## Calculus of Variations and Elliptic PDEs

## Mock Exam

Exercice 1 ( 6 points). Consider the problem

$$
\min \left\{\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(u^{\prime}(t)^{2}+u(t)^{2}+2 \sin (t) u(t)\right) d t \quad: \quad u \in C^{1}([0, \pi / 2]), u(0)=0\right\}
$$

Prove that it admits a minimizer, that it is unique, and find it.

## Solution

The function $L$ given by $L(t, x, v)=|v|^{2}+|x|^{2}+2 \sin (t) x$ is convex in $(x, v)$. Hence, any solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation of the problem coupled with the suitable boundary conditions, is also a minimizer. considering that we have $\partial_{v} L(t, x, v)=2 v$ and $\operatorname{partial}_{x} L(t, x, v)=2 x+2 \sin (t)$ the Euler-Lagrange system is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 u^{\prime \prime}=2 u+2 \sin (t) \\
u(0)=0 \\
u^{\prime}(\pi / 2)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that the solution is of the form $u(t)=A e^{t}+B e^{-t}-\frac{1}{2} \sin (t)$ and find $A+B=0$ and $A e^{\pi / 2}=B e^{-\pi / 2}$, hence $A=B=0$. The solution is then given by $u(t)=-\frac{1}{2} \sin (t)$.
This function is $C^{1}$ and solves the Euler-Lagrange system, it then minimizes the functional among any admissible competitor. Uniqueness can be justified either using the strict convexity of $L$ in $(x, v)$, or just be noting that this is the only solution of the Euler-Lagrange system.

Exercice 2 ( 8 points). Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Consider the following minimization problem

$$
\min \left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(1+e^{u}\right)\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x: u \in X\right\}
$$

1. If $X=H^{1}(\Omega)$, prove that the problem has no solution.
2. If $X=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, prove that the problem admits at least a solution $\bar{u}$, and prove $\bar{u} \leq 0$.
3. Via a suitable change of variable $v=g(u)$ prove that the minimizer $\bar{u}$ is unique, and that we have $\bar{u} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (interior regularity only).

## Solution

1. If $X=H^{1}(\Omega)$, we can easily see that the inf of the problem is $|\Omega|$. Indeed, for every function $u \in X$ we have $F(u)>\int 1=\mid \Omega$ (let $F$ be the functional we minimize). Moreover, $F(-n)=$ $|\Omega|\left(1+e^{-n}\right) \rightarrow \mid \Omega$ (where $-n$ is the constant function $-n$ ). Yet, no function $u$ can satisfy the equality $F(u)=|\Omega|$ since this would imply $e^{u}=0$.
2. If $X=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we take a minimizing sequence $u_{n}$. From the bound from above on $F\left(u_{n}\right.$ à $)$ we deduce that $\int\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \int\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq F\left(u_{n}\right)$ is also bounded. Hence, $u_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}$ and admits a subsequence which weakly converges in $H^{1}$ and strongly in $L^{2}$. Since the integrand $L(x, v)=\left(1+e^{x}\right)\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)$ is continuous in $(x, v)$ and convex in $v$, the functional $F$ is l.s.c. for this convergence, and the limit $\bar{u}$ is a minimizer.
Let us compare $\bar{u}$ and $-|\bar{u}|$. The modulus of their gradient is the same, so that we have

$$
\left(1+e^{-|\bar{u}|}\right)\left(1+\left.|\nabla| \bar{u}\right|^{2}\right) \leq\left(1+e^{\bar{u}}\right)\left(1+|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2}\right)
$$

with strict inequality where $-|\bar{u}|<\bar{u}$, i.e. where $\bar{u}>0$. This proves that we have $F(-|\bar{u}|)<F(\bar{u})$ unless $\bar{u} \leq 0$ a.e. Since $\bar{u}$ is a minimizer, we deduce $\bar{u} \leq 0$.
3. We can write $F(u)$ in the form $F(u)=\int|h(u)|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+|h(u)|^{2}$, where $h(s)=\sqrt{1+e^{s}}$. Take $g$ the anti-derivative of $h$, i.e. $g(0)=0$ and $g^{\prime}=h$. Do not look for an explicit expression of $g$. Set $v=g(u)$ and $\tilde{F}(v)=F(u)$. The problem becomes then

$$
\min \tilde{F}(v)=\int|\nabla v|^{2}+\phi(v)
$$

where $\phi=\left|h^{2}\right| \circ g^{-1}$. Note that $g$ is a $C^{\infty}$ diffeomorphism, since $g \in C^{\infty}$ and $g^{\prime} \geq 1$. The function $\phi$ is also $C^{\infty}$.

