

Calculus of Variations and Elliptic PDEs

Mid-Term Examination

All kind of documents (notes, books...) are authorized. The total number of points is much larger than 20, which means that attacking only some exercises could be a reasonable option. The exercises are not necessarily ordered by difficulty.

Exercise 1 (6 points). Find the solution of the problem

$$\min \left\{ \int_0^\pi e^{\cos(t)} \left(\frac{u'(t)^2}{2} + u(t)(1 - \cos(t) - \cos^2(t)) \right) dt : u \in C^1([0, \pi]), u(0) = 0 \right\},$$

properly justifying its minimality and its uniqueness.

Answer

Let us write the Euler-Lagrange equation of the problem. Using

$$L(t, x, v) = e^{\cos(t)} \left(\frac{v^2}{2} + x(1 - \cos(t) - \cos^2(t)) \right)$$

we see that the equation, together with its boundary conditions, is

$$\begin{cases} (e^{\cos(t)} u'(t))' = e^{\cos(t)} (1 - \cos(t) - \cos^2(t)), \\ u(0) = 0, \\ e^{\cos(t)} u'(\pi) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Expanding the first equation we obtain

$$u''(t) - \sin(t)u'(t) = 1 - \cos(t) - \cos^2(t).$$

We look for a solution in the form $u(t) = A \sin(t) + B \cos(t) + C$ (no guarantee that the solution will actually be of this form, but we try). The boundary conditions impose $B + C = 0$ and $A = 0$. Hence we look for a solution in the form $u(t) = B(\cos(t) - 1)$. In order for this function to solve the equation we need $-B \cos(t) + B \sin^2(t) = 1 - \cos(t) - \cos^2(t)$, and we can observe that $B = 1$ provides (by chance) a solution. This solution is a C^1 function.

Hence the function $u(t) = \cos(t) - 1$ solves the Euler-Lagrange equation of the problem. Since L is convex in both v and x , solving the Euler-Lagrange equation is both necessary and sufficient for being a minimizer. Thus, this function u is a minimizer. Since we found a solution to the equation by guessing it, we have no idea if other solutions exist. Yet, we observe that L is strictly convex in v , which implies that if two minimizers u_1 and u_2 exist we should have $u_1' = u_2'$ a.e. The difference $u_1 - u_2$ is then constant, and using the initial boundary condition we obtain $u_1 - u_2 = 0$, so that the minimizers is finally unique.

Exercise 2 (4 points). Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Consider the minimization problem

$$\min \left\{ \int_\Omega \left(\sqrt{u(x)^4 + |\nabla u(x)|^4} + \cos(u(x) - g(x)) + \sqrt{1 + u(x)^2 |\nabla u(x)|^2} \right) dx : u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \right\},$$

where g is a given measurable function defined on Ω . Prove that the problem has a solution.

Answer

We consider the function L given by

$$L(x, u, v) = \sqrt{u^4 + |v|^4} + \cos(u - g(x)) + \sqrt{1 + u^2|v|^2}$$

and we see that the functional to minimize has the form

$$J(u) = \tilde{J}(u, \nabla u), \quad \text{where } \tilde{J}(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} L(x, u(x), v(x)) dx.$$

The function L is such that $L \geq 0$ (since $\sqrt{u^4 + |v|^4} \geq 0$, $\cos(u - g(x)) \geq -1$ and $\sqrt{1 + u^2|v|^2} \geq 1$) and is continuous in u and convex in v (just use the convexity of $v \mapsto \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$ possibly composed with powers larger than one or multiplications by a positive constant). Hence, the lower semicontinuity theorems we proved in class show that \tilde{J} is lower semicontinuous for the strong L^2 convergence of u and the weak L^2 convergence of v . In particular, J is lower semicontinuous for the weak H^1 convergence. We now take a minimizing sequence u_n such that $J(u_n) \rightarrow \inf J$ and we want to extract a weakly converging subsequence. We use $L(x, u, v) \geq |u|^2$ (just keep only the first term and remove $|v|^4$ in the square root) as well as $L(x, u, v) \geq |v|^2$ (now, remove $|u|^4$ in the square root). This implies $L(x, u, v) \geq \frac{1}{2}(|u|^2 + |v|^2)$ and $J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H^1}^2$. Hence, every minimizing sequence is bounded in H^1 and we can extract a weakly converging subsequence. The limit still belongs to H_0^1 , which is a closed subspace, hence also weakly closed because it is convex.

Exercise 3 (5 points). Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + \cos(x)$. Prove that f is strictly convex and that f^* is a C^1 function of the form $f^*(y) = \frac{y^2}{2} + h(y)$, where h satisfies $|h| \leq 1$, $h(0) = -1$ and $h'(x - \sin(x)) = \sin(x)$. Find the value of f^* at all the points $y = k\pi$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Answer

We compute f' and f'' , obtaining $f'(x) = x - \sin(x)$ and $f''(x) = 1 - \cos(x)$. The function f is convex since $f'' \geq 0$. Moreover, f'' only vanishes at isolated points, so there is no interval on which f is affine, and hence f is strictly convex. For the same reason f' is strictly increasing. We also note that we have $f'(0) = 0$, so that 0 is a minimizer of f and hence $\min f = f(0) = 1$.

