## Calculus of Variations and Elliptic PDEs - Final Exam

Duration: 3h. All kind of documents (notes, books...) are authorized. The total number of points is much larger than 20 , which means that attacking two or three exercises could be a reasonable option.

Exercice 1 (7 points). Consider the minimization problem

$$
\min \left\{\int_{0}^{T} \frac{e^{-2 t}}{2}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}-|u(t)|^{2}\right) d t: u \in H^{1}([0, T]), u(0)=1\right\}
$$

1. Prove that the minimization problem admits a solution at least if $T$ is small enough.
2. Write and solve the Euler-Lagrange equation of this minimization problem with its boundary conditions.
3. Prove the inequality $\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}|h(t)|^{2} d t \leq \int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t$ for any $h \in H^{1}([0, T])$ with $h(0)=0$.
4. Prove that the minimization problem admits a unique solution for any $T>0$.

## Solution:

1. We know the inequality $|u(t)|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} u^{\prime}\right| \leq\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2}$ which is valid whenever $u(0)=0$. Hence we deduce $\int_{0}^{T}|u|^{2} \leq T^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}$. As a consequence, it $T$ is such that $e^{-2 T}>T^{2}$ we have $\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \geq$ $c \int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}|u|^{2}$ for $c>1$. This means that any minimizing sequence will be bounded in $H^{1}$ and then standard semicontinuity results (since the integrand is convex in $u^{\prime}$ but not in $u$ ) allow to prove the existence of a minimizer.
2. The Euler-Lagrange equation is $\left(e^{-2 t} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=-e^{-2 t} u$, which becomes $u^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{\prime}=-u$, and the boundary conditions are $u(0)=1$ and $u^{\prime}(T)=0$. The family of general solutions of the equation is given by the functions of the form $u(t)=(A+B t) e^{t}$ and we need $A=1$ to satisfy $u(0)=1$. If we compute $u^{\prime}(t)=(A+B+B t) e^{t}$ imposing $u^{\prime}(T)=0$ means taking $B(1+T)=-A=-1$, so the solution si given by $u(t)=\left(1-\frac{t}{T+1}\right) e^{t}$.
3. The easiest way to prove the inequality $\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}|h(t)|^{2} d t \leq \int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t$ for $h(0)=0$ is to write $h(t)=$ $e^{t} v(t)$, with $v(0)=0$. Then we have $e^{-2 t}\left(\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}-|h(t)|^{2}\right)=\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+2 v(t)^{\prime}(t)$, so that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}|h(t)|^{2} d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+2 v(t) v^{\prime}(t)\right] d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+v(T)^{2} \geq 0
$$

Another possibility is to solve

$$
M:=\min \frac{\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t}{\left.\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t} \mid h^{\prime} t\right)\left.\right|^{2} d t}
$$

and prove that the optimal $h$ (which exists) satisfies $\left(e^{-2 t} h^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=-M e^{-2 t} h$ together with $h(0)=0$ and $h^{\prime}(T)=0$. Suppose then $M<1$ and prove that it is not possible to find a solution.
4. There is a unique solution $u(t)=\left(1-\frac{t}{T+1}\right) e^{t}$ to the Euler-Lagrange equation. Hence there can be at most one minimizer. We then take an arbitrary competitor of the form $u+h$ and observe that we have (if we call $J$ the functional we minimize)

$$
J(u+\varphi)=J(u)+\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}\left[u^{\prime}(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t)-u(t) \varphi(t)\right] d t+J(\varphi)
$$

We then have $\int_{0}^{T} e^{-2 t}\left[u^{\prime}(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t)-u(t) \varphi(t)\right] d t=0$ because of the condition on $u$, and $J(\varphi) \geq 0$ because of the previous estimate. This proves that the solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation is indeed a minimizer.