The Euler-Lagrange equation of the problem is

$$
2 \Delta v=\phi^{\prime}(v)
$$

and a simple bootstrap procedure proves $v \in C^{\infty}$. Indeed, we start from $v \in H^{1}$ and we can prove by induction $v \in H^{k}$ since $v \in H^{k} \Rightarrow v \in H^{k+2}$ by elliptic regularity. Hence, the minimizer $\bar{u}$ is also $C^{\infty}$.
Uniqueness can be proven once we check that $\phi$ is convex, and this makes $\tilde{F}$ strictly convex on $X$. Let us compute $\phi^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\phi(s)=1+e^{g^{-1}(s)}, \quad \phi^{\prime}(s)=\frac{e^{g^{-1}(s)}}{g^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(s)\right)} \quad \phi^{\prime}(g(s))=\frac{e^{s}}{h(s)}=\frac{e^{s}}{\sqrt{1+e^{s}}}
$$

The function $\phi$ is convex if and only if $\phi^{\prime}$ is increasing, which is also equivalent to $\phi^{\prime} \circ g$ being increasing. A simple computation proves that $s \mapsto \frac{e^{s}}{\sqrt{1+e^{s}}}$ is increasing since its derivative is $\frac{e^{s}+\frac{1}{2} e^{2 s}}{\left(1+e^{s}\right)^{3 / 2}}$ and is positive, hence $\phi$ is convex.

Exercice 3 ( 6 points). Given a continuous function $L: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, positive and convex in the second variable, and a bounded open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, prove that the following minimization problem admits a solution

$$
\min \left\{\operatorname{Per}(A)+\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+|u|^{p}\right)+\int_{A} L(u, \nabla u): u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega), A \subset \Omega,|A|=\frac{|\Omega|}{2}\right\}
$$

where $\operatorname{Per}(A)$ stands for the perimeter - in the BV theory - of $A$, and the minimization is performed over $u$ and $A$.

## Solution

Sorry, we should have written $p>1 \ldots$ Also, it is maybe not clear that, even if we impose $A \subset \Omega$, we compute the perimeter of $A$ as a subset of of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (i.e., we count the part of the boundary which is on $\partial \Omega)$.
Take a minimizing sequence $\left(A_{n}, u_{n}\right)$. From the bound of the functional we obtain that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}$ and that $I_{A_{n}}$ is bounded in $B V$. We can extract a subsequence such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1, p}$ and $I_{A_{n}} \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^{1}$ and a.e. Moreover, by pointwise a.e. convergence, we see that $v$ is
also an indicator function $v=I_{A}$. The strong convergence in $L^{1}$ impies $\int v=|\Omega| / 2$, so that $A$ also satisfies the constraint. We can also assume $\nabla I_{A_{n}} \rightharpoonup \nabla v=\nabla I_{A}$ as measures. We then have $\operatorname{Per}(A) \leq \liminf _{n} \operatorname{Per}\left(A_{n}\right)$ and $\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+|u|^{p}\right) \leq \liminf \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)$. In order to obtain the lower semicontinuity of the functional and prove existence we just need to prove that the term $\int_{A} L(u, \nabla u)$ is also l.s.c.
This term can be written as $\int I_{A} L(u, \nabla u)$. Consider the function $\tilde{L}(t, x, w):=t_{+} L(x, w)$. This function is continuous in $(t, x, w)$ and convex in $w$. Since ( $I_{A_{n}}, u_{n}$ ) converges strongly to ( $I_{A}, u$ ) and $\nabla u_{n}$ weakly to $\nabla u$, we obtain the desired lower semicontinuity, and hence the existence of a minimizer.

