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}$  of K such that  $(\mathfrak{P} \cap k) \notin S$  and  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{Q}}$  for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{Q}$  of K such that  $(\mathfrak{Q} \cap k) \in T$ . For  $T \in \operatorname{Hyp}(S)$ , define

$$\delta_T := \operatorname{nr}\Big(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in T} 1 - \sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})\Big)$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a fixed prime ideal of K above  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is the Frobenius element of  $\mathfrak{P}$  in G, and  $\operatorname{nr} : \mathbb{Q}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Q}[G])$  is the reduced norm (see [13, §9]). Let  $\Lambda'$  denote a

fixed maximal order of  $\mathbb{Q}[G]$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{F}(G) := \{x \in Z(\Lambda') : x\Lambda' \subset \mathbb{Z}[G]\}$  the central conductor of  $\Lambda'$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ .

**Conjecture 2.5** (The local weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture [10]). Let  $\mathfrak{w}_K := \operatorname{nr}(w_K)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{w}_K \theta_{K/k,S} \in Z(\Lambda') \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Furthermore, for any fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of K whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$  and for each  $x \in \mathfrak{F}(G)$ , there exists an anti-unit  $\alpha_x \in K^\circ$  such that

$$\mathfrak{A}^{x\mathfrak{w}_K\theta_{K/k,S}} = \alpha_x \mathcal{O}_K$$

and, for any set of places  $T \in \text{Hyp}(S \cup S_{\alpha_x})$ , there exists  $\alpha_{x,T} \in E_K(S_{\alpha_x},T)$  such that, for all  $z \in \mathfrak{F}(G)$ 

$$\alpha_r^{z\delta_T} = \alpha_r^{z\mathfrak{w}_K}$$

where  $S_{\alpha_x}$  is the set of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of k such that  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(N_{K/k}(\alpha_x)) \neq 0$ .

Observe that the conjecture stated above is slightly different from the original conjecture given by Nickel in [10]. Indeed, Nickel does not state explicitly the local conjecture in this paper but writes instead that one should restrict to ideals whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$  in the global conjecture to get the local conjecture at p. In particular, the local conjecture does not have an specific statement on where  $\mathfrak{w}_K \theta_{K/k,S}$  should lie. However, it seems reasonable to only asks for  $\mathfrak{w}_K \theta_{K/k,S}$  to be in  $Z(\Lambda') \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  in this case.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let K/k be a Galois CM-extension of number fields with Galois group G and let S be a finite set of places of k that contains the infinite places and the finite places that ramify in K with  $|S| \ge 2$ . Let p be a prime number not dividing  $w_K|G|$ . Then, the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture  $\mathbf{BS}_{Gal}^{(p)}(K/k, S)$ is equivalent to the local weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture at p for the extension K/k and the set of prime ideals S.

*Proof.* We will use the following fact several times whose proof is direct and left to the reader: let t be an integer not divisible by p and let H be a group of fractional ideals containing the principal ideals and all the ideals  $\mathfrak{B}^t$  where  $\mathfrak{B}$  runs through the fractional ideals of K whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ . Then, H is the group of fractional ideals whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ .

Assume that  $\mathbf{BS}_{\text{Gal}}^{(p)}(K/k, S)$  holds. Then,  $\theta_{K/k,S} \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_p[G])$  and therefore we have  $\mathfrak{w}_K \theta_{K/k,S} \in Z(\Lambda') \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = Z(\mathbb{Z}_p[G])$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a fractional ideal of K whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ . By [5, Prop. A.1], for any  $x \in \mathfrak{F}(G)$ , there exists  $\beta_x \in K^\circ$  such that

$$\mathfrak{B}^{d_G x \mathfrak{w}_K \theta_{K/k,S}} = \beta_x \mathcal{O}_K$$

and, for any set of places  $T \in \text{Hyp}(S \cup S_{\beta_x})$ , there exists  $\beta_{x,T} \in K^{\times}$  with  $\beta_{x,T}^{w_K} \in E_K(S_{\beta_x},T)$  such that, for all  $z \in \mathfrak{F}(G)$ 

