Weingarten calculus in a tensor setup

Benoît Collins

Kyoto University

vTJC / Online, 13 January 2021

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Overview

Partly based on joint work with Razvan Gurau and Luca Lionni **Plan:**

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- 1. Motivations
- 2. Classical Weingarten calculus.
- 3. Variants: real, quantum, centered, etc.
- 4. Asymptotics.
- 5. Some results

A little bit of down-to-earth free probability

Let A, B be two selfadjoint matrices in M_n(ℂ). Their eigenvectors are unknown but their eigenvalues (λ₁ ≥ ... ≥ λ_n, resp. μ₁ ≥ ... ≥ μ_n) are known.

What are all possible eigenvalues of A + B? (say ν₁ ≥ ... ≥ ν_n)

- This is Horn's problem.
- Some equalities and inequalities are easy to prove. Horn conjectured it to be a polytope that he described
- The prof that it is a polytope was obtained through the help of symplectic geometry (Guillemin, Kirwan, Sternberg)
- The full description of the polytope was solved by Knutson, Tao, etc. (after Klyachko proved an equivalence with a problem in representation theory – the saturation conjecture).

- The above is not probabilistic but the eigenvalues follow a distribution (and in principle the problem boils down to describing its support)
- This is a problem about convolution of orbits.
- ► Therefore, it can in principle be done with the Harish Chandra Itzyson Zuber HCIZ integral ∫_{U∈U(n)} exp(Tr(AUBU^{*}))dU.

► There exists nice formulas for HCIZ.

- ► If we take sequences A_n, B_n and assume the histogram of the spectrum has a limiting shape (technically: the ESD converges), then the same holds true (with high probability) for A_n + UB_nU^{*}.
- ► Technically, n⁻¹Tr(A^k_n) → a_k and n⁻¹Tr(B^k_n) → b_k for all integers.
- This is a concentration phenomenon and it is a possible definition of free probability (or at least, free additive convolution)

How about tensors, then? One concrete example: if A, B ∈ M_n(ℂ)^{⊗2}, what can be said about

$$A + U \otimes V \cdot B \cdot U^* \otimes V^*$$

- Motivation: bipartite Hamiltonian or states that evolve according to local reversible dynamics (or the typical behavior under this setup). And generalize free probability.
- ▶ Remark: we are also interested in (and deal with) *D*-partite
- Difficulty: the eigenvalues are not enough to describe the orbits (they are described by diagrams). The situation is slightly simpler for rang 1 matrices in the bipartite case (pure states, classified by Schmidt coefficients)

This involves matrix integrals over tensors. Typically:

$$\int_{U\in U(n), V\in U(n)} \exp(\operatorname{Tr}(AU\otimes VBU^*\otimes V^*)) dUdV.$$

and the logarithm thereof.

- Problem: there is no HCIZ integral.
- Gaussian variants have been studied for Gaussian vectors. In this case one relies on the Wick theorem, which is simpler. But we are limited on the orbits.

In our case, we need to extend to unitary integrals and therefore calculate unitary integrals.

Weingarten calculus

We want to calculate

$$\int u_{i_1j_1}\ldots u_{i_kj_k}\overline{u_{i'_1j'_1}\ldots u_{i'_kj'_k}}.$$

Answer (Weingarten theorem): This is

$$\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_k} \delta_{i,i',\sigma} \delta_{j,j',\tau} Wg(\sigma\tau^{-1},n)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where Wg will be defined @next slides.

Compare with real and GOE, GUE.

Weingarten calculus: formula

Set Wg(σ, τ, n) = Wg(στ⁻¹, n). Wg is defined as follows: take a k! × k! matrix indexed by permutations (or pairings).

$$Wg = (Wg(\sigma, \tau, n))_{\sigma, \tau}$$

Set G = (n^{#loops(στ⁻¹}))_{σ,τ}. This is a positive (Gram matrix, see later). Wg is characterized by

$$Wg = G^{-1}$$

(pseudo-inverse if needs be)

Weingarten calculus: proof

- Why is this true? Consider the unitary matrix $Z = U^{\otimes k} \otimes \overline{U}^{\otimes k}$.
- ► Fact: P = E(Z) is an orthogonal projection onto fixed points of Z.
- Schur-Weyl duality (black box): the fixed points are generated by permutations.

Weingarten calculus: proof

- The canonical matrix coefficients of *P* are exactly $\int u_{i_1j_1} \dots u_{i_kj_k} \overline{u_{i'_1j'_1} \dots u_{i'_kj'_k}}$.
- G is the gram matrix of the canonical fixed point basis, and $\delta_{i,i',\sigma}$ is the scalar product of a canonical matrix $E_{i_1i'_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes E_{i_ki'_k}$ with the canonical element σ .
- Conclude with formula for a projection when the basis is not orthogonal (remark: that's one key difference with Wick calculus).