Exercice 4 (7 points). For given $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x=0$ and $p>d$ consider the functions $u_{p}$ which solve

$$
\min \left\{\frac{1}{p} \int|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\int f u: u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)\right\} .
$$

Prove that the sequence $u_{p}$ is compact in $C^{0}(\Omega)$ and that we have, up to extracting subsequences, $u_{p} \rightarrow u_{\infty}$ uniformly, where $u_{\infty}$ is a solution of the following problem

$$
\min \left\{\int f u: u \in \operatorname{Lip}_{1}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

where $\operatorname{Lip}_{1}$ is the space of Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant at most 1.

## Solution

It is clear that the minimizer $u_{p}$ is not unique, and that we can add constants to it. Let us choose the minimizers $u_{p}$ with 0 average. This should have been clarified in the statement, sorry. Also, We should add an assumption on $\Omega$, which should be supposed to be bounded and sufficiently smooth.
Let us fix an exponent $p_{0}>d$. For any $p>p_{0}$, we have (by comparing $u_{p}$ to the constant function 0 )

$$
\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u_{p}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \leq\|f\|_{L^{1}}\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} .
$$

We now use the Jensen inequality in order to obtain $\int_{\Omega} h^{p_{0}} \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} h^{p}\right)^{p_{0} / p}|\Omega|^{1-p_{0} / p}$ for any $h \geq 0$, i.e. $\|h\|_{L^{p_{0}}} \leq|\Omega|^{1 / p_{0}-1 / p}\|h\|_{L^{p}}$. Hence we have

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{p}\right\|_{L^{p_{0}}} \leq|\Omega|^{1 / p_{0}-1 / p}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}} \leq|\Omega|^{1 / p_{0}-1 / p} p^{1 / p}| | f\left\|_{L^{1}}^{1 / p}\right\| u_{p} \|_{L^{\infty}}^{1 / p} .
$$

We then use $\left\|u_{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \mid\left\|\nabla u_{p}\right\|_{L^{p_{0}}}$, which is a consequence of the injection of $W^{1, p_{0}}$ into $L^{\infty}$ and of the choice of $u_{p}$ as a zero-average minimizer, and obtain

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{p}\right\|_{L^{p_{0}}}^{1-1 / p} \leq|\Omega|^{1 / p_{0}-1 / p} p^{1 / p} \mid\left(C \mid f \|_{L^{1}}\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Note that the constant $C$ here depends on $p_{0}$.
By raising both sides to the power $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$ we obtain

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{p}\right\|_{L^{p_{0}}} \leq|\Omega|^{p^{\prime} / p_{0}-1 /(p-1)} p^{1 /(p-1)} \mid\left(C \mid f \|_{L^{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}
$$

The r.h.s is bounded, hence the norm $\left\|\nabla u_{p}\right\|_{L^{p_{0}}}$ is also bounded independently of $p$. The compact injection of $W^{1, p_{0}}$ into $C^{0}$ provides the desired compactness.
We can then assume, up to subsequences, that we have uniform convergence $u_{p} \rightarrow u$. The function $u$ also belongs to $W^{1, p_{0}}$ and satisfies

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p_{0}}} \leq|\Omega|^{1 / p_{0}}
$$

which can be obtained by passing to the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ (and hence $p^{\prime} \rightarrow 1$ ) the previous inequality. We then use the fact that $p_{0}>d$ is aribtrary and let $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$. we then obtain

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1
$$

and we have $u \in \operatorname{Lip}_{1}$. We must now prove that the function $u$ minimizes $\int f u$ among functions in $\operatorname{Lip}_{1}$. Take $v \in \operatorname{Lip}_{1}$. We can write

$$
\int f u_{p} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|^{p} d x+\int f u_{p} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int|\nabla v|^{p} d x+\int f v \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{p}+\int f v .
$$

We take the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ and we obtain

$$
\int f u \leq \int f v
$$

which is the desired inequality.