$$\beta_x^{z\delta_T} = \beta_{x,T}^{z\mathfrak{w}_K}.$$

Observe that the proof of [5, Prop. A.1] uses the original formulation of the (global) Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture but that is not a concern since the refined version that we use now implies the original conjecture; furthermore, one can check readily that the local version of the conjecture is enough for the proof of the result in this case. Let  $\mathfrak{A} := \mathfrak{B}^{d_G w_K}$ . We set  $\alpha_x := \beta_x^{w_K}$  and  $\alpha_{x,T} := \beta_{x,T}^{w_K} \in E_K(S_{\beta_x},T) =$  $E_K(S_{\alpha_x},T)$  for all  $T \in \text{Hyp}(S \cup S_{\beta_x}) = \text{Hyp}(S \cup S_{\alpha_x})$ . Then, it is direct to check that these elements satisfy the required properties for the statement of the local

4

weak non-abelian conjecture to be satisfied for the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since it is proved in [10] that the set of ideals satisfying the properties of the weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture is a group containing the principal ideals, it follows by the above remark that the local weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture holds at p for the extension K/k and the set S.

Reciprocally, assume that the local weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture holds at p for the extension K/k and the set S. We first prove that this implies that the local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture holds at p for the extension  $K^{ab}/k$  and the set S. Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be a fractional ideal of  $K^{ab}$  whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_{K^{ab}}\{p\}$ , thus the class of  $\mathfrak{bO}_K$  is in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ . Thanks to [13, Th. 41.1], we can take  $x = |G| \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  in the local weak non-abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture, and thus there exists  $\beta_0 \in K^\circ$ such that  $(\mathfrak{bO}_K)^{|G|\mathfrak{w}_K\theta_{K/k,S}} = \beta_0\mathcal{O}_K$ . Taking norms down to  $K^{ab}$  and using the properties of the Brumer-Stickelberger element, we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{b}^{s_G|G|w_K\theta_{K^{\mathrm{ab}}/k,S}} = \mathfrak{a}^{w_K\theta_{K^{\mathrm{ab}}/k,S}} = \alpha_0 \mathcal{O}_{K^{\mathrm{ab}}}$$

where  $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{b}^{s_G|G|}$  and  $\alpha_0 := N_{K/K^{ab}}(\beta_0) \in (K^{ab})^\circ$ . Now, since p does not divide  $w_K$ , we have  $w_{K,p} = 1$  and thus  $K^{ab}(\alpha_0^{1/w_{K,p}}) = K^{ab}$  is abelian over k. The set of ideals that satisfy the local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture is a group containing the principal ideals therefore, by the remark at the start of the proof, the local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture holds at p for the extension  $K^{ab}/k$  and the set S. To prove the part of the statement of the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture concerning the non-linear Brumer-Stickelberger element, we proceed in a similar way. Observe that it follows from [5, Eq. (12)] that one can write  $\theta_{K/k,S} = \theta_0 + \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}$  with  $s_G w_K \theta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ . In particular, we have  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$  and thus  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ . Now, let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a fractional ideal of K whose class is in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ . Let  $\ell$  be the maximum of the  $\chi(1)$ 's for  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ . Let

$$x = |G|^2 \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}^{(>1)}} w_K^{\ell - \chi(1)} e_\chi \in |G|\mathbb{Z}[G].$$

As noted above  $|G| \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ , therefore  $x \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  and there exists  $\alpha \in K^{\circ}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}^{x\mathfrak{w}_{K}\theta_{K/k,S}} = \alpha \mathcal{O}_{K}$ . Observe that

$$x\mathfrak{w}_K\theta_{K/k,S} = |G|^2 w_K^\ell \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A} := \mathfrak{B}^{|G|^2 w_K^{\ell}/d_G}$ . Then, we have  $\mathfrak{A}^{d_G \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}} = \alpha \mathcal{O}_K$ . Since the set of ideals that satisfy the statement of the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture is group containing the principal ideals, it follows that the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture holds at p for the extension K/k and the set of places S. This concludes the proof.