Exercice 2 (5 points). Consider a Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, a measurable map $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and a scalar function $u \in H_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ which is a weak solution in $\Omega$ of

$$
\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{2+u(\tau(x))^{2}}{1+u(\tau(x))^{2}} \nabla u\right)=0
$$

1. If $\tau$ is the identity, prove that $u+\arctan u$ is a harmonic function.
2. If $\tau \in C^{\infty}$, prove $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

## Solution:

1. In the case where $\tau$ is the identity, we use $\frac{2+u^{2}}{1+u^{2}}=1+\frac{1}{1+u^{2}}$ and $\left(1+\frac{1}{1+u^{2}}\right) \nabla u=\nabla(u+\arctan u)$, so that the equation is equivalent to $\Delta(u+\arctan u)=0$.
2. First note that $a:=\frac{2+u^{2} \circ \tau}{1+u^{2} \circ \tau}$ is bounded from below and above (it takes values in (1,2]). Then, DeGiorgi-Nash-Moser's theorem implies $u \in C^{0, \alpha}$ (locally) for some $\alpha$. We now see that whenever we have some regularity for $u$, then $a$ has the same regularity since we compose with $C^{\infty}$ functions. Hence $a \in C^{0, \alpha}$ and $u \in C^{1, \alpha}$. But then, by induction, $u \in C_{l o c}^{k, \alpha}$ provides $u \in C_{l o c}^{k+1, \alpha}$ and finally $u \in C^{\infty}$.

Exercice 3 (7 points). Consider a smooth bounded and connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, a function $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ with $f f=0$, a number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and the minimization problem

$$
\min \left\{\int_{\Omega}(1+|\nabla u|)^{2}+\cos (\alpha u+|\nabla u|)+f u: u \in H^{1}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

1. For $\alpha=0$ prove that the minimization can be restricted to the functions $u$ with $f u=0$ and for $\alpha \neq 0$ to the functions $u$ with $|f u| \leq \frac{\pi}{|\alpha|}$.
2. Prove that the problem admits a solution.
3. Prove that any solution satisfies $\alpha \int \sin (\alpha u+|\nabla u|)=0$.
4. Prove that the solution is unique up to additive constants if $\alpha=0$.
5. Find all the minimizers in the case $f=0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$.

## Solution:

1. Thanks to the condition $f f=0$, if $\alpha=0$ the functional is invariant when adding a constant to $u$, so we can restrict to those functions which have zero-mean (or fix any other mean). When $\alpha \neq 0$, the functional is only invariant if adding a constant of the form $2 k \pi \alpha^{-1}$. So, we cannot change the mean of $u$ into an arbitrary mean, but we can bring it into any interval whose length is $2 \pi /|\alpha|$, in paticular into $\left[-\frac{\pi}{|\alpha|}, \frac{\pi}{|\alpha|}\right]$.
2. We take a minimizing sequence $u_{n}$ and, thanks to the previous considerations, we suppose that $f u_{n}$ is bounded. If we call $J$ the functional to be minimized we have $J(u) \geq \int|\nabla u|^{2}-|\Omega|-\|f\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|_{L^{2}}$. We have $\|u-f u\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}$ for any $u$, so that we have in our case $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left(1+\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)$ and $J\left(u_{n}\right) \geq\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-C-C\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. This proves, using $J\left(u_{n}\right) \leq C$, that $\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is bounded, and hence $u_{n}$ is bounded in $H^{1}$ and we can extract a weakly converging subsequence. We then note that the integrand $L(u, v)=\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)+\cos (\alpha u+|v|)$ is continuous in $(u, v)$ and convex in $v$ (for this last property, we first look at the function $s \mapsto\left(1+s^{2}\right)+\cos (\alpha u+s)$ which is increasing and convex on $s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$(just compute two derivatives), and then compose with $s=|v|$, which is a convex function. This implies the semicontinuity of the functional wrt the weak convergence in $H^{1}$, and hence the existence of a minimizer.
3. We take $u$ an optimizer and then consider $\frac{d}{d s} J(u+s)$, where $s$ is a constant, and impose that this derivative vanishes for $s=0$.
4. The computation of the second derivative of $L(u, v)=\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)+\cos (\alpha u+|v|)$ wrt $v$ shows that $L$ is strictly convex in $v$. If $\alpha=$, then $L$ does not depend on $u$, so that we have strict convexity in terms of the gradient of $u$. The other term is linear, so it does not affect the convexity. The strict convexity in $\nabla u$ implies that two different minimizers must have the same gradient, hence differ by a constant since $\Omega$ is connected.
5. For $f=0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$ take $u=\frac{(\pi+2 k \pi)}{\alpha}$. Since $u$ is a constant, its gradient vanishes and we have $J(u)=$ $|\Omega|(1-1)=0$. On the other hand, we have for any $u$ the inequalities $(1+|\nabla u|)^{2} \geq 1$ and $\cos (\alpha u+|\nabla u|) \geq-1$, which show $J(u) \geq 0$ for every $u$. If $u$ realizes $J(u)=0$ then we necessarily have $|\nabla u|=0$ a.e. and $\cos (\alpha u)=-1$, which shows that $u=\frac{(\pi+2 k \pi)}{\alpha}$ covers all the possible solutions.