Of course f^* is convex; for every $x \in \partial f^*(y)$ we also have $y \in \partial f^*(x)$ i.e. $y = f'(x)$. Since f' is strictly increasing for every y there is at most one such an x , so that the subdifferential of f^* at each point only contains one point, and f^* is hence C^1 .

From $-1 + g \leq f \leq 1 + g$, where $g(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$, we deduce $1 + g^* \geq f^* \geq -1 + g^*$ and using $g^*(y) = \frac{y^2}{2}$ we obtain $f^*(y) = \frac{y^2}{2} + h(y)$ where h satisfies $|h| \leq 1$.

We have $f^*(0) = \sup_x -f(x) = -\min f = -1$, so that we have $h(0) = -1$.

From the relation $(f^*)' \circ f' = id$ we obtain $f'(x) + h'(f'(x)) = x$, which can be rewritten as $h'(x - \sin(x)) = \sin(x)$. We can then consider the function $x \mapsto H(x) := h(x - \sin(x))$. We have $H(0) = h(0) = -1$ and $H'(x) = h'(x - \sin(x))(1 - \cos(x)) = \sin(x)(1 - \cos(x))$. Hence we have

$$h(k\pi) = H(k\pi) = -1 + \int_0^{k\pi} \sin(t)(1 - \cos(t)) dt = -1 + \int_0^{k\pi} \sin(t) dt,$$

where we removed the integral of $\sin(t)\cos(t)$ since this function is π -periodic with zero average on each period. Hence we obtain

$$h(k\pi) = H(k\pi) = -1 + [-\cos(t)]_0^{k\pi} = -\cos(k\pi) = -(-1)^k.$$

We then have $f^*(k\pi) = \frac{k^2}{2}\pi^2 - (-1)^k$.

Exercise 4 (12 points). Let \mathbb{T}^d be the d -dimensional torus. Consider the following minimization problem

$$\inf \left\{ J_f(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{3} |\nabla u(x)|^3 + f(x)u(x)^2 \right) dx : u \in W^{1,3}(\mathbb{T}^d) \right\},$$

where f is a given Lipschitz continuous function on \mathbb{T}^d .

1. Find all the solutions of the problem when f is the zero function.
2. Prove that when $\int f(x)dx < 0$ there is no solution.
3. Prove that when $\int f(x)dx = 0$ but f is not the zero function there is no solution.
4. Assume $\int f(x)dx > 0$: prove that there exists a minimizing sequence $(u_n)_n$ with $\int f(x)u_n(x)dx = 0$.
5. Assume $\int f(x)dx \neq 0$: prove the following Poincaré-type inequality: there exists a constant C such that $\|u\|_{L^3} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}$ for all functions $u \in W^{1,3}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ such that $\int f(x)u(x)dx = 0$.
6. Assuming $\int f(x)dx > 0$, prove that the problem admits a solution.
7. Prove that the functional J_f is convex if and only if $f \geq 0$ and prove, when f is not everywhere nonnegative but $\int f(x)dx > 0$, that the solution is not unique.
8. Write the PDE satisfied by the solutions (Euler-Lagrange equation).
9. Prove that we have $|\nabla u|^{1/2}\nabla u \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Can we weaken the assumption on f in order to obtain the same result (replacing $f \in \text{Lip}$ with $f \in W^{1,p}$, and for which p)?

Answer

1. When f is the zero function we have $J_f \geq 0$ and $J_f(0) = 0$. The minimum is thus 0 and it is realized by all the constant functions, and only by them.
2. Set $a := \int f(x)dx < 0$. We can take $u = c$ constant and we have $J_f(u) = ac^2$. Sending $c \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\inf J_f = -\infty$, so that the minimum is not attained.
3. We expand $J_f(u + c)$, where c is a constant: we obtain $J_f(u + c) = J_f(u) + 2c \int f(x)u(x)dx + c^2 \int f(x)dx$. Using $\int f(x)dx = 0$ we have $J_f(u + c) = J_f(u) + 2ca$ where $a = \int f(x)u(x)dx$. If f is not the zero function we can choose u such that $a \neq 0$. In this case $J_f(u + c)$ is an unbounded affine function of c , which proves that we have again $\inf J_f = -\infty$, and the minimum is not attained.
4. We use again the expansion of $J_f(u + c) = J_f(u) + 2c \int f(x)u(x)dx + c^2 \int f(x)dx$. We see that this quantity is a second-order polynomial in c which admits a minimum because we supposed $\int f(x)dx > 0$. If u_n is a minimizing sequence, we can produce a new minimizing sequence by taking $\tilde{u}_n = u_n + c_n$ and choosing c_n so that $J_f(u_n + c_n) = \min_c J_f(u_n + c)$. Such a value c_n exists. Renaming the functions, this means that we can assume that our new minimizing sequence, that we now call u_n is such that for every n the minimum $\min_c J_f(u_n + c)$ is attained for $c = 0$. Taking the derivative of the above expansion this means $\int f(x)u_n(x)dx = 0$.
5. The desired Poincaré-type inequality can be proven by contradiction. If it does not hold, then for every k we find a function u_k with $\|u_k\|_{L^3} > k\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^3}$ and $\int f(x)u_k(x)dx = 0$. We can normalize u_k so that $\|u_k\|_{L^3} = 1$. In this way, the sequence u_k is bounded in $W^{1,3}$ and weakly converges, up to a subsequence, to a limit u . This limit satisfies $\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} = 0$ and hence u is a constant. By compact embedding of $W^{1,3}$ into L^3 we also have $1 = \|u_k\|_{L^3} \rightarrow \|u\|_{L^3}$, so that u is not the zero constant. On the other hand, u also satisfies $\int f(x)u(x)dx = 0$ but this is impossible because u is a non-zero constant and $\int f(x)dx \neq 0$. Hence the desired inequality holds.
6. We assume $\int f(x)dx > 0$ and take a minimizing sequence u_n with the extra property $\int f(x)u_n(x)dx = 0$. We then observe that, applying first a Holder inequality with exponents $3/2$ and 3 to the second term, and then the Poincaré-type inequality that we just proved, we have for this minimizing sequence