## 3. The semi-simple case for monomial group

In this section, we prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let K/k be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G and let S be a finite set of places of k that contains the infinite places and the finite places that ramify in K with  $|S| \ge 2$ . Assume that the group G is monomial and that the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture  $BS(E/F, S_F)$  holds for any abelian extension E/F contained in K/k where  $S_F$  denotes the set of places of F above

X.-F. ROBLOT

the places in S. Let p be a prime number such that  $p \nmid |G|$ . Then, the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture  $\mathbf{BS}^{(p)}_{Gal}(K/k, S)$  holds.

*Proof.* In order to prove Theorem 3.1, it is enough to prove the following two facts:

- (1)  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G],$ (2)  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}$  annihilates  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}.$

Indeed,  $d_G$  is a divisor of G and thus it is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ , therefore  $d_G \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in$  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$  if and only if  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ . Now, assume that  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}$  annihilates  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ then, by the previous remark, that means that  $d_G \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}$  also annihilates  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ . The only thing remaining to prove is that, for any ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of K whose class lies in  $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}\{p\}$ , one can find a generator of  $\mathfrak{A}^{d_{G}\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}}$  that is an anti-unit. But, since p is odd (otherwise the conjecture is trivially true, see Remark 2.2 of [6]), this is always possible using the trick explained on page 299 of [8].

We now prove the two assertions. As we mentioned in the introduction, the method we use is a direct adaptation of the method used by Nomura in [12]. Since the result is trivial if G is abelian, we assume from now on that G is non-abelian. Let  $\nu$  be a character defined on some subgroup  $H_{\nu}$  of G. (From now on, we will always use the notation  $H_{\nu}$  to denote the subgroup of G on which the character  $\nu$ is defined.) For  $g \in G$ , define the character  $\nu[g]$  of  $g^{-1}H_{\nu}g$  by  $\nu[g](x) := \nu(gxg^{-1})$ for all  $x \in g^{-1}H_{\nu}g$ . Note that  $\chi[g] = \chi[g']$  if g and g' are in the same right coset of G modulo  $H_{\nu}$ . Observe that  $\nu^{G} = (\nu[g])^{G}$  for all  $g \in G$ , where we denote by  $\nu^{G}$ the induced character of  $\nu$  on G (see [9, Chapter 5]). In particular, for  $\chi \in \hat{G}^{(>1)}$ , the group G acts on the set of linear characters  $\nu$  defined on some subgroup  $H_{\nu}$  of G and such that  $\nu^G = \chi$ . (This is a non-empty set by hypothesis.) We denote by  $\Omega(\chi)$  a fixed orbit of this set under the action of G. Then, we have

(3.3) 
$$\chi = \sum_{\nu \in \Omega(\chi)} i$$

where  $\dot{\nu}$  denotes the function of G obtained by setting  $\dot{\nu}(x) := \nu(x)$  if  $x \in H_{\nu}$  and  $\dot{\nu}(x) := 0$  otherwise. In particular, it follows that

(3.4) 
$$e_{\chi} = \sum_{\nu \in \Omega(\chi)} e_{\nu}.$$

For  $\nu \in \Omega(\chi)$ , we denote by  $\pi_{\nu} : H_{\nu} \to H_{\nu} / \operatorname{Ker}(\nu)$  the canonical surjection and by  $\hat{\nu}$  the unique linear character of  $H_{\nu}/\operatorname{Ker}(\nu)$  such that  $\nu = \hat{\nu} \circ \pi_{\nu}$ . We also associate to  $\nu$  two extensions:  $E_{\nu} := K^{\operatorname{Ker}(\nu)}$  and  $F_{\nu} := K^{H_{\nu}}$ . Thus,  $E_{\nu}/F_{\nu}$  is a cyclic extension with Galois group isomorphic to  $H_{\nu}/\operatorname{Ker}(\nu)$ . Finally, we define  $S_{\nu}$ to be the set of places of  $F_{\nu}$  above the places in S. From the properties of Artin L-functions, we see that

$$\chi(\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}) = L_{K/k,S}(\bar{\chi},0) = L_{K/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}(\bar{\nu},0) = L_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}(\bar{\nu},0) = \hat{\nu}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}).$$

Let  $\Omega := \bigcup_{\chi \in \hat{G}^{(>1)}} \Omega(\chi)$  (note that it is a disjoint union). Combining the previous equalities with (2.2) and (3.4), we obtain the following identity (which is the nonlinear equivalent of [12, Lemma 4.4])

(3.5) 
$$\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} = \sum_{\nu \in \Omega} \hat{\nu}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) e_{\nu}$$

The following result plays a crucial role in the proof.

