

# BPS Black Holes, the Hesse Potential and the Topological String

Gabriel Lopes Cardoso

with Bernard de Wit and Swapna Mahapatra

April 7, 2010



INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO  
Universidade Técnica de Lisboa

# Motivation/Recapitulation

**Motivation:** study the relation of **four-dimensional BPS black holes** in  **$N = 2$  theories** with **topological string theory**.

- BPS black holes: charged, supported by complex scalar fields  $Y^I$
- **Free energy** = **Hesse potential**. "Hamiltonian" version of effective  $N = 2$  Lagrangian, **duality invariant**.
- **Question:** relation of Hesse potential  $\mathcal{H}$  to topological string theory?

$$e^{\mathcal{H}} = |Z_{\text{top}}|^2 \quad ? \quad (1)$$

**Microstates** of BPS black holes captured by topological string theory? (**OSV conjecture**)

- To study (1) construct **new variables** for the Hesse potential.

# Type II on a Calabi-Yau threefold

$N = 2$  theory: type II string theory on a **Calabi-Yau** threefold  $CY_3$ . **IIB**:

- No-where vanishing holomorphic three-form  $\omega$ .
- $\omega$  varies over the space of complex structure deformations  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Locally, **homogeneous** coordinates  $X^I$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  ( $I = 0, \dots, h^2, 1$ ),

$$\text{periods} \quad X^I = \int_{A^I} \omega \quad , \quad F_I^{(0)} = \int_{B_I} \omega \quad , \quad F_I^{(0)} = \partial F^{(0)}(X) / \partial X^I \quad ,$$

where  $(A^I, B_J)$  symplectic basis of  $H_3(CY_3, \mathbb{Z})$ .

- Different choices of symplectic basis differ by  $Sp(2 + 2h^2, 1, \mathbb{Z})$  transformations (**symplectic transformations**).
- **Period vector**  $(X^I, F_I^{(0)})$  undergoes symplectic transformations.
- Sometimes, change of basis can be **undone** by picking a different  $\omega$ . **Discrete symmetry group**  $\Gamma \subset Sp(2 + 2h^2, 1, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- **Period matrix**  $N_{IJ}^{(0)} = \text{Im} F_{IJ}^{(0)} \quad , \quad F_{IJ}^{(0)} = \partial F_I^{(0)} / \partial X^J$ .
- **Special coordinates**  $t^i = X^i / X^0 \quad , \quad X^0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, h^2, 1)$ .

# Topological String Theory (TST)

**Perturbative string theory:** CFT on 2d worldsheet  $\Sigma$ .

**TST:** arises by twisting the internal 2D CFT.

- **Cohomological theory:** correlation functions independent of worldsheet metric.
- **Perturbatively** defined in terms of an **asymptotic** expansion in the topological string coupling  $g_s$  (**complex**), with partition function

$$Z_{\text{top}} = \exp \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} g_s^{2g-2} F^{(g)}(t) \quad , \quad g_s^{-1} = X^0 \quad ,$$

topological free energies  $F^{(g)}$  computed as correlators on orientable Riemann surface  $\Sigma_g$  of genus  $g$ .

- Expect non-perturbative corrections of order  $e^{-1/g_s}$  to  $\ln Z_{\text{top}}$ .
- Naively, the twisting yields **holomorphic**  $F^{(g)}(t)$ . However:

**Obstruction, holomorphic anomaly.** BCOV 1993

- Hence:

$$Z_{\text{top}} = \exp \left[ g_s^{-2} F^{(0)}(t) + \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} g_s^{2g-2} F^{(g)}(t, \bar{t}) \right],$$
$$F^{(g)}(t, \bar{t}) = F^{(g)}(t) + R^{(g)}[N^{(0)IJ}, F^{(r < g)}(t)] \quad , \quad g \geq 1 .$$

- Dependence on  $1/g_s = X^0$  remains **holomorphic**.
- **Wave function approach:** Witten 1993, Aganagic+Bouchard+Klemm 2006
  - ▶  $R^{(g)}$  computable via a Feynman graph expansion with
    - ★  $N^{(0)IJ}$  as propagator;
    - ★ vertices constructed out of  $F^{(r < g)}(t)$
  - ▶ define  $F^{(g)}(X, \bar{X}) = g_s^{2g-2} F^{(g)}(t, \bar{t}) \quad , \quad g \geq 1 .$

# Topological Partition Function

Under **symplectic transformations**  $Sp(2 + 2h^{2,1}, \mathbb{Z})$ : for  $g \geq 1$ ,

- $\tilde{F}^{(g)}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\bar{X}}) = F^{(g)}(X, \bar{X})$  , **functions**
- $\Gamma \subset Sp(2 + 2h^{2,1}, \mathbb{Z})$ :  $F^{(g)}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\bar{X}}) = F^{(g)}(X, \bar{X})$  , **invariant**.
- $1/g_s = X^0$  transforms under  $\Gamma$  ,  $F^{(g)}(t, \bar{t})$  **modular forms**.