Exercice 4 (9 points). Given a curve $\omega \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which is $2 \pi$-periodic, consider the minimization problem

$$
\min \left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|u(t)-\omega(t)|^{2}\right) d t: u \in H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}
$$

where $H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of functions $u \in H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ which are $2 \pi$-periodic.

1. Prove that this minimization problem admits a unique solution $u_{\varepsilon}$.
2. Prove that the sequence of minimizers is bounded, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in $B V(I)$ for any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.
3. Prove that $u_{\varepsilon}$ converges (in which sense?) to the unique solution $\bar{u}$ of

$$
\min \left\{| u ^ { \prime } | \left(\left[0,2 \pi[)+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{2}|u(t)-\omega(t)|^{2} d t: u \in B V_{\operatorname{per}}\left(\mathbb{R} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}\right.\right.
$$

where $B V_{p e r}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of functions $u \in B V_{\text {loc }}(\mathbb{R})$ which are $2 \pi$-periodic and $u^{\prime}$ is their distributional derivative, which is a measure, and $\left|u^{\prime}\right|([0,2 \pi[)$ is its total variation on one period.
4. Find $\bar{u}$ in the case $n=2$ and $\omega(t)=(R \cos t, R \sin t)$ for $R>1$.

Solution: Let us set $J_{\varepsilon}:=L_{\varepsilon}+H_{\omega}$, where $L_{\varepsilon}(u):=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}$ and $H_{\omega}(u)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{2}|u(t)-\omega(t)|^{2}$. We also set $L(u):=\left|u^{\prime}\right|\left(\left[0,2 \pi[)\right.\right.$ (which is equal to $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u^{\prime}\right|$ for smooth functions $u$ ) and $J=L+H_{\omega}$.