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\nu \in \Omega$ . Define  $T_{\nu} := \pi_{\nu}(H_{\nu} \cap [G,G])$  and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\nu} := \sum_{c \in T_{\nu}} (1 - c).$$

Then, the element  $\mathcal{A}_{\nu}$  annihilates the group of roots of unity of  $E_{\nu}$  and we have  $\hat{\nu}(\mathcal{A}_{\nu}) = t_{\nu}$  where  $t_{\nu} := |T_{\nu}|$ .

Proof of the lemma. The first assertion follows from the fact that elements of  $T_{\nu}$  are image of elements of [G, G], thus they act trivially on roots of unity. For the second assertion, fix  $g \in G \setminus H_{\nu}$  (g exists since  $\chi$  is non-linear). Since  $\nu^{G}$  is irreducible, it follows from Mackey's irreducibility criterion that the restriction to  $H_{\nu} \cap g^{-1}H_{\nu}g$ of  $\nu$  and  $\nu[g]$  do not have a common irreducible constituent. Since the characters  $\nu$ and  $\nu[g]$  are linear characters, this implies that there exists  $h \in H_{\nu} \cap g^{-1}H_{\nu}g$  such that  $\nu[g](h) \neq \nu(h)$ , i.e.,  $\nu(ghg^{-1}h^{-1}) \neq 1$ . Therefore,  $H_{\nu} \cap [G, G]$  is not contained in the kernel of  $\nu$  and  $T_{\nu}$  is non-trivial. It follows that

$$\hat{\nu}(\mathcal{A}_{\nu}) = t_{\nu} - \sum_{c \in T_{\nu}} \hat{\nu}(c) = t_{\nu}$$

and the lemma is proved.

6

We prove the first assertion, that is  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ . Let  $\nu \in \Omega$ . First, note that  $t_{\nu}\hat{\nu}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) = \hat{\nu}(\mathcal{A}_{\nu}\,\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}})$  is an algebraic integer. Indeed, since the extension  $E_{\nu}/F_{\nu}$  is abelian, it follows from (2.1) and Lemma 3.2 that  $\mathcal{A}_{\nu}\,\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}$ lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[H_{\nu}/\operatorname{Ker}(\nu)]$ . But, the integer  $t_{\nu}$  divides |G| and therefore  $|G|\,\hat{\nu}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}})$ is an algebraic integer for all  $\nu \in \Omega$ . Since  $|G|e_{\nu}$  is also an algebraic integer for all  $\nu \in \Omega$ , we deduce using (3.5) that the coefficients of  $|G|^2 \theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}$  are all algebraic integers and, since it is rational, it lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ . Finally, since p does not divide |G|, we get that  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ .

We prove the second assertion, i.e.,  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}$  annihilates  $\operatorname{Cl}_K\{p\}$ . Let  $\nu$  be a character of a subgroup  $H_{\nu}$  of G and let  $\sigma \in \Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . We denote by  $\nu^{\sigma}$  the character of  $H_{\nu}$  defined by  $\nu^{\sigma}(x) := \sigma(\nu(x))$  for all  $x \in H_{\nu}$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\nu$  via its quotient  $\Gamma(\nu) := \Gamma/\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\nu)$  which is also the Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}(\nu)/\mathbb{Q}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}(\nu)$  is the extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  generated by the values of  $\nu$ . Assume now that  $\nu \in \Omega(\chi)$  with  $\chi \in \hat{G}^{(>1)}$ . We see that  $\Gamma(\nu) = \Gamma(\hat{\nu})$ , but  $\Gamma(\chi)$  is a quotient of  $\Gamma(\nu)$  where  $\chi := \nu^G$  (although we will not use this fact). Observe that, for  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)$ , we have  $H_{\nu^{\sigma}} = H_{\nu}$ ,  $\operatorname{Ker}(\nu^{\sigma}) = \operatorname{Ker}(\nu)$ ,  $\pi_{\nu^{\sigma}} = \pi_{\nu}$ ,  $T_{\nu^{\sigma}} = T_{\nu}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\nu^{\sigma}} = \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$ ,  $E_{\nu^{\sigma}} = E_{\nu}$ ,  $F_{\nu^{\sigma}} = F_{\nu}$ , and  $S_{\nu^{\sigma}} = S_{\nu}$ . Let  $\Omega_0$  be a set of representatives of  $\Omega$  under the action of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then, we can rewrite equation (3.5) as