Thus, under symplectic transformations  $Sp(2 + 2h^{2,1}, \mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$Z_{\text{top}} = \exp \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} F^{(g)}(X, \bar{X}) ,$$

transforms as a **function**.

Now, let's turn to the  **$N = 2$  LEEA** and the "Hamiltonian" version based on the **Hesse potential**  $\mathcal{H}$  (real):

- $\mathcal{H}$  also transforms as a **function** under symplectic transformations,
- suggesting the relation

$$e^{\mathcal{H}} = |Z_{\text{top}}|^2 . \text{ **Subtle.**}$$

- $N = 2$  Wilsonian action:

- ▶  $N = 2$  vector multiplets, complex scalar fields  $Y^I$  (different from  $X^I$ )
- ▶ higher-curvature interactions  $\propto$  Weyl<sup>2</sup>:  $(\Upsilon, \mathcal{C}^2)$  Weyl background

$$F(Y, \Upsilon) = F^{(0)}(Y) + \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon^g F^{(g)}(Y) .$$

- Effective action: requires non-holomorphic modifications to make duality symmetries manifest,

G.L.Cardoso, B. de Wit, J. Käppeli, T.Mohaupt, hep-th/0412287

$$F = F^{(0)}(Y) + 2i \Omega(Y, \bar{Y}, \Upsilon, \bar{\Upsilon}) ,$$

- ▶  $\Omega$  is real, homogeneous function of degree 2 .
- ▶ Black hole context,  $\Upsilon = \bar{\Upsilon} = -64$  at horizon,
- ▶

$$\Omega = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon^g \Omega^{(g)}(Y, \bar{Y}) .$$

$\Omega^{(g)}$  homogeneous of degree  $2 - 2g$ .

# Hesse Potential

"Hamiltonian" version based on the **Hesse potential**  $\mathcal{H}(\phi, \chi)$ , where

$$\phi^I = Y^I + \bar{Y}^{\bar{I}} \quad , \quad \chi_I = F_I + \bar{F}_{\bar{I}} \quad , \quad F_I = \partial F / \partial Y^I .$$

In the context of **BPS black holes**: electro/magneto-static potentials associated to the electric/magnetic charges  $(q_I, p^I)$ .

Behavior under symplectic transformations:

- Wilsonian/LEEA action based on a **complex** parametrization  $(Y^I, F_I(Y, \bar{Y}, \Upsilon))$ . Under **symplectic transformations**,  
 $Y^I \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}^I = \tilde{Y}^I(Y, \bar{Y}, \Upsilon) \quad , \quad \Upsilon \longrightarrow \Upsilon \quad .$

**Entanglement with  $\Upsilon \implies Y^I \neq X^I$  !**

$\Omega(Y, \bar{Y}, \Upsilon)$  **not a function** under symplectic transformations.

- "Hamiltonian" version  $\mathcal{H}(\phi, \chi)$  based on a **real** parametrization.  $(\phi^I, \chi_I)$  transform as the charges  $(p^I, q_I)$ . Thus, they are **not** subjected to  $\Upsilon$ -corrections under symplectic transformations.

**Therefore**  $\phi^I = X^I + \bar{X}^{\bar{I}} \quad !$



# New Variables for the Hesse Potential

## Proposal:

Construct map between  $Y_{\text{sugra}}$  and  $X_{\text{top}}$  by linking them to the Hesse variables  $(\phi, \chi)$ :

- reexpress the Hesse variables  $(\phi, \chi)$  in terms of **new variables**  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^I + \bar{Y}^{\bar{I}} &= \phi^I = X^I + \bar{X}^{\bar{I}} \ , \\ F_I + \bar{F}_{\bar{I}} &= \chi_I = F_I^{(0)}(X) + \bar{F}_{\bar{I}}^{(0)}(\bar{X}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

- The new variables  $X$  transform **precisely** as the topological string variables. Natural to identify  $X = X_{\text{top}}$ .
- $X^I = Y^I + \Delta Y^I(Y, \bar{Y}, \Upsilon)$  , iteratively in  $\Upsilon$ , complicated expressions.

They are **non-holomorphic** in view of the reality property of the map.

# New Variables for the Hesse Potential

In the **supergravity** variables, the Hesse potential is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -i \left( \bar{Y}^I F_I - Y^I \bar{F}_I \right) - 2i \left( \Upsilon F_\Upsilon - \bar{\Upsilon} \bar{F}_{\bar{\Upsilon}} \right) ,$$

where  $F = F^{(0)}(Y) + 2i\Omega(Y, \bar{Y}, \Upsilon)$  ,  $F_\Upsilon = \partial F / \partial \Upsilon$ ,  
with  $\Omega = \Upsilon \Omega^{(1)}(Y, \bar{Y}) + \Upsilon^2 \Omega^{(2)}(Y, \bar{Y}) + \dots$

To **compare** with the topological string, need to express  $\mathcal{H}$  in terms of the **new** variables  $X^I$ . Compute  $\Delta Y^I$  **iteratively** ( $X^I = Y^I + \Delta Y^I$ ).