1. For fixed $\varepsilon>0$ the two terms $L_{\varepsilon}$ and $H_{\omega}$ are bounded from below in terms of the $L^{2}$ norm of $u^{\prime}$ and of $u$ respectively (we use the fact that $\omega$ is bounded). Hence, any minimizing sequence is bounded in $H^{1}(I)$ for any interval $I$ (here we use periodicity) and we can extract a weakly converging subsequence. The terms depending on $u^{\prime}$ are convex in $u^{\prime}$, so the functional is lsc for this convergence, which proves the existence of a minimizer. Uniqueness comes from the strict convexity of $H_{\omega}$.
2. We have $L_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq L(u)$ so that $J_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq L(u)+\|u\|_{L^{2}([0,2 \pi])}^{2}-C$. Comparing the optimal $u \varepsilon$ to the zero function we have $J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 \pi\left(\varepsilon+\|\omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right.$ which bounds both the $L^{2}$ norm of $u_{\varepsilon}$ and the norm of $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ in the space of measures when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This can be done on a periodicity interval, or on any bounded interval, of course.
3. We want to prove the $\Gamma$-convergence of $J_{\varepsilon}$ to $J$ in the space of $2 \pi$-periodic $L^{2}$ functions endowed with the $L^{2}([0,2 \pi])$ norm. The bound in BV on the minimizers together with the compact embedding $B V \subset L^{2}$ which is true in dimension one allows to obtain the compactness assumption which is needed to guarantee that $\Gamma$-convergence implies the convergence of the minimizers. We would obtain in this case strong $L^{2}$ convergence but actually the compact embedding $B V \subset L^{p}$ for any $p<+\infty$ also provides strong convergence in all the $L^{p}$ spaces. We can't conclude about $L^{\infty}$ convergence, even if we have weak-* $L^{\infty}$ convergence of $u_{\varepsilon}$ to $\bar{u}$ and weak-* convergence of $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ to $\bar{u}^{\prime}$. Extra assumptions on $\omega$ could imply better convergence of the minimizers.
The $\Gamma$-convergence is not difficult to establish in this case. First we observe that $H_{\omega}$ is continuous for the $L^{2}$ convergence so that it is enough to prove the $\Gamma$-convergence of $L_{\varepsilon}$ to $L$. We have $L_{\varepsilon} \geq L$ and $L$ is lsc for the $L^{2}$ convergence (indeed, if we take a sequence $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ with $L\left(u_{k}\right) \leq C$ we automatically obtain a BV nound and we can extract a subsequence such that $u_{k}^{\prime}$ weakly-* converges as measures to $u^{\prime}$, so that the mass of $u^{\prime}$ is smaller than the liminf of the masses of $u_{k}^{\prime}$ ). This provides the $\Gamma$-liminf inequality at no cost.

The $\Gamma$-liminf inequality when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is straightforward on smooth functions. We just need to prove that this is a class dense in energy. Given any $u$ with $L(u)<+\infty$ we can define $u_{n}:=\eta_{n} * u$ where $\eta_{n}$ is a standard smooth convolution kernel. We have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}$ and $\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|\left(\left[0,2 \pi[) \leq\left|u^{\prime}\right|([0,2 \pi[)\right.\right.$ since the norm of the derivative is a convex functional invariant by translations, and hence it decreases by convolution. This shows that this sequence can be used to prove the density in energy of the class $f$ smooth functions and concludes the proof.
4. We look for a solution of the form $\bar{u}(t)=(r \cos t, r \sin t)$. For this function we have $\left|\bar{u}^{\prime}\right|=r$ and $\bar{u}^{\prime \prime}=-\bar{u}$. Let us take an arbitrary competitor $u=\bar{u}+\varphi$ and suppose that it is smooth. We then write the inequality which can be easily obtained by convexity of both terms:

$$
J(\bar{u}+\varphi) \geq J(u)+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\bar{u}^{\prime}}{\left|\bar{u}^{\prime}\right|} \cdot \varphi^{\prime}+(\bar{u}-\omega) \cdot \varphi
$$

Integrating by parts and using $\left|\bar{u}^{\prime}\right|=r$ we have

$$
J(\bar{u}+\varphi) \geq J(u)+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(-\frac{\bar{u}^{\prime \prime}}{r}+(\bar{u}-\omega)\right) \cdot \varphi
$$

It is then enough to impose $-\frac{\bar{u}^{\prime \prime}}{r}+(\bar{u}-\omega)=0$ to obtain that $\bar{u}$ minimizes among smooth functions. Any other competitor $u$ can be approximated by convolution by smooth functions $u_{k}$, with $J\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow J(u)$ as we saw in the previous question, so the optimality among smooth functions is equialent to the global optimality. The desired differential condition (which is nothing but the Euler-Lagrange equation, but we discuss this into detals because of the non-smoothness of both competitors and $\left.u^{\prime} /\left|u^{\prime}\right|\right)$ is equivalent to $\bar{u}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)=\omega$ and hence $r=R-1$ is sufficient for optimality. This optimizer is the unique one, because of strict convexity of $H_{\omega}$.