$$J_f(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^3}^3 - \|f\|_{L^3}\|u_n\|_{L^3}^2 \geq \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^3}^3 - C\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^3}^2.$$

This shows that $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^3}$ has to stay bounded because the positive term explodes faster than the negative one in the right hand side. Hence u_n is bounded in $W^{1,3}$ (using again the Poincaré-type

inequality) and admits subsequence weakly converging to some u . We then have $\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \leq \liminf_n \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^3}$ and $\int f u_n^2 \rightarrow \int f u^2$ since the weak convergence in $W^{1,3}$ implies strong convergence in L^2 . We then obtain $J_f(u) \leq \liminf_n J_f(u_n)$ and u is a minimizer.

7. If $f \geq 0$, the functional J_f is of course convex. On the other hand when f is not everywhere nonnegative, we can consider a function $\varphi \in C^\infty$ supported on an open set where $f < 0$ (remember that f is supposed to be continuous). We then consider $t \mapsto J_f(t\varphi) = c_1 t^3 + c_2 t^2$ and we observe that we have $c_2 = \int f \varphi^2 < 0$. This quantity cannot be convex in a neighborhood of $t = 0$ because the second-order term has a negative sign. Hence J_f is not convex. We observe moreover that this also shows that 0 is never a solution of the minimization problem unless $f \geq 0$. In the case where f is not everywhere nonnegative but $\int f(x) dx > 0$, we consider a minimizer u and its opposite $-u$. Thanks to $J_f(u) = J_f(-u)$, we observe that we have two minimizers (since u is not the zero function), so that the minimizer is not unique.
8. The PDE satisfied by the solutions (Euler-Lagrange equation) is

$$\Delta_3(u) := \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u| \nabla u) = 2fu.$$

9. The results based on regularity via duality that we proved in class show that whenever we have $\Delta_p u = g$ and $g \in W^{1,q}$ (the exponent q being the dual of p , we obtain (interpreting u as the solution of a convex variational problem and using its dual as well) $|\nabla u|^{p/2-1} \nabla u \in H^1$. Here we just need to guarantee $2fu \in W^{1,3/2}$. In this case this is easy because we have $f \in W^{1,\infty}$ and $u \in W^{1,3}$, so that the product fu is $W^{1,3}$ and hence $W^{1,3/2}$ (indeed, we have $\nabla(fu) = f\nabla u + \nabla fu$ and we use the boundedness of both f and ∇f and the L^3 integrability of u and ∇u). The assumption on f can be weakened, as we only need $f\nabla u, \nabla fu \in L^{3/2}$. Using $\nabla u \in L^3$ we have $|\nabla u|^{3/2} \in L^2$, so that the condition on $f\nabla u$ is satisfied whenever $f^{3/2} \in L^2$, i.e. $f \in L^3$. For the condition on ∇fu we distinguish according to the dimension. If the dimension is 1 or 2 then $u \in W^{1,3}$ implies $u \in L^\infty$, so that we just need $\nabla f \in L^{3/2}$. In this case $f \in W^{1,3/2}$ is thus sufficient because it would imply, both in the 1D and in the 2D case, $f \in L^3$. If the dimension is 3 we have $u \in L^p$ for every p so that in order to obtain $\nabla fu \in L^{3/2}$ we just need $\nabla f \in L^r$ for some $r > 3/2$. In this case this also implies $f \in L^3$. Finally, if the dimension d is at least 4, we use $u \in L^{3^*}$, where $3^* = 3d/(d-3)$ and we need $\nabla f \in L^{3d/(d+3)}$. Note that $W^{1,3d/(d+3)}$ exactly embeds into L^3 , so that in this case we also guarantee $f \in L^3$. Summarizing, we can replace the assumption $f \in W^{1,\infty}$ with

- $f \in W^{1,3/2}$ for $d = 1, 2$,
- $f \in W^{1,r}$ for some $r > 3/2$ if $d = 3$,
- $f \in W^{1,3d/(d+3)}$ for $d \geq 4$.