At order  $\Upsilon^2$  obtain, in the new variables  $X^I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(X, \bar{X}, \Upsilon) = & -i \left( \bar{X}^I F_I^{(0)}(X) - X^I \bar{F}_I^{(0)}(\bar{X}) \right) + 4 \Upsilon \Omega^{(1)} \\ & + 4 \Upsilon^2 \left[ \Omega^{(2)} - i N^{(0)IJ} \left( \Omega_I^{(1)} - \Omega_{\bar{I}}^{(1)} \right) \left( \Omega_J^{(1)} - \Omega_{\bar{J}}^{(1)} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Packaged in terms of **symplectic functions**, at any order  $g$ .

# A Generating Function?

Thus, in the new variables  $X^I$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}(X, \bar{X}, \Upsilon) = -i \left( \bar{X}^I F_I^{(0)}(X) - X^I \bar{F}_I^{(0)}(\bar{X}) \right) + 4 \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon^g \left( \Omega^{(g)} + \dots \right),$$

where

- $(\Omega^{(g)} + \dots)$  symplectic packages at any order  $g$ .
- **Non-holomorphic** extension of the results of de Wit, hep-th/9602060.

**Holomorphic** set-up: tower of symplectic functions that are modifications of  $F_{\Upsilon \dots \Upsilon}$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}^{g-1} F_{\Upsilon}(Y, \Upsilon) \quad , \quad \mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Upsilon} + i F_{\Upsilon I} N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^I} .$$

# Relation with Topological String Theory

Expressing the Hesse potential in terms of special coordinates  
 $t^i = X^i/X^0$  ,  $X^0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h^{2,1}$ ), dependence on

$$1/X^0 = g_s \text{ , } 1/\bar{X}^0 = \bar{g}_s \text{ and mixed powers, such as } 1/|X^0|^2.$$

This is, in general, not of the topological string type,

$$|Z_{\text{top}}|^2 = \exp \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \left[ g_s^{2g-2} F^{(g)}(t, \bar{t}) + \text{c.c.} \right] .$$

However, there is no **complete** knowledge of LEEA  $\Omega$  for any  $CY_3$  compactification. Thus, various possibilities:

- at this stage, it is **consistent** to assume

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{\mathcal{H}})_{|\Omega} &= |Z_{\text{top}}|^2 \text{ , } \Upsilon = -64 \text{ ,} \\ 4 \left( \Omega^{(g)} + \dots \right) &= F^{(g)}(X, \bar{X}) + \text{c.c.} \end{aligned}$$

**Determines**  $\Omega^{(g)}(X, \bar{X})$  in terms of topological string data.

# Models with S-, T- Duality Symmetries

- In general,

$$(e^{\mathcal{H}})_{|\Omega} = |Z_{\text{top}}|^2 e^P$$

with  $P$  a symplectic function that

- ▶ depends on **mixed powers of  $X^0$** , such as  $1/|X^0|^2$ ;
- ▶ determined in terms of the topological string, such as  $N^{(0)IJ} F_I^{(1)} F_J^{(1)}$ .

In models with S-, T- duality symmetries ( $\Gamma \subset Sp(2 + 2h^{2,1}, \mathbb{Z})$ ), **partial knowledge** about  $\Omega$ . Examples: **FHSV-model, STU-model**.

For instance, S-duality restricts  $\Omega(Y, \bar{Y}, \Upsilon)$  in LEEA:

$$\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\mathcal{S}}\right)'_S - \Delta_S^2 \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\mathcal{S}} = \frac{\partial(\Delta_S^2)}{\partial\mathcal{S}} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} Y^0 \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial Y^0} - \frac{\text{ic}}{4 \Delta_S (Y^0)^2} \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial T^a} \eta^{ab} \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial T^b} \right]$$

Solve **iteratively**,  $\Omega = \Upsilon \Omega^{(1)}(Y, \bar{Y}) + \Upsilon^2 \Omega^{(2)}(Y, \bar{Y}) + \dots$ , **up to** duality invariant terms.

Adjusting this ambiguity, get **agreement** with  $F^{(1)}(X, \bar{X}), F^{(2)}(X, \bar{X})$ .

Concluding:

$$(e^{\mathcal{H}})_{|\Omega} = |Z_{\text{top}}|^2 e^P,$$

with  $P$  a symplectic function that depends on **mixed powers of**  
 $X^0 = 1/g_s$ .

Need to understand:

- the **generating function** for symplectic packages which build up  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- **non-perturbative** corrections  $e^{-1/g_s}$  to  $\Omega$  in models with S-, T-duality symmetries. (LEEA:  $e^{Y^0}$  effects).

Thanks!