Weingarten calculus: expansion

How to calculate Wg? (the theorem itself is already a formula)

$$Wg(\sigma, n) = n^{-k} \sum_{path} (-n)^{l(path)}$$

where a path is a solution $(i_1j_1)...(i_lj_l) = \sigma$ satisying $i_m < j_m, j_{m-1} \le j_m$, and l(path) = l

- This follows either from Jucys-Murphy theory (Novak-Matsumoto) or from orthogonality relations (Weingarten, C-Matsumoto)
- There is a relation in terms of monotone Hurwitz number.

 Remark: there is also a signed formula in terms of permutation paths.

Weingarten calculus, asymptotics

• First order asymptotics *Wg* in dimension.

$$Wg(\sigma_1 \sqcup \sigma_2, n) = Wg(\sigma_1, n) Wg(\sigma_2, n)(1 + O(n^{-2}))$$
$$Wg((1, \dots, k), n) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1} C_{k-1}}{(n-k+1) \dots (n+k-1)}$$

- These two facts combined basically yield Speicher's non-crossing Moebius function.
- Uniform bounds are achievable (C, Matsumoto)

Weingarten calculus, orthogonal version

Complex conjugate not needed in the orthogonal case:

$$\int u_{i_1j_1}\ldots u_{i_kj_k}$$

with k even, and permutations become pair partitions.

- The proof is the same (with projections) and leading estimates are the same.
- No more explicit formula or genus expansion.
- Uniform bounds similar to the uniform case.

Centered Weingarten calculus

- For a random variable X, we define [X] = X − E(X) (its centering).
- For a symbol ε ∈ {·, −} and z ∈ C, we take the notation that z^ε = z if ε = · and z^ε = z̄ if ε = −. We want to to compute for U = (U_{ij}) Haar distributed on U_n, expressions of the form

$$E\prod_{t=1}^{T}[\prod_{l=1}^{k_t}U_{x_t/y_t/l}^{\varepsilon_{t/l}}]$$

in a meaningful way.

Needed for estimates for tensors (Bordenave, C). .

Centered Weingarten calculus

We can write a Weingarten formula

$$E\prod_{t=1}^{T}[\prod_{l=1}^{k_t} U_{x_t | y_t |}^{\varepsilon_{tl}}] = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in P_2(k_1 + \ldots + k_{\tau})} \delta_{\sigma, x} \delta_{\tau, y} Wg_{centered}(\sigma, \tau, part)$$

▶ The function *Wg* depends on the pairings and the partition.

Theorem Wg decays as n^{-k} where $k = (k_1 + ... + k_T)/2 + d(\sigma, \tau) + 2 \#$ lonesome blocks. This estimate is uniform on $k \sim Poly(n)$.

Connected Weingarten calculus

► Next, we consider the classical cumulants C_T. These are symmetric T-linear forms polarizing the expansion

$$\log E(\exp X) = \sum_{T \ge 1} C_T(X)/T!.$$

For example $C_1(X) = X$, $C_2(X_1, X_2) = E(X_1X_2) - E(X_1)E(X_2)$, $C(X_1, X_2, X_3) = E(X_1X_2X_3) - E(X_1)E(X_2X_3) - E(X_2)E(X_3X_1) - E(X_3)E(X_1X_2) + 2E(X_1)E(X_2)E(X_3)$, etc.

We want to to compute for U = (U_{ij}) Haar distributed on U_n, expressions of the form

$$C_{\mathcal{T}}(\prod_{l=1}^{k_t} U_{x_{t/}y_{t/}}^{\varepsilon_{t/}}]_t)$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

in a meaningful way.

Connected Weingarten calculus

We can write a Weingarten formula

$$E\prod_{t=1}^{T}[\prod_{l=1}^{k_t} U_{x_{tl}y_{tl}}^{\varepsilon_{tl}}] = \sum_{\sigma,\tau \in P_2(k_1+\ldots+k_{\tau})} \delta_{\sigma,x} \delta_{\tau,y} Wg_{connected}(\sigma,\tau,part)$$

▶ The function *Wg* depends on the pairings and the partition.

Theorem

Wg_{connected} decays as n^{-k} where $k = (k_1 + \ldots + k_T)/2 + d(\sigma, \tau) + 2(\# blocks(part, \sigma, \tau) - 1).$

Centered and connected leading orders

- In the centered case, we do not know how to interpret leading orders (Bordenave C). Unlikely to have a conceptual formulation
- In the connected case, we have a combinatorial interpretation (following C-03, Zuber O'Brien 81, Bousquet-Melou Schaeffer, etc, with consellations) – left to a further presentation of Luca/Razvan?

Tensor connected Weingarten calculus: expansion and asymptotics

Problem: compute C_n(Tr(AUBU^{*})) where A, B ∈ M_n(ℂ)^{⊗C} and U = U₁ ⊗ ... ⊗ U_D.

Thm 4.1 p15

Thank you!