(3.6) 
$$\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)} = \sum_{\nu \in \Omega_0} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) e_{\nu^{\sigma}}.$$

Now, for  $\nu \in \Omega_0$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)$ , one checks readily that  $e_{\nu\sigma} = \iota_{\nu\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)}$  where  $\iota_{\nu} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[H_{\nu}]$  is such that  $\pi_{\nu}(\iota_{\nu\sigma}) = e_{\hat{\nu}\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)} := \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Ker}(\nu)} x$ . Thus, we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) e_{\nu^{\sigma}} = \bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) \iota_{\nu^{\sigma}}\bigg) \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class in  $\operatorname{Cl}_K$  of *p*-power order. We compute

$$\begin{split} t_{\nu} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) e_{\nu^{\sigma}} \mathcal{C} &= \bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} t_{\nu} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) \iota_{\nu^{\sigma}}\bigg) \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)} \mathcal{C} \\ &= \bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{A}_{\nu} \, \theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) \iota_{\nu^{\sigma}}\bigg) N_{K/E_{\nu}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ &= \pi_{\nu} \bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{A}_{\nu} \, \theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) \iota_{\nu^{\sigma}}\bigg) N_{K/E_{\nu}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ &= \bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{A}_{\nu} \, \theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) e_{\hat{\nu}^{\sigma}}\bigg) N_{K/E_{\nu}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ &= \bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \mathcal{A}_{\nu} \, \theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}} e_{\hat{\nu}^{\sigma}}\bigg) N_{K/E_{\nu}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{\nu} \, \theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}} \bigg(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} e_{\hat{\nu}^{\sigma}}\bigg) N_{K/E_{\nu}}(\mathcal{C}). \end{split}$$

The element  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} e_{\hat{\nu}^{\sigma}}$  is *p*-integral and thus  $\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} e_{\hat{\nu}^{\sigma}}\right) N_{K/E_{\nu}}(\mathcal{C})$  is welldefined and is a class in  $\operatorname{Cl}_{E_{\nu}}\{p\}$ . But, by Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 2.2,  $\mathcal{A}_{\nu} \, \theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}$ annihilates  $\operatorname{Cl}_{E_{\nu}}$ . Since  $t_{\nu}$  is prime to *p*, we deduce that the element  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)} \hat{\nu}^{\sigma}(\theta_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu},S_{\nu}}) e_{\hat{\nu}^{\sigma}}$ kills  $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}\{p\}$ . This is true for all  $\nu \in \Omega_{0}$ , hence we get by (3.6) that  $\theta_{K/k,S}^{(>1)}$  annihilates  $\operatorname{Cl}_{K}\{p\}$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

The local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture is known to hold unconditionally in many cases. Combining Theorem 3.1 and several results in [1] by Burns and Flach, and in [2] and [3] by Burns, Kurihara, and Sano, we can thus deduce cases where the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture is satisfied.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let K/k be a Galois CM-extension of number fields and let S be a finite set of places of k that contains the infinite places and the finite places that ramify in K with  $|S| \ge 2$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{Gal}(K/k)$  is monomial. Then, for any odd prime p such that p does not divide [K : k] and at least one of the two following condition is satisfied: (1) p is unramified in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , or (2) at most one prime ideal of k above p splits in  $K/K^+$ , the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture  $\operatorname{BS}_{\operatorname{Gal}}^{(p)}(K/k, S)$ holds.

Proof. Let E/F be an abelian CM-extension of number fields. It is known that the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture for the extension E/F follows from the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture [1] for the pair  $(h^0(\operatorname{Spec}(E)), \mathbb{Z}[H])$  where  $H := \operatorname{Gal}(E/F)$ . For example, using the results of [3], we get that this special case of the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture is equivalent to Conjecture 3.1 of *ibid* by Remark 3.2 of *ibid*, which is in turn equivalent to the 'leading term conjecture' (Conjecture 3.6 of *ibid*) and 'the leading term conjecture' implies the abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture by Remark 1.11(i) of *ibid*. More precisely, the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture for  $(h^0(\operatorname{Spec}(E)), \mathbb{Z}_p[H]^-)$  implies the local abelian Brumer-Stark conjecture at p for E/F. (Here,  $\mathbb{Z}_p[H]^- := \mathbb{Z}_p[H]/(1 + \tau)$  where  $\tau$  is the complex conjugation in H.) Therefore, cases where this special case of the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture is proved together with Theorem 3.1 yield cases where the local Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture holds unconditionally. Case (1) follows from Theorem 4 of [11]. Indeed, for any CM-subextension E/F of K/k, the prime p is unramified in E and thus the conditions of the theorem are satisfied by the remark just before the theorem; since  $p \nmid [E : F]$ , the condition on the vanishing of the Iwasawa  $\mu$ -invariant is not necessary (see Remark 6 of *ibid*). For case (2), we use [2, Cor. 1.2] since, in every abelian subextension E/F of K/k, there is at most one prime ideal of F above p that splits in  $E/E^+$ ; for the same reasons as in case (1), the condition on the vanishing of the Iwasawa  $\mu$ -invariant is not necessary.

#### References

- D. Burns and M. Flach. Tamagawa numbers for motives with (non-commutative) coefficients. Doc. Math., 6:501–570 (electronic), 2001.
- [2] D. Burns, M. Kurihara, and T. Sano. Iwasawa theory and zeta elements for G<sub>m</sub>. ArXiv e-prints, ArXiv:1506.07935, 2015.
- [3] D. Burns, M. Kurihara, and T. Sano. On zeta elements for  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . Doc. Math., 21:555–626, 2016.
- [4] Pi. Cassou-Noguès. Valeurs aux entiers négatifs des fonctions zêta et fonctions zêta p-adiques. Invent. Math., 51(1):29–59, 1979.
- [5] G. Dejou and X.-F. Roblot. A Brumer-Stark conjecture for non-abelian Galois extensions. J. Number Theory, 142:51–88, 2014.
- [6] G. Dejou and X.-F. Roblot. The Galois Brumer-Stark conjecture for SL<sub>2</sub>(F<sub>3</sub>)-extensions. Int. J. Number Theory, 12(1):165–188, 2016.
- [7] P. Deligne and K. Ribet. Values of abelian L-functions at negative integers over totally real fields. Invent. Math., 59(3):227–286, 1980.
- [8] C. Greither, X.-F. Roblot, and B. Tangedal. The Brumer-Stark conjecture in some families of extensions of specified degree. *Math. Comp.*, 73(245):297–315, 2004.
- [9] I. M. Isaacs. Character theory of finite groups. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.
- [10] A. Nickel. On non-abelian Stark-type conjectures. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 61(6):2577–2608, 2011.
- [11] A. Nickel. On the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture in tame CM-extensions. Math. Z., 268(1-2):1–35, 2011.
- [12] J. Nomura. On non-abelian Brumer and Brumer-Stark conjecture for monomial CMextensions. Int. J. Number Theory, 10(4):817–848, 2014.
- [13] I. Reiner. Maximal orders, volume 28 of London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [14] J. Tate. Brumer-Stark-Stickelberger. In Seminar on Number Theory, 1980–1981 (Talence, 1980–1981), pages 16, Exp. No. 24. Univ. Bordeaux I, Talence, 1981.
- [15] J. Tate. Les conjectures de Stark sur les fonctions L d'Artin en s = 0, volume 47 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